



IFRAP
Integrated
Flood Resilience &
Adaptation Project



**Ministry of Planning
Development &
Special Initiatives**



INTEGRATED FLOOD RESILIENCE & ADAPTATION PROJECT

**Annual Progress Report (FY 2025-26) &
Quarterly Progress Report – Q4 (April to June 2026)
COMPONENT-3**

MONITORING & EVALUATION (M&E) CONSULTANT

World Bank Project ID	P180323
M&E Consulting Firm	EASE Pak JV (Joint Venture)
Disclaimer	This M&E Quarterly Progress Report has been independently prepared by the M&E Consultant based on field monitoring, review of project records, consultations with relevant stakeholders, and information available during the reporting period. The findings, observations, assessments, and recommendations presented in this report represent the independent professional assessment of the M&E Consultant.
Confidentiality Classification	For official use only

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
ES.1. Reporting Period Overview.....	1
ES.2. Project Performance Dashboard	2
ES.3. Key Achievements	3
ES.4. Key Constraints, Risks, and Forward Look.....	5
1. INTRODUCTION & reporting.....	6
1.1. Project Background.....	6
1.2. Reporting Period & Scope.....	6
1.3. Sampling Methodology	7
2. Results Framework - COMPONENT-III (Status Update)	9
3. COMPONENT-III Progress & Analysis	18
3.1. Overall Implementation Progress.....	18
3.2. Construction Progress by Stage	18
3.3. Geographic Rollout Status	19
3.4. Financial Enablement and Construction Initiation	20
4. Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning (MEL) Findings & Field Evidence.....	21
4.1. Field Monitoring Coverage and Data Scope	21
4.1.1. Monitoring Coverage and Sample Distribution.....	21
4.1.2. Data Dimensions and Analytical Scope	22
4.1.3. Analytical Considerations and Limitations	22
4.2. Beneficiary Profile, Vulnerability and Social Inclusion.....	22
4.2.1. Beneficiary Demographics and Gender Composition	23
4.2.2. Female- and Disability-Headed Households	24
4.2.3. Household-Level Vulnerability Characteristics	26
4.2.4. Minority and Religious Composition.....	28
4.2.5. Analytical Observations.....	28
4.3. Land Ownership, Tenure Security and Dispute Risk.....	29
4.3.1. Land Ownership Status and Tenure Security.....	29
4.3.2. Availability and Type of Ownership Documentation	30
4.3.3. Boundary Disputes and Overlapping Claims.....	33
4.4. Construction Progress, Pauses and Implementation Bottlenecks.....	34
4.4.1. Construction Type and Material Use.....	34
4.4.2. Construction Status at Time of Visit.....	35
4.4.3. Temporary Construction Pauses and Underlying Causes	44
4.4.4. Material Availability and Localized Bottlenecks	48
4.4.5. Analytical Observations.....	49
4.5. Financial Access & Tranche Disbursement Experience	49
4.5.1. Tranche Receipt Status.....	49
4.5.2. Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches.....	51
4.5.3. Information Channels on Tranche Disbursement	52
4.6. Construction Quality, DRR and MCG Compliance	53
4.6.1. Compliance with Key Structural and DRR Standards.....	53
4.6.2. Roofing, Drainage, Ventilation and Accessibility Features.....	56
4.6.3. MCG Training, Awareness and Application	58
4.6.4. Analytical Observations.....	59
4.7. Community Institutions, VRC Functionality and Participation	59
4.7.1. Awareness and Formation of Village Reconstruction Committees.....	59

4.7.2.	VRC Membership, Training and Participation	61
4.7.3.	VRC Support in Housing Reconstruction	63
4.7.4.	Analytical Observations.....	64
4.8.	Safeguards, GRM and Social Risk	64
4.8.1.	GRM Awareness, Accessibility and Use	64
4.8.2.	Gender-Differentiated Access to GRM	68
4.8.3.	Social Safeguards Awareness and Risk Signals	68
4.8.4.	Analytical Observations.....	69
4.9.	Labor, OHS and Workplace Safety Compliance	69
4.9.1.	Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics.....	70
4.9.2.	OHS Training, Worker Facilities and Safety Incidents	72
4.9.3.	Child Labor, Forced Labor and Compliance Signals.....	74
4.9.4.	Analytical Observations.....	75
4.10.	Environmental and Disaster Risk Observations	75
4.10.1.	Hazard Exposure and Site Risk Context.....	75
4.10.2.	Roof Drainage and Rainwater Flow Conditions	77
4.10.3.	Flood History, Erosion and Other Environmental Signals	78
4.10.4.	Construction-Related Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact...80	
4.11.	Key MEL Insights & Learning	82
4.11.1.	Alignment between Financial Flows and Physical Progress.....	82
4.11.2.	Localized Nature of Implementation Bottlenecks.....	82
4.11.3.	Technical Compliance with Selective Quality Risks	83
4.11.4.	Social Inclusion and Community Interface Dynamics	83
4.11.5.	Safeguards and Risk Visibility.....	83
4.12.	Implications for Project Management and Corrective Actions.....	84
4.12.1.	Strengthening Tranche-Progress Verification Controls	84
4.12.2.	Enhancing Risk-Based Supervision and Follow-Up.....	84
4.12.3.	Reinforcing Technical Quality and Secondary MCG Elements	84
4.12.4.	Improving GRM Visibility and Safeguards Communication.....	84
4.12.5.	Consolidating Community Institution Engagement.....	85
4.12.6.	Forward Focus.....	85
5.	REVIEW OF ANNUAL PROCESS MONITORING	86
5.1	Introduction.....	86
5.2	Objectives Of Annual Process Monitoring	86
5.3	Monitoring Methodology	87
5.4	Assessment of Implementation Performance	87
5.5	Evaluation of Monitoring and Information Systems.....	87
5.6	Inclusion and Social Safeguards Review	88
5.7	Construction Quality and Technical Compliance.....	88
5.8	Beneficiary Experience and Operational Bottlenecks.....	89
5.9	Occupational Health and Safety Review	89
5.10	Grievance Redress Mechanism Assessment	89
5.11	Risk Assessment	89
5.12	Lessons Learned	90
5.13	Strategic Recommendations.....	90
6.	Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix - component-III	92
6.1	Key Gaps and Risks Identified	92
6.2	Implications for Component III Implementation	92
6.3	Corrective Action Matrix	93
6.4	Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix.....	93
ANNEXURES		95

A. Field Visit Summaries	96
B. Surveyed & data not reported Sample List.....	98
C. Visual Evidence (Photos, etc.).....	117
D. Monitoring Tools	122
E. Detailed Indicator Progress Table (disaggregation).....	136
E-1. Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition.....	136
E-2. Stakeholders Present During Visit	138
E-3. Land and Property Documentation Tracker	139
E-4. House Reconstruction Status	141
E-5. Payment Tranche Released Status.....	143
E-6. Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)	146
E-7. VRC Community LED Construction Beyond IFRAP	151
E-8. Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR).....	154
E-9. Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG).....	159
E-10. Social Safeguard Compliance (SSC).....	161
E-11. Worker Safety (WS).....	163
E-12. Environmental Protection and Enhancement (EPS)	167
E-13. Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI).....	171
E-14. Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)	173
E-15. Construction Components Verification (CCV)	177
E-16. Perception & Experience (PE)	183
E-17. Site Observations (SO)	185
F. Meeting details	188

Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviations

- API - Application Programming Interface
- AWP - Annual Work Plan
- CE - Citizen Engagement
- DQA - Data Quality Assurance
- ESMF - Environmental and Social Management Framework
- FGD - Focus Group Discussion
- FPMU - Federal Project Management Unit
- GAP - Gender Action Plan
- GIS - Geographic Information System
- GRM - Grievance Redress Mechanism
- IFRAP - Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project
- IR - Intermediate Results
- IVR - Interactive Voice Response
- JV - Joint Venture
- KII - Key Informant Interview
- KM - Knowledge Management
- M&E - Monitoring and Evaluation
- MIS - Management Information System
- MoPDSI - Ministry of Planning, Development, and Special Initiatives
- PAD - Project Appraisal Document
- PDO - Project Development Objective
- PIU - Provincial Implementing Unit
- PMIS - Project Monitoring Information System
- PSC - Project Steering Committee
- RBMEF - Results-Based Monitoring and Evaluation Framework
- TA - Technical Assistance
- TORs - Terms of Reference
- VRC - Village Reconstruction Committee

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Annual Report and Fourth Quarterly Progress Report presents implementation, monitoring, evaluation and learning findings for Component III: Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration under the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP). It covers FY 2025–26, from July 2025 to June 2026, while providing specific analysis of Q4, April–June 2026. The component, implemented by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU), supports flood-affected households in Balochistan to reconstruct safe and climate-resilient housing through beneficiary-driven grants, tranche-based financial assistance and technical guidance. The report consolidates annual HRU MIS and financial records with evidence from 2,362 M&E field-monitoring visits conducted across 29 districts, including 764 visits in 23 districts during Q4.

During FY 2025–26, Component III progressed from beneficiary onboarding and early-stage construction to large-scale advancement across all construction stages. By 30th June 2026, 75,566 beneficiary's bank accounts had been opened, and first tranche has been disbursed to housing units. The construction pipeline included 49,495 units at plinth level, 39,517 at lintel level, 30,059 at roof level and 24,725 at the finishing stage. By the end of the reporting period, 30,059 housing units had progressed to the roof level. The annual target of 52,000 housing units is defined at Stage-4/Finishing; therefore, roof-level progress is reported separately and is not presented as achievement against the Stage-4/Finishing target. Based on the reported 30,059 housing units that had progressed to roof level, an estimated 192,378 people regained access to resilient housing, including approximately 91,957 females. These figures represent people benefiting from the reconstructed housing and are not referred to as registered project beneficiaries. Based on the approved assumption of 160 person-days of employment per house reaching roof level, as per the ILO/SPHF Study, the component generated an estimated 4,809,440 person-days of employment during the reporting period.

Q4 recorded the strongest quarterly advancement of the reporting year. Compared with Q3, the number of houses at plinth level increased by 13,447, lintel-level houses by 10,926, roof-level houses by 9,582 and finishing-stage houses by 9,338. Financial performance also remained strong: Q4 expenditure was PKR 6,642.00 million against the planned quarterly cash plan of PKR 6,642.00 million. Cumulative expenditure for FY 2025–26 reached PKR 16,467.00 million, representing full utilization of the reported annual allocation and releases.

Component III supports flood-affected households in Balochistan to reconstruct safe, climate-resilient housing through beneficiary-driven reconstruction grants, tranche-based financial assistance, and structured technical guidance. The component contributes directly to the Project Development Objective (PDO):

“To improve livelihoods and essential services and enhance flood risk protection in selected communities affected by the 2022 floods.”

The report consolidates annual implementation progress, results-framework performance, financial utilization, and evidence from structured field monitoring undertaken across the reporting year. It assesses construction-stage advancement, tranche receipt and utilization, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines and disaster-risk-reduction measures, environmental and social safeguards, beneficiary inclusion, community engagement, and key operational constraints. The analysis is based on HRU MIS and financial records, supplemented by 2,362 M&E field-monitoring visits across 29 districts. It is intended to support evidence-based management decisions, targeted corrective actions, and sustained progress of the Component III housing reconstruction pipeline.

ES.1. Reporting Period Overview

This Annual Report provides an evidence-based assessment of implementation progress under Component III: Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration for FY 2025–26. It consolidates progress, achievements, field-monitoring findings, implementation constraints, and emerging risks across all four quarters, from July 2025 to June 2026.

The report assesses the extent to which housing reconstruction activities implemented by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU) are progressing in line with approved targets, construction milestones, Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), and grant disbursement stages. It draws on verified HRU and Component-III MIS data, quarterly progress records, structured field-monitoring observations, and triangulated monitoring, evaluation and learning (MEL) evidence gathered during the reporting year.

While the report presents cumulative annual progress, it gives particular attention to developments during the fourth quarter (April–June 2026), including changes in construction-stage completion, tranche disbursement, field-level compliance, beneficiary-level challenges, and operational risks requiring management attention.

The scope of this report is limited exclusively to Component III and covers:

- Cumulative implementation progress and construction pipeline maturity during FY 2025–26;
- Progress of beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction across the covered districts;
- Alignment between grants tranche disbursement and observed physical construction progress;
- Compliance with MCGs and key environmental, social, and DRR requirements;
- Findings from field monitoring, MIS review, and other available MEL evidence;
- Key delivery constraints, implementation risks, and recommended corrective actions for the subsequent reporting period.

ES.2. Project Performance Dashboard

Component III: Key PDO Results, FY 2025–26

PDO Indicator	Overall Project (IFRAP) Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Overall Achievement	Achievement Against Overall C-III Target	FY 2025–26 Target	Achievement as of 30 June 2026	Achievement Against FY 2025–26 Target	Status
People regaining access to at least one essential service through resilient housing	1,500,000 people	620,800 people	192,378 people	31.0%	332,800 people	192,378 people	57.8%	In Progress / Requires Acceleration
Of which females	At least 50%	At least 310,400 females	91,957 estimated females	29.6%	At least 166,400 females	91,957 estimated females	55.3%	In Progress; below 50% gender target

PDO Indicator	Overall Project (IFRAP) Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Overall Achievement	Achievement Against Overall C-III Target	FY 2025–26 Target	Achievement as of 30 June 2026	Achievement Against FY 2025–26 Target	Status
Person-days of employment generated	14,500,000 person-days	14,500,000 person-days	4,809,440 person-days	33.2%	8,320,000 person-days	4,809,440 person-days	57.8%	In Progress / Requires Acceleration

Component III directly contributes to the Project Development Objective of improving livelihoods and essential services and enhancing flood-risk protection in communities affected by the 2022 floods. By 30 June 2026, 30,059 housing units had reached roof level, corresponding to an estimated 192,378 people regaining access to safe and resilient housing. This contributes to restoration of an essential service while reducing household exposure to future floods and climate-related risks through compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, including raised platforms, reinforced foundations, quality masonry, appropriate roof as per MCG and roof drainage measures. The component also contributes to livelihood recovery through beneficiary-driven reconstruction, generating an estimated 4,809,440 person-days of employment based on the approved assumption of 160 person-days per housing unit. This employment is primarily created through engagement of local labor, masons, transporters, and construction-material suppliers in flood-affected communities. In addition, an estimated 91,957 female beneficiaries gained access to resilient housing, supporting inclusive recovery and ensuring that women benefit from improved housing security and flood protection.

Financial Performance Indicator	FY 2025–26 Plan	Achievement as of 30 June 2026	Variance / Status
Q4 expenditure (April–June 2026) versus disbursement plan	PKR 6,642.00 million	PKR 6,642.00 million	100% of Q4 plan achieved
Cumulative financial expenditure	PKR 16,467.00 million	PKR 16,467.00 million	100% of FY 2025–26 allocation reported as expended

During Q4 FY 2025–26, Component III recorded expenditure of PKR 6,642.00 million against the planned quarterly disbursement and expenditure of PKR 6,642.00 million, reflecting full utilization of the Q4 cash plan. Cumulative expenditure reached PKR 16,467.00 million by 30 June 2026, equivalent to 100 percent of the annual allocation and reported release for FY 2025–26.

ES.3. Key Achievements

During FY 2025–26, Component III achieved the following key milestones:

- **Housing reconstruction progress:** A total of 30,059 housing units reached roof level by 30 June 2026, against the annual physical target of 52,000 units. This represents 57.8 percent achievement of the annual physical target and corresponds to an estimated 192,378 people regaining access to resilient housing.
- **Employment generation:** Based on the approved planning assumption of 160 person-days per housing unit reaching roof level, Component III generated an estimated 4,809,440 person-days of employment during the reporting period.
- **Financial utilization:** Component III reported 100 percent utilization of its FY 2025–26 allocation. Total annual expenditure reached PKR 16,467.00 million, including Q4 expenditure of PKR 6,642.00 million against the corresponding quarterly plan.
- **Independent monitoring coverage:** The M&E Consultant conducted 2,362 monitoring visits during FY 2025–26 to assess construction progress, compliance with Minimum

Construction Guidelines, environmental and social requirements, beneficiary-level issues, and consistency between MIS records and field observations. Monitoring coverage increased from 373 visits in Q1 to 764 visits in Q4.

- **Expansion of Component III through project restructuring:** Following the signing of the Financing Agreement in July 2026, Component III was substantially expanded. Its total financing allocation increased from US\$75 million to US\$161 million, while the housing reconstruction target was increased from 35,000 to 97,000 resilient housing units. This expansion reflects the priority accorded to beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction and provides a stronger financial and operational basis for accelerating delivery in the subsequent implementation period.

ES.4. Key Constraints, Risks, and Forward Look

- Analytical depth and progress pacing assessment remain constrained by the absence of a geographically and month-wise disaggregated Annual Work Plan, limiting planned-versus-actual comparison.
- As implementation progresses, targeted strengthening of tranche-progress verification, risk-based supervision, and safeguards communication will be critical. As implementation progresses, strengthening tranche-progress verification, adopting risk-based supervision, and enhancing safeguards-related communication will be critical to ensuring effective monitoring and oversight.
- The PSDP quarterly release mechanism is not fully aligned with the requirements of a foreign-funded, beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction project, where uninterrupted availability of funds is required for timely tranche disbursement and continuous construction progress.
- Only 15 percent of the annual budget was released in Q1, followed by 20 percent in Q2, 25 percent in Q3, and 40 percent in Q4. The initial releases were utilized rapidly, requiring HRU to wait until the start of subsequent quarters for additional funds.
- Delays in fund availability affected the timely release of first tranches to eligible beneficiaries, limiting the number of housing units that could commence construction and progress to plinth level during the early part of the financial year.
- The release of the largest funding share in Q4 provided limited time for HRU and beneficiary households to convert available funds into physical construction progress, particularly completion of works within the same financial year.

1. INTRODUCTION & REPORTING

1.1. Project Background

Component III of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP) supports the reconstruction of multi-hazard resilient housing for flood-affected households in Balochistan. The component responds to housing losses caused by the 2022 floods and aims to enable eligible households to rebuild safer and more resilient homes through an owner-driven reconstruction approach. The component contributes directly to the Project Development Objective (PDO):

“To improve livelihoods and essential services and enhance flood risk protection in selected communities affected by the 2022 floods.”

Implementation is led by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU). Under this approach, eligible beneficiaries receive housing assistance in instalments linked to verified construction milestones. Beneficiaries are responsible for managing construction, while HRU, Implementing Partners, technical teams, and quality-assurance arrangements provide support for beneficiary identification, account opening, tranche disbursement, technical guidance, construction-stage verification, and compliance monitoring.

The component promotes adherence to approved Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), including disaster-resilient features, construction quality, and relevant environmental and social requirements. Progress is monitored through Component III MIS and is validated through sample-based monitoring, quality assurance, and field verification.

Component III was initially designed with financing of US\$75 million and a target of 35,100 housing units. Following the July 2026 Financing Agreement and project restructuring, the Component III allocation increased to US\$161 million, and the housing reconstruction target was expanded to 97,000 housing units. The expansion reflects the priority placed on resilient housing reconstruction and the continued scale of post-flood housing needs in Balochistan.

This Annual Report assesses Component III performance for FY 2025–26, including physical progress, financial utilization, PDO indicator achievement, construction quality, compliance with MCGs, field-monitoring findings, and key operational constraints affecting delivery.

1.2. Reporting Period & Scope

This Annual Report covers FY 2025–26 (July 2025–June 2026) and consolidates Component III implementation progress, monitoring findings, and key issues identified across all four quarters. Particular emphasis is placed on Q4 FY 2025–26 (April–June 2026), during which 764 field-monitoring visits were completed.

The report covers Component III: Beneficiary-Driven Housing Reconstruction, implemented through the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU). It assesses physical progress, construction-stage advancement, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, environmental and social requirements, financial utilization, beneficiary-level issues, and operational constraints affecting implementation.

During FY 2025–26, M&E activities covered 29 districts across Balochistan, with a total of 2,362 field-monitoring visits. In Q4, monitoring was conducted in 23 districts: Barkhan, Chaman, Dera Bugti, Duki, Gwadar, Jaffarabad, Jhal Magsi, Kachhi, Kalat, Khuzdar, Kohlu, Loralai, Mastung, Musakhel, Noshki, Pishin, Sherani, Sibi, Sohbatpur, Surab, Usta Muhammad, Zhob, and Ziarat.

Reporting Period	Field Monitoring Visits
Q1 FY 2025–26: July–September 2025	373
Q2 FY 2025–26: October–December 2025	644
Q3 FY 2025–26: January–March 2026	581
Q4 FY 2025–26: April–June 2026	764
Overall FY 2025–26	2,362

1.3. Sampling Methodology

The assessment used a mixed-method monitoring approach, including structured field visits to sampled beneficiary households, observation of construction stages and compliance requirements, review of Component III MIS records and implementation data, and consultations with HRU and FPMU teams. The M&E Consultant's access to Component III MIS data was strengthened through API integration in April 2026, which enabled more systematic data access, sample selection, MIS review, and triangulation of field-monitoring findings during Q4.

To ensure statistical rigor, operational feasibility, and programmatic relevance, the M&E Consultant applies a hybrid sampling design for Component III. The design combines Cochran's formula for sample-size determination, stratified random sampling for equitable representation of key population groups, and stratified cluster sampling for routine field monitoring and spot checks.

The sampling framework uses Component III MIS as the sampling frame and applies explicit stratification by district and construction stage, with further consideration of Implementing Partner, village/settlement, house type, gender of household head, disability status, and other vulnerability characteristics. For regular monitoring, village or settlement clusters are selected using probability-proportional-to-size principles, followed by random selection of beneficiary households within selected clusters. Allocation across districts and stages is guided by caseload and risk considerations to support both province-wide estimates and analysis of key subgroups.

The methodology is designed to generate statistically representative evidence on construction progress, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, environmental and social conditions, beneficiary experience, and implementation constraints. Subject to the achieved sample size, response rate, and application of sampling weights where required, findings may be generalized to the relevant Component III population and reported with appropriate confidence and precision statements.

During FY 2025–26, the M&E Consultant completed 2,362 field-monitoring visits across 29 districts. Data collection included structured household and site-level monitoring, direct observation of construction stages, GPS- and photograph-supported verification, review of available Component III MIS records, and consultations with HRU and FPMU teams. API integration in April 2026 further strengthened access to Component III MIS data for sample selection, data review, and triangulation of field findings during Q4.

While the M&E sample can provide generalizable estimates of field-level conditions and compliance, official cumulative counts of housing units, grant releases, and financial expenditure are drawn from HRU MIS and FMS administrative records. The M&E analysis complements these records by assessing the quality, consistency, and field-level validity of reported implementation progress.

The M&E Framework provides for a total monitoring sample of approximately 4,660 housing units over the remaining 21-month assignment period. This includes approximately 2,330 routine

monitoring cases and an additional 2,330 MCG-focused physical verification cases. The combined design provides for an average monitoring coverage of approximately 666 cases per quarter across seven quarters.

Sampling Requirement	Planned Coverage	FY 2025–26 Actual Coverage	Comparison
Routine monitoring sample	Approx. 333 cases per quarter	Included within total visits	-
MCG-focused physical verification sample	Approx. 333 cases per quarter	Included within total visits	-
Combined monitoring requirement	Approx. 666 cases per quarter	2,362 visits during FY 2025–26	88.7% of indicative annual coverage
Combined requirement for four quarters	Approx. 2,664 visits	2,362 visits	302 visits below pro-rated annual coverage
Combined requirement for seven quarters	Approx. 4,660 visits	-	Assignment-level target

Quarter	Indicative Planned Coverage	Actual Visits	Achievement
Q1 FY 2025–26	666	373	56.0%
Q2 FY 2025–26	666	644	96.7%
Q3 FY 2025–26	666	581	87.2%
Q4 FY 2025–26	666	764	114.7%
Overall FY 2025–26	2,664	2,362	88.7%

The M&E Framework envisages a combined sample of approximately 4,660 cases over seven quarters, comprising routine monitoring and additional MCG-focused physical verification. On a pro-rated basis, this corresponds to approximately 2,664 monitoring visits during FY 2025–26. The M&E Consultant completed 2,362 field-monitoring visits during the year, equivalent to 88.7 percent of the indicative annual coverage. Monitoring coverage improved substantially during the year, with Q4 recording 764 visits, exceeding the indicative quarterly coverage of 666 cases. The planned coverage was derived from the approved M&E Framework for MCG-focused physical verification. During FY 2025–26, 2,362 sites were physically verified against the annual target of 2,664, representing 88.7 percent achievement. The shortfall primarily resulted from gradual field mobilization during Q1. Coverage improved thereafter, with Q4 exceeding its quarterly target and partially offsetting the earlier gap.

2. RESULTS FRAMEWORK - COMPONENT-III (STATUS UPDATE)

The Results Framework for IFRAP provides the overarching structure for tracking progress toward the Project Development Objective (PDO) and component-level intermediate results. For Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration), the approved indicators primarily relate to restoration of flood-affected housing, inclusion of vulnerable households, employment generation, and citizen engagement through grievance redress mechanisms.

During the reporting period, Component-III remained in an active implementation phase, with housing reconstruction progressing across multiple districts and construction stages.

The construction trajectory shows a clear acceleration rather than a flat run-rate: quarterly housing completions rose from 6,317 (Q1) to 12,688 (Q2), 20,477 (Q3), and 30,059 (Q4), with Q4 completions increasing by 46.8% over Q3. That pattern suggests the implementation pipeline, including procurement, contractor mobilization, materials supply, matured over the fiscal year rather than degrading, which is a favorable signal. Even so, cumulative completion (30,059 of 97,000 units, 31%) means two-thirds of the housing target remains outstanding. If Q4's pace of ~9,582 units/quarter were merely sustained (not further accelerated), the remaining 66,941 units would take roughly seven more quarters, equivalent to another 1.5–2 years, to complete. Whether that's on schedule depends on the project's total timeline, which isn't captured in this workbook, but the trend itself argues for tracking velocity, not just cumulative percentage, in future reporting.

The inclusion indicators reveal a more concerning pattern: a persistent, non-closing gap between administrative (HRU) data and independent M&E field-survey findings. For female-headed/vulnerable households, HRU records show cumulative progress of 21.3% against a 25% target, which appears close to target on paper. However, the underlying quarterly M&E surveys report substantially higher field-observed rates each quarter (14–16% per survey wave, on a different base). For disability inclusion, the gap is starker: HRU data shows only 1.75% cumulative against a 5% target, while M&E field samples consistently found disabled-headed households in the 2.5–4.8% range per quarter. This represents approximately two to three times the administrative figure. This isn't just noise; it may be a gap this size and this consistent across four quarters points to underreporting or non-capture of vulnerability status in the HRU intake/registration system itself, which is a systemic data-quality issue rather than a one-off measurement error, and one that likely understates actual program reach into these groups.

Two reliability flags temper confidence in the headline numbers. First, the PDO-3 employment-generation figure (person-days) diverges from what its own inputs imply. The formula chain in Sheet-2 produces a cumulative figure of 2,571,520 person days through Q3, whereas the houses-completed basis ($20,477 \times 160$) implies 3,276,320, a ~27% understatement carried through to the FY total. Second, grievance redress (IRI-7) sits at 76% resolved as of Q3 with no Q4 update at all in the source file. Against a 100% target, this represents both a performance gap and a reporting gap, and the absence of Q4 GRM data makes it impossible to tell whether resolution improved, stalled, or simply went unreported in the final quarter. Both issues warrant reconciliation with the PIU before these figures are used in a formal donor or oversight report, since neither is a matter of interpretation; both are internal inconsistencies in the source data itself.

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
PDO-1	People regaining access to at least one essential service.	Number	1,500,000	620,800	40,429	Based on 6317 completed roof level housing units multiplied by average household size (6.4 persons).	40,774	81,203	Based on 12,688 completed roof level housing units multiplied by average household size (6.4 persons).	49,850	131,053	Based on 20,477 completed housing units multiplied by average household size (6.4 persons).	61,325	Based on 30,059 completed housing units roof level multiplied by average household size (6.4 persons).	192,378	As the sample was selected from the overall beneficiary population and covered multiple districts and construction stages, the results provide a reasonable basis to infer that the reported program achievements are generally reflected on the ground. In this context, the reported completion of 30,059 housing units is estimated to have benefited approximately 192,378 individuals through the provision of safe and resilient housing solutions.
Sub-Indicator-01	Of which are females	Percentage	50.00	310400.00	0.06	Census 2023 female population ratio is 47.8	0.06	0.13	Field-visit findings show that females constituted 48% of the sampled	0.08	0.20	Field-visit findings show that females constituted 48% of the sampled	0.09	Field-visit findings show that females constituted 48% of the sampled	0.30	Derived from household-level gender composition of monitored beneficiaries

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
									beneficiaries, closely aligning with the 2023 Census female population share of 47.8%. This provides a sound justification for using the Census 2023 female population proportion as the reference for analysis.			beneficiaries, closely aligning with the 2023 Census female population share of 47%. This provides a sound justification for using the Census 2023 female population proportion as the reference for analysis.		beneficiaries, closely aligning with the 2023 Census female population share of 48.5%. This provides a sound justification for using the Census 2023 female population proportion as the reference for analysis.		
PDO-3	Person-days of Employment Generated	Number	14,500,000	14,500,000 person-days	1,010,720	6317 houses construction completed; one house construction generates 160 person days of employment.	314,560	1,325,280	8,283 houses construction completed; one house construction generates 160 person days of employment.	1,246,240	2,571,520	7789 houses construction completed; one house construction generates 160 person days of employment.	1,533,120	9582 houses construction completed; one house construction generates 160 person days of employment.	4,104,640	30059 houses construction completed; one house construction generates 160 person days of employment.
IRI-1	Housing units restored/reconstructed	Number	97,000	97,000	6,317	Based on HRU progress sheet; additional units at various construction stages. Further total	6,371	12,688	Based on HRU progress sheet; additional units at various construction stages. Further total	7,789	20,477	Based on HRU progress sheet; additional units at various construction stages. Further, The	9,582	Based on HRU progress sheet; additional units at various construction stages. The M&E team	30,059	During FY 2025–26, M&E field teams visited a total of 2,362 sample sites across four quarters. Of these, 218 houses were found and did

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
						of 373 housing sites was visited by the M&E Consultant and 72 houses were completed, while the remaining units were at various stages of construction or had not yet started. Field verification found no significant anomalies between the sampled sites and the progress reported by the PIU, indicating satisfactory implementation progress.			of 644 housing sites was visited by the M&E Consultant. Of these, 402 houses were found to be completed, while the remaining units were at various stages of construction or had not yet started. Field verification found no significant anomalies between the sampled sites and the progress reported by the PIU, indicating satisfactory implementation progress.			M&E team monitored a total of 581 sample housing sites across the project area of these; construction activities had not commenced at 60 sites at the time of monitoring. The remaining 521 sites were found to be either under construction at various stages or fully completed. Field verification of the 521 active sites indicated that reconstruction activities were generally being carried out in		monitored a total of 764 sample housing sites across the project area of these; construction activities had not commenced at 70 sites at the time of monitoring. The remaining 694 sites were found to be either under construction at various stages or fully completed. Field verification of the 694 active sites indicated that reconstruction activities were generally being carried out in		not start at the time of the visit. The remaining sites were found to be either under construction at various stages or fully completed. Field verification of the 2144 active sites indicated that reconstruction activities were generally being carried out in accordance with the HRU Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs). Completed housing units met the prescribed technical requirements, while ongoing construction activities were observed to be progressing in line with the approved construction standards and stage-wise requirements.

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
												accordance with the HRU Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs). Completed housing units met the prescribed technical requirements, while ongoing construction activities were observed to be progressing in line with the approved construction standards and stage-wise requirements. As the sample was drawn from the overall beneficiary population, the findings suggest that housing reconstruction activities		accordance with the HRU Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs). Completed housing units met the prescribed technical requirements, while ongoing construction activities were observed to be progressing in line with the approved construction standards and stage-wise requirements. As the sample was drawn from the overall beneficiary population, the findings suggest that housing reconstruction activities		As the sample was drawn from the overall beneficiary population, the findings suggest that housing reconstruction activities across the project area are progressing satisfactorily, with beneficiaries actively undertaking construction and overall compliance with the MCGs being maintained.

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
												across the project area are progressing satisfactorily , with beneficiaries actively undertaking construction and overall compliance with the MCGs being maintained.		across the project area are progressing satisfactorily , with beneficiaries actively undertaking construction and overall compliance with the MCGs being maintained.		
IRI-1a	Of which female-headed households and households with vulnerable women	Percentage	25.00	24250.00	2.23	Based on HRU data, female-headed households currently represent 2.2% of overall program progress. In the M&E field-visit sample, however, female-headed households accounted for 14.7% of surveyed beneficiaries, indicating steady progress towards the	3.74	5.97	Based on HRU data female-headed households currently present 3.7 % and the as per M&E consultant survey a total female headed household were 14.1 %	7.30	13.27	Based on HRU data female-headed households currently present 7.3 % and the as per M&E consultant survey a total female headed household were 15.7 %	7.99	Based on HRU data female-headed households currently present 8 % and the as per M&E consultant survey a total female headed household were 15.3 %	21.25	Based on HRU data female-headed households currently present 21.3 %.

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
						25% target. The apparent difference is because HRU's figure is calculated against the overall program target, while M&E data are collected from houses completed or visited at the time of monitoring. As implementation advances towards completion of all 97,000 houses, the proportion is expected to move closer to the 25% target.										
IRI-1b	Of which households with persons with disabilities	Percentage	5.00	0.05	0.14	Based on HRU data, disability in households currently represents 0.14% of overall program progress. In the M&E field-visit	0.32	0.46	Based on HRU data, disability in households currently represents 0.5% of overall program progress. In the M&E field-visit	0.64	1.10	Based on HRU data, disability in households currently represents 1.1% of overall program progress. In the M&E field-visit	0.65	Based on HRU data, disability in households currently represents 1.1% of overall program progress. In the M&E field-visit	1.75	Based on household-level disable persons

Consolidated IFRAP Results Framework Tracking																
INDICATOR PROFILE					Q1 (Jul–Sep 2025)		Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)			Q3 (Jan–Mar 2026)			Q4 (Apr–Jun 2026)		FY 2025–2026 CUMULATIVE	
Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Component III Overall Target / Contribution	Q1 Status	Q1 Remarks	Q2 Status	Q2 Cumulative	Q2 Remarks	Q3 Status	Q3 Cumulative	Q3 Remarks	Q4 Status	Q4 Remarks	Cumulative FY 2025–2026	FY Remarks
						sample, however, disabled-headed households accounted for 4.8% of surveyed beneficiaries.			sample, however, disabled-headed households accounted for 2.5% of surveyed beneficiaries.			sample, however, disabled-headed households accounted for 4% of surveyed beneficiaries.		sample, however, disabled-headed households accounted for 2.7% of surveyed beneficiaries.		
IRI-7	Registered grievances satisfactorily resolved in line with the GRM	Percentage	100	100	N/A	N/A	79	79	Based on C-3 GRM summary, 208 out of 264 cases reported resolved.	76	78	Based on C-3 GRM summary, 135 out of 178 cases reported resolved.	63	Based on C-3 GRM summary, 99 out of 157 cases reported resolved N/A	74	Based on C-3 GRM summary, 442 out of 599 cases reported resolved

Component-III has moved into a phase where quantifiable outputs and inclusion indicators are emerging and can be reported against the revised Results Framework. During QPR-04 construction output progressed and the component demonstrated measurable inclusion performance across priority beneficiary groups.

The Intermediate Results indicator on restored/reconstructed housing units is progressing with a reported cumulative completion of 20,477 housing units during the reporting period. In parallel, inclusion tracking indicates that 37% (91 unique households with women headed households & 128 households with vulnerable women group) fall under the combined category of female-headed households and households with vulnerable women are under the Results Framework threshold of 25%. Similarly, 4% (22 unique households) fall under the category of households with persons with disabilities.

These proportions are based on currently verified and available household-level data. As the volume of validated records increases over subsequent quarters and data completeness improves through system integration and expanded monitoring coverage, the proportions will continue to be refined and may rationalize. The current results nevertheless provide an early indication that Component-III inclusion outreach is effectively reaching priority groups in line with the project's social inclusion commitments.

3. COMPONENT-III PROGRESS & ANALYSIS

This section presents an annual analysis of implementation progress under Component III: Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration for FY 2025–26 (July 2025–June 2026). It consolidates progress recorded across Q1 to Q4, with particular emphasis on Q4 (April–June 2026). The analysis draws on verified HRU/MIS progress data.

3.1. Overall Implementation Progress

During FY 2025–26, Component III advanced from beneficiary onboarding and early-stage construction towards large-scale progression through plinth, lintel, roof, and finishing stages. Against the annual target of 52,000 housing units, HRU reported that 30,059 housing units had reached roof level by 30 June 2026, representing 57.8 percent of the annual target. A further 24,725 units had reached the finishing stage, demonstrating substantial progression of the construction pipeline during the year.

The fourth quarter recorded notable advancement in construction-stage outputs. Compared with the end of Q3, the number of units reaching roof level increased by 9,582, while units reaching the finishing stage increased by 9,338. The reported progress demonstrates continued movement of beneficiary households through successive construction milestones; however, further acceleration is required to close the remaining gap against the annual

3.2. Construction Progress by Stage

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-04**:

- **49,495** housing units have reached plinth level
- **39,517** housing units are at lintel level
- **30,059** housing units are at roof level completed
- **24,725** housing units done finishing

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-03**:


- **36,048** housing units have reached plinth level
- **28,591** housing units are at lintel level
- **20,477** housing units are at roof level completed
- **15,387** housing units done finishing

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-02**:

- **28,637** housing units have reached plinth level
- **21,510** housing units are at lintel level
- **12,688** housing units are at roof level completed
- **8,283** housing units done finishing

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-01**:

- **18,378** housing units have reached plinth level
- **9,240** housing units are at lintel level
- **6,317** housing units are at roof level completed
- **3,670** housing units done finishing



A comparative analysis of construction progress across QPR-01, QPR-02, QPR-03, and QPR-04 demonstrates a sustained upward trajectory in housing reconstruction activities throughout FY 2025–26. Between QPR-01 and QPR-04, the number of housing units reaching plinth level increased from 18,378 to 49,495 units, representing a growth of 169.3 percent. Housing units reaching lintel level increased from 9,240 to 39,517 units, reflecting an increase of 327.7 percent.

Significant advancement was also observed at the higher construction stages. Houses reaching roof level increased from 6,317 in QPR-01 to 30,059 in QPR-04, an increase of 375.8 percent. Similarly, housing units reaching the finishing stage increased from 3,670 to 24,725 units, representing the highest growth across the construction pipeline at 573.7 percent.

The QPR-04 results demonstrate continued acceleration in the transition of houses from early construction stages to advanced stages. Compared with QPR-03, the number of houses at plinth level increased by 13,447 units, lintel-level houses increased by 10,926 units, roof-level houses increased by 9,582 units, and houses at the finishing stage increased by 9,338 units. The strongest quarter-on-quarter progression was observed in the finishing stage, which increased by 60.7 percent during QPR-04.

Overall, the annual trend indicates that the reconstruction program progressed beyond initial beneficiary mobilization and foundation works towards the delivery of roof-level and finishing-stage housing units. By 30 June 2026, 30,059 houses had reached roof level, equivalent to 57.8 percent of the annual target of 52,000 units. While this reflects substantial progress and a maturing construction pipeline, continued acceleration of tranche disbursement, technical support, and construction-stage monitoring will be necessary to enable remaining units to progress towards completion.

3.3. Geographic Rollout Status

Component III covers 33 flood-affected districts across the project area. During FY 2025–26, M&E monitoring covered 29 of the 33 Component III districts, with 2,362 physical verification visits completed across four quarters. Coverage expanded from 10 districts in Q1 to 23 districts in Q4, reflecting the progressive geographic rollout of monitoring activities. The highest annual monitoring coverage was recorded in Jaffarabad (316 visits), Nasirabad (266), Kachhi (197), Usta Muhammad (194), Lasbela (187), and Sohbatpur (167). Together, these six districts accounted for 1,327 visits, or 56.2 percent of total annual monitoring coverage. District-level variation reflects the rotational sampling plan, the concentration of active housing units, construction-stage distribution, accessibility, and field deployment arrangements. Accordingly, the number of visits should be interpreted as monitoring coverage rather than a direct measure of district implementation performance.

District	Quarter-01	Quarter-02	Quarter-03	Quarter-04	Overall
Barkhan	23	31	27	49	130
Chaman	0	0	0	20	20
Dera Bugti	44	37	28	14	123
Duki	0	0	28	30	58
Gwadar	0	0	0	9	9
Harnai	10	20	23	0	53
Jaffarabad	66	90	74	86	316
Jhal Magsi	8	23	4	61	96
Kachhi	2	72	49	74	197
Kalat	0	0	0	11	11
Khuzdar	0	0	0	41	41
Killa Abdullah	0	0	20	0	20
Killa Saifullah	0	0	40	0	40
Kohlu	0	31	32	57	120
Lasbela	57	77	53	0	187
Loralai	0	0	0	16	16
Mastung	0	0	0	16	16
Musakhel	20	24	20	44	108
Nasirabad	75	105	86	0	266
Noshki	0	0	0	31	31
Pishin	0	0	0	11	11
Quetta	0	0	20	0	20
Sherani	0	0	0	9	9
Sibi	0	0	0	40	40
Sohbatpur	37	77	42	11	167
Surab	0	0	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	31	57	35	71	194
Zhob	0	0	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	21	21
Overall	373	644	581	764	2362

3.4. Financial Enablement and Construction Initiation

The close alignment between the 75,566 beneficiary bank accounts opened and 74,252 housing units for which the first tranche has been disbursed indicates a strong level of financial readiness among participating households and supports the timely processing and commencement of housing reconstruction activities under Component III.

The tranche-based financing model has enabled beneficiaries to access funds and commence reconstruction following completion of the required verification and approval processes. While 30,059 units had reached roof level and 24,725 had progressed to the finishing stage by 30 June 2026, the difference between initiated and advanced-stage units reflects the normal maturation of a large-scale construction pipeline. Continued timely tranche releases, technical support, and construction-stage monitoring will be essential to enable initiated units to progress towards roof and finishing stages.

4. MONITORING, EVALUATION & LEARNING (MEL) FINDINGS & FIELD EVIDENCE

4.1. Field Monitoring Coverage and Data Scope

This subsection presents the scope, geographic coverage, and analytical basis of field monitoring conducted under Component III during FY 2025–26 (July 2025–June 2026), with particular emphasis on Q4 (April–June 2026). Its purpose is to establish the evidentiary foundation for the subsequent MEL findings and to clarify the representativeness, analytical application, and limitations of the monitoring dataset.

4.1.1. Monitoring Coverage and Sample Distribution

As per the approved M&E Framework, a hybrid stratified sampling approach was applied, combining stratified random sampling and stratified cluster sampling to ensure representation across districts, construction stages, and relevant beneficiary groups. The monitoring sample was drawn from Component III MIS and comprised beneficiary cases against which at least one tranche had been reported as released.

During FY 2025–26, the M&E Consultant completed 2,362 field-monitoring visits across 29 districts. In Q4 (April–June 2026), 764 beneficiary households/sites were monitored across 23 districts. The annual monitoring coverage included repeated quarterly monitoring cycles, enabling assessment of construction-stage progression, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, financial access, environmental and social conditions, beneficiary experience, and emerging implementation constraints.

The monitoring exercise used standardized household- and site-level instruments to capture construction, financial, social, environmental, and safeguards-related information. The results are analyzed using the approved sampling approach and provide representative evidence on field-level implementation conditions across the monitored Component III population. The presence of sampled households with a “construction not started” status, despite reported tranche release, remains analytically significant and is examined in the relevant findings section.

Table 4.1 provides a consolidated snapshot of district-wise household coverage achieved during the quarter.

Table 4.1: District-wise Household Coverage - Field Monitoring

District	Quarter-01	Quarter-02	Quarter-03	Quarter-04	Overall
Barkhan	23	31	27	49	130
Chaman	0	0	0	20	20
Dera Bugti	44	37	28	14	123
Duki	0	0	28	30	58
Gwadar	0	0	0	9	9
Harnai	10	20	23	0	53
Jaffarabad	66	90	74	86	316
Jhal Magsi	8	23	4	61	96
Kachhi	2	72	49	74	197
Kalat	0	0	0	11	11
Khuzdar	0	0	0	41	41
Killa Abdullah	0	0	20	0	20
Killa Saifullah	0	0	40	0	40
Kohlu	0	31	32	57	120
Lasbela	57	77	53	0	187
Loralai	0	0	0	16	16
Mastung	0	0	0	16	16

District	Quarter-01	Quarter-02	Quarter-03	Quarter-04	Overall
Musakhel	20	24	20	44	108
Nasirabad	75	105	86	0	266
Noshki	0	0	0	31	31
Pishin	0	0	0	11	11
Quetta	0	0	20	0	20
Sherani	0	0	0	9	9
Sibi	0	0	0	40	40
Sohbatpur	37	77	42	11	167
Surab	0	0	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	31	57	35	71	194
Zhob	0	0	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	21	21
Overall	373	644	581	764	2362

4.1.2. Data Dimensions and Analytical Scope

The monitoring dataset was designed to support multi-dimensional analysis and includes the following thematic dimensions:

- Beneficiary demographics and vulnerability characteristics
- Land ownership status and tenure documentation
- Construction progress stages and implementation bottlenecks
- Financial access and tranche disbursement experience
- Construction quality, DRR features, and MCG compliance
- Functionality of community institutions, particularly VRCs
- Safeguards awareness, GRM access, and social risk signals
- Labor practices, occupational health and safety, and environmental observations

Each subsequent MEL subsection draws selectively on this dataset, presenting consolidated analytical tables in the main text while referencing detailed disaggregated tables in the annexures.

4.1.3. Analytical Considerations and Limitations

The monitoring sample reflects active construction sites and accessible beneficiaries during the reporting period. While the geographic spread is broad and covers all major implementation districts, the findings should be interpreted as indicative of systemic patterns and implementation signals, rather than statistically exhaustive measurement of the full beneficiary universe.

Data quality assurance measures included cross-verification with C-III MIS records, photographic evidence where applicable, and internal consistency checks across related indicators. These measures provide reasonable confidence in the analytical reliability of the findings presented in subsequent sections.

4.2. Beneficiary Profile, Vulnerability and Social Inclusion

This subsection analyses the demographic composition, vulnerability characteristics, and inclusion dimensions of beneficiaries covered under Component-III during the reporting period. The objective is to assess whether housing reconstruction support is reaching priority and vulnerable groups in line with project inclusion commitments.

4.2.1. Beneficiary Demographics and Gender Composition

Field monitoring captured household-member information for 764 monitored households in Q4, comprising 4,025 household members. Male members accounted for 2,131 (52.9%) and female members for 1,894 (47.1%). The Q4 findings therefore continue to show a broadly balanced gender composition within the monitored beneficiary households.

Table 4.2.1(i): Gender Composition of Household Members (Monitored Sample)

Category	Number (Q4) (n = 764)	Number (Q3) (n = 581)	Number (Q2) (n = 644)
Male Members	2131 (52.9%)	1691 (53.5%)	1875 (51.8%)
Female Members	1894 (47.1%)	1471 (46.5%)	1743 (48.2%)
Transgender Members	0	1 (0.03%)	0
Total Household Members	4025	3163	3618

Table 4.2.1(ii): Balanced Gender Representation – Q1

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample (n = 373)
Women Headed Households	55 / 373	14.7 %
PWD – Headed Households	18 / 373	4.8 %
Muslim Households	373 / 373	100 %
Total Male Members	1209	51.5 %
Total Female Members	1141	48.5
Total Household Members Enumerated	2350	-

The gender composition of monitored households remained broadly balanced throughout FY 2025–26. Female household members represented 48.5 percent of the household population recorded in Q1, 48.2 percent in Q2, 46.5 percent in Q3, and 47.1 percent in Q4. Male household members accounted for the remaining proportion, ranging from 51.5 percent to 53.5 percent across the reporting periods.

The Q4 findings, based on household-member information available for 4025 monitored households, are consistent with the demographic profile observed in earlier quarters. Variations in the number of household members enumerated across quarters reflect differences in the number of sampled households for which household-composition information was captured and should not be interpreted as changes in the underlying beneficiary population.

District-wise gender disaggregation and household-level detail are provided in **Annexure E-1 – 04**.

Table 4.2.1(iii): Male and Female Beneficiaries – (Q-4)

District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	146	119	0	265
Chaman	68	52	0	120
Dera Bugti	40	28	0	68
Duki	83	81	0	164
Gwadar	28	15	0	43
Jaffarabad	231	224	0	455
Jhal Magsi	172	161	0	333
Kachhi	164	154	0	318
Kalat	30	31	0	61
Khuzdar	116	106	0	222
Kohlu	158	127	0	285
Loralai	60	48	0	108
Mastung	41	55	0	96
Musakhel	148	98	0	246
Noshki	92	81	0	173

District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Pishin	29	23	0	52
Sherani	26	20	0	46
Sibi	107	102	0	209
Sohbatpur	36	31	0	67
Surab	65	60	0	125
Usta Muhammad	184	180	0	364
Zhob	40	28	0	68
Ziarat	67	70	0	137
Overall	2131	1894	0	4025

Table 4.2.(iv): Male and Female Beneficiaries – (Q-3)

District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	104	73	0	177
Dera Bugti	74	68	0	142
Duki	99	64	0	163
Harnai	70	53	0	123
Jaffarabad	193	182	0	375
Jhal Magsi	8	11	0	19
Kachhi	123	120	1	244
Killa Abdullah	81	52	0	133
Killa Saifullah	121	99	0	220
Kohlu	77	57	0	134
Lasbela	133	144	0	277
Musakhel	68	45	0	113
Nasirabad	280	258	0	538
Quetta	61	49	0	110
Sohbatpur	118	113	0	231
Usta Muhammad	81	83	0	164
Overall	1691	1471	1	3163

4.2.2. Female- and Disability-Headed Households

Out of the 764 households monitored during Q4, 117 households (15.3%) were headed by women, while 21 households (2.7%) were headed by persons with disabilities. These groups may face additional economic, social, and mobility-related constraints and therefore require continued attention through targeted technical support, timely tranche facilitation, and follow-up monitoring

Table 4.2.2(i): Vulnerable Household Headship

Vulnerability Category	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Women-headed households	117(15.3%)	91 (15.7%)	91 (14.1%)
Disability-headed households	21(2.7%)	22 (3.8%)	16 (2.5%)
Other households	626(81.9%)	468 (80.6%)	537 (83.4%)
Total	764	581	644

The proportion of women-headed households remained broadly stable across the three reporting periods, increasing from 14.1 percent in Q2 to 15.7 percent in Q3 and recording 15.3 percent in Q4. In absolute terms, the number of women-headed households increased from 91 in Q3 to 117 in Q4, reflecting improved representation of this group within the expanded Q4 monitoring sample.

Disability-headed households declined slightly from 22 households (3.8%) in Q3 to 21 households (2.7%) in Q4. This variation is primarily associated with changes in sample size and composition across monitoring cycles and should not be interpreted as a change in the underlying beneficiary population. Overall, the Q4 monitoring sample continued to capture vulnerable household categories, enabling analysis of their construction progress, access to support, and compliance-related needs.

District-level breakdowns for both categories are provided in **Annexure E-1 – 01 & 02**.

Table 4.2.2(ii): Women Headed Household – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	5	44	49
Chaman	9	11	20
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	5	25	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	32	54	86
Jhal Magsi	6	55	61
Kachhi	4	70	74
Kalat	1	10	11
Khuzdar	2	39	41
Kohlu	1	56	57
Loralai	5	11	16
Mastung	3	13	16
Musakhel	4	40	44
Noshki	9	22	31
Pishin	0	11	11
Sherani	0	9	9
Sibi	5	35	40
Sohbatpur	3	8	11
Surab	1	28	29
Usta Muhammad	14	57	71
Zhob	3	10	13
Ziarat	5	16	21
Overall	117	647	764

Table 4.2.2(iii): Women Headed Household – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	4	23	27
Dera Bugti	1	27	28
Duki	1	27	28
Harnai	2	21	23
Jaffarabad	12	62	74
Jhal Magsi	1	3	4
Kachhi	11	38	49
Killa Abdullah	4	16	20
Killa Saifullah	14	26	40
Kohlu	3	29	32
Lasbela	8	45	53
Musakhel	3	17	20
Nasirabad	4	82	86
Quetta	8	12	20
Sohbatpur	6	36	42
Usta Muhammad	9	26	35
Overall	91	490	581

Table 4.2.2(iv): Disabled Person Headed Household – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	48	49
Chaman	0	20	20
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	4	82	86
Jhal Magsi	0	61	61
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	0	11	11
Khuzdar	2	39	41
Kohlu	1	56	57
Loralai	0	16	16
Mastung	5	11	16
Musakhel	0	44	44
Noshki	1	30	31
Pishin	1	10	11
Sherani	1	8	9

District	Yes	No	Overall
Sibi	1	39	40
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	1	70	71
Zhob	2	11	13
Ziarat	0	21	21
Overall	21	743	764

Table 4.2.2(v): Disabled Person Headed Household – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	25	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	28	28
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	9	65	74
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	2	47	49
Killa Abdullah	0	20	20
Killa Saifullah	1	39	40
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	1	52	53
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	1	85	86
Quetta	4	16	20
Sohbatpur	1	41	42
Usta Muhammad	1	34	35
Overall	22	559	581

4.2.3. Household-Level Vulnerability Characteristics

Beyond household headship status, the M&E Consultant monitored multiple vulnerability indicators during FY 2025–26 across 2,362 field-monitoring visits. These indicators included persons with disabilities, widows, single women, elderly persons, persons with a disability in the spouse category, and pregnant or lactating women. The annual monitoring exercise ensured continued representation of households with additional social, economic, and mobility-related support needs across the Component III implementation area

Table 4.2.3(i): Vulnerable Groups

Category	Number (Q4)	Number (Q3)	Number (Q2)
Male with Disability	24	33	31
Female with Disability	20	19	22
Widow	6	7	7
Single Women	3	0	4
Disabled Spouse	4	1	0
Elderly	35	38	57
Pregnant/Lactating	77	82	130

During Q4, the monitored sample included 24 males and 20 females with disabilities, 35 elderly persons, 6 widows, 3 single women, 4 persons with a disabled spouse, and 77 pregnant or lactating women. Compared with Q3, the number of persons with disabilities recorded in the sample declined from 52 to 44, while elderly persons declined slightly from 38 to 35. The number of pregnant or lactating women also decreased from 82 in Q3 to 77 in Q4.

Across FY 2025–26, the monitoring findings confirm the continued presence of households with multiple vulnerability characteristics within the Component III beneficiary population. Quarterly variation in the number of recorded cases reflects differences in sample size, geographic coverage, and household composition across monitoring cycles and should not be interpreted as a change in the underlying beneficiary population.

Since a household or individual may fall under more than one vulnerability category and may also be monitored in more than one quarter, the quarterly figures should not be added to produce a cumulative count of unique vulnerable households. Nevertheless, the annual monitoring evidence highlights the need for continued attention to accessibility, targeted technical assistance, timely grant disbursement, and follow-up support for vulnerable beneficiaries throughout the housing reconstruction process.

Detailed district wise vulnerability matrices are provided in **Annexure E-1 – 05**.

Table 4.2.3(ii): Family Members – (Q-4)

District	Male Members			Female Members			Special Categories						Transgender Members		
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/ Lactating	None	Total	Youth	With Disability
Barkhan	146	34	1	119	26	1	0	0	0	5	2	112	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chaman	68	17	0	52	11	1	0	0	0	2	2	48	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dera Bugti	40	8	0	28	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.0	0.0	0.0
Duki	83	15	0	81	12	0	0	0	0	2	5	74	0.0	0.0	0.0
Gwadar	28	10	0	15	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	13	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jaffarabad	236	61	9	228	30	4	2	0	0	1	6	219	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jhal Magsi	172	31	0	161	14	0	0	0	1	1	18	142	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kachhi	164	26	0	154	8	0	0	0	0	4	16	134	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kalat	30	3	0	31	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0.0	0.0	0.0
Khuzdar	116	25	0	106	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	104	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kohlu	158	24	0	127	18	0	0	0	0	0	6	121	0.0	0.0	0.0
Loralai	60	11	0	48	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	44	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mastung	41	9	3	55	12	5	0	1	3	1	0	50	0.0	0.0	0.0
Musakhel	148	43	1	98	22	0	2	1	0	5	4	88	0.0	0.0	0.0
Noshki	92	17	2	81	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	80	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pishin	29	7	2	23	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	22	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sherani	26	4	1	20	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	20	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sibi	107	22	1	102	18	1	0	1	0	0	13	88	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sohbatpur	36	7	1	31	9	2	0	0	0	1	0	30	0.0	0.0	0.0
Surab	67	12	0	60	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0.0	0.0	0.0
Usta Muhammad	184	39	1	180	36	1	0	0	0	6	0	174	0.0	0.0	0.0
Zhob	40	12	2	28	5	1	0	0	0	3	0	25	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ziarat	67	16	0	70	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	69	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall	2138	453	24	1898	304	20	6	3	4	35	77	1776	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 4.2.3(iii): Family Members – (Q-3)

District	Male Members			Female Members			Special Categories						Transgender Members		
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/ Lactating	None	Total	Youth	With Disability
Barkhan	104	24	1	73	13	0	2	0	0	2	1	69	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dera Bugti	74	5	1	68	11	1	0	0	1	0	1	66	0.0	0.0	0.0
Duki	99	18	0	64	13	0	2	0	0	5	8	50	0.0	0.0	0.0
Harnai	70	14	2	53	9	3	0	0	0	2	1	50	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jaffarabad	193	63	9	182	37	8	1	0	0	0	13	168	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jhal Magsi	8	1	1	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kachhi	123	36	1	120	23	2	0	0	0	0	9	111	1.0	0.0	0.0
Killa Abdullah	81	24	1	52	12	0	1	0	0	1	3	48	0.0	0.0	0.0
Killa Saifullah	121	19	4	99	10	0	1	0	0	2	1	95	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kohlu	77	12	2	57	11	0	0	0	0	1	2	54	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lasbela	133	29	0	144	37	0	0	0	0	9	4	131	0.0	0.0	0.0
Musakhel	68	10	0	45	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	39	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nasirabad	280	57	0	258	33	2	0	0	0	7	36	215	0.0	0.0	0.0
Quetta	61	19	7	49	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	48	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sohbatpur	118	17	1	113	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	111	0.0	0.0	0.0
Usta Muhammad	81	11	3	83	11	1	0	0	0	3	0	80	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall	1691	359	33	1471	236	19	7	0	1	38	82	1346	1.0	0.0	0.0

4.2.4. Minority and Religious Composition

The monitored beneficiary population was overwhelmingly Muslim, with only one Hindu household interviewed in Usta Muhammad district during Q3. No other religious minorities were recorded in the monitored sample. This reflects the demographic context of the project districts rather than exclusionary patterns.

Detailed religious composition by district is provided in **Annexure E-1 – 03**.

Table 4.2.3(iv): Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries (Q-4)

District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
Chaman	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Dera Bugti	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Duki	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Jaffarabad	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
Jhal Magsi	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
Kachhi	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Kalat	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Khuzdar	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
Kohlu	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
Loralai	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Mastung	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Musakhel	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
Noshki	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Pishin	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Sherani	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Sibi	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Surab	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
Zhob	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Ziarat	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Overall	764	0	0	0	0	0	0	764

Table 4.2.3(v): Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries (Q-3)

District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Duki	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Harnai	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Jaffarabad	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Jhal Magsi	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Kachhi	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
Killa Abdullah	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Killa Saifullah	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Kohlu	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Lasbela	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
Musakhel	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Nasirabad	85	1	0	0	0	0	0	86
Quetta	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Sohbatpur	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
Usta Muhammad	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Overall	580	1	0	0	0	0	0	581

4.2.5. Analytical Observations

Overall, the beneficiary profile indicates that Component-III is reaching a socially diverse and vulnerability-prone population, with meaningful inclusion of women-headed and disability-affected households. However, the presence of multiple vulnerability layers within households suggests a continued need for differentiated monitoring attention, particularly in districts with higher concentrations of female-headed households and households with elderly or disabled members.

4.3. Land Ownership, Tenure Security and Dispute Risk

This subsection examines land ownership status, availability of tenure documentation, and the presence of land-related disputes among monitored beneficiaries. Secure tenure is a prerequisite for housing reconstruction under Component-III and a key risk determinant for construction continuity and tranche disbursement.

4.3.1. Land Ownership Status and Tenure Security


Land ownership and possession verification remained strong throughout FY 2025–26. During Q4, all 764 monitored households (100 percent) reported confirmed ownership or recognized possession of the land on which reconstruction was being undertaken. No case was reported in which the land was not in the beneficiary's name.

Table 4.3.1(i): Land Ownership Status (Monitored Households)

Ownership Status	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Ownership / possession confirmed	764	580	608
Not in the name of beneficiary	00	01	36
Total	764	581	644

Table 4.3.1(ii): Ownership and Title Verification - Q1

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample
Land/mutation in beneficiary's or tenant's name	332 / 373	89.0 %
Lacking formal documentation	41 / 373	11.0 %
Boundary dispute or overlapping claim reported	0 / 373	0 %



Land ownership and possession verification remained highly satisfactory throughout the reporting period. The proportion of households with confirmed ownership or possession increased from 89.0% in Q1 to 94.4% in Q2 and further to 99.8% in Q3. Correspondingly, cases where land was not in the beneficiary's name declined substantially, indicating improved compliance with beneficiary eligibility requirements and reduced land tenure risks. Only one case of boundary disputes or overlapping were reported during the monitoring period, suggesting that land-related issues are not posing a significant constraint to housing reconstruction activities.

District-wise ownership status is provided in **Annexure E-3- 07**

Table 4.3.(iii): Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	49
Chaman	20	0	20
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	86	0	86
Jhal Magsi	61	0	61
Kachhi	74	0	74
Kalat	11	0	11
Khuzdar	41	0	41
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	16	0	16
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	44	0	44
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	11	0	11
Sherani	9	0	9
Sibi	40	0	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	29	0	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	21	0	21
Overall	764	0	764

Table 4.3.3(iv): Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	28	0	28
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	74	0	74
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	49	0	49
Killa Abdullah	20	0	20
Killa Saifullah	40	0	40
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	53	0	53
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	85	1	86
Quetta	20	0	20
Sohbatpur	42	0	42
Usta Muhammad	35	0	35
Overall	580	1	581

4.3.2. Availability and Type of Ownership Documentation

Annual monitoring findings show that land ownership or recognized possession was generally confirmed among households covered under Component III; however, the availability of documentary evidence was substantially lower, particularly during the later monitoring rounds. In Q1, 332 of 373 monitored households (89.0%) reported that land or mutation was in the name of the beneficiary or tenant. This proportion increased to 94.4 percent in Q2, 99.8 percent in Q3, and 100 percent in Q4 when measured through ownership or possession confirmation.

Table 4.3.3(v): Availability of Ownership Documentation

Documentation Status	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Formal or informal land documentation	14	31	126
No land document	750	549	518
Total	764	580	644

In contrast, the availability of formal or informal land documentation at the time of field monitoring declined sharply over the reporting year. While 126 of 644 households (19.6%) presented documentation in Q2, this was reduced to 31 of 580 households (5.3%) in Q3 and 14 of 764 households (1.8%) in Q4. By Q4, 750 households (98.2%) reported that no document was available during the monitoring visit, mainly because documents had been misplaced or were not maintained at the construction site.

Table 4.3.3(vi): Formal or Informal Land Documentation

Category	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Registered Deed	7	11	19
Tenant - Stamp paper	4	17	13
Local verification certificate	0	0	66
State Land	0	0	0
Inheritance proof	2	2	28
Other	1	1	0
Total	14	31	126

The annual findings therefore indicate that beneficiary eligibility and construction are predominantly based on recognized possession, customary tenure, inheritance, tenancy arrangements, or locally accepted ownership arrangements rather than readily available formal title documentation. This is an important operational consideration for Component III and requires verification arrangements that remain responsive to local land-tenure realities while maintaining sufficient documentary evidence for audit, eligibility, and dispute-management purposes.

Table 4.3.3(vii): No Land Document

Category	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Not provided by the beneficiary	23	09	90
Not maintained at site	352	313	236
Misplaced	375	226	192
Other	0	01	0
Total	750	549	518

The difference between the high Q1 title/mutation confirmation rate and the low availability of documents reported in later quarters also indicates a potential data-definition and evidence-availability issue. “Ownership/possession confirmed” and “document available at the time of visit” should not be treated as the same measure. With API integration completed in April 2026, the targeted MIS-based reconciliation to verify whether land-related evidence is uploaded against sampled HRU records could be conducted and also identify missing documents and distinguish between: (i) formal title or mutation; (ii) informal, inheritance, tenancy, or local verification evidence; and (iii) possession confirmed without documentary evidence available in the MIS.

District wise documentation types and reasons for non-availability are provided in **Annexure E-3 – 08 & 09**.

Table 4.3.3(viii): Type of Property Ownership Documentation Available – (Q-4)

District	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49
Chaman	1	3	0	0	0	16	0	20
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	1	0	0	0	0	85	0	86
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	61
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	74	0	74
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	41
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	57
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16
Mastung	0	0	0	0	1	14	1	16
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	44
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31
Pishin	1	0	0	0	0	10	0	11
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Sibi	0	0	0	0	1	39	0	40
Sohbatpur	2	1	0	0	0	8	0	11
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	71
Zhob	2	0	0	0	0	11	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	21
Overall	7	4	0	0	2	750	1	764

Table 4.3.3(ix): Type of Property Ownership Documentation Available – (Q-3)

District	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	28
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	28
Harnai	0	3	0	0	0	20	0	23
Jaffarabad	1	0	0	0	0	73	0	74
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Kachhi	2	0	0	0	0	47	0	49
Killa Abdullah	1	10	0	0	0	9	0	20
Killa Saifullah	2	1	0	0	0	37	0	40
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	53
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	1	84	0	85
Quetta	5	3	0	0	0	11	1	20
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	1	41	0	42
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	35
Overall	11	17	0	0	2	549	1	580

Table 4.3.3(x): Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents – (Q-4)

District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	47	2	0	49
Chaman	0	9	7	0	16
Dera Bugti	0	0	14	0	14
Duki	3	27	0	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	1	76	8	0	85
Jhal Magsi	0	0	61	0	61
Kachhi	0	0	74	0	74
Kalat	0	0	11	0	11

District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Khuzdar	0	1	40	0	41
Kohlu	0	1	56	0	57
Loralai	14	2	0	0	16
Mastung	2	10	2	0	14
Musakhel	0	7	37	0	44
Noshki	0	27	4	0	31
Pishin	0	8	2	0	10
Sherani	0	7	2	0	9
Sibi	0	39	0	0	39
Sohbatpur	0	8	0	0	8
Surab	0	0	29	0	29
Usta Muhammad	0	65	6	0	71
Zhob	0	9	2	0	11
Ziarat	3	9	9	0	21
Overall	23	352	375	0	750

Table 4.3.3(xi): Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents – (Q-3)

District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	1	3	24	0	28
Duki	0	28	0	0	28
Harnai	0	16	3	1	20
Jaffarabad	2	46	25	0	73
Jhal Magsi	0	0	4	0	4
Kachhi	0	30	17	0	47
Killa Abdullah	0	7	2	0	9
Killa Saifullah	2	28	7	0	37
Kohlu	0	0	32	0	32
Lasbela	0	6	47	0	53
Musakhel	0	20	0	0	20
Nasirabad	0	22	62	0	84
Quetta	2	6	3	0	11
Sohbatpur	2	39	0	0	41
Usta Muhammad	0	35	0	0	35
Overall	9	313	226	1	549

4.3.3. Boundary Disputes and Overlapping Claims

Monitoring found no case involving boundary disputes or overlapping land claims in any district.

District-wise dispute records are provided in **Annexure E-3 – 10**.

Table 4.3.3(xii): Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	20	20
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	86	86
Jhal Magsi	0	61	61
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	11	11
Khuzdar	0	41	41
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	16	16
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	44	44
Noshki	1	30	31
Pishin	0	11	11
Sherani	0	9	9
Sibi	0	40	40
Sohbatpur	0	11	11

District	Yes	No	Overall
Surab	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	21	21
Overall	1	763	764

Table 4.3.(xiii): Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	28	28
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	74	74
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	49	49
Killa Abdullah	0	20	20
Killa Saifullah	0	40	40
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	53	53
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	86	86
Quetta	0	20	20
Sohbatpur	0	42	42
Usta Muhammad	0	35	35
Overall	0	581	581

4.4. Construction Progress, Pauses and Implementation Bottlenecks

This subsection assesses the physical progress of housing reconstruction under Component-III, with specific focus on construction stages achieved at the time of field monitoring, the prevalence and causes of temporary construction pauses, and operational bottlenecks affecting implementation momentum. The analysis also examines alignment between reported construction status and tranche disbursement records as reflected in Component-III MIS.

4.4.1. Construction Type and Material Use

Table 4.4.1(i): Construction Type & Material Used

Construction Type	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Brick masonry	358(46.8%)	320 (55.1 %)	428 (66.5 %)	213 (57.1 %)
Stone masonry	42(5.4%)	16 (2.8 %)	1 (0.2 %)	1 (0.3 %)
Block Masonry	364(47.6%)	245 (42.2 %)	215 (33.4 %)	159 (42.6 %)
Total	764	581	644	373

Construction practices varied across FY 2025–26, with brick and concrete block masonry constituting almost all housing units monitored. Brick masonry was the predominant construction type in Q1 (57.1%), Q2 (66.5%), and Q3 (55.1%). However, its share declined to 46.8 percent in Q4.

Conversely, the use of concrete block masonry increased from 42.6 percent in Q1 to 47.6 percent in Q4. In Q4, block masonry marginally exceeded brick masonry, accounting for 364 out of 764 monitored units compared with 358 brick-masonry units. Stone masonry remained limited but increased from one case each in Q1 and Q2 to 16 cases in Q3 and 42 cases in Q4, representing 5.4 percent of the Q4 sample.

District-wise construction type distribution is provided in **Annexure E-4 – 11**.

Table 4.4.1(ii): Type of Material used in Construction– (Q-4)

District	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Overall
Barkhan	5	3	41	49
Chaman	6	4	10	20
Dera Bugti	0	0	14	14
Duki	5	0	25	30
Gwadar	0	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	86	0	0	86
Jhal Magsi	23	0	38	61
Kachhi	66	0	8	74
Kalat	0	0	11	11
Khuzdar	0	0	41	41
Kohlu	1	0	56	57
Loralai	1	8	7	16
Mastung	15	1	0	16
Musakhel	10	9	25	44
Noshki	5	3	23	31
Pishin	0	1	10	11
Sherani	0	0	9	9
Sibi	40	0	0	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	0	11
Surab	0	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	0	71
Zhob	3	9	1	13
Ziarat	10	4	7	21
Overall	358	42	364	764

Table 4.4.1(iii): Type of House to Be Constructed – (Q-3)

District	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	28	28
Duki	0	0	28	28
Harnai	9	0	14	23
Jaffarabad	74	0	0	74
Jhal Magsi	0	0	4	4
Kachhi	49	0	0	49
Killa Abdullah	7	0	13	20
Killa Saifullah	5	11	24	40
Kohlu	2	0	30	32
Lasbela	10	0	43	53
Musakhel	0	0	20	20
Nasirabad	81	5	0	86
Quetta	6	0	14	20
Sohbatpur	42	0	0	42
Usta Muhammad	35	0	0	35
Overall	320	16	245	581


4.4.2. Construction Status at Time of Visit

Field observations indicate that construction activities were underway across all monitored districts, with beneficiaries distributed across multiple construction stages. A significant proportion of houses had progressed to advanced stages, while a subset of households had not initiated construction at the time of visit.

Table 4.4.2(i): Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit

Construction Stage	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Plinth level	64(8.3%)	52 (9.0 %)	74 (11.5 %)	236 (63.3 %)
Lintel level	135(17.6%)	62 (10.7 %)	80 (12.4 %)	65 (17.4 %)
Roof level	132(17.2%)	98 (16.9 %)	147 (22.8 %)	51 (13.7 %)
House completely constructed	363(47.5%)	309 (53.2 %)	255 (39.6 %)	21 (5.6 %)
Not started	70(9.1%)	60 (10.3 %)	88 (13.7 %)	0 (0 %)
Total	764	581	644	373

Among the **60** households where construction had not started, Annexure is attached with reasons.



The HRU MIS (See Section 3.2) and M&E field-monitoring findings present a broadly consistent picture of sustained construction advancement under Component III during FY 2025–26. HRU MIS records show a substantial expansion of the cumulative construction pipeline: houses reaching plinth level increased from 18,378 in Q1 to 49,495 in Q4; lintel-level achievement increased from 9,240 to 39,517; roof-level achievement increased from 6,317 to 30,059; and finishing-stage achievement increased from 3,670 to 24,725 units.

The M&E findings provide field-based evidence supporting this overall trend. In Q1, the monitored sample was largely concentrated at the plinth stage, with 236 of 373 houses (63.3%) observed at plinth level and only 21 houses (5.6%) observed as completely constructed. By Q4, the sample-stage profile had changed considerably: 363 of 764 monitored houses (47.5%) were observed as completely constructed, while only 64 houses (8.3%) were at plinth level. This indicates that the overall Component III construction pipeline had matured, with a greater proportion of houses progressing to advanced and completed stages.

The comparison should be interpreted carefully because HRU MIS presents cumulative administrative achievement, whereas M&E results represent a sampled cross-sectional observation at the time of monitoring. Therefore, the change in sample composition does not establish that the same houses monitored in Q1 progressed to completion in Q4. Rather, it provides representative evidence that, across the monitored Component III population, the distribution of houses shifted from foundation-stage construction towards roof-level and completed housing.

Q4 monitoring also identified a continued pipeline of active works, including 135 houses at lintel level and 132 houses at roof level. These houses represent an important group requiring timely technical support, tranche facilitation, and quality monitoring to enable progression towards completion. At the same time, 70 sampled households (9.1%) had not started construction despite being included in the tranche-released monitoring frame. These cases require targeted follow-up to identify and resolve beneficiary-specific constraints, including payment delays, labor or material availability, seasonal conditions, or data-update issues.

Overall, the comparison indicates that HRU-reported construction achievements are broadly supported by field-monitoring evidence. The MIS confirms the scale of the construction pipeline, while the M&E sample validates the observed transition towards advanced and completed construction stages and identifies cases requiring corrective action.

District-wise construction stage distribution is provided in **Annexure E-4 12**.

Table 4.4.2(ii): House Construction Stage at Time of Visit – (Q-4)

District	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	6	12	7	23	1	49
Chaman	5	4	1	7	3	20
Dera Bugti	2	0	1	11	0	14
Duki	1	17	6	6	0	30
Gwadar	1	3	2	0	3	9
Jaffarabad	0	2	15	55	14	86
Jhal Magsi	10	25	10	12	4	61
Kachhi	0	0	2	71	1	74
Kalat	0	3	4	0	4	11
Khuzdar	6	11	18	3	3	41
Kohlu	6	6	11	34	0	57
Loralai	2	3	3	2	6	16
Mastung	6	2	5	3	0	16
Musakhel	2	1	2	35	4	44
Noshki	5	6	8	9	3	31
Pishin	0	7	1	2	1	11
Sherani	1	0	0	6	2	9
Sibi	1	3	7	20	9	40
Sohbatpur	0	1	3	7	0	11
Surab	1	13	8	1	6	29
Usta Muhammad	0	5	14	52	0	71
Zhob	2	8	2	1	0	13
Ziarat	7	3	2	3	6	21
Overall	64	135	132	363	70	764

Table 4.4.2(iii): House Construction Stage at Time of Visit – (Q-3)

District	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	16	11	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	1	0	27	0	28
Duki	6	3	0	0	19	28
Harnai	1	1	4	17	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	4	5	64	1	74
Jhal Magsi	1	1	1	1	0	4
Kachhi	2	3	9	34	1	49
Killa Abdullah	8	0	0	1	11	20
Killa Saifullah	15	10	1	1	13	40
Kohlu	3	3	4	22	0	32
Lasbela	1	9	11	30	2	53
Musakhel	1	4	10	3	2	20
Nasirabad	3	7	26	48	2	86
Quetta	1	5	4	6	4	20
Sohbatpur	5	6	5	23	3	42
Usta Muhammad	5	5	2	21	2	35
Overall	52	62	98	309	60	581

Table 4.4.2(iv): House Construction Not Started Reason – (Q-4)

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Not Started Reason
1	Lot 3	245805	Noshki	The beneficiary's land was under dispute, which delayed the start of the construction work. The issue has now been resolved, and the work can proceed.
2	Lot 5	314250	Kachhi	House closed
3	Lot 9	243570	Barkhan	Lack of water
4	Lot 3	245809	Noshki	The work has not started yet due to a shortage of labor and material.
5	Lot 3	245642	Noshki	This beneficiary already constructed house was rejected by HRU because it was not according to protocol. Due to this reason, the work has not started yet.
6	Lot 5	218882	Sibi	Ajaz Ahmed's house could not be visited because his family had migrated to Quetta due to the extreme heat. However, the coordinates were collected from near his house.
7	Lot 5	217900	Sibi	Shifted
8	Lot 5	217523	Sibi	Shifted
9	Lot 9	181185	Musakhel	Tranche issue
10	Lot 5	217412	Sibi	Thumb verification issues
11	Lot 5	217461	Sibi	Shifted
12	Lot 5	217406	Sibi	Thumb verification issue
13	Lot 5	217459	Sibi	Thumb problem
14	Lot 5	217442	Sibi	Thumb problem
15	Lot 5	217906	Sibi	Shifted
16	Lot 3	113366	Kalat	Bank End process
17	Lot 3	113374	Kalat	Death case
18	Lot 3	113289	Kalat	This beneficiary serious issue still not received payment
19	Lot 3	113363	Kalat	CNIC blocked
20	Lot 8	273020	Jaffarabad	Site shifted district Sohbatpur to district Jafarabad
21	Lot 8	273022	Jaffarabad	Site shifted to another district Sohbatpur to district Jafarabad
22	Lot 8	273019	Jaffarabad	Site shifted to another district
23	Lot 8	9302	Jaffarabad	Shifted
24	Lot 8	22532	Jaffarabad	Shifted
25	Lot 8	25824	Jaffarabad	Shifted
26	Lot 3	250028	Surab	Death case
27	Lot 3	250005	Surab	Shifted case
28	Lot 3	250014	Surab	Shifted case
29	Lot 3	249804	Surab	Not interested
30	Lot 3	250002	Surab	Shifted case
31	Lot 3	250018	Surab	Death case
32	Lot 8	10041	Jaffarabad	Shifted
33	Lot 2	229012	Ziarat	Beneficiary works as a labor in Ziarat. His financial condition is not equally sound as well as others. Besides, time bond works along with shortage of materials have intricately compounded situation for him to construct house.
34	Lot 2	229023	Ziarat	The beneficiary is struggling with financial aid, and shortage of materials in Ziarat. Secondly, he has received tranche in this month.
35	Lot 2	116628	Sherani	biometric issue.
36	Lot 2	116651	Sherani	"The beneficiary is currently in Dubai, due to which the construction work has not yet started."
37	Lot 8	16629	Jaffarabad	Shifted this house
38	Lot 6	336971	Jhal Magsi	Tranche not received
39	Lot 3	156403	Khuzdar	Death Case Abdul Ghani

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Not Started Reason
40	Lot 3	156657	Khuzdar	Finger problem
41	Lot 3	156685	Khuzdar	Finger problem
42	Lot 6	337084	Jhal Magsi	Lake of Labor
43	Lot 6	336835	Jhal Magsi	Lake of Labor
44	Lot 1	167570	Pishin	Due to biometric issue.
45	Lot 8	23201	Jaffarabad	Death case
46	Lot 8	25699	Jaffarabad	Shifted
47	Lot 8	24901	Jaffarabad	Shifted
48	Lot 8	22918	Jaffarabad	Shifted
49	Lot 9	181838	Musakhel	Tranche issue
50	Lot 9	181800	Musakhel	Tranche issue
51	Lot 9	181877	Musakhel	Shortage of material
52	Lot 2	228892	Ziarat	Delay of tranche and shortage of material in Manna
53	Lot 2	228875	Ziarat	Beneficiary has been facing finger verification while withdrawing her financial amount from bank. According to her son, she still has not withdrawn her first trench. Thus, inception of work remains in halt.
54	Lot 2	173933	Loralai	He received the first tranche but didn't start the construction because his location in the mountains' region. if he started construction, moving material city to his region and hiring labor will prove costly.
55	Lot 2	173988	Loralai	The beneficiary received the first tranche and he brought the material. Now he is waiting for the second tranche to start the working.
56	Lot 2	173919	Loralai	The beneficiary didn't start construction because he is waiting for another Tranche to receive, then the beneficiary will start construction altogether, because the location is deep inside mountainous region.
57	Lot 2	173984	Loralai	He visited the bank to receive the tranche but the bank refused to release the tranche because his Finger fronts are gone, not working.
58	Lot 2	228891	Ziarat	The beneficiary is residing at Manna, an outskirt of Ziarat. Due to remote location, he is facing delay of tranche due to unavailability of banks and shortage of material at vicinity.
59	Lot 2	228890	Ziarat	The beneficiary is residing at Manna, an outskirt of Ziarat. Due to remote location, he is facing delay of tranche due to unavailability of banks and shortage of material at vicinity.
60	Lot 6	329837	Jhal Magsi	The beneficiary has taken the first tranche approximately one year ago but still he number is going off according to Community they have left this village and this matter also discussed with IP staff Khadim Hussain field engineer he told us that Arbella has no contact and he is going to defaulted
61	Lot 8	3120	Jaffarabad	Shifted
62	Lot 8	4403	Jaffarabad	Shifted
63	Lot 4	249251	Gwadar	Both the mother and the son are beneficiaries here. The son, Javed, is currently constructing his house. He says that once his own GR is completed, he will arrange accommodation for his mother there, and then he will build his mother's GR, because they currently do not have a place to live.
64	Lot 4	249223	Gwadar	Code: 249223 Shahida has shifted her GR to Peshookan. We visited her original location, where her husband informed us that they had moved their GR to Peshookan village, which is approximately 100 km away from Gwadar City.
65	Lot 4	249379	Gwadar	The beneficiary, Jannat, spent her first installment on her old, damaged GR and did not get a new GR repaired/replaced.
66	Lot 2	173891	Loralai	Change of place
67	Lot 2	173889	Loralai	Husband is busy and don't have time
68	Lot 1	298194	Chaman	"Beneficiary is currently residing at another location. As per her brother, they will return and start constructing a room at this site within 15 days."
69	Lot 1	298188	Chaman	Personal matter
70	Lot 1	298189	Chaman	The beneficiary has passed away.

Table 4.4.2(iv): House Construction Not Started Reason – (Q-3)

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Reason for not started
1	Lot 1	136148	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet.
2	Lot 1	136150	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
3	Lot 1	136073	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
4	Lot 1	136251	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
5	Lot 1	136184	Killa Abdullah	This woman is a widow, and because her brother is in Quetta, the work has not been started yet.
6	Lot 1	136132	Killa Abdullah	MCB Bank has affected the progress in Killa Abdullah. Due to this, the beneficiaries' cheque books and ATM cards have been delayed for the past three months, and their work has been put on hold.
7	Lot 1	136166	Killa Abdullah	Due to heavy rainfall, the work has not been started yet.
8	Lot 1	136199	Killa Abdullah	Due to heavy rain work is not started yet.
9	Lot 1	136230	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
10	Lot 1	136253	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
11	Lot 1	136088	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
12	Lot 1	213331	Quetta	The beneficiary has passed away; her son's name has been given as a replacement.
13	Lot 1	213167	Quetta	His CNIC is blocked, and he has provided his wife's name as a replacement.
14	Lot 1	206036	Quetta	Their work had not started because there was an issue at their home. Due to that problem, the work could not begin until now.
15	Lot 1	206448	Quetta	According to the beneficiary, they have not received the money yet, which is why they have not started the work.
16	Lot 2	121236	Duki	Tranche issues
17	Lot 2	121233	Duki	Tranche issues
18	Lot 2	121073	Duki	Tranche issues
19	Lot 2	121290	Duki	Tranche issues
20	Lot 2	120858	Duki	Tranche issues
21	Lot 2	120861	Duki	Tranche issues
22	Lot 2	121340	Duki	Tranche issues
23	Lot 2	121192	Duki	Tranche issues
24	Lot 2	121324	Duki	Tranche issues
25	Lot 2	121204	Duki	Tranche issues
26	Lot 2	121202	Duki	Tranche issues
27	Lot 2	121185	Duki	Tranche issues
28	Lot 2	121193	Duki	Tranche issues
29	Lot 2	121190	Duki	Tranche issues
30	Lot 2	121201	Duki	Tranche issues
31	Lot 2	121134	Duki	Tranche issues
32	Lot 2	121130	Duki	Tranche issues
33	Lot 2	121144	Duki	Tranche issues
34	Lot 2	121149	Duki	Tranche issues
35	Lot 2	139199	Killa Saifullah	The beneficiary received the payment only a few days ago, which is why the work has not started yet.
36	Lot 2	139741	Killa Saifullah	Due to heavy rainfall, the work has not started yet.
37	Lot 2	139249	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary received the payment only a few days ago, due to which the work has not been started yet.
38	Lot 2	139777	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary has utilized the first tranche for domestic purposes, due to which the room has not been started yet.
39	Lot 2	139637	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary had some domestic issues, due to which they have not started the work yet.
40	Lot 2	140981	Killa Saifullah	The beneficiary had some concerns, which have been addressed by the IP.
41	Lot 2	140072	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Reason for not started
42	Lot 2	140068	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
43	Lot 2	139923	Killa Saifullah	due to heavy rain.
44	Lot 2	140192	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Muslim bagh during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
45	Lot 2	145278	Killa Saifullah	payment not received yet.
46	Lot 2	145170	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Quetta during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
47	Lot 2	145276	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Quetta during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
48	Lot 4	194416	Lasbela	Biometric issue
49	Lot 4	194429	Lasbela	Biometric issue
50	Lot 5	302763	Kachhi	CNIC expire
51	Lot 6	44541	Usta Muhammad	He does not receive any tranche because His card block from NADRA
52	Lot 6	31022	Usta Muhammad	IP do not visit there and have not received any message for tranche
53	Lot 7	84122	Nasirabad	The beneficiary Nawab Khan is about 85 years old and his fingerprints not matching in biometric
54	Lot 7	83676	Nasirabad	Death of Doran Khan
55	Lot 8	24891	Jaffarabad	Tranche not received
56	Lot 9	182828	Musakhel	Tranche issues
57	Lot 9	182750	Musakhel	Fingerprints not matching
58	Lot 10	275992	Sohbatpur	Fingerprints not matching
59	Lot 10	275972	Sohbatpur	Work has not been started yet temporarily displaced for 4 months from original location due to tribal issue and now settled and agreed for work.
60	Lot 10	275589	Sohbatpur	No tranche received yet

Table 4.4.2(iv): House Construction Not Started Reason – (Q-2)

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Not Started Reason
1	Lot-8	3039	Jaffarabad	Fingar problem
2	Lot-8	3338	Jaffarabad	Delay of payment
3	Lot-8	3575	Jaffarabad	Due to beneficiary's death
4	Lot-8	5371	Jaffarabad	Not received tranche yet
5	Lot-8	12032	Jaffarabad	Delay of payment
6	Lot-8	21427	Jaffarabad	Buzi in home.
7	Lot-6	38363	Usta Muhammad	Waiting for the first tranche
8	Lot-6	40710	Usta Muhammad	Receive first tranche one day ago
9	Lot-6	40727	Usta Muhammad	Waiting for the first tranche
10	Lot-6	40738	Usta Muhammad	Waiting for the first tranche
11	Lot-6	41010	Usta Muhammad	Receive first tranche 5 days ago
12	Lot-6	41280	Usta Muhammad	Cnic issue
13	Lot-7	55463	Nasirabad	Tranche not received
14	Lot-7	55480	Nasirabad	Labar not available
15	Lot-7	55492	Nasirabad	Labar not available
16	Lot-7	55542	Nasirabad	Tranche not received
17	Lot-7	55662	Nasirabad	Fingers issue
18	Lot-1	124348	Harnai	first payment was recently received however, due to the shortage of labor, they haven't started yet. according to the beneficiary, he will start the work in a day or two
19	Lot-1	125556	Harnai	payment was recently received thats way.
20	Lot-9	181344	Musakhel	Lack of Labor

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Not Started Reason
21	Lot-9	181588	Musakhel	Labor shortage
22	Lot-4	200214	Lasbela	Finger issue
23	Lot-4	200247	Lasbela	Bank Code Issue
24	Lot-4	201023	Lasbela	Political issues
25	Lot-4	201041	Lasbela	Political issues
26	Lot-4	201045	Lasbela	Political issues
27	Lot-4	201051	Lasbela	Political issues
28	Lot-4	201361	Lasbela	Land issues
29	Lot-4	201423	Lasbela	Land problems
30	Lot-4	201431	Lasbela	Payment issue
31	Lot-4	201452	Lasbela	The CNIC has expired. We have made many attempts to get it renewed, but the card has not been issued yet. Due to this, the work has not been started.
32	Lot-4	201474	Lasbela	No Payment
33	Lot-4	201482	Lasbela	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
34	Lot-4	201508	Lasbela	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
35	Lot-4	201513	Lasbela	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
36	Lot-9	237747	Barkhan	Payment yet not received
37	Lot-9	238652	Barkhan	Lack of labor resource
38	Lot-9	241809	Barkhan	Beneficiary thumb is not being scanned at the bank.
39	Lot-9	242151	Barkhan	Lack of material
40	Lot-9	243069	Barkhan	Shortage of material
41	Lot-9	254309	Dera Bugti	No
42	Lot-9	254313	Dera Bugti	No
43	Lot-9	254326	Dera Bugti	No
44	Lot-10	274352	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
45	Lot-10	291233	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
46	Lot-10	292842	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
47	Lot-10	293016	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
48	Lot-10	294951	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
49	Lot-10	295440	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
50	Lot-10	295529	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
51	Lot-10	295953	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
52	Lot-10	296239	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
53	Lot-10	296262	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
54	Lot-10	296302	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
55	Lot-10	296312	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
56	Lot-10	296382	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
57	Lot-10	296477	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
58	Lot-10	296483	Sohbatpur	Did not receive first tranche
59	Lot-10	296495	Sohbatpur	Did not receive first tranche
60	Lot-10	296588	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
61	Lot-10	296596	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
62	Lot-10	296858	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
63	Lot-10	296866	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
64	Lot-10	297114	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
65	Lot-10	297296	Sohbatpur	Did not receive first tranche

Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Not Started Reason
66	Lot-10	297304	Sohbatpur	Did not receive first tranche
67	Lot-10	297431	Sohbatpur	Did not receive first
68	Lot-10	297459	Sohbatpur	Not received tranche
69	Lot-10	297482	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
70	Lot-10	297563	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
71	Lot-10	297677	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
72	Lot-10	297681	Sohbatpur	Did not receive the first tranche
73	Lot-10	297732	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
74	Lot-10	297791	Sohbatpur	Waiting for the first tranche
75	Lot-10	298073	Sohbatpur	Did not receive first tranche
76	Lot-5	313306	Kachhi	Lack of labor
77	Lot-5	313791	Kachhi	Lack of labor
78	Lot-5	313984	Kachhi	Due to marriage
79	Lot-5	314167	Kachhi	Lack of labor
80	Lot-5	314485	Kachhi	Two days ago he received the tranche
81	Lot-5	314495	Kachhi	Still not taken tranche
82	Lot-5	315003	Kachhi	Lack of labor
83	Lot-5	316382	Kachhi	Lack of labor
84	Lot-6	325564	Jhal Magsi	Tranche Not received
85	Lot-6	325721	Jhal Magsi	Tranche not received
86	Lot-6	325987	Jhal Magsi	Tranche not received
87	Lot-6	326128	Jhal Magsi	Tranche Not received
88	Lot-6	326280	Jhal Magsi	Tranche Not received

Table 4.4.1(v): Construction Work Not Started

District	Q4	Q3	Q2
Barkhan	1	0	5
Chaman	3	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	3
Duki	0	19	0
Gwadar	3	0	0
Harnai	0	0	2
Jaffarabad	14	1	6
Jhal Magsi	4	0	5
Kachhi	1	1	8
Kalat	4	0	0
Khuzdar	3	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	11	0
Killa Saifullah	0	13	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	2	14
Loralai	6	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0
Musakhel	4	2	2
Naseerabad	0	2	5
Noshki	3	0	0
Pishin	1	0	0
Quetta	0	4	0
Sherani	2	0	0
Sibi	9	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	3	32
Surab	6	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	2	6
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	6	0	0
Overall	70	60	88

The number of monitored households where construction had not started declined from 88 cases in Q2 to 60 cases in Q3, before increasing modestly to 70 cases in Q4. Despite this increase in absolute cases, the proportion of non-started houses declined from 13.7 percent in Q2 and 10.3 percent in Q3 to 9.1 percent in Q4, reflecting the larger Q4 monitoring sample.

In Q4, non-started construction was reported across 16 monitored districts. The highest number of cases was recorded in Jaffarabad (14 cases), followed by Sibi (9 cases). Loralai, Surab, and Ziarat each reported six cases. Together, these five districts accounted for 41 of the 70 Q4 non-started cases (58.6%), indicating a need for focused follow-up in these locations.

The district pattern changed across quarters. Sohbatpur recorded the highest number of non-started cases in Q2 (32 cases), while Duki (19 cases), Killa Saifullah (13 cases), and Killa Abdullah (11 cases) were more prominent in Q3. These districts recorded no non-started cases in Q4. This may indicate that PIP teams undertook focused beneficiary mobilization and follow-up to address issues affecting construction commencement. However, this may also reflect changes in quarterly sample coverage and should not be interpreted as confirmation that all delayed cases were resolved or that PIP teams had fully addressed the issues preventing construction commencement.

The reasons for non-initiation show that the issue is not attributable to a single factor. In Q2 and Q3, delayed receipt or withdrawal of the first tranche was a major constraint, particularly in Sohbatpur, Jhal Magsi, Killa Abdullah, Lasbela and Barkhan. Banking, biometric and CNIC-related difficulties also prevented some beneficiaries from accessing released assistance. Labor shortages, material availability, and seasonal and remote-area access constraints were reported in several districts.

In Q4, beneficiary relocation or shifting of the construction site emerged as a more prominent issue, particularly in Jaffarabad, Sibi, Surab and Gwadar. Financial access constraints nevertheless remained significant, including tranche delays, biometric verification failures, bank-processing issues and inability to withdraw funds. A limited number of cases were attributable to death of the beneficiary, land disputes, non-compliant prior construction, personal circumstances, lack of interest, or possible default/contact-loss cases.

Overall, the findings indicate that program-wide progress in construction initiation is improving; however, a residual group of households requires case-specific resolution. Priority follow-up should focus on: (i) clearing tranche, banking and biometric cases; (ii) verifying and formally updating records for relocated beneficiaries; (iii) providing targeted facilitation in remote areas facing labor, material or transport constraints; and (iv) resolving death, land-dispute, non-compliance and potential-default cases through documented case-management procedures. The HRU should maintain an action tracker showing each case, responsible focal person, required action and closure status.

4.4.3. Temporary Construction Pauses and Underlying Causes

Among the housing units at active construction stages, including plinth, lintel, and roof levels, 331 households were monitored during Q4. Of these, 172 households (51.9%) had construction actively in progress, 138 households (41.7%) had temporarily paused works, and 21 households (6.3%) had completed the relevant stage-specific works at the time of monitoring.

Table 4.4.4(i): Construction Status at Active Stages

Status	Q4	Q3	Q2
Construction in progress	172(51.9%)	89 (42.0 %)	183 (60.8 %)
Temporarily paused	138(41.6%)	87 (41.0 %)	104 (34.6 %)
Completed (stage-specific)	21(6.3%)	36 (17 %)	14 (4.7 %)
Total	331	212	301

The annual comparison shows that a substantial proportion of houses at active construction stages remained under construction in all reporting periods. The share of houses actively progressing increased from 42.0 percent in Q3 to 51.9 percent in Q4, indicating renewed construction activity among a larger number of monitored units. However, the proportion of temporarily paused houses remained broadly stable at around 41 percent in Q3 and Q4, demonstrating that operational constraints continued to affect a significant segment of the active construction pipeline.

Stage-specific completion increased from 4.7 percent in Q2 to 17.0 percent in Q3, before declining to 6.3 percent in Q4. This change should not be interpreted as a reduction in overall construction progress, as each quarter covered a different sample and stage distribution. The Q4 sample included a larger number of houses at active stages, including units that had not yet had sufficient time to complete the relevant stage.

The findings indicate that tranche-related delays, labor availability, material constraints, seasonal conditions, and other beneficiary-level challenges continue to affect construction continuity. The 138 temporarily paused Q4 cases should be reviewed through case-level follow-up, with priority given to resolving issues that may prevent households from progressing to the next construction milestone.

Detailed case-level records are provided in **Annexure E-4 – 13**.

Table 4.4.4(ii): Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level – (Q-4)

District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	2	25
Chaman	5	5	0	10
Dera Bugti	1	2	0	3
Duki	14	0	10	24
Gwadar	1	5	0	6
Jaffarabad	8	8	1	17
Jhal Magsi	7	38	0	45
Kachhi	0	2	0	2
Kalat	3	4	0	7
Khuzdar	16	19	0	35
Kohlu	14	9	0	23
Loralai	4	3	1	8
Mastung	7	6	0	13
Musakhel	2	0	3	5
Noshki	12	5	2	19
Pishin	5	3	0	8
Sherani	0	1	0	1
Sibi	6	5	0	11
Sohbatpur	2	2	0	4
Surab	13	9	0	22
Usta Muhammad	18	1	0	19
Zhob	4	6	2	12
Ziarat	7	5	0	12
Overall	172	138	21	331

Table 4.4.4(iii): Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level – (Q-3)

District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	2	0	14	16
Dera Bugti	0	1	0	1
Duki	4	0	5	9
Harnai	4	2	0	6
Jaffarabad	1	7	1	9
Jhal Magsi	0	3	0	3
Kachhi	3	9	2	14
Killa Abdullah	4	4	0	8
Killa Saifullah	17	9	0	26
Kohlu	3	7	0	10
Lasbela	8	13	0	21

District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Musakhel	1	0	14	15
Nasirabad	15	21	0	36
Quetta	5	5	0	10
Sohbatpur	14	2	0	16
Usta Muhammad	8	4	0	12
Overall	89	87	36	212

Table 4.4.4(iv): Reasons for Temporary Paused (Multiple choices)

Reasons	Q4	Q3	Q2
Land Dispute	2(1.1%)	1 (1.1 %)	1 (1 %)
Tranche Delay	69(39.6%)	52 (57.1 %)	57 (54.8%)
Material Shortage	34(19.5%)	6 (6.6 %)	3 (2.9 %)
Labor Shortage	44(25.2%)	10 (11.0 %)	33 (31.7 %)
Seasonal Effect	1(0.5%)	11 (12.1 %)	0 (0 %)
Bank Delay	1(0.5%)	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)
Water Shortage	13(7.4%)	8 (8.8 %)	3 (2.9 %)
Delay Due to Death	2(1.1%)	0 (0 %)	2 (1.9 %)
Other	8(4.5%)	3 (3.3 %)	5 (4.8 %)
Total	174	91	104

The Q4 monitoring findings show that tranche-related delays remained the leading reported cause of construction interruption or non-commencement, accounting for 69 of 174 reported cases (39.6%). Although this remained the most significant constraint, its proportion declined from 57.1 percent in Q3 and 54.8 percent in Q2.

In Q4, labor shortage emerged as the second most frequently reported issue, affecting 44 cases (25.2%), followed by material shortage in 34 cases (19.5%). Both constraints increased substantially compared with Q3, when labor shortage was reported in 10 cases and material shortage in six cases. Water shortage was reported in 13 cases (7.4%), while seasonal effects, bank delays, land disputes, and delays caused by death were reported in a small number of cases.

The annual trend indicates that, while financial and tranche-related constraints continued to affect construction continuity, Q4 implementation challenges became more strongly associated with labor and material availability. This may reflect the increased scale of construction activity and greater demand for skilled labor and construction materials as more households progressed through active construction stages.

Land disputes remained limited throughout the reporting period, with only two cases reported in Q4. This suggests that land-related issues were not a major driver of temporary construction pauses or non-commencement among the monitored households.

The findings highlight the need for HRU and PIP teams to continue timely tranche processing while also strengthening district-level facilitation for labor availability, material supply, and beneficiary-level problem resolution. Q4 cases reporting construction constraints should be tracked through case-level follow-up until works resume or the underlying issue is formally resolved.

Detailed breakdown of pause reasons by district and category is provided in **Annexure E-4 – 14**.

Table 4.4.4(v): Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice) – (Q-4)

District	Land Dispute	Tranche Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Seasonal Effect	Bank Delay	Water Shortage	Delay Due to Death	Other	Other Reason
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Chaman	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	This room was not in accordance with the MCG, due to which it was rejected by the IP.
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Gwadar	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jaffarabad	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	Due to Shortage of labor, The construction of this house has been temporarily stopped because a 12 kV electricity line passes directly overhead. This poses a serious risk of electric shock to the masons and construction workers. An application has already been submitted to Islamic Relief and the WAPDA office regarding this issue.
Jhal Magsi	0	12	23	21	0	0	3	0	0	
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	The work has been stopped due to poor quality of work.
Kalat	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Payment not available
Khuzdar	0	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
Kohlu	2	2	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	
Loralai	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mastung	0	3	2	3	0	0	3	0	0	
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Noshki	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	
Pishin	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sherani	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sibi	0	1	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	
Sohbatpur	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Surab	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Health issues
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Used third tranche money in medical emergency.
Zhob	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	
Ziarat	0	1	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	

Table 4.4.4(vi): Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice) – (Q-3)

District	Land	Tranche	Material	Labor	Seasonal	Bank Delay	Water	Delay Due	Other	Other Reason
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harnai	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jaffarabad	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	
Kachhi	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	
Killa Abdullah	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	The work has been stopped due to poor quality of work.
Killa Saifullah	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kohlu	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	Beneficiary was not available
Lasbela	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nasirabad	0	1 2	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	
Quetta	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	The beneficiary did not receive the second payment due to an active duty in law-enforcement agency posting in another district
Usta Muhammad	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	

4.4.4. Material Availability and Localized Bottlenecks

Perception-based responses on material availability were captured for 538 households. Of these, **114** households reported experiencing some form of material shortage, while the majority did not report any constraints related to construction materials.

Reported shortages were not widespread across districts and were concentrated on a limited number of locations. Where reported, shortages most commonly related to cement and bricks or blocks, indicating localized supply or access issues rather than systemic market constraints.

Table 4.4.4(vii): Material Availability Issues

Reasons	% of Sample (Q4)	% of Sample (Q3)	% of Sample (Q2)
Cement	32.4 %	42.3 %	21.9 %
Bricks/Blocks	27.0 %	33.1 %	51.8 %
Sand	21.2 %	14.5 %	0.9 %
Crush/Gravel	13.5 %	8.9 %	0 %
Steel/Bars	4.9 %	0.4 %	24.6 %
Wood/Timber	0.4 %	0 %	0 %
Paint/Finishing Items	0.7 %	0.8 %	0.9 %
Other	0.0 %	0 %	0 %
Total	100 %	100 %	100 %

The pattern of material availability constraints shifted between Q2 and Q3. In Q3, cement (42.3%) and bricks/blocks (33.1%) emerged as the most commonly reported material shortages, whereas bricks/blocks (51.8%) and steel/bars (24.6%) were the primary constraints in Q2. The increased reporting of sand and crush/gravel shortages in Q3 suggests broader supply chain and material access challenges affecting construction activities. These findings highlight the need for continued monitoring of material availability to prevent disruptions in reconstruction progress.

Detailed district-wise responses and material types are provided in **Annexure E-16 – 97**.

Table 4.4.4(viii): Type of material shortage (Multiple Choice) – (Q-4)

District	Cement	Bricks / Blocks	Sand	Crush / Gravel	Steel / Bars	Wood / Timber	Paint / Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	21	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	34	35	34	32	5	1	1	0
Kachhi	36	36	36	35	1	1	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	5	10	3	2	0	0	3	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	10	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	54	48	28	1	4	0	0	0
Zhob	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	17	18	15	4	1	0	0	0

Table 4.4.4(ix): Type of material shortage (Multiple Choice) – (Q-3)

District	Cement	Bricks / Blocks	Sand	Crush / Gravel	Steel / Bars	Wood / Timber	Paint / Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	13	10	3	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	29	29	28	22	0	0	1	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	29	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	33	21	5	0	0	0	0	0

4.4.5. Analytical Observations

Overall, construction progress under Component-III demonstrates substantive forward movement, with the majority of monitored houses advancing through planned stages. However, the identification of non-started construction cases despite tranche releases highlights a critical control risk that warrants targeted follow-up.

These findings suggest the need for:

- Strengthened pre-tranche verification of construction initiation
- Closer synchronization between MIS reporting and field-level supervision
- Focused review of outlier cases involving second or third tranche releases without corresponding physical progress

Addressing these gaps proactively will be essential to safeguard fiduciary integrity and maintain confidence in the tranche-based reconstruction model as implementation continues to scale.

4.5. Financial Access & Tranche Disbursement Experience

This subsection analyses beneficiary access to tranche payments, the incidence of payment-related problems, and the interfaces through which beneficiaries receive information and support regarding tranche disbursement. The objective is to assess whether financial flows are aligned with implementation needs and whether any systemic barriers are emerging at beneficiary, bank, or implementing partner levels.

4.5.1. Tranche Receipt Status

Field monitoring indicates that payment outreach under Component III remained high during Q4. Of the 764 monitored households for which payment information was recorded, 722 households (94.5%) reported having received at least one tranche, while 42 households (5.5%) reported that no tranche had been received at the time of monitoring.

This reflects an improvement from Q3, when 528 of 581 monitored households (90.9%) reported receipt of at least one tranche and 53 households (9.1%) reported non-receipt. The increase in the proportion of households reporting payment receipt suggests continued expansion of financial access and tranche disbursement during FY 2025–26.

Nevertheless, the 42 Q4 non-receipt cases require follow-up, particularly in districts with a relatively higher number of cases, including Jaffarabad (10 cases), Sibi (9 cases), Surab (5 cases), Kalat (4 cases), and Musakhel (4 cases). These cases should be cross-checked against HRU MIS records to determine whether they relate to pending approvals, bank-processing delays, beneficiary understanding of tranche status, or other administrative constraints.

Overall, the annual monitoring findings indicate that the tranche-based financing mechanism reached the large majority of monitored beneficiaries. However, reported payment receipt should continue to be reconciled with MIS and bank-disbursement records, particularly where a household has commenced construction but reports non-receipt of a tranche. This will help distinguish genuine payment delays from data-update or beneficiary-awareness issues and ensure that no eligible beneficiary is excluded from timely financial support.

District-wise tranche receipt status is provided in **Annexure E-5 – 15**.

Table 4.5.1(i): Payment Received to Date – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	49
Chaman	19	1	20
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	76	10	86
Jhal Magsi	60	1	61
Kachhi	74	0	74
Kalat	7	4	11
Khuzdar	38	3	41
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	1	16
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	4	44
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	1	11
Sherani	7	2	9
Sibi	31	9	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	5	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	1	21
Overall	722	42	764

Table 4.5.1(ii): Payment Received to Date – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	19	28
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	63	11	74
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	1	49
Killa Abdullah	12	8	20
Killa Saifullah	39	1	40
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	2	53
Musakhel	18	2	20
Nasirabad	85	1	86
Quetta	16	4	20
Sohbatpur	40	2	42
Usta Muhammad	33	2	35
Overall	528	53	581

These findings indicate that, at an aggregate level, financial outreach under Component-III is strong, with very limited outright exclusion from tranche access.

4.5.2. Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches

Despite the high level of tranche receipt, a small proportion of beneficiaries reported difficulties during the disbursement process. In Q4, 13 of 722 households (1.8%) that reported receiving a tranche also reported experiencing a problem. This reflects a decline from 17 of 528 households (3.2%) in Q3 and 20 of 555 households (3.6%) in Q2.

The annual trend indicates an improvement in beneficiary experience of the tranche-disbursement process. Although issues were reported in each monitoring cycle, their incidence declined over time and remained limited in relation to the total number of households receiving payments. The issues were not evenly distributed across districts and were concentrated in a small number of locations.

Reported problems mainly related to delays in bank processing, limited support from bank staff, and procedural difficulties encountered during withdrawal or access to funds. No widespread reports of financial coercion, informal payments, or systematic misuse of the payment mechanism were identified in the monitored sample.

Overall, the findings indicate that the tranche-disbursement mechanism was functioning effectively for the majority of monitored beneficiaries. Continued coordination between HRU, PIP teams, and banking partners is nevertheless required to resolve individual cases promptly and reduce procedural barriers for vulnerable or remote beneficiaries.

Table 4.5.2(i): Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches

Response	Q4	Q3	Q2
Yes	13	17	20
No	709	511	535
Total	722	528	555

Detailed reasons and district-wise patterns are provided in **Annexure E-5 – 19**.

Table 4.5.2(ii): Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	4	15	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	1	75	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	3	7	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	1	70	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	3	17	20
Overall	13	709	722

Table 4.5.2(iii): Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	3	60	63
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	2	46	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	1	50	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	2	83	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	7	33	40
Usta Muhammad	2	31	33
Overall	17	511	528

4.5.3. Information Channels on Tranche Disbursement

Beneficiaries primarily relied on Implementing Partner (IP) staff for information related to tranche releases, followed by bank staff and, to a lesser extent, community members or VRC representatives. This highlights the central role of IP staff as the primary interface between beneficiaries and the financial system.

Table 4.5.3(i): Source of Information on Tranche Release

Response	Q4	Q3	Q2
IP Staff	644	461	450
VRC Member	59	7	6
Bank Staff	18	57	67
Community Member	1	3	32
Overall	722	528	555

Implementing Partner (IP) staff remained the primary source of information regarding tranche releases throughout the reporting period. In Q4, 644 of 722 households (89.2%) reported receiving information from IP staff, compared with 87.3 percent in Q3 and 81.1 percent in Q2. This indicates that beneficiary communication regarding tranche disbursement was predominantly managed through formal project channels.

The role of VRC members as an information source increased in Q4, with 59 households (8.2%) reporting VRC members as their source of information, compared with seven households in Q3 and six households in Q2. This may indicate improved community-level engagement and a greater role of VRCs in supporting communication between beneficiaries and the project implementation structure.

Information received from bank staff declined from 67 cases in Q2 and 57 cases in Q3 to 18 cases in Q4. Information obtained through community members remained minimal in Q4, with only one reported case. Overall, the findings indicate that beneficiaries increasingly relied on IP staff and, to a lesser extent, VRC members for timely information on tranche releases, reducing dependence on informal communication channels.

District-wise information channels are detailed in **Annexure E-5 – 18**.

Table 4.5.3(ii): Source of Information on Tranche Release – (Q-4)

District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	0	0	0	49
Chaman	11	8	0	0	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	0	0	0	14
Duki	26	1	3	0	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	0	0	0	9

District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Jaffarabad	76	0	0	0	0	76
Jhal Magsi	60	0	0	0	0	60
Kachhi	69	0	5	0	0	74
Kalat	7	0	0	0	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	0	0	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	0	0	0	57
Loralai	5	7	3	0	0	15
Mastung	1	15	0	0	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	0	0	0	40
Noshki	23	8	0	0	0	31
Pishin	10	0	0	0	0	10
Sherani	2	1	3	1	0	7
Sibi	31	0	0	0	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	0	0	0	11
Surab	24	0	0	0	0	24
Usta Muhammad	64	3	4	0	0	71
Zhob	1	12	0	0	0	13
Ziarat	16	4	0	0	0	20
Overall	644	59	18	1	0	722

Table 4.5.3(iii): Source of Information on Tranche Release – (Q-3)

District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	0	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	22	0	6	0	0	28
Duki	9	0	0	0	0	9
Harnai	23	0	0	0	0	23
Jaffarabad	63	0	0	0	0	63
Jhal Magsi	4	0	0	0	0	4
Kachhi	47	0	0	1	0	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	0	0	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	0	0	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	0	0	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	51	0	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	0	0	0	18
Nasirabad	84	1	0	0	0	85
Quetta	16	0	0	0	0	16
Sohbatpur	37	3	0	0	0	40
Usta Muhammad	28	3	0	2	0	33
Overall	461	7	57	3	0	528

4.6. Construction Quality, DRR and MCG Compliance

This subsection assesses compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), incorporation of disaster risk reduction (DRR) features, and overall construction quality observed during field monitoring. The analysis focuses on structural elements critical to safety, resilience, and durability, as well as beneficiary awareness and training related to MCG requirements.

4.6.1. Compliance with Key Structural and DRR Standards

Field monitoring indicates consistently high compliance with core structural and disaster-risk-reduction requirements under the Minimum Construction Guidelines throughout FY 2025–26. In Q4, compliance was near universal for excavation depth (693 of 694 assessed houses), raised platform above flood level (693 of 694), footing-step dimensions (692 of 694), and plinth level above normal surface (693 of 694). All 630 houses assessed for minimum wall thickness complied with the required standard.

Table 4.6.1(i): Compliance with Selected Structural and DRR Standards

MCG / DRR Parameter	Compliant Cases (Q4)	Compliant Cases (Q3)	Compliant Cases (Q2)
Excavation depth as per soil conditions	693/694	N/A	557 / 558
Raised platform above flood level	693/694	519 / 521	556 / 558
First footing step dimensions	692/694	518 / 521	555 / 558
Plinth level above normal surface	693/694	519 / 521	555 / 558
Damp proofing provided	543/694	410 / 521	473 / 558
Minimum wall thickness	630/630	469 / 469	483 / 483

The Q4 findings are consistent with earlier monitoring rounds. Compliance with raised platforms, footing dimensions, plinth height, and wall thickness remained above 99 percent across Q2, Q3, and Q4. This indicates that key flood-resilient construction features were being applied effectively in the monitored housing units.

Damp proofing, while not mandatory under the MCGs, was observed in 543 of 694 Q4 assessed houses (78.2%). This was broadly consistent with Q3 (78.7%), although lower than the Q2 level of 84.8%. The continued adoption of damp-proofing by a substantial proportion of beneficiaries indicates additional efforts to protect houses from moisture-related deterioration and improve long-term durability.

Overall, the annual M&E findings provide strong evidence of compliance with the principal foundation, plinth, and wall-construction requirements. Continued technical supervision and quality-assurance follow-up should focus on the small number of non-compliant cases and encourage appropriate voluntary resilience measures, including damp proofing, where technically suitable.

Detailed district-wise compliance records are provided in **Annexure E-15 – 83 - 89**.

Table 4.6.1(ii): Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	17	0	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	27	1	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	693	1	694

Table 4.6.1(iii): Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	9	0	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	520	1	521

Table 4.6.1(iv): Minimum Wall Thickness Check (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	42	0	42
Chaman	12	0	12
Dera Bugti	12	0	12
Duki	29	0	29
Gwadar	5	0	5
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	47	0	47
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	32	0	32
Kohlu	51	0	51
Loralai	8	0	8
Mastung	10	0	10
Musakhel	38	0	38
Noshki	23	0	23
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	6	0	6
Sibi	30	0	30
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	22	0	22
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	11	0	11
Ziarat	8	0	8
Overall	630	0	630

Table 4.6.1(v): Minimum Wall Thickness Check (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	3	0	3
Harnai	22	0	22
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	3	0	3
Kachhi	46	0	46
Killa Abdullah	1	0	1
Killa Saifullah	12	0	12
Kohlu	29	0	29
Lasbela	50	0	50
Musakhel	17	0	17
Nasirabad	81	0	81
Quetta	15	0	15
Sohbatpur	34	0	34
Usta Muhammad	28	0	28
Overall	469	0	469

4.6.2. Roofing, Drainage, Ventilation and Accessibility Features

Roof installation and rainwater-drainage systems demonstrated high compliance across FY 2025–26. In Q4, 488 of 495 houses (98.6%) with roofing activities were found to have the roof type prescribed under the MCGs, while 490 houses (99.0%) had a proper roof-drainage system. This represents an improvement in roof-type compliance compared with Q3 (92.4%) and Q2 (95.8%), while drainage compliance remained consistently high across the reporting periods.

Table 4.6.2(i): Selected Construction Quality and Accessibility Features

Feature	Observed / Applicable (Q4)	Observed / Applicable (Q3)	Observed / Applicable (Q2)
Roof type installed as per MCG	488/495	376 / 407	386 / 403
Proper roof drainage system	490/495	401 / 407	386 / 403
Accessibility adaptations provided	6/10	9 / 11	7 / 11
Ventilators and windows installed	Observed across all districts	Observed across almost all districts except Duki	Observed across all districts

The limited Q4 non-compliance cases, including seven related to roof type and five related to drainage, should be followed up through technical supervision to ensure that roof detailing, slope, and discharge arrangements do not create longer-term water-management or resilience risks.

Accessibility adaptations were observed in 6 of 10 applicable Q4 cases (60.0%), compared with 9 of 11 cases in Q3 and 7 of 11 cases in Q2. Although the number of applicable cases is small, the Q4 result indicates that accessibility requirements need continued attention. Technical teams should ensure that ramps, accessible entry arrangements, and other relevant adaptations are incorporated in houses constructed for persons with disabilities or other beneficiaries with identified mobility needs.

Ventilators and windows were observed across all monitored districts in Q4, indicating continued attention to ventilation and basic habitability features. Overall, the annual findings indicate satisfactory compliance with roof and drainage requirements, while accessibility adaptations require more systematic follow-up to ensure that identified vulnerable households receive the required inclusive-design support.

District-level and feature-specific details are provided in **Annexure E-15 – 83 - 95**.

Table 4.6.2(ii): Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	17	0	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	27	1	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	693	1	694

Table 4.6.2(iii): Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	9	0	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	520	1	521

Table 4.6.2(iv): Tactile Markers or Railings for Visually Impaired – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	3	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	1
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	1	0	1
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	1	0	1
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	5	5	10

Table 4.6.2(v): Tactile Markers or Railings for Visually Impaired – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	5	6
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	2	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	5	6	11

4.6.3. MCG Training, Awareness and Application

MCG-related training was reported in **350** cases, with 336 beneficiaries confirming participation in IP-organized MCG training sessions. Post-training awareness was strongest around plinth height, lintel, and roof specifications, suggesting effective transmission of core technical requirements.

However, variations in compliance for certain elements, particularly damp proofing and drainage detailing, indicate that training uptake does not always translate into uniform on-site application, underscoring the importance of continuous supervision.

Training coverage and awareness indicators are detailed in **Annexure E-9 – 48**.

Table 4.6.3(i): Training on MCG by IP – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	41	8	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	13	1	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	22	54	76
Jhal Magsi	2	58	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	56	1	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	28	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	68	3	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	494	228	722

Table 4.6.3(ii): Training on MCG by IP – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	23	5	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	20	53	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	2	46	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	38	1	39
Kohlu	31	1	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	7	78	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	350	188	538

4.6.4. Analytical Observations

The overall construction quality and MCG compliance under Component-III is assessed as technically sound, with strong adherence to core structural and DRR standards. Observed gaps are localized and correctable, rather than systemic.

Priority areas for continued attention include:

- Reinforcement of damp proofing requirements
- Close supervision of roof drainage detailing
- Improved integration of accessibility features for households with special needs

Sustained technical oversight and targeted corrective guidance will be essential to preserve construction quality as implementation advances toward completion.

4.7. Community Institutions, VRC Functionality and Participation

This subsection examines the formation, functionality, and engagement of Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) as community-level institutions supporting housing reconstruction under Component-III. The analysis assesses awareness, participation, training, and the practical role played by VRCs in facilitating reconstruction activities.

4.7.1. Awareness and Formation of Village Reconstruction Committees

Field monitoring indicates that awareness of Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) improved during the reporting period. In Q4, 497 of 722 responding households (68.8%) reported awareness of VRCs, compared with 328 of 538 households (61.0%) in Q3 and 312 of 558 households (55.9%) in Q2. The proportion of households not aware of VRCs declined from 44.1 percent in Q2 and 39.0 percent in Q3 to 31.2 percent in Q4.

Table 4.7.1(i): VRC Awareness and Formation Status

Indicator	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Aware of VRC	497	328	312
Not aware of VRC	225	210	246
VRC formed (where awareness exists)	490	322	306
VRC not formed	7	6	6

Among the 497 Q4 households aware of VRCs, 490 households (98.6%) confirmed that a VRC had been formed in their area, while only seven households reported that no VRC had been formed. This is consistent with Q2 and Q3 findings, where VRC formation was also confirmed by more than 98 percent of respondents who were aware of the mechanism.

Overall, the FY 2025–26 monitoring findings indicate that VRC formation is well established in the monitored areas and that beneficiary awareness improved steadily from Q2 to Q4. However, the continued lack of awareness among 225 Q4 households shows that community outreach should be further strengthened, particularly in areas with lower awareness levels. PIP teams and VRC members should continue to communicate the role of VRCs in beneficiary support, local coordination, construction guidance, and grievance referral.

District-wise awareness and formation details are provided in **Annexure E-6 – 21 - 22**.

Table 4.7.1(ii): Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC) – (Q-4).

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	4	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	19	57	76
Jhal Magsi	7	53	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	56	1	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	28	31
Sohbatpur	10	1	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	66	5	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	497	225	722

Table 4.7.1(iii): Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC) – (Q-3).

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	3	70	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	3	45	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	50	1	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	2	83	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	37	3	40
Usta Muhammad	29	4	33
Overall	328	210	538

Table 4.7.1(iv): Status of VRC Formation – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	0	45
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	16	3	19
Jhal Magsi	7	0	7
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	0	37
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	0	3

District	Yes	No	Overall
Sohbatpur	10	0	10
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	62	4	66
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	490	7	497

Table 4.7.1(v): Status of VRC Formation – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	3	0	3
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	50	0	50
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	2	0	2
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	36	1	37
Usta Muhammad	26	3	29
Overall	322	6	328

4.7.2. VRC Membership, Training and Participation

Among households in areas where VRCs were reported as formed, 403 Q4 respondents identified VRC members and reported awareness of their participation or membership status. Most identified participants served as general VRC members, while a smaller number held leadership positions such as chairperson or secretary.

Training coverage remained high across the reporting period. In Q4, 394 of 403 identified VRC-member cases (97.8%) reported that members had received training, compared with 97.5 percent in Q3 and 99.4 percent in Q2. This indicates that VRC capacity-building coverage was sustained as community-level reconstruction arrangements expanded.

Table 4.7.2(i): VRC Participation and Capacity Indicators

Indicator	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q3)
VRC members identified	403	280	175
VRC members trained	394	273	174
VRC consultation meetings held	330	254	170
Meetings held as needed	318	223	147

Table 4.7.2(ii): VRC Functionality and Meeting Frequency – Q1

Functionality Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Relevant Cases
VRC conducted consultation meetings	113 / 120	94 %
Beneficiaries participated in meetings	106 / 120	88 %
Female members attended meetings	72 / 106	68 %
Frequency: Monthly or as needed	94 / 106	89 %

Reports of consultation meetings increased in absolute terms from 170 cases in Q2 and 254 cases in Q3 to 330 cases in Q4. Among the Q4 cases reporting consultation meetings, 318 cases (96.4%) indicated that meetings were held as needed. This suggests that VRCs were generally

functioning as responsive community-support mechanisms, although meetings were predominantly convened in response to construction, beneficiary, or local coordination needs rather than through a fixed meeting schedule.

Overall, the FY 2025–26 findings indicate strengthened VRC participation, continued training coverage, and increased reporting of consultation meetings. The Q4 increase in identified VRC members, trained members, and consultation meetings is consistent with the expansion of monitoring coverage and community engagement. As the quarterly samples differ in size and geographic composition, the figures should be interpreted as monitoring evidence rather than cumulative counts of VRC members or meetings across the project area. PIP teams should continue to support VRCs in maintaining inclusive participation, including women’s participation, documenting meetings, and communicating key construction payment, and grievance-related information to beneficiaries.

Detailed participation, role distribution, and meeting frequency tables are provided in **Annexure E-6 – 23-27**.

Table 4.7.2(iii): VRC Membership Status – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	0	45
Chaman	18	1	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	11	5	16
Jhal Magsi	0	7	7
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	34	3	37
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	4	12	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	1	3
Sohbatpur	5	5	10
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	29	33	62
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	403	87	490

Table 4.7.2(iv): VRC Membership Status – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	2	3
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	44	6	50
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	2	2
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	22	14	36
Usta Muhammad	9	17	26
Overall	280	42	322

Table 4.7.2(v): Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings – (Q-4)

District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed / Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	45	0	45
Chaman	0	0	0	0	18	0	18
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Duki	0	0	0	1	29	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	56	0	56
Loralai	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
Mastung	0	0	0	3	1	0	4
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	40	0	40
Noshki	1	0	0	6	24	0	31
Pishin	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Sherani	0	0	0	0	7	0	7
Sibi	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
Zhob	0	0	0	1	12	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	1	0	0	11	318	0	330

Table 4.7.2(vi): Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings – (Q-3)

District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed / Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	27	0	27
Duki	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Harnai	0	0	0	13	10	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	0	0	2	15	22	0	39
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	32	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	19	0	19
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	1	15	0	16
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Overall	0	0	2	29	223	0	254

4.7.3. VRC Support in Housing Reconstruction

Among the 490 Q4 households that reported a VRC had been formed in their area, 403 households (82.2%) reported awareness of their VRC membership or participation status, while 87 households (17.8%) did not. In Q3, 280 of 322 households (87.0%) reported awareness of membership status. Although the number of respondents aware of VRC membership increased in Q4, the lower proportion reflects the broader Q4 monitoring coverage and the inclusion of districts where VRC participation awareness was comparatively limited.

District-level variation was evident in Q4. Lower membership-awareness levels were reported in Ziarat (0 of 20 respondents), Mastung (4 of 16), Usta Muhammad (29 of 62), and Jhal Magsi (0 of 7). These findings suggest that, while VRC formation may have occurred, further communication is needed to ensure that beneficiaries understand the composition, role, and membership arrangements of their local VRC.

Consultation meetings were reported by 330 Q4 respondents. Of these, 318 cases (96.4%) indicated that meetings were held on an “as needed” basis, 11 cases (3.3%) reported monthly meetings, and one case reported daily meetings. No respondents reported that meetings were never held. This compares with Q3, when 223 of 254 reported meetings (87.8%) were held as needed, 29 were held monthly, and two were held bi-weekly.

Overall, the annual monitoring findings indicate that VRC consultation mechanisms are operational and primarily function as responsive, issue-based platforms rather than through fixed meeting schedules. This flexibility is appropriate for addressing immediate construction, payment, or beneficiary concerns; however, PIP teams should encourage periodic documented meetings in districts with low membership awareness to strengthen transparency, inclusion, and beneficiary participation.

4.7.4. Analytical Observations

The findings indicate that VRCs are functioning as supportive community platforms where they are known and engaged, particularly in facilitating coordination and guidance. The principal constraint lies in limited beneficiary awareness, which reduces the potential reach and effectiveness of these institutions.

Strengthening communication on VRC roles, standardizing meeting practices, and reinforcing VRC engagement in districts with lower awareness could enhance community-level support and improve reconstruction outcomes.

4.8. Safeguards, GRM and Social Risk

This subsection reviews the status of social safeguards implementation under Component-III, with emphasis on beneficiary awareness and use of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), inclusion-related risks, and any signals of social tension or conflict observed during field monitoring.

4.8.1. GRM Awareness, Accessibility and Use

Field monitoring indicates that GRM awareness remained limited during FY 2025–26, although it improved in Q4. Of the 722 Q4 households responding to the GRM awareness question, 213 households (29.5%) reported awareness of the mechanism, while 509 households (70.5%) were not aware. This represents an improvement from Q3, when 123 of 538 households (22.9%) reported awareness, and Q2, when 142 of 556 households (25.5%) reported awareness.


Among beneficiaries who were aware of the GRM, orientation on use of the mechanism was reported in most cases. However, no monitored household reported submitting a complaint through the formal GRM in Q2, Q3, or Q4.

The absence of complaints in the monitoring sample should not be interpreted as evidence that no beneficiary complaints exist or that the GRM is not required. Beneficiaries may use alternative channels, including IP staff, HRU representatives, VRC members, local leadership, telephone contact, or informal communication. In addition, limited awareness and possible differences in beneficiary understanding of the term “GRM” may affect survey responses.

Overall, the annual findings indicate gradual improvement in GRM awareness but confirm that awareness remains insufficient. HRU and PIP teams should strengthen beneficiary orientation on the purpose of the GRM, available complaint channels, confidentiality, response timelines, and the right to raise concerns without affecting eligibility or tranche payments.

Table 4.8.1(i): GRM Awareness and Usage

Indicator	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Aware of GRM	213	123	142
Not aware of GRM	509	415	414
Complaints submitted through GRM	0	0	0



Awareness of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) remained relatively low and District-wise GRM awareness, visibility of GRM information, and orientation records are provided in **Annexure E-14 – 76 & 81**.

Table 4.8.1(ii): Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	10	66	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	15	1	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	4	27	31
Sohbatpur	6	5	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	33	38	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	213	509	722

Table 4.8.1(iii): Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	22	1	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4

District	Yes	No	Overall
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	37	2	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	1	84	85
Quetta	15	1	16
Sohbatpur	21	19	40
Usta Muhammad	15	18	33
Overall	123	415	538

Table 4.8.1(iv): Submission of Complaints Through GRM – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	10	10
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	15	15
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	4	4
Sohbatpur	0	6	6
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	213	213

Table 4.8.1(v): Submission of Complaints Through GRM – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	22	22
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	37	37
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	0	15	15
Sohbatpur	0	21	21
Usta Muhammad	0	15	15
Overall	0	123	123

GRM awareness in Q4 was uneven across districts. Full awareness was reported among monitored respondents in Chaman, Duki, Loralai, Noshki, Pishin, Sherani, Zhob, and Ziarat. High awareness was also recorded in Mastung, where 15 of 16 respondents reported awareness.

In contrast, no GRM awareness was reported among monitored households in Barkhan, Dera Bugti, Gwadar, Jhal Magsi, Kachhi, Kalat, Khuzdar, Kohlu, Musakhel, and Surab. Awareness was also low in Jaffarabad, where only 10 of 76 respondents (13.2%) reported awareness, and in Sibi, where four of 31 respondents (12.9%) were aware. Usta Muhammad recorded moderate awareness, with 33 of 71 respondents (46.5%) reporting awareness.

The district-level comparison with Q3 indicates that awareness improved in several Q4-covered districts, including Duki, Jaffarabad, Sohbatpur, and Usta Muhammad. However, as the quarterly monitoring samples and district coverage differed, these changes should be interpreted as indicative monitoring evidence rather than direct measurement of district-level change over time.

No Q4 respondent who reported awareness of the GRM indicated that they had submitted a complaint through the formal mechanism. The complaint-usage question was asked among the 213 households aware of the GRM, and all reported that they had not used the formal mechanism. This finding should not be interpreted to mean that no beneficiary concerns or complaints existed. Beneficiaries may have raised issues through other channels, including IP staff, VRC members, HRU representatives, local leaders, or informal communication.

The district-level findings underline the need for targeted GRM awareness activities, particularly in districts where awareness remains absent or low. PIP teams, VRCs, and HRU field representatives should communicate clear information on available complaint channels, confidentiality, response arrangements, and beneficiaries' right to submit complaints without affecting their eligibility or tranche payments.

Detailed gender-disaggregated GRM access data are provided in **Annexure E-14 – 80**.

Table 4.8.1(vi): Women's Ability to Use GRM – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	9	10
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	1	14	15
Mastung	14	1	15
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	0	4	4
Sohbatpur	0	6	6
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	3	30	33
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	18	2	20
Overall	117	96	213

Table 4.8.1(vii): Women's Ability to Use GRM – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	22	0	22
Jaffarabad	0	0	0

District	Yes	No	Overall
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	37	0	37
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	15	0	15
Sohbatpur	0	21	21
Usta Muhammad	0	15	15
Overall	86	37	123

4.8.2. Gender-Differentiated Access to GRM

Gender-disaggregated analysis reveals additional access constraints for women, beyond general awareness gaps. Among households where women were aware of the GRM, the majority reported limited ability to independently use the mechanism, particularly in districts characterized by lower female mobility and social restrictions.

This indicates that even where GRM information is available, practical access for women remains constrained, reducing the likelihood that grievances related to payments, construction quality, or social issues will be formally reported. The absence of submitted complaints should therefore be interpreted with caution, as it may reflect under-reporting rather than absence of issues.

4.8.3. Social Safeguards Awareness and Risk Signals

Awareness of broader social safeguards, including inclusion principles and expected behavior during reconstruction, remained mixed across the monitored districts. Continued communication is required to ensure that beneficiaries understand safeguards-related expectations, available support mechanisms, and appropriate channels for raising concerns.

Table 4.8.3(i): Social Risk Signals Observed

Indicator	Case (Q4)	Cases (Q3)	Cases (Q2)
Social conflicts reported	0	0	3
Signs of social tension	0	1	0
Gender-related disputes	0	0	0

The annual monitoring findings indicate a low level of reported or observed social risk within the monitored sample. In Q4, no social conflicts, signs of social tension, or gender-related disputes were reported. This represents an improvement from Q3, when one case of social tension was observed, and Q2, when three cases of social conflict were reported. No gender-related disputes were reported in any of the three quarters.

While these findings suggest that Component III implementation was generally proceeding without significant social conflict in the monitored areas, they should be interpreted cautiously. The absence of reported cases in the sample does not confirm the absence of social risks across all project locations. Continued community engagement, inclusive participation through VRCs, effective GRM awareness, and timely resolution of beneficiary concerns will remain important to sustain social cohesion and prevent localized tensions.

District-level social risk observations are detailed in **Annexure E-10 – 51 - 55**.

Table 4.8.3(ii): Social Conflicts Observed or Reported – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

Table 4.8.3(iii): Social Conflicts Observed or Reported – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

4.8.4. Analytical Observations

Overall, social risk levels under Component-III remain low and localized, with no evidence of systemic safeguards failures. However, low GRM awareness combined with gender-specific access constraints represent a latent risk for delayed issue detection.

Strengthening GRM visibility alone may not be sufficient. Complementary measures, such as female-focused orientation, trusted intermediaries, and clearer guidance through IP staff and VRCs, will be important to ensure that women and vulnerable groups can effectively raise concerns as implementation continues.

4.9. Labor, OHS and Workplace Safety Compliance

This subsection examines labor practices and occupational health and safety conditions observed at construction sites under Component-III. The analysis covers labor sourcing patterns, workforce inflow dynamics, safety training, workplace conditions, and the incidence of labor-related risks or incidents.

4.9.1. Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics


Field monitoring indicates that local labor engagement is the dominant practice across monitored sites. At the same time, construction activity has resulted in significant inflow of labor in selected districts, reflecting the scale and intensity of reconstruction works.

Table 4.9.1(i): Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics

Indicator	Q4	Q3	Q2
Local labor hired	679/722	500/538	536/556
Child or forced labor observed	0/722	0/538	0/556
Social conflict related to labor	0/722	0/538	0/556

Table 4.9.1(ii): Labor Presence and Local Hiring (Q1)

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Significant labor presence at site	148 / 373	39.7 %
Local labor hired for construction	274 / 373	73.5 %



The findings demonstrate sustained reliance on local Labor throughout the reporting period. Local hiring remained above 90 percent in all three quarters, reported by 96.4 percent of monitored households in Q2, 92.9 percent in Q3, and 94.0 percent in Q4. This indicates that housing reconstruction activities continued to create employment opportunities for local workers across the project areas.

No cases of child Labor forced Labor, or Labor-related social conflict were reported in the monitored sample during Q2, Q3, or Q4. The findings therefore indicate continued compliance with key Labor and social safeguard requirements. Continued monitoring is nevertheless required to ensure that local employment benefits are sustained and that Labor-related risks remain effectively managed as construction activities expand.

District-wise labor engagement patterns are provided in **Annexure E-11 – 56 - 62**.

Table 4.9.1(iii): Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	6	24	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	76	0	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	3	71	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	53	4	57

District	Yes	No	Overall
Loralai	5	10	15
Mastung	2	14	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	2	5	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	350	372	722

Table 4.9.1(iv): Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	26	27
Dera Bugti	24	4	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	3	20	23
Jaffarabad	72	1	73
Jhal Magsi	2	2	4
Kachhi	46	2	48
Killa Abdullah	1	11	12
Killa Saifullah	2	37	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	49	36	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	356	182	538

Table 4.9.1(v): Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

Table 4.9.1(vi): Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site – (Q-3)


District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

4.9.2. OHS Training, Worker Facilities and Safety Incidents

OHS-related capacity and workplace conditions show mixed performance across monitored sites. While a majority of households reported some form of safety orientation, coverage remains uneven and basic worker facilities are not consistently available.

Table 4.9.2(i): OHS Training, Facilities and Safety Incidents

Indicator	Yes (Q4)	Yes (Q3)	Yes (Q2)
OHS training provided to labor	484/722	327/538	306/556
Drinking water available at site	551/722	407/538	476/556
Toilet facility available at site	193/722	162/538	138/556
Workplace accidents reported	0/722	0/538	1/556
Formal safety incident reporting practiced	1/722	0/538	0/556



OHS training coverage improved steadily, from 55.0 percent in Q2 to 67.0 percent in Q4. However, drinking-water availability remained below the Q2 level, and toilet facilities were available at only 26.7 percent of Q4 monitored sites, indicating a continuing gap in basic worker-welfare arrangements.

No workplace accidents were reported in Q3 or Q4, compared with one reported case in Q2. Formal safety-incident reporting remained very limited, with only one Q4 site reporting the practice. Continued OHS follow-up should prioritize access to drinking water, sanitation facilities, and routine safety reporting at construction sites.

Detailed OHS training coverage, worker facility availability, and accident reporting practices are provided in **Annexure E-11 – 56 & 61 - 62**.

Table 4.9.2(ii): Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	36	13	49
Chaman	18	1	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	29	1	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	31	45	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	30	1	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	6	1	7
Sibi	1	30	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	69	2	71
Zhob	7	6	13
Ziarat	18	2	20
Overall	484	238	722

Table 4.9.2(iii): Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	20	8	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	21	52	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	31	8	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	49	2	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	36	4	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	327	211	538

Table 4.9.2(iv): Worker Amenities and Facilities (Multiple Choice) (Q-3)

District	Drinking water at the construction or activity site	Toilet	None
Barkhan	27	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	28
Duki	9	0	0
Harnai	22	20	1
Jaffarabad	57	18	16
Jhal Magsi	4	0	0
Kachhi	33	5	15
Killa Abdullah	8	8	4
Killa Saifullah	26	22	13
Kohlu	0	0	32
Lasbela	48	46	3
Musakhel	18	0	0
Nasirabad	66	25	11
Quetta	16	16	0
Sohbatpur	40	0	0
Usta Muhammad	33	2	0

Table 4.9.2(v): Workplace Accidents or Incidents (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	37	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	721	722

Table 4.9.2(vi): Workplace Accidents or Incidents (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

4.9.3. Child Labor, Forced Labor and Compliance Signals

No cases of child labor or forced labor were reported or observed during field monitoring. This indicates strong compliance with labor safeguards requirements under Component-III.

District-wise compliance verification is provided in **Annexure E-11 – 59**.

Table 4.9.3(i): Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38

District	Yes	No	Overall
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

Table 4.9.3(ii): Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

4.9.4. Analytical Observations

Labor practices under Component-III demonstrate strong compliance with core labor safeguards, including the absence of child or forced labor and minimal labor-related social risk. The combination of high local labor engagement and limited conflict signals reflects positive community absorption of reconstruction activities.

At the same time, uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent availability of basic worker facilities represent manageable but important risks. As construction activity continues at scale, strengthening routine OHS orientation, minimum site safety standards, and simple incident reporting practices will be essential to prevent avoidable accidents and improve worker welfare.

4.10. Environmental and Disaster Risk Observations

This subsection reviews environmental conditions and disaster risk exposure at construction sites under Component-III. The analysis covers hazard proximity, site drainage and water flow conditions, construction-related environmental nuisance, and natural resource impacts observed during field monitoring.


4.10.1. Hazard Exposure and Site Risk Context

Field monitoring confirms that Component III reconstruction is being undertaken in areas with varying levels of hazard exposure, consistent with the post-flood context of the project. In Q4, 274 of 722 monitored households (38.0%) reported that their construction site was located near a hazard-prone area, while 447 households (62.0%) reported that their site was not near such an area.

Among the sites covered during the quarterly M&E monitoring, the proportion reported as being near hazard-prone areas declined from 44.8 percent in Q2 and 43.7 percent in Q3 to 38.0 percent in Q4. This decline represents the hazard profile observed within the respective monitoring samples. Reported hazard types included flood plains, flash-flood-prone areas, and earthquake-prone zones, with variation across districts. The continued presence of construction sites near hazard-prone locations reinforces the importance of strict compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, including raised plinth levels, appropriate drainage, suitable foundation design, and other disaster-resilient construction measures.

Table 4.10.1(i): Proximity of Construction Sites to Hazard-Prone Areas

Indicator	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Site near hazard-prone area	274	235	249
Site not near hazard-prone area	448	303	307
Total	722	538	556



District-wise hazard exposure, flood history, erosion indicators, and site-in-flow-path observations are provided in **Annexure E-8 – 38-46**.

Table 4.10.1(ii): Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	67	9	76
Jhal Magsi	6	54	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	8	8	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	30	1	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	3	17	20
Overall	274	448	722

Table 4.10.1(iii): Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area (Q-3)


District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	2	21	23
Jaffarabad	31	42	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	3	36	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	21	64	85
Quetta	3	13	16
Sohbatpur	39	1	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	235	303	538

4.10.2. Roof Drainage and Rainwater Flow Conditions

Site-level drainage and rainwater-flow conditions improved substantially during FY 2025–26. In Q4, proper rainwater flow was observed at 523 of 722 monitored sites (72.4%), while 199 sites (27.6%) had improper roof drainage or rainwater-flow arrangements.

Table 4.10.2(i): Rainwater Flow Conditions at Construction Sites

Indicator	Households (Q4)	Households (Q3)	Households (Q2)
Proper flow of rainwater observed	523	389	242
Improper flow of rainwater observed	199	149	314
Total	722	538	556



This performance was broadly consistent with Q3, when proper flow was observed at 72.3 percent of sites, and represents a marked improvement from Q2, when only 43.5 percent of sites had proper rainwater flow. The findings indicate that drainage conditions improved significantly after Q2 and were largely sustained through Q4.

Nevertheless, the 199 Q4 sites with improper drainage require technical follow-up to address localized risks of water accumulation, erosion, and potential damage to foundations or surrounding areas. As quarterly samples differ in geographic coverage and construction-stage composition, the results

should be interpreted as field-monitoring evidence rather than a direct measure of project-wide change.

District-wise drainage observations are provided in **Annexure E-8 – 42**.

Table 4.10.2(ii): Proper Flow of Rainwater (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	42	7	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	68	8	76
Jhal Magsi	10	50	60
Kachhi	35	39	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	14	1	15
Mastung	12	4	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	12	19	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	523	199	722

Table 4.10.2(iii): Proper Flow of Rainwater (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	55	18	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	36	12	48
Killa Abdullah	10	2	12
Killa Saifullah	35	4	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	33	52	85
Quetta	15	1	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	389	149	538

4.10.3. Flood History, Erosion and Other Environmental Signals

A considerable number of households reported prior flood impacts at their sites, highlighting that reconstruction is frequently occurring in areas with demonstrated exposure history. Limited cases of land erosion or landslide history were reported, though these were concentrated in a small number of districts.

Flood history, erosion indicators, and site-in-flow-path observations are detailed in **Annexure E-8 – 40 – 46**.

Table 4.10.3(i): Types of Hazards (Multiple Choice) (Q-4)

District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	65	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	5	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.10.3(ii): Types of Hazards (Multiple Choice) (Q-3)

District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	7	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Kachhi	47	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	51	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4.10.3(iii): Low-Lying Area Status of Site (Q-2)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	5	4	9
Jaffarabad	67	9	76
Jhal Magsi	6	54	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	12	4	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11

District	Yes	No	Overall
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	2	18	20
Overall	272	450	722

Table 4.10.3(iv): Low-Lying Area Status of Site (Q-1)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	23	50	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	44	4	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	1	38	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	26	59	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	222	316	538

4.10.4. Construction-Related Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact

Construction-related environmental impacts were specifically assessed to determine whether reconstruction activities are generating nuisance or ecological stress at community level.

Table 4.10.4(i): Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact Indicators

Indicator	Yes (Q4)	Yes (Q3)	Yes (Q2)
Construction-related dust observed	5/722	22/538	8/556
Dust control via water sprinkling practiced	481/722	386/538	403/556
Noise or vibration impacts reported	145/722	69/538	0/556
Wildlife disturbance observed	0/722	0/538	0/556
Trees removed during construction	1/722	4/538	4/556
Reforestation conducted for removed trees	0/722	1/538	0/556

Table 4.10.4(ii): Environmental Conditions at Construction Sites – Q1

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Heavy dust observed at site	6 / 373	1.6 %
Observational Signs of soil erosion / waterlogging	1 / 373	0.3 %
Construction waste disposed of in designated area	262 / 373	70.2 %
Proper storage / disposal of waste materials	265 / 373	71.0 %
Hazardous materials stored / used on site	0 / 373	0 %

District-wise environmental observations and natural resource impact details are provided in **Annexure E-12 – 63 - 70**.

Table 4.10.4(iii): Dust Control via Water Sprinkling – (Q-4)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	17	2	19
Dera Bugti	13	1	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	7	2	9
Jaffarabad	29	47	76
Jhal Magsi	40	20	60
Kachhi	38	36	74

District	Yes	No	Overall
Kalat	6	1	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	30	1	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	29	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	69	2	71
Zhob	12	1	13
Ziarat	12	8	20
Overall	481	241	722

Table 4.10.4(iv): Dust Control via Water Sprinkling – (Q-3)

District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	27	1	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	38	35	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	26	22	48
Killa Abdullah	8	4	12
Killa Saifullah	25	14	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	69	16	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	36	4	40
Usta Muhammad	31	2	33
Overall	386	152	538

Table 4.10.4(v): Number of New Plants Planted by HRU – (Q-4)

District	No. of Trees Planted
Barkhan	0
Chaman	0
Dera Bugti	0
Duki	0
Gwadar	0
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Kalat	0
Khuzdar	0
Kohlu	0
Loralai	0
Mastung	1
Musakhel	0
Noshki	0
Pishin	0
Sherani	0
Sibi	0
Sohbatpur	0
Surab	0
Usta Muhammad	0
Zhob	0
Ziarat	0

Table 4.10.4(vi): Number of New Plants Planted by HRU – (Q-3)

District	No. of Trees Planted
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Duki	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Killa Abdullah	0
Killa Saifullah	0
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Quetta	0
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0

The findings indicate that construction-related environmental nuisance is minimal, with very limited dust observations and no reported noise, vibration, or wildlife disturbance. Tree removal was rare and localized, although compensatory planting was not observed in the monitored cases.

4.11. Key MEL Insights & Learning

This subsection consolidates the major Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning (MEL) insights emerging from Component III implementation during FY 2025–26 (July 2025–June 2026). The analysis draws on HRU MIS and financial records, as well as quarterly M&E monitoring findings, with particular emphasis on Q4 field evidence.

4.11.1. Alignment between Financial Flows and Physical Progress

The annual evidence indicates generally strong alignment between beneficiary financial enablement and construction initiation. By 30 June 2026, HRU had opened 75,566 beneficiary bank accounts, while first tranche has been disbursed to 74,252 beneficiaries. Jaffarabad, Sibi, Loralai This close alignment indicates that account activation and tranche-based assistance generally enabled beneficiaries to commence construction.

Field monitoring supports this finding. In Q4, 722 of 764 monitored households (94.5%) reported receipt of at least one tranche, improving from 90.9 percent in Q3. However, 42 Q4 households reported non-receipt, requiring follow-up against HRU MIS and bank records. Tranche delays remained the most frequently reported reason for paused or non-started construction, accounting for 69 of 174 reported reasons in Q4.

The key learning is that the financing model is operationally effective, but uninterrupted fund availability and timely tranche processing remain essential for converting financial releases into physical progress. The quarterly PSDP release pattern affected the continuity of implementation during the year, as funds released during the initial quarters were utilized relatively quickly, while a larger share of the allocated funding became available during Q4..

4.11.2. Localized Nature of Implementation Bottlenecks

Implementation bottlenecks remained localized and operational rather than uniformly affecting all districts. The proportion of monitored houses where construction had not started declined from 13.7 percent in Q2 to 10.3 percent in Q3 and 9.1 percent in Q4. Nevertheless, 70 Q4 cases had not commenced construction and were concentrated in, Surab, and Ziarat.

In Q4, labor shortage and material shortage emerged as more prominent constraints, accounting for 44 and 34 reported reasons respectively. Water shortage also affected 13 cases. This reflects the increased scale of active construction and the practical difficulty of maintaining labor and material supply as more beneficiaries' progress through construction stages.

The annual learning is that corrective support should remain district- and case-specific. Construction delays should be addressed through targeted PIP follow-up, rather than uniform interventions across all locations. Quarterly variations should also be interpreted carefully, as changes in monitoring samples and district coverage may influence the distribution of observed

4.11.3. Technical Compliance with Selective Quality Risks

Compliance with core structural and disaster-risk-reduction requirements remained strong throughout the annual reporting period. In Q4, compliance was near universal for excavation depth, raised platforms, footing dimensions, plinth level, and minimum wall thickness. Roof-type compliance was 98.6 percent, and proper roof drainage was observed at 99.0 percent of applicable sites.

Damp proofing, though not mandatory under the MCGs, was observed at 78.2 percent of Q4 assessed sites. This demonstrates continued beneficiary adoption of additional measures that can improve building durability. Rainwater-flow conditions also improved substantially from Q2, with proper flow observed at 72.4 percent of Q4 monitored sites.

The key quality risks relate to secondary construction elements rather than core structural safety. These include improper site drainage at 199 Q4 sites, limited accessibility adaptations for eligible households, and a small number of roof or drainage-detailing deficiencies. The learning is that existing technical support is generally effective for critical structural requirements, while supervision should increasingly focus on finishing quality, drainage, accessibility, and other resilience features.

4.11.4. Social Inclusion and Community Interface Dynamics

Annual monitoring confirmed continued inclusion of vulnerable households within the Component III monitoring sample. In Q4, 117 households (15.3%) were women-headed, and 21 households (2.7%) were headed by persons with disabilities. The sample also included persons with disabilities, elderly persons, widows, single women, persons with disabled spouses, and pregnant or lactating women.

VRC awareness improved from 55.9 percent in Q2 to 61.0 percent in Q3 and 68.8 percent in Q4. Among respondents aware of VRCs, formation was confirmed by more than 98 percent in each quarter. However, awareness of individual VRC membership and participation remained uneven across districts, indicating that VRC formation alone does not ensure that beneficiaries understand the committee's composition, role, or available support.

The learning is that community institutions are functioning, particularly as responsive platforms for construction and beneficiary issues, but require continued communication and documentation. Most Q4 VRC consultations were held on an as-needed basis, showing responsiveness but limited routine engagement.

4.11.5. Safeguards and Risk Visibility

Labor and social safeguard risks remained low within the monitored sample. Local labor was engaged by 94.0 percent of Q4 respondents, and no cases of child labor, forced labor, or labor-related social conflict were reported across Q2–Q4. No social conflicts or gender-related disputes were reported in Q4.

OHS training improved steadily, reaching 67.0 percent of Q4 monitored sites. However, drinking-water availability was reported at 76.3 percent and toilet facilities at only 26.7 percent of sites. Formal safety-incident reporting remained almost absent, despite no workplace accidents being reported in Q3 and Q4. This indicates a need to strengthen basic worker-welfare arrangements and routine incident-reporting practices.

GRM awareness improved to 29.5 percent in Q4 but remained low overall. No household aware of the GRM reported submitting a complaint through the formal mechanism. This should not be interpreted as an absence of grievances, as beneficiaries may use IP staff, VRC members, HRU representatives, and other informal channels. The key learning is that the GRM exists but requires stronger practical awareness, visibility, and beneficiary confidence.

4.12. Implications for Project Management and Corrective Actions

This subsection outlines the practical implications of the MEL findings for project management under Component-III and identifies priority corrective and preventive actions to strengthen implementation performance in subsequent quarters.

4.12.1. Strengthening Tranche-Progress Verification Controls

HRU should continue to reconcile tranche-release records, bank-disbursement status, and observed construction progress through MIS and API-enabled M&E review. Particular attention should be given to households reporting non-receipt of tranches, delayed construction commencement, or prolonged pauses.

For future financial years, Component III should pursue a more front-loaded and demand-based release arrangement, aligned with the construction pipeline and seasonal calendar. Early availability of funds would allow more eligible beneficiaries to receive first tranches and commence works during the first quarter.

4.12.2. Enhancing Risk-Based Supervision and Follow-Up

PIP and HRU teams should maintain a district-wise tracker for non-started and paused houses, including the specific reason, responsible focal person, required action, and resolution status. Priority attention should be given to districts with concentrated Q4 non-started cases and to households affected by tranche delays, labor shortages, material constraints, or water shortages.

4.12.3. Reinforcing Technical Quality and Secondary MCG Elements

Technical supervision should maintain strong monitoring of core structural requirements while increasing attention to drainage, roof detailing, finishing quality, and accessibility adaptations. Corrective guidance should be provided at site level for cases with improper rainwater flow or incomplete accessibility features.

PIP teams should also reinforce OHS orientation, availability of drinking water, toilet facilities, and simple safety-incident reporting arrangements at active construction sites.

4.12.4. Improving GRM Visibility and Safeguards Communication

GRM awareness should be strengthened through IP outreach, VRC consultations, beneficiary orientations, visible information materials, and simple explanation of available channels. Communication should emphasize confidentiality, response arrangements, and the assurance that submitting a complaint will not affect beneficiary eligibility or tranche payments.

4.12.5. Consolidating Community Institution Engagement

HRU and PIP teams should support VRCs to maintain periodic, documented consultations in addition to issue-based meetings. Districts with low awareness of VRC membership should receive targeted communication support. VRCs should be encouraged to promote women's participation, identify vulnerable households needing support, and facilitate referral of construction, payment, and grievance-related concerns.

4.12.6. Forward Focus

The annual MEL findings indicate a need for continued operational strengthening rather than a redesign of Component III. With timely fund availability, focused follow-up of delayed cases, sustained quality assurance, and stronger beneficiary communication, the component can accelerate progression of the existing construction pipeline towards roof-level and finishing-stage completion.

5. REVIEW OF ANNUAL PROCESS MONITORING

This Review of Annual Process Monitoring (APM) presents an assessment of implementation processes, monitoring systems, operational efficiency, compliance mechanisms, and institutional performance under Component III of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP) in Balochistan during FY 2025–26. The review is based on annual monitoring evidence, quarterly process monitoring findings, MIS records, financial data, and field verification exercises.

The review finds that substantial progress was achieved in establishing and advancing the housing reconstruction pipeline, with significant acceleration during the fourth quarter. Monitoring systems expanded considerably and field verification mechanisms improved through the integration of API-based MIS access. Financial utilization remained strong, while compliance with structural standards and disaster-resilient construction guidelines was generally satisfactory.

Despite these achievements, several process-related bottlenecks persisted. Delays in tranche disbursements, construction start-up constraints, inconsistencies in vulnerability reporting, weak grievance awareness, and uneven occupational health and safety practices affected implementation effectiveness. Strengthening data quality assurance, enhancing beneficiary communication, improving safeguard implementation, and ensuring timely financial flows remain critical priorities for the next implementation cycle.

5.1 Introduction

The Annual Process Monitoring exercise was designed to assess whether project implementation processes were functioning efficiently, transparently, inclusively, and in accordance with project guidelines. The review focused on monitoring systems, construction progress verification, beneficiary inclusion, financial processes, safeguard compliance, grievance management, and institutional performance.

The assessment covered all monitored districts under Component III and reviewed implementation trends observed during FY 2025–26, culminating in a detailed evaluation of performance during the final quarter.

5.2 Objectives Of Annual Process Monitoring

The Annual Process Monitoring sought to:

- Assess the effectiveness of implementation processes and operational arrangements.
- Verify construction progress reported through administrative systems.
- Evaluate the efficiency of tranche disbursement and financial management mechanisms.
- Assess inclusion of vulnerable and marginalized households.
- Review safeguard compliance, occupational health and safety measures, and grievance management systems.
- Identify implementation bottlenecks and operational risks.
- Generate evidence-based recommendations for adaptive project management.

5.3 Monitoring Methodology

A stratified monitoring framework was adopted to ensure adequate representation across districts, construction stages, beneficiary categories, and vulnerability groups. Sampling incorporated women-headed households, households with persons with disabilities, and other vulnerable groups.

The monitoring methodology combined:

- Structured monitoring visits.
- Physical verification of reconstruction progress.
- Household interviews.
- Beneficiary feedback mechanisms.
- MIS data review.
- Financial record validation.
- Observation-based quality assessments.

Field monitoring expanded significantly during the year and achieved high coverage levels. Monitoring intensity increased during the final quarter, enabling stronger validation of implementation progress and beneficiary experiences.

5.4 Assessment of Implementation Performance

➤ Reconstruction Progress

The review indicates substantial growth across all housing reconstruction stages. Construction activity accelerated throughout the year, resulting in significant increases in houses reaching plinth, roof, and completion stages.

The strongest implementation performance was observed during the fourth quarter when both physical progress and financial disbursement accelerated. This reflects improved operational mobilization and increased beneficiary participation.

However, progress remained uneven across districts, and some targets relating to advanced construction stages were not achieved within the planned timeframe.

➤ Financial Performance

Financial utilization remained strong during the reporting year. Project resources were largely absorbed according to implementation requirements, demonstrating the project's capacity to utilize available allocations.

Nevertheless, monitoring evidence indicates that the timing of fund disbursements has been a factor affecting construction momentum. Delays in fund releases and the concentration of funding toward the later part of the financial year resulted in operational challenges and, in some monitored cases, were associated with interruptions in construction activities.

5.5 Evaluation of Monitoring and Information Systems

➤ MIS Performance

The integration of API-enabled MIS functionality improved data accessibility and supported more efficient monitoring operations.

The system facilitated real-time verification and strengthened coordination between monitoring teams and implementation structures. This represented a major improvement in evidence-based project management.

➤ **Data Quality Assessment**

While overall consistency between field monitoring data and MIS records remained acceptable, a number of discrepancies were identified.

The most significant variances related to:

- Vulnerability classifications.
- Women-headed household records.
- Disability status reporting.
- Construction stage reporting in selected cases.

These differences highlight the need for stronger reconciliation mechanisms and periodic data quality audits.

5.6 Inclusion and Social Safeguards Review

➤ **Inclusion Performance**

The monitoring review demonstrates continued inclusion of vulnerable groups across implementation areas. Women-headed households, households with persons with disabilities, and socially vulnerable beneficiaries remained visible within monitored samples.

However, field monitoring frequently identified higher numbers of vulnerable beneficiaries than recorded in administrative databases, indicating possible underreporting and classification weaknesses.

➤ **Community Participation**

Community engagement structures showed gradual improvement during the year. Awareness regarding Village Reconstruction Committees increased, and community participation strengthened in several districts.

Nevertheless, monitoring evidence suggests that committee functionality, meeting regularity, and beneficiary awareness varied considerably between locations.

5.7 Construction Quality and Technical Compliance

➤ **Compliance with Standards**

Technical monitoring confirms a generally high level of compliance with approved Minimum Construction Guidelines and Disaster Risk Reduction requirements.

Most monitored housing units demonstrated satisfactory adherence to core structural standards.

➤ **Areas Requiring Improvement**

Despite strong structural compliance, monitoring identified recurring issues in:

- Roof drainage arrangements.
- Accessibility provisions.
- Finishing quality.

- Secondary resilience features. (damp-proofing, protection against water ingress, and improved drainage)

Failure to address these aspects could reduce long-term resilience outcomes and beneficiary satisfaction.

5.8 Beneficiary Experience and Operational Bottlenecks

Process monitoring identified several recurring issues affecting implementation efficiency.

- Delays in tranche disbursement.
- Banking and biometric verification challenges.
- CNIC-related issues.
- Rising construction material costs.
- Skilled labor shortages.
- Temporary suspension of construction activities.

These constraints affected construction continuity and contributed to delayed progress among a subset of beneficiaries.

5.9 Occupational Health and Safety Review

The assessment found improvements in awareness of safe construction practices; however, implementation remained inconsistent across locations.

Key gaps included:

- Limited access to drinking water at some sites.
- Inadequate sanitation facilities.
- Variable use of protective equipment.
- Inconsistent safety orientation for workers.

Strengthening occupational health and safety oversight will be essential as construction activity intensifies.

5.10 Grievance Redress Mechanism Assessment

A significant finding of the review was the continuing weakness in beneficiary awareness and utilization of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM). Although project structures for complaint handling exist, many beneficiaries remained unaware of available channels or lacked confidence in using them.

Women and vulnerable households appeared less likely to access formal grievance mechanisms. This situation suggests underreporting of concerns rather than the absence of grievances.

5.11 Risk Assessment

The review identified four major implementation risks.

Financial Risks

- Delayed fund releases affecting construction schedules.

- Back-loaded disbursement patterns generating operational pressure.

Data Risks

- Inconsistencies between MIS records and field observations.
- Weaknesses in vulnerability reporting.

Safeguard Risks

- Limited public awareness of grievance mechanisms.
- Uneven implementation of inclusion measures.

Quality Risks

- Inadequate drainage and accessibility provisions.
- Inconsistent occupational health and safety practices.

5.12 Lessons Learned

Several important lessons emerged from the annual monitoring exercise.

- Real-time monitoring systems significantly enhance implementation oversight.
- Timely financing remains critical for sustaining construction momentum.
- Data verification mechanisms must accompany digital MIS improvements.
- Community engagement structures contribute to transparency and accountability when actively supported.
- Safeguard awareness requires continuous communication and reinforcement.
- Quality assurance should extend beyond structural compliance to include accessibility, resilience, and user satisfaction considerations.

5.13 Strategic Recommendations

➤ Operational Recommendations

- Align fund releases with construction schedules and seasonal implementation requirements.
- Establish district-level tracking systems for inactive and delayed cases.
- Strengthen coordination between monitoring, engineering, finance, and MIS teams.

➤ Monitoring and Data Management

- Conduct regular data reconciliation exercises.
- Introduce risk-based monitoring approaches.
- Perform periodic data quality audits.

➤ Safeguards and Inclusion

- Expand GRM awareness campaigns.
- Improve documentation of vulnerable beneficiary categories.
- Increase gender-sensitive outreach and communication.

➤ Quality Assurance

- Intensify technical supervision on drainage and accessibility measures.
- Strengthen OHS monitoring and enforcement.
- Introduce refresher training for field staff and technical teams.

The Annual Process Monitoring Review concludes that IFRAP Component III achieved meaningful progress in strengthening reconstruction implementation systems and advancing housing recovery during FY 2025–26. Monitoring mechanisms expanded, financial utilization remained strong, technical compliance was generally satisfactory, and the construction pipeline demonstrated significant advancement.

However, continued attention is required to address weaknesses in data quality, beneficiary communication, grievance awareness, tranche-release efficiency, occupational health and safety, and selected quality standards. Addressing these issues through evidence-based adaptive management will improve implementation effectiveness, strengthen accountability, and enhance resilience outcomes in subsequent implementation periods.

6. CONSOLIDATED RISK AND IMPACT MATRIX - COMPONENT-III

This section presents a consolidated assessment of key risks identified under **Component III during FY 2025–26 (July 2025–June 2026)**, with particular reference to Q4 monitoring findings (April–June 2026). The assessment draws on HRU MIS and financial data, quarterly M&E field monitoring, and system-level implementation observations. It identifies material process, quality, safeguards, and delivery risks requiring management attention as Component III advances its construction pipeline at scale.

6.1 Key Gaps and Risks Identified

- **Quarterly fund-release and construction-pipeline risk:** Although Component III reported full utilization of its FY 2025–26 allocation, the quarterly PSDP release pattern affected continuity of tranche disbursement. Releases of 15 percent in Q1, 20 percent in Q2, 25 percent in Q3, and 40 percent in Q4 limited the availability of funds during the earlier construction period.
- **Tranche-construction initiation and pause risk:** While 94.5 percent of Q4 monitored households reported receiving at least one tranche, 70 monitored households had not started construction and 138 active-stage houses were temporarily paused. Tranche delay remained the largest reported constraint, followed by labor and material shortages.
- **MIS reconciliation and data-quality risk:** API integration in April 2026 improved M&E access to Component III MIS data for sample selection and triangulation. However, continued reconciliation is needed between MIS records, tranche-release data, beneficiary-reported payment status, construction-stage information, and land-documentation evidence.
- **Unavailability of geographically and time-phased implementation planning:** The absence of a district- and month-wise, disaggregated work plan limits systematic comparison of planned and actual progress, early identification of lagging districts, and deployment of monitoring resources according to construction workload and risk.
- **Low GRM awareness and uneven access:** GRM awareness improved to 29.5 percent in Q4 but remained low overall. No monitored beneficiary aware of the GRM reported submitting a complaint through the formal mechanism, creating a risk that beneficiary concerns may remain unrecorded or be addressed only through informal channels.
- **Inconsistent OHS and worker-welfare arrangements:** OHS training improved to 67.0 percent in Q4; however, drinking water was available at 76.3 percent of monitored sites and toilet facilities at only 26.7 percent. Formal safety-incident reporting remained almost absent.
- **Localized quality and resilience gaps:** Core structural compliance was high; however, 27.6 percent of Q4 monitored sites had improper rainwater flow, and accessibility adaptations were present in only six of ten applicable cases. These issues may affect long-term resilience and inclusion if not addressed.

6.2 Implications for Component III Implementation

If these risks are not addressed through targeted follow-up, they may result in:

- **Delayed conversion of funds into physical outputs:** Late or uneven fund availability may delay first-tranche release, construction commencement, and progression of active houses through lintel, roof, and finishing stages.
- **Fiduciary and implementation-control concerns:** Differences between reported tranche receipt, MIS status, and observed construction progress may complicate verification and timely management response.

- **Under-reporting of beneficiary concerns:** Low GRM awareness may limit early detection and formal resolution of payment, construction, safeguards, or inclusion-related issues.
- **OHS and labor-welfare gaps:** Inadequate water, sanitation, and formal incident-reporting arrangements may increase preventable worker-welfare and safety risks as construction activity expands.
- **Variable resilience outcomes:** Inadequate drainage, incomplete accessibility adaptations, or delayed correction of secondary construction deficiencies may reduce the long-term resilience and inclusive usability of reconstructed houses.

6.3 Corrective Action Matrix

Key Gap	Potential Implication	Recommended Corrective Action	Responsibility	Timeline
Quarterly fund-release pattern	Delays in tranche disbursement and construction progression	Adopt a front-loaded, demand-based release mechanism aligned with the construction pipeline and seasonal calendar.	FPMU / HRU / FMS	Before and during FY 2026–27
Non-started and paused construction cases	Delayed physical progress and beneficiary frustration	Maintain a district- and case-wise tracker for non-started and paused houses, including reason, action, responsible focal person, and closure status.	HRU / PIPs	Immediate and ongoing
MIS and field-data reconciliation	Weak visibility of payment, construction, and document status	Use API-enabled MIS access to reconcile sampled cases against tranche status, construction stage, land evidence, and beneficiary-reported information.	HRU / M&E Consultant / FPMU	Ongoing
Absence of district- and month-wise AWP	Limited ability to identify lagging locations and manage pacing	Develop a time-phased and district-wise implementation plan linked to targets, tranche requirements, and monitoring coverage.	HRU / FPMU	Short term
Low GRM awareness	Under-reporting or informal handling of complaints	Conduct targeted GRM orientation through PIPs and VRCs; display contact channels and explain confidentiality and response arrangements.	HRU / PIPs / VRCs	Ongoing
OHS and worker-welfare gaps	Increased safety and welfare risk	Standardize site-level checks for OHS orientation, drinking water, toilet facilities, and incident reporting.	HRU / PIPs	Immediate and ongoing
Drainage and accessibility gaps	Localized resilience and inclusion concerns	Provide corrective site guidance on rainwater drainage and ensure accessibility adaptations for eligible households.	HRU Technical Team / PIPs	Ongoing

6.4 Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix

Risk Area	Description of Risk	Potential Impact	Risk Status	Mitigation / Management Response
Financial-release risk	Quarterly fund releases were not aligned with early-stage construction demand.	Delayed tranche release and slower conversion of funds into physical progress.	Medium	Adopt front-loaded, pipeline-based release planning.
Planning and tracking risk	No district- and month-wise disaggregated work plan.	Limited tracking of planned versus actual progress and delayed identification of lagging areas.	Medium	Develop a geographically and time-phased AWP.
Tranche and construction-progress risk	Some households remained non-started or temporarily paused despite inclusion in the tranche-released monitoring frame.	Delays in construction completion and potential fiduciary concerns.	Medium	Strengthen case-level follow-up and MIS/field reconciliation.
Data-quality and MIS risk	Differences may arise between beneficiary reports, field observations, and MIS information.	Reduced confidence in progress and payment reporting.	Medium	Use API-enabled review, exception tracking, and periodic data reconciliation.

Risk Area	Description of Risk	Potential Impact	Risk Status	Mitigation / Management Response
Quality and DRR risk	Improper rainwater flow and limited accessibility adaptations at selected sites.	Localized erosion, water-management, resilience, and inclusion concerns.	Low to Medium	Strengthen site-level corrective guidance and technical supervision.
Safeguards and GRM risk	GRM awareness remained limited despite improvement in Q4.	Under-reporting of concerns and delayed response to beneficiary issues.	Medium	Strengthen GRM outreach, VRC communication, and referral pathways.
Labor and OHS risk	OHS training and basic welfare facilities were not consistently available.	Avoidable worker-welfare and safety risks as construction scales up.	Medium	Standardize OHS orientation, site facilities, and incident reporting.
Environmental and hazard-exposure risk	A significant share of monitored sites was near hazard-prone areas, with variable drainage conditions.	Localized flood, erosion, or water-accumulation risk.	Low to Medium	Maintain compliance with MCGs and reinforce drainage and hazard-informed construction practices.

Risk area	Evidence from findings	Suggested status
Financial-release risk	40% of the annual release was concentrated in Q4	Medium
Planning and tracking risk	No district- and month-wise disaggregated AWP available	Medium
Tranche/construction risk	9.1% of Q4 monitored households had not started construction	Low to Medium
Data-quality/MIS risk	Use the percentage of records with verified discrepancies	Based on calculated rate
Quality/DRR risk	Improper rainwater flow recorded at 27.7% of Q3 sites	Medium
Safeguards/GRM risk	Use the percentage of respondents unaware of the GRM	Based on Q4 rate
Labor/OHS risk	Use percentages without OHS training, water or toilet facilities	Based on the selected indicator
Hazard-exposure risk	Use the percentage of sites located near identified hazards	Based on calculated rate

Overall, the Component III risk profile for FY 2025–26 is assessed as manageable but requiring active operational follow-up. No critical risk threatening component viability was identified. The principal risks relate to the timing of fund availability, construction continuity, data reconciliation, GRM awareness, and consistent implementation of secondary quality and OHS measures. Timely implementation of the proposed corrective actions will support acceleration of the existing construction pipeline while maintaining quality, resilience, accountability, and beneficiary inclusion.

ANNEXURES

A. FIELD VISIT SUMMARIES

A-1: Planned - Tranche Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche-1	Tranche-2	Tranche-3	Tranche-4	Overall
Barkhan	14	6	28	10	58
Chaman	15	3	2	0	20
Dera Bugti	4	3	0	7	14
Duki	27	2	1	0	30
Gwadar	6	2	1	0	9
Jaffarabad	1	3	36	46	86
Jhal Magsi	14	7	30	10	61
Kachhi	0	5	11	58	74
Kalat	5	2	4	0	11
Khuzdar	20	12	9	0	41
Kohlu	25	4	17	11	57
Loralai	15	2	1	0	18
Mastung	6	3	7	0	16
Musakhel	9	2	13	21	45
Noshki	19	7	5	0	31
Pishin	6	3	2	0	11
Sherani	3	5	1	0	9
Sibi	15	5	19	1	40
Sohbatpur	4	3	0	4	11
Surab	14	9	6	0	29
Usta Muhammad	3	0	38	30	71
Zhob	7	5	1	0	13
Ziarat	18	2	1	0	21
Overall	250	95	233	198	776

A-2: Actual - Stage Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	6	12	7	23	1	49
Chaman	5	4	1	7	3	20
Dera Bugti	2	0	1	11	0	14
Duki	1	17	6	6	0	30
Gwadar	1	3	2	0	3	9
Jaffarabad	0	2	15	55	14	86
Jhal Magsi	10	25	10	12	4	61
Kachhi	0	0	2	71	1	74
Kalat	0	3	4	0	4	11
Khuzdar	6	11	18	3	3	41
Kohlu	6	6	11	34	0	57
Loralai	2	3	3	2	6	16
Mastung	6	2	5	3	0	16
Musakhel	2	1	2	35	4	44
Noshki	5	6	8	9	3	31
Pishin	0	7	1	2	1	11
Sherani	1	0	0	6	2	9
Sibi	1	3	7	20	9	40
Sohbatpur	0	1	3	7	0	11
Surab	1	13	8	1	6	29
Usta Muhammad	0	5	14	52	0	71
Zhob	2	8	2	1	0	13
Ziarat	7	3	2	3	6	21
Overall	64	135	132	363	70	764

A-3: Data Not Reported - Tranche Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Overall
Barkhan	2	3	3	1	9
Loralai	2	0	0	0	2
Musakhel	1	0	0	0	1
Overall	5	3	3	1	12

B. SURVEYED & DATA NOT REPORTED SAMPLE LIST**B-1: Surveyed - Scheme-Wise Sample List**

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
1	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298185	Bibi Bura	6/20/2026
2	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298188	Bibi Shamshiea	6/20/2026
3	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298189	Bibi Balnasta	6/20/2026
4	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298191	Nazar Ali	6/21/2026
5	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298192	Bibi Chonai	6/21/2026
6	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298194	Saat Bibi	6/20/2026
7	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298196	Muhammad Alam	6/20/2026
8	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298220	Mehmood	6/22/2026
9	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298221	Allaudin	6/21/2026
10	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298222	Muhammad Ameer	6/22/2026
11	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298223	Muhammad Kabeer	6/22/2026
12	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298225	Muhammad Aslam	6/22/2026
13	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298226	Ahmad Shah	6/21/2026
14	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298233	Bibi Katima	6/21/2026
15	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298258	Abdullah Khan	6/22/2026
16	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298392	Bibi Raqima	6/22/2026
17	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298977	Bibi Zakira	6/21/2026
18	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298980	Mataba	6/21/2026
19	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298991	Shah Muhammad	6/19/2026
20	Lot 1	Chaman	Chaman	298992	Naik Muhammad	6/19/2026
21	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	165753	Barat Khan	6/23/2026
22	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	165757	Abdullah Khan	6/23/2026
23	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	165761	Jalat Khan	6/24/2026
24	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	165767	Hayat Khan	6/23/2026
25	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	165772	Syad Noor	6/24/2026
26	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	167564	Syed Noor	6/24/2026
27	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	167570	Alam Khan	6/24/2026
28	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	167581	Arif Khan	6/23/2026
29	Lot 1	Pishin	Nana Sahib	167587	Bhakta Muhammad	6/24/2026
30	Lot 1	Pishin	Pishin	167067	Hafiz Muhammad Musa Jan	6/23/2026
31	Lot 1	Pishin	Pishin	167113	Ubaid Ullah	6/23/2026
32	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	172567	Bibi sultana	6/27/2026
33	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	172579	Saira bibi	6/27/2026
34	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173784	Roqia Bibi	6/20/2026
35	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173789	Doulat Bibi	6/20/2026
36	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173797	Naseeb Ullah	6/20/2026
37	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173886	Akhtar Muhammad	6/20/2026
38	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173889	Amna Bi Bi	6/20/2026
39	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173891	Baha Ud Din	6/20/2026
40	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173905	Nawab Khan	6/21/2026
41	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173919	Daulat Khan	6/21/2026
42	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173933	Kala Khan	6/21/2026
43	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173965	Mehboob Khan	6/21/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
44	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173967	Bibi Zubida	6/20/2026
45	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173984	Fateh Muhammad	6/21/2026
46	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173988	Sikandar Khan	6/21/2026
47	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	173999	Khair Uddin	6/21/2026
48	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118328	Abdullah Khan	6/24/2026
49	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118336	Ghulam Wali	6/24/2026
50	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118354	Baz Muhammad	6/23/2026
51	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118355	Muhammad Kareem	6/25/2026
52	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118363	Hassan Khan	6/24/2026
53	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118368	Babaka Bibi	6/22/2026
54	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118369	Zain Ullah	6/23/2026
55	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118383	Balanista	6/25/2026
56	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118384	Ahmad Shah	6/23/2026
57	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118388	Amir Muhammad	6/23/2026
58	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118389	Muhammad Zaman	6/26/2026
59	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118395	Nawab Khan	6/26/2026
60	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118398	Keemia Bibi	6/23/2026
61	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118402	Ata Gul	6/22/2026
62	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118412	Aman Ullah	6/23/2026
63	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118419	Muhammad Wazir	6/23/2026
64	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118462	Najeeb Ullah Khan	6/26/2026
65	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118463	Rantrani Bibi	6/26/2026
66	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118498	Bakht Bibi	6/23/2026
67	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118499	Bibi Zahida	6/24/2026
68	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118500	Atta Muhammad	6/22/2026
69	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118516	Balanasta	6/23/2026
70	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118519	Muhammad Aslam	6/25/2026
71	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118526	Rozy Khan	6/26/2026
72	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118541	Muhammad Aslam	6/25/2026
73	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118543	Khairullah	6/25/2026
74	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118544	Abdul Ghafoor	6/24/2026
75	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118548	Bayak	6/23/2026
76	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118561	Kala Khan	6/25/2026
77	Lot 2	Duki	Duki	118599	Dost Muhammad	6/22/2026
78	Lot 2	Ziarat	Sanjavi	233386	Gul Zaman	6/24/2026
79	Lot 2	Ziarat	Sanjavi	233405	Muhammad Essa	6/24/2026
80	Lot 2	Ziarat	Sanjavi	235585	Abdul Razaq	6/24/2026
81	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116606	Rozi	6/26/2026
82	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116627	Sher	6/26/2026
83	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116628	Faizak Khan	6/26/2026
84	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116651	Khan Muhammad	6/26/2026
85	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116652	Rozak Khan	6/25/2026
86	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116730	Hussain Gul	6/25/2026
87	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116732	Noor Muhammad	6/25/2026
88	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116734	Walat Shah	6/26/2026
89	Lot 2	Sherani	Sherani	116806	Muslih Din	6/25/2026
90	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224763	Abdul Rahim	6/27/2026
91	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224776	Feroza	6/27/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
92	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224804	Ibrahim	6/27/2026
93	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224823	Abdul Rehman	6/28/2026
94	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224828	Lal bakhta	6/27/2026
95	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224876	Ghulam Shah	6/30/2026
96	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224924	Akbar Dad	6/28/2026
97	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224940	Lal Khan	6/29/2026
98	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224962	Zarin Muhammad	6/29/2026
99	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224965	Mehmood Khan	6/28/2026
100	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	224971	Sardar shah	6/28/2026
101	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	226833	Bibi Aisha	6/29/2026
102	Lot 2	Zhob	Zhob	227227	Naeem Shah	6/29/2026
103	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228875	Bibi Mastoor	6/23/2026
104	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228884	Gawar Khan	6/22/2026
105	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228890	Suleman	6/22/2026
106	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228891	Bibi Nama	6/22/2026
107	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228892	Atta Muhammad	6/22/2026
108	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228918	Hafiz Ullah	6/22/2026
109	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228927	Nawab Khan	6/22/2026
110	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228928	Abdul Raziq	6/22/2026
111	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228951	Ameer Muhammad	6/22/2026
112	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228954	Bibi Batiza	6/23/2026
113	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228983	Munir Ahmed	6/22/2026
114	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	228992	Bibi Jana	6/22/2026
115	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	229012	Lal Muhammad	6/23/2026
116	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	229023	Muhammad Saleem	6/23/2026
117	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	229040	Dar Gul	6/22/2026
118	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	229063	Mehrab	6/24/2026
119	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	229075	Juma Khan	6/22/2026
120	Lot 2	Ziarat	Ziarat	229083	Bibi Zareena	6/23/2026
121	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113258	Abdul Qayyum	6/30/2026
122	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113272	Muhammad Tariq	6/30/2026
123	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113282	Muhammad Saleem	6/30/2026
124	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113284	Fazal Ahmed	6/30/2026
125	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113289	Ameer Bukash	6/30/2026
126	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113304	Shah Muhammad	6/30/2026
127	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113321	Muhammad jan	6/30/2026
128	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113324	Qadir Bakhsh	6/30/2026
129	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113363	Ali Yousaf	6/30/2026
130	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113366	Muhammad Younas	6/30/2026
131	Lot 3	Kalat	Kalat	113374	Haji Khan	6/30/2026
132	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153530	Shakeela	6/26/2026
133	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153545	Saleem Ahmed	6/26/2026
134	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153559	Saleema	6/26/2026
135	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153572	Dil Murad	6/26/2026
136	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153575	Faiz Mohmmmed	6/26/2026
137	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153578	Zafarullah	6/26/2026
138	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153586	Ghulam Mustafa	6/26/2026
139	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153596	Gul Bano	6/26/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
140	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153597	Mohammed Hayat	6/26/2026
141	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153603	Muhammad Ayoob	6/26/2026
142	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153611	Fatima	6/26/2026
143	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153884	Fatima	6/26/2026
144	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	153985	Abdul Hameed	6/26/2026
145	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	154044	Gulam Qadir	6/26/2026
146	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	155182	Moula bakhsh	6/27/2026
147	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	155187	Maryam bibi	6/27/2026
148	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	155191	Rehmatullah	6/27/2026
149	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	155216	Gul zadi	6/27/2026
150	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	155227	Azeem khatoon	6/27/2026
151	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	155377	Muneer Ahmed	6/26/2026
152	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156088	Sanallah	6/24/2026
153	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156245	Muhammad Yousuf	6/24/2026
154	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156270	Ahmed	6/24/2026
155	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156300	Inayat Khatoon	6/24/2026
156	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156304	Muhammad Hussain	6/24/2026
157	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156323	Bibi Ial Jan	6/27/2026
158	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156403	Abdul Ghani	6/25/2026
159	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156409	Khalil Ahmed	6/27/2026
160	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156411	Jalil Ahmed	6/27/2026
161	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156436	Ubaidullah	6/24/2026
162	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156439	Ameer bakash	6/27/2026
163	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156456	Ali Muhammad	6/24/2026
164	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156490	Abdul Khaliq	6/24/2026
165	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156491	Muneer Ahmed	6/24/2026
166	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156657	Manzoor Ahmad	6/25/2026
167	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156668	Aman Ullah	6/24/2026
168	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156678	Gulzar Ali	6/24/2026
169	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156685	Ghulam Nabi	6/25/2026
170	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156691	Sadam Hussain	6/25/2026
171	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156693	Ahmad khan	6/25/2026
172	Lot 3	Khuzdar	Khuzdar	156695	Muneer Ahmed	6/25/2026
173	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175104	Sahood Ahmed	6/19/2026
174	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175338	Muhammad Ali	6/19/2026
175	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175361	Gul Jan	6/19/2026
176	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175368	Shah Nawaz	6/20/2026
177	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175383	Riaz Ahmed	6/19/2026
178	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175410	Bibi Haleema	6/19/2026
179	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175434	Ghawar Khan	6/19/2026
180	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175553	Muhammad Asif Khan	6/20/2026
181	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175554	Bashir Ahmed	6/19/2026
182	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175711	Kareem Bakhsh	6/20/2026
183	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175713	Ameer Jan	6/20/2026
184	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175729	Ali Ahmed	6/20/2026
185	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175822	Muhammad yaqoob	6/20/2026
186	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175823	Shraf Bibi	6/20/2026
187	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175825	Imam Bakhsh	6/20/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
188	Lot 3	Mastung	Mastung	175827	Bibi Tahira	6/20/2026
189	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	54011	Bibi Naseema	7/9/2026
190	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	54061	Bibi Zubaida	7/9/2026
191	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	154050	Ganj Khatoon	7/9/2026
192	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245623	Abdul Malik	7/12/2026
193	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245639	Arz Muhammad	7/12/2026
194	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245642	Aziz Ahmed	7/8/2026
195	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245644	Bibi Amina	7/9/2026
196	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245648	Faiz Ullah	7/12/2026
197	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245653	Ghulam Mohammad	7/11/2026
198	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245669	Mehmood Shah	7/12/2026
199	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245670	Mohammad Anwar	7/13/2026
200	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245677	Muhammad Hafeez	7/11/2026
201	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245685	Noor Jan	7/13/2026
202	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245692	Sami Ullah	7/11/2026
203	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245805	Bibi Fatima	7/11/2026
204	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245806	Abdul Salam	7/12/2026
205	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245809	Bibi Hajira	7/9/2026
206	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245823	Abdul Qudoos	7/10/2026
207	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245835	Bismillah	7/10/2026
208	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245866	Farooq Ahmed	7/12/2026
209	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245870	Ameer Khan	7/11/2026
210	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245871	Zakria Khan	7/11/2026
211	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245931	Abdul Manan	7/8/2026
212	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	245951	Sagzai	7/8/2026
213	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	247919	Abdul Razaq	7/10/2026
214	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	247923	Abdullah	7/11/2026
215	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	247934	Din Mohammad	7/8/2026
216	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	247954	Khuda E Raheem	7/10/2026
217	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	247981	Noor Muhammad	7/8/2026
218	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	248053	Khair Muhammad	7/12/2026
219	Lot 3	Noshki	Noshki	329517	Bibi Raheema	7/11/2026
220	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249678	Muhammad Pana	6/29/2026
221	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249703	Muhammad Hashim	6/29/2026
222	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249770	Muhammad Yasir	6/29/2026
223	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249771	Abdul Hameed	6/28/2026
224	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249774	Ghulam Hussain	6/28/2026
225	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249801	Muhammad Azeem	6/28/2026
226	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249804	Mumtaz Ahmed	6/28/2026
227	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249807	Abdul Ghani	6/28/2026
228	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249809	Sami Ullah	6/29/2026
229	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249844	Yaseen	6/28/2026
230	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249848	Allah Dad	6/28/2026
231	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249857	Muhammad	6/29/2026
232	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249859	Basheer Ahmed	6/29/2026
233	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249865	Abdul Ghafoor	6/29/2026
234	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249893	Muhammad Alim	6/28/2026
235	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249894	Per Muhammad	6/29/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
236	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249909	Nabi Bakhsh	6/28/2026
237	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249924	Nasarullah	6/28/2026
238	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249928	Lal Muhammad	6/28/2026
239	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249932	Muneer Ahmed	6/29/2026
240	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249943	Nimat Ullah	6/29/2026
241	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	249957	Hafiz Habib U Rehman	6/29/2026
242	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250000	Saood	6/29/2026
243	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250002	Ahmed	6/28/2026
244	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250003	Atta Ullah	6/29/2026
245	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250005	Sifatullah	6/28/2026
246	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250014	Rasol Baksh	6/28/2026
247	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250018	Abdul Rasol	6/28/2026
248	Lot 3	Surab	Surab	250028	Kahir Baksh	6/28/2026
249	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249223	Shahida	6/22/2026
250	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249251	Zubaida	6/22/2026
251	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249256	Roqaiya	6/22/2026
252	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249277	Shah Bibi	6/22/2026
253	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249283	Shahida	6/22/2026
254	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249378	Naseem	6/22/2026
255	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249379	Jannat	6/22/2026
256	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249380	Wahid Bakhsh	6/22/2026
257	Lot 4	Gwadar	Gwadar	249381	Zaitoon	6/22/2026
258	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313479	Sher Muhammad	7/11/2026
259	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313574	Shenaz bibi	7/11/2026
260	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313579	Dur bibi	7/11/2026
261	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313593	Allah Rakhia	7/9/2026
262	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313934	Muhammad yaqoob	7/11/2026
263	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314017	Amanullah	7/8/2026
264	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314028	Abdul Waheed	7/7/2026
265	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314031	Munawar Hussain	7/9/2026
266	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314054	Bilawal Khan	7/9/2026
267	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314055	Muhammad Aslam	7/7/2026
268	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314058	Muhammad Zubair	7/10/2026
269	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314064	Behram Khan	7/7/2026
270	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314068	Yar Muhammad	7/7/2026
271	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314069	Abdul Ghani	7/8/2026
272	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314074	Ali Ahmed	7/9/2026
273	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314075	Ghulam Rasool	7/9/2026
274	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314183	Mohim khan	7/8/2026
275	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314204	Mehboob ali	7/10/2026
276	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314207	Saddam Hussain	7/10/2026
277	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314215	Zahid Hussain	7/10/2026
278	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314224	Khush Hal khan	7/8/2026
279	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314235	Abdul kareem	7/9/2026
280	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314236	Gul Jan	7/8/2026
281	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314239	Kulsoom	7/7/2026
282	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314240	Muhammad Ramzan	7/7/2026
283	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314244	Nobat Khan	7/8/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
284	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314245	Tariq	7/7/2026
285	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314250	Wahid Bakhsh	7/10/2026
286	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314260	Raziq Ali	7/10/2026
287	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314262	Muhammad Shoaib	7/8/2026
288	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314263	Khadim Hussain	7/8/2026
289	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314267	Abdul Majeed	7/9/2026
290	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314271	Muhammad jan	7/10/2026
291	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314276	Amanullah	7/7/2026
292	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314277	Phuloo khan	7/10/2026
293	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314334	Sona Khan	7/11/2026
294	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314905	Muhammad Hanif	7/11/2026
295	Lot 5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314969	Gull khatoon	7/11/2026
296	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311256	Yar Ali	7/2/2026
297	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311260	Moundar	7/2/2026
298	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311261	Niaz Ali	7/2/2026
299	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311262	Azad Khan	6/30/2026
300	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311266	Muhammad Younas	6/30/2026
301	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311283	Israr Ahmed	7/4/2026
302	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311284	Mehrab Ali	7/2/2026
303	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311288	Asghar Khan	7/3/2026
304	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311289	Moomal Bibi	7/4/2026
305	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311293	Muhammad Ismail	7/4/2026
306	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311299	Heera	7/5/2026
307	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311302	Afzal Khan	7/3/2026
308	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311304	Muhammad Waris	7/3/2026
309	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311309	Ashraf	7/2/2026
310	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311314	Noor Khan	7/5/2026
311	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311321	Ali Bakhsh	7/4/2026
312	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311329	Nizam Din	7/4/2026
313	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311331	Samandar Khan	7/3/2026
314	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	311332	Roshan Khan	7/2/2026
315	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	317421	Abdullah	7/1/2026
316	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	317424	Khalid Hussain	7/3/2026
317	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	317432	Nooh	7/1/2026
318	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	317437	Anwar Khan	7/1/2026
319	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	317438	Abubakar	7/1/2026
320	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318396	Basheer Ahmed	7/1/2026
321	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318398	Rustam Ali Khan	7/5/2026
322	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318547	Madad Khan	7/1/2026
323	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318550	Nadir Ali	7/4/2026
324	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318554	Shakal	6/30/2026
325	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318558	Riaz Muhammad	6/30/2026
326	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318559	Bilal	6/30/2026
327	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318560	Shah Ali	7/4/2026
328	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318565	Muhammad Ibrahim	7/5/2026
329	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318567	Mirwal	7/5/2026
330	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318568	Attur Khan	7/2/2026
331	Lot 5	Kachhi	Sanni	318573	Nasar Din	6/30/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
332	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217378	Abdul Samad	7/1/2026
333	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217385	Nadir Ali	7/2/2026
334	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217398	Khalil Ahmed	7/1/2026
335	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217406	Hamza	7/1/2026
336	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217412	Muhammad Zaman	7/2/2026
337	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217416	Sobdar Khan	7/4/2026
338	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217427	Nazeer Ahmed	7/3/2026
339	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217442	Dolat Khatoon	7/1/2026
340	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217451	Saeed Ahmed	7/3/2026
341	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217459	Ghulam Ali	7/1/2026
342	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217461	Javed Ahmed	7/1/2026
343	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217462	Muhammad Tahir	7/2/2026
344	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217515	Kaleem Ullah	7/1/2026
345	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217517	Muhammad Bachal	7/2/2026
346	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217523	Saeed Ahmed	7/3/2026
347	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217846	Muhammad yaqoob	7/6/2026
348	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217867	Sanaulah	7/3/2026
349	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217868	Noor Muhammad	7/3/2026
350	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217900	Shakar Khan	7/4/2026
351	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	217906	Abdul Haleem	7/1/2026
352	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218332	Jan Muhammad	7/5/2026
353	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218350	Khanzadi	7/2/2026
354	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218352	Khan Bibi	7/2/2026
355	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218380	Khuda Bakhsh	7/2/2026
356	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218485	Muhammad Siddique	7/2/2026
357	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218500	Safar Khan	7/6/2026
358	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218504	Jameel Ahmad	7/6/2026
359	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218505	Abdul Hafeez	7/4/2026
360	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218526	Ali Nawaz	7/6/2026
361	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218528	Latif	7/5/2026
362	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218532	Toraiz Khan	7/6/2026
363	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218555	Bahaul Khan	7/5/2026
364	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218557	Sohrab	7/5/2026
365	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218596	Nader Khan	7/5/2026
366	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218617	Sanaz Bibi	7/5/2026
367	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218807	Jamila Bibi	7/5/2026
368	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218810	Mai Hata	7/5/2026
369	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218811	Shahnaz Bibi	7/4/2026
370	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218866	Ahmed Domki	7/6/2026
371	Lot 5	Sibi	Sibi	218882	Ajaz Ahmed	7/6/2026
372	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	327658	Mai Banko	6/26/2026
373	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	327856	Muhammad Saleem	6/27/2026
374	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	328392	Karim Dad	6/27/2026
375	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	328393	Hussna Bibi	6/26/2026
376	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	328410	Raza Muhammad	6/27/2026
377	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	328414	Zar Bano	6/26/2026
378	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	328827	Gul Hussan	6/26/2026
379	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	328829	Jalal Khan	6/27/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
380	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329400	Shehzadi Khatoon	6/22/2026
381	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329542	Muhammad Ameen	6/28/2026
382	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329543	Muhammad Dine	6/27/2026
383	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329544	Qaim Din	6/27/2026
384	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329546	Imam Dine	6/28/2026
385	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329551	Faqeer Bakhsh	6/28/2026
386	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329552	Khair Bano	6/28/2026
387	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329555	Muhammad Ashraf	6/27/2026
388	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329837	Arbela Khan	6/22/2026
389	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329889	Mahar Khan	6/28/2026
390	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329895	Muhammad Iqbal	6/27/2026
391	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329902	Sultan Ahmed	6/27/2026
392	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329963	Abdul Baki	6/28/2026
393	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330106	Doda Khan	6/28/2026
394	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336503	Sannaz	6/25/2026
395	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336526	Fahmeeda	6/20/2026
396	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336584	Shaman Ali	6/25/2026
397	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336695	Haleema	6/21/2026
398	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336762	Malkan	6/24/2026
399	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336773	Heeran	6/20/2026
400	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336835	Muradan	6/24/2026
401	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336841	Leelan Bibi	6/21/2026
402	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336843	Murada Bibi	6/26/2026
403	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336890	Wazira Begum	6/23/2026
404	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336948	Abdul Ghani	6/20/2026
405	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336971	Salah Ul Din	6/25/2026
406	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336976	Wazira Bibi	6/23/2026
407	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	336979	Habib Ullah	6/21/2026
408	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337084	Nazia	6/24/2026
409	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337098	Mai Motan	6/24/2026
410	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337100	Mirzadi	6/24/2026
411	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337102	Mai Sharifa	6/24/2026
412	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337144	Mai Sharma	6/24/2026
413	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337244	Sanwal Khan	6/22/2026
414	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337246	Ghulam Hussain	6/22/2026
415	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337272	Ali Dost	6/21/2026
416	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337421	Bhoral Khan	6/22/2026
417	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337430	Angal Khan	6/21/2026
418	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337463	Muhammad Ayaz	6/22/2026
419	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337542	Haseena Bibi	6/22/2026
420	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337646	Ghulam Rasool	6/22/2026
421	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337682	Noor Jan Magsi	6/20/2026
422	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337826	Sunhra Khan	6/23/2026
423	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337848	Sawan Khan	6/23/2026
424	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337862	Abdul Fatah	6/20/2026
425	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337887	Nazir Ahmed	6/25/2026
426	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337903	Abdul Qadir	6/20/2026
427	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337904	Dur Muhammad	6/20/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
428	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337909	Shah Murad	6/25/2026
429	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337928	Sikandar Ali	6/23/2026
430	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337932	Qaisar Khan	6/25/2026
431	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337938	Sabzal Khan	6/25/2026
432	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	337939	Khalid Hussain	6/21/2026
433	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32186	Iltaf Hussain	7/2/2026
434	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34926	Ali Mardan	7/2/2026
435	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34931	Abdul Lateef	7/2/2026
436	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39864	Ghazi Khan	7/2/2026
437	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39918	Habibullah	7/2/2026
438	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	46333	Muhammad Khan	6/23/2026
439	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30750	Zahid	6/21/2026
440	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30752	Muhammad Umar	6/24/2026
441	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30756	Muhammad Ali	6/20/2026
442	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30758	Muhammad Ishaq	6/22/2026
443	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30760	Abdul Satar	6/21/2026
444	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30764	Ghulam Rasool	6/21/2026
445	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30765	Liyaqat Ali	6/24/2026
446	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30766	Jam Zadi	6/20/2026
447	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30772	Muhammad Bukhsh	6/23/2026
448	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30775	Tillu Khan	6/20/2026
449	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30782	Hubdar Hussain	6/23/2026
450	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30788	Ali Dost	6/20/2026
451	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30789	Begam	6/20/2026
452	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30790	Azad Khan	6/24/2026
453	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30797	Naik Muhammad	6/20/2026
454	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30799	Nadim Ali	6/20/2026
455	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30801	Muhammad Khan	6/21/2026
456	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30803	Abid Ali	6/20/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
457	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30810	Sobdar	6/21/2026
458	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30814	Sunhra Khan	6/21/2026
459	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30815	Gul Bahar	6/21/2026
460	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30816	Hahibullah	6/21/2026
461	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30825	Gul Muhammad	6/20/2026
462	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30834	Meer Hassan	6/20/2026
463	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30839	Kareem Bukhsh	6/21/2026
464	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30840	Ghulam Ali	6/20/2026
465	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30843	Ashgar Ali	6/21/2026
466	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30848	Aziz	6/21/2026
467	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	30850	Ilahi Bukhsh	6/21/2026
468	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	31205	Shehzadi	6/23/2026
469	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	31206	Lal Khatun	6/24/2026
470	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	31207	Aughan	6/24/2026
471	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	31214	Masmat Kori	6/23/2026
472	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	33038	Musa Khan	6/23/2026
473	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	33039	Imam Deen	6/23/2026
474	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	33055	Shehroz Khan Jamali	6/23/2026
475	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	33069	Ghulam Mustafa	6/24/2026
476	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	33078	Rasool Bakhsh	6/24/2026
477	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34019	Sohni	6/22/2026
478	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34070	Sakeena Bibi	6/22/2026
479	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34080	Shama Khatoon	6/22/2026
480	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34085	Mia Rani	6/22/2026
481	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34094	Pirani	6/22/2026
482	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34105	Mir Muhammad	6/22/2026
483	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34106	Hameedullah	6/22/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
484	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34112	Jando	6/22/2026
485	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34117	Ghulam Nabi	6/22/2026
486	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34126	Muhammad Ali	6/22/2026
487	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34127	Nazeeran Bibi	6/22/2026
488	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34132	Shabeer Ahmed	6/22/2026
489	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34141	Noor Muhammad	6/22/2026
490	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34149	Hakim Ali	6/22/2026
491	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	34450	Mai Khatoon	6/22/2026
492	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	41890	Usman Khan Umrani	6/22/2026
493	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	41891	Saeed Khatoon	6/22/2026
494	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46300	Ahmed Nawaz	6/23/2026
495	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46318	Shah Meer	6/23/2026
496	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46336	Muhammad Ramzan	6/23/2026
497	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46351	Arbaban	6/23/2026
498	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46352	Muhammad Ismail	6/23/2026
499	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46355	Sabir Ali	6/24/2026
500	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46386	Gull Khan	6/23/2026
501	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46398	Ali Gul	6/23/2026
502	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46407	Yar Muhammad	6/23/2026
503	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	46413	Ghuos Bakhsh	6/23/2026
504	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22532	Subhana Bibi	6/30/2026
505	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22918	Bashiran	6/24/2026
506	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23201	Ghulam Mustafa	6/24/2026
507	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24901	Mantar Ali	6/24/2026
508	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25699	Maryam Khatoon	6/24/2026
509	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25824	Murad Ali	6/30/2026
510	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	2544	Ahmed Khan	6/25/2026
511	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	2550	Deso Bibi	6/25/2026
512	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	2551	Muhammad Shareef	6/26/2026
513	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	2552	Sarwan Khatoon	6/26/2026
514	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	3097	Manthar Ali	6/25/2026
515	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	3105	Muhammad Ismail	6/26/2026
516	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	3120	Raheema	6/22/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
517	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	3278	Hazar Khan	6/22/2026
518	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	3279	Mazar Ali	6/22/2026
519	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	3280	Hameeda Bibi	6/22/2026
520	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	4403	Ghulam Khatoon	6/22/2026
521	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	4598	Sana Ullah	6/26/2026
522	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	4663	Arbab Ali	6/22/2026
523	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8209	Sidra	6/29/2026
524	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8212	Azima Bibi	6/27/2026
525	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8837	Imam Bakhsh	6/22/2026
526	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8901	Naseema Bibi	7/16/2026
527	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8905	Sahib Khatoon	7/16/2026
528	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9289	Rehmat Bibi	6/21/2026
529	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9293	Maah Bibi	6/20/2026
530	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9299	Haq Nawaz	6/20/2026
531	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9302	Mohammad Jan	6/30/2026
532	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9303	Ghulam Qadir	6/21/2026
533	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9304	Rehan	6/20/2026
534	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9306	Sonari Bibi	6/19/2026
535	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9310	Rameeza Bibi	6/20/2026
536	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9312	Benazeer	6/20/2026
537	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9313	Gull Naaz	6/20/2026
538	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9315	Jameela	6/20/2026
539	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9316	Zar Bibi	6/23/2026
540	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9319	Qutub Din	6/21/2026
541	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9328	Subhana Bibi	6/23/2026
542	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9329	Inaitan Bibi	6/21/2026
543	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9336	Gaman Khan	6/20/2026
544	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9342	Subhana	6/21/2026
545	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9367	Muhammad Hayat	6/30/2026
546	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9398	Masmat Dur Nazz	6/28/2026
547	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9400	Qudratullah	6/29/2026
548	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10041	Haroon	6/28/2026
549	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10084	Sadori Bibi	6/29/2026
550	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10560	Abdul Karim	6/28/2026
551	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10563	Abdul Qayoom	6/28/2026
552	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	11147	Muhammad Ishaq	6/29/2026
553	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	11162	Jabbar	6/28/2026
554	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	12899	Ghulam Qadir	6/27/2026
555	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	12924	Mansoor Ahmed	6/28/2026
556	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	12976	Raza Muhammad	6/19/2026
557	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	12985	Ali Muhammad	6/27/2026
558	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	13007	Mai Khaira	6/19/2026
559	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16159	Ghulam Akbar	6/27/2026
560	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16629	Manzoora	6/25/2026
561	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17008	Arz Muhammad	6/27/2026
562	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17250	Akbar Ali	6/27/2026
563	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17256	Nehalah Bibi	6/29/2026
564	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17266	Somar Khan	6/29/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
565	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17268	Syed Gulab Shah	6/30/2026
566	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18046	Rahat Bibi	6/23/2026
567	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18049	Jannat Khatoon	6/23/2026
568	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	272828	Amina Bibi	6/28/2026
569	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	272844	Totan	6/28/2026
570	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273019	Mushtaq Ahmed	6/30/2026
571	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273020	Muhammad Suleman	6/30/2026
572	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273022	Mahboob Ali	6/30/2026
573	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273029	Mian Dad	6/28/2026
574	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273049	Shams Ul Din	6/28/2026
575	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273056	Dur Muhammed	6/28/2026
576	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	273064	Mohammad Shaban Khan	6/28/2026
577	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	279776	Muhammad Ibrahim	6/28/2026
578	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	280027	Mola Bakhsh	6/28/2026
579	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	280037	Ameeran Bibi	6/30/2026
580	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	280579	Abdul Razaq	6/30/2026
581	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	280899	Irfana Bibi	6/30/2026
582	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	285243	Amina Bibi	6/29/2026
583	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	285288	Amina Bibi	6/29/2026
584	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	285475	Shehzadi	6/29/2026
585	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	285477	Rani Bibi	6/29/2026
586	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	293959	Muhammad Aslam	6/28/2026
587	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	294017	Abdul Malik	6/28/2026
588	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	294031	Habib Ullah Khan	6/28/2026
589	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	295035	Abdul kareem	6/28/2026
590	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236577	Darai	7/7/2026
591	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237512	Dad Muhammad	7/2/2026
592	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237514	Amna Bibi	7/6/2026
593	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237522	Baz Muhammad	7/2/2026
594	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237542	Niaz Ahmed	7/2/2026
595	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237772	Bahadur Shah	7/4/2026
596	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237813	Noor Muhammad	7/6/2026
597	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238544	Muhammad Younis	7/7/2026
598	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238597	Muhammad Deen Jan	6/30/2026
599	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238641	Arz Muhammad	7/2/2026
600	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238989	Sahiban Bibi	7/4/2026
601	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239031	Khamees Khan	6/29/2026
602	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239034	Jamal Deen	6/30/2026
603	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239119	Muhammad Ameer	7/6/2026
604	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239483	Wazeer Khan	7/1/2026
605	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240456	Jafar Khan	7/1/2026
606	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240733	Jamal Deen	7/1/2026
607	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240751	Bibi	7/3/2026
608	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241273	Nazeer Jan	6/30/2026
609	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241286	Ahmad khan	7/7/2026
610	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241495	Ahmed Khan	7/6/2026
611	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241512	Akbar	7/6/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
612	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242031	Haibat Khan	7/3/2026
613	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242041	Habib Khan	7/1/2026
614	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242155	Moradan	6/29/2026
615	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242569	Abdul Rehman	7/5/2026
616	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243155	Imam Jan	7/5/2026
617	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243159	Sebero	7/4/2026
618	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243160	Ali Sher	7/5/2026
619	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243173	Halema Bibi	7/4/2026
620	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243175	Tagoo	7/3/2026
621	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243176	Haji Mazar Khan	7/3/2026
622	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243183	Azam Jan	7/5/2026
623	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243185	Sher Muhammad	7/4/2026
624	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243205	Ghulam Hussain	7/3/2026
625	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243208	Misri Khan	7/5/2026
626	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243211	Nawab Khan	7/4/2026
627	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243566	Wali Muhammad	7/1/2026
628	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243570	Muhammad Deen	6/30/2026
629	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243759	Gul Muhammad	6/29/2026
630	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243785	Jafar Khan	6/30/2026
631	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243834	Sultan Khan	6/30/2026
632	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243856	Gul Jan	6/29/2026
633	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244071	Muhammad Iqbal	7/7/2026
634	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244074	Meer Khan	6/29/2026
635	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244075	Umar	7/1/2026
636	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244076	Jan Muhammad	6/29/2026
637	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244280	Sher Muhammad	7/6/2026
638	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244285	Tooran Shah	7/2/2026
639	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254031	Roshan	6/30/2026
640	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254174	Dost Ali	6/29/2026
641	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254202	Raheem Khan	6/30/2026
642	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254247	Muhammad Nawaz	6/30/2026
643	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254349	Basheer	6/30/2026
644	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254554	Mehar Gull	6/29/2026
645	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254808	Rahmat	6/29/2026
646	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254812	Karam Khan	6/29/2026
647	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254951	Nabidad	6/30/2026
648	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255150	Muhammad Parez	6/29/2026
649	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255604	Barakat Ali	6/29/2026
650	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181172	Ahmed khan	6/27/2026
651	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181185	Abdul Rahim	6/28/2026
652	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181244	Maqbool Ahmed	6/28/2026
653	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181318	Khan Muhammad	6/28/2026
654	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181445	Muhammad Imran	6/27/2026
655	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181591	Ghulam uddin	6/28/2026
656	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353192	Fazal Muhammad	7/9/2026
657	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353201	Soba Khan	7/9/2026
658	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353322	Baloch Khan	7/9/2026
659	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353324	Ali Khan	7/9/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
660	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353358	Baka Muhammad	7/9/2026
661	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353360	Hassan Khan	7/9/2026
662	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kahan	353521	Moriya Khan	7/9/2026
663	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181682	Shabardin	6/20/2026
664	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181694	Tamam Bibi	6/20/2026
665	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181697	Anary	6/20/2026
666	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181714	Zulaika Bibi	6/20/2026
667	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181726	Gull Muhammad	6/24/2026
668	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181727	Essa	6/25/2026
669	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181735	Musa Khan	6/22/2026
670	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181750	Fazal Din	6/21/2026
671	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181760	Meera Jan	6/21/2026
672	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181766	Gula Khan	6/22/2026
673	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181772	Sahib Khan	6/21/2026
674	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181773	Sada Gull	6/21/2026
675	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181779	Hafiz Hayat Ullah	6/25/2026
676	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181782	Pail	6/21/2026
677	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181798	Asmat Ullah	6/25/2026
678	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181799	Hameed Ullah	6/23/2026
679	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181800	Usman Khan	6/22/2026
680	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181822	Bahadur Khan	6/25/2026
681	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181833	Saliha	6/20/2026
682	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181838	Saleem	6/22/2026
683	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181847	Abdul Jan	6/21/2026
684	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181870	Dotani Bibi	6/23/2026
685	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181877	Mehrab Khan	6/22/2026
686	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181903	Shain	6/24/2026
687	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181918	Shams Uddin	6/24/2026
688	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181921	Shar Ali	6/24/2026
689	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181942	Sulaiman	6/24/2026
690	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181970	Wazir Khan	6/25/2026
691	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181977	Muhammad Tahir	6/24/2026
692	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	181980	Arsala	6/25/2026
693	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182128	Ameer Hamza	6/26/2026
694	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182162	Noora Bibi	6/26/2026
695	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182282	Muhammad Baksh	6/26/2026
696	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182337	Bakhtawar	6/26/2026
697	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182365	Syed Ghulam Rasool Shah	6/26/2026
698	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182399	Muhammad Yamin	6/26/2026
699	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182442	Atta Muhammad	6/26/2026
700	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182494	Wali Shah	6/26/2026
701	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	159642	Muhammad Din	7/11/2026
702	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	159646	Bibi Sumiq	7/11/2026
703	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	159692	Khatma	7/11/2026
704	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160279	Abdul Sattar	7/11/2026
705	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160389	Asif Ali	7/11/2026
706	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160421	Muhammad Usman	7/11/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
707	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160428	Sher Baz	7/11/2026
708	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160471	Muhammad Naeem	7/13/2026
709	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160539	Dawood Khan	7/11/2026
710	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160546	Surat Khan	7/12/2026
711	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160750	Baseer Ahmed	7/13/2026
712	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160838	Murad Bibi	7/12/2026
713	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160840	Munir Ahmad	7/11/2026
714	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160849	Ameer Muhammad	7/13/2026
715	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161095	Zar Khan	7/13/2026
716	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161460	Muhammad Ayub	7/8/2026
717	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161621	Bibi shali	7/11/2026
718	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161624	Qaiso khan	7/11/2026
719	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	162163	Zawer Khan	7/11/2026
720	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	162959	Khani	7/9/2026
721	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350630	Abdul Majeed	7/8/2026
722	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350634	Muhammad Akbar Khan	7/8/2026
723	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350644	Saifullah	7/8/2026
724	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350654	Raz Muhammad	7/8/2026
725	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350663	Murad Khan	7/10/2026
726	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350734	Gul Naz	7/10/2026
727	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	350906	Saido	7/10/2026
728	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351024	Muhammad Asghar	7/8/2026
729	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351036	Jamsher	7/10/2026
730	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351051	Jam Bakht	7/8/2026
731	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351069	Gul Khatoon	7/8/2026
732	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351089	Musa Khan	7/8/2026
733	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351103	Bangal Khan	7/11/2026
734	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351108	Aslam	7/10/2026
735	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351118	Sohrab Khan	7/10/2026
736	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351127	Imam Bakhsh	7/8/2026
737	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351130	Shabir Ahmed	7/10/2026
738	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351137	Alam Khan	7/8/2026
739	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351143	Jamal Khan	7/8/2026
740	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351155	Tal Khan	7/8/2026
741	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351158	Mir Hamza	7/8/2026
742	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351163	Muhammad Javed	7/10/2026
743	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	351419	Jalal khan	7/11/2026
744	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	352419	Piri	7/8/2026
745	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	352509	Muhammad Yousaf	7/8/2026
746	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	352727	Arsho	7/11/2026
747	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354046	Munir Hussan	7/12/2026
748	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354066	Karam khan	7/13/2026
749	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354123	Ameer Bakhsh	7/13/2026
750	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354355	Mula Bakhsh	7/9/2026
751	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Tehsil Sui	265842	Shah more	7/3/2026
752	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Tehsil Sui	265918	Kamal din	7/3/2026
753	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Tehsil Sui	265921	Ahmed din	7/3/2026

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
754	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287118	Zulekhan	6/27/2026
755	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287158	Kohsir bibi	6/27/2026
756	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287243	Rhematullah	6/29/2026
757	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288201	Sikander ali	6/27/2026
758	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288889	Hassina bibi	6/27/2026
759	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288926	Bakhtawer	6/27/2026
760	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	289831	Zulifqar ali	6/27/2026
761	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290442	Arbab	6/27/2026
762	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	292380	Khalid Hussain	6/27/2026
763	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	292785	Taj Muhammad	6/29/2026
764	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	292863	Mohammad sallah	6/29/2026

B-2: Data Not Reported- Scheme-Wise Sample List


Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary	Reasons
1	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181253	Ghazi Khan	Site access was not possible due to heavy rain
2	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	172565	Amina Bibi	Shifted Case
3	Lot 2	Loralai	Bori	172574	Akbar khan	Shifted Case
4	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240420	Jan bibi	These sites could not be monitored due to an unforeseen emergency. The cousin of our field enumerators, who was serving in the security forces, was martyred in the recent Ziarat terrorist incident. As a result, the enumerator had to immediately reached to Quetta to receive the body of his cousin, making it impossible to complete the monitoring visits in Barkhan within the survey period.
5	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244365	Javeriya Riffat	
6	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236083	Lal Khatoon	
7	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239561	Bibi Zainab	
8	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	244326	Khan Bibi	
9	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239540	Taj Bibi	
10	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241285	Muhammad Ramzan	
11	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238910	Khair Jan	
12	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239194	Ghulam Yaseen	

C. VISUAL EVIDENCE (PHOTOS, ETC.)**Field Monitoring Visits**









D. MONITORING TOOLS

Monitoring Survey Tool

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
ID.1.0	1	Informed Consent & Data Privacy: (Enumerator reads aloud at the start of interview) We are conducting this survey as part of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP), supported by the Government of Balochistan and the World Bank. The purpose is to understand your household's situation and monitor the support being provided. Your participation is voluntary. The information you share will remain confidential and will only be used for project monitoring and reporting. You may refuse to answer any question or stop the interview at any time without any impact on your eligibility or entitlements under the project. Do you agree to participate in this survey?
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Profile Data		
Identification		
ID.1.1	1.1	Household Code/ID (Issued by HRU) گھر کا نمبر
ID.1.2	1.2	Lot پیکچ
ID.1.3	1.3	District ضلع
ID.1.4	1.4	Tehsil تحصیل
ID.1.5	1.5	Union Council یونین کونسل
ID.1.6	1.6	Village/Settlement گاؤں
ID.1.7	1.7	Respondent Name: جواب دینے والے کا نام
ID.1.8	1.8	Gender of beneficiary? بینفشری کی جنس
ID.1.9	1.9	CNIC Number? (13 digits only) شناختی کارڈ نمبر
ID.1.10	1.10	Mobile Number? (11 digits only) موبائل نمبر
ID.1.11	1.11	Relation with Beneficiary?
	1	My Self خود
	2	Husband شوہر
	3	Wife بیوی
	4	Brother بھائی
	5	Sister بہن
	6	Father والد
	7	Mother والدہ
	8	Daughter بیٹی
	9	Son بیٹا
	10	Daughter in law بہو
	11	Grand Father نانا / دادا
	12	Grand Mother نانی / دادی
	13	Nephew بھانجا / بھتیجا
	14	Niece بھانجی / بھتیجی
	15	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
ID.1.12	1.12	Date of Visit: تاریخ جس دن آپ سروے کر رہے ہیں
ID.1.13	1.13	GPS Coordinates? [Auto captured] [خود بخود درج ہوں گے] جی پی ایس کوآرڈینیٹس
House Head – Info		
FMI.2.1	2.1	Is this a women-headed household? کیا یہ خواتین کی سربراہی والا گھرانہ ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
FMI.2.2	2.2	Is this household headed by a person with any disability? کیا یہ گھرانہ کسی معذور شخص کے زیر سربراہی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
FMI.2.3	2.3	What is your religion? آپ کا مذہب کیا ہے
	1	Muslims مسلمان
	2	Hindus ہندو
	3	Christians عیسائی
	4	Sikhs سکھ
	5	Parsis پارسی
	6	Buddhists بدھ مت کے پیروکار
FMI.2.3y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
Beneficiary's Family Composition		
FMI.3.1	3.1	Number of Male members in your family? گھر میں مرد ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
FMI.3.2	3.2	Number of Female members in your family? گھر میں خواتین ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
FMI.3.3	3.3	Number of Transgender members in your family? آپ کے خاندان میں خواجہ سرا افراد کی تعداد کیا ہے
The Loop from Q.# 3.4 to 3.6 will run the same number of times as entered in Q.# 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3		
	3.4	Age? عمر
	3.5	Does this family member have any disability? اس گھرانے کے کسی فرد کو کوئی معذوری ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Greater than Zero" entered in Q.# 3.2 then continue with Q.# 4 Otherwise goto Q.# 5
	4	If women is from Vulnerable Group, than select the relevant options? طبقے سے تعلق رکھتی ہیں خاتون جن جن گروپس میں آتی ہیں، ان تمام آپشنز (محتاج) اگر خاتون کسی کمزور کا انتخاب کریں
	1	Widow (with no children over 18 years of age) (سال سے بڑے بچے نہ ہوں 18 جن کے) بیوہ
	2	Single women not residing with a male relative (سال سے زائد عمر کی 60) معمر خواتین (اکیلی خواتین جو کسی مرد رشتہ دار کے ساتھ نہیں رہ رہیں)
	3	Women with disabled spouse وہ خواتین جن کے شوہر معذور ہیں
	4	Elderly women (above 60 years) (سال سے زائد عمر کی 60) معمر خواتین
	5	Pregnant / lactating women دودھ پلانے والی خواتین / حاملہ
	6	None کوئی نہیں
Stakeholder Interaction		
SI.4.1	5	Were any of the following stakeholders present during the visit? کیا سروے کے دوران درج ذیل اسٹیک ہولڈرز موجود تھے
	1	VRC Member وی آر سی رکن
	2	Community Representative کمیونٹی نمائندہ
	3	IP Project Staff آئی پی پروجیکٹ عملہ
	4	None کوئی نہیں
SI.4.1y	5	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Land and Property Documentation Tracker		
LPDT.5.1	6	Is the land title/mutation officially in the name of the HRU beneficiary/Tenant? کرایہ دار کے نام پر ہے/انتقال باضابطہ طور پر فائدہ اٹھانے والے/کیا زمین کا ٹائٹل
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 6 then continue with Q.# 7 Otherwise goto Q.# 8
LPDT.5.1.1	7	Describe the Reason? براہ کرم وضاحت کریں
LPDT.5.2	8	What type of property ownership documentation is available? کون سا قسم کا جائیداد کے ملکیتی دستاویزات دستیاب ہیں
	1	Registered deed رجسٹرڈ ڈیڈ
	2	Tenant - Stamp paper اسٹامپ پیپر - کرایہ دار
	3	Local verification certificate مقامی تصدیقی سرٹیفکیٹ
	4	State Land سرکار کی ملکیت کی زمین
	5	Inheritance proof وراثتی ثبوت
	6	No document available کوئی دستاویز دستیاب نہیں
LPDT.5.2y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
		If "Other than no document available" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 9 Otherwise goto Q.# 10
LPDT.5.3	9	Take a picture of documents? (If available) دستاویزات کی تصویر لیں
		If "No document available" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 10 Otherwise goto Q.# 11
LPDT.5.4	10	If the documents are not available, please state the reasons for their non-availability? اگر دستاویزات دستیاب نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم ان کی عدم دستیابی کی وجوہات بیان کریں
	1	Not provided by the beneficiary مستفید کنندہ نے مطلوبہ دستاویزات مہیا نہیں کیں
	2	Not maintained at site سروے کے دوران مطلوبہ دستاویزات دستیاب نہیں تھیں
	3	Misplaced دستاویزات کہیں اور رکھی ہوئی تھیں
	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
LPDT.5.5	11	Is there any boundary dispute or overlapping claim with neighbours or community? کیا پڑوسیوں یا مقامی کمیونٹی کے ساتھ زمین کی حد بندی پر کوئی تنازعہ یا مشترکہ دعویٰ ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
LPDT.5.5.1		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 11 then continue with Q.# 12
	12	Describe nature of dispute? تنازعے کی نوعیت بیان کریں
Construction Progress		
CP.6.1	13	What type of house going to be constructed? کون سا قسم کا مکان تعمیر کیا جا رہا ہے
	1	Brick اینٹ کا مکان
	2	Stoney Masonry پتھروں سے تعمیر
	3	Block Masonry کنکریٹ بلاک کی تعمیر
CP.6.2	14	House construction stage at the time of visit? سرورے کے وقت مکان کی تعمیر کس مرحلے میں تھی
	1	Plinth Level کی سطح (زمین سے اوپر) پلنٹھ
	2	Lintel Level کی سطح (دروازے کے اوپر شہتیر/کھڑکی) لینٹل
	3	Roof Level چھت کی سطح
	4	House Completely Constructed گھر مکمل طور پر تعمیر ہو چکا ہے
	5	Not Started شروع نہیں ہوا
		If "Not Started" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 15
		In case of "Plinth, Lintel & Roof" selected goto Q.# 16 and In case of "Completed" selected goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.1	15	Reason for not started house construction? گھر کی تعمیر شروع نہ ہونے کی وجہ کیا ہے
		If "Plinth/Lintel/Roof Level" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 16
		Otherwise goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.2	16	What is the construction status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof level? بنیاد، لینٹل یا چھت کے درجے کی تعمیر کس مرحلے پر ہے
	1	In Progress کام جاری ہے
	2	Temporarily Construction Paused تعمیراتی کام عارضی طور پر بند ہیں
	3	Completed مکمل ہو گیا ہے
		If "In Progress" selected in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 17
		In case of "Temporarily paused" goto Q.# 18
CP.6.2.2.1	17	In Progress? Please specify how much work has been completed till now in the selected stage (%): (فیصد میں) اگر کام جاری ہے، تو براہ کرم بتائیں کہ متعلقہ مرحلے میں اب تک کتنا فیصد کام مکمل ہو چکا ہے؟
		If "Temporarily Construction Paused" selected in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 18
		Otherwise goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.2.2	18	Select the reason why house construction temporarily paused? (Multiple selection) وہ وجہ منتخب کریں جس کے باعث گھر کی تعمیر تاخیر کا شکار ہے یا وقتی طور پر رُکی ہے
	1	Land Dispute زمین کا جھگڑا
	2	Tranche Delay قسط میں تاخیر
	3	Material Shortage سامان کی کمی
	4	Labor Shortage مزدوروں کی کمی
	5	Seasonal Effect موسم کے اثرات
	6	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر
	7	Water Shortage پانی کی کمی
	8	Delay Due to Death وفات کی وجہ سے تاخیر
CP.6.2.2.2y	9	Other (please specify) (براه کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
CP.6.3.1	18.1	Take Picture of House? سرورے والے گھر کی تصویر کھینچیں
CP.6.3.2	18.2	Take picture of house along with Beneficiary? اسکیم کی تصویر ان افراد کے ساتھ بنائیں جو اس اسکیم سے فائدہ اٹھا رہے ہیں
Tranche Release info		
TRI.7.1	19.1	Have you received any payment tranche so far? کیا آپ کو اب تک ادائیگی کی کوئی قسط ملی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19.1 then continue with Q.# 19.2
		Otherwise goto Q.# 20
TRI.7.1.1	19.2	Please provide the date when you last received a tranche? Date: براہ کرم آخری قسط وصول کرنے کی تاریخ لکھیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
TRI.7.1.2	19.3	How much payment did you receive in the last tranche? Amount (PKR): آپ نے آخری قسط میں کتنی رقم وصول کی
TRI.7.1.3	19.4	Whom informed about the release of tranche amount? قسط کی رقم کے جاری ہونے کے بارے میں کس نے آپ کو اطلاع دی
	1	IP Staff عملہ
	2	VRC Member رکن
	3	Bank Staff بینک عملہ
	4	Community Member
	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
TRI.7.2.1	19.5	Did you face any problem in receiving your tranche? کیا آپ کو اپنی قسط وصول کرنے میں کسی قسم کی دشواری ہوئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19.5 then continue with Q.# 19.6 Otherwise goto Q.# 20
TRI.7.2.2	19.6	Please select all the reasons that caused problems in receiving your tranche? (Multiple Choice) قسط وصول کرنے میں مشکلات پیدا کرنے والی تمام وجوہات منتخب کریں
	1	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff کے عملے کی طرف سے معاونت یا رہنمائی کی کمی (عملی شراکت دار) عمل درآمد کرنے والے شراکت دار
	2	Bank staff didn't support or linger-on the process بینک کے عملے کی جانب سے تعاون اور رہنمائی کی کمی کے باعث کارروائی کے عمل میں دشواری اور تاخیر ہوئی
	3	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive بینک کے عملے کا رویہ معاون یا مددگار نہیں تھا
TRI.7.2.2y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)		
VRC.8.1	20	Do you know about the Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC) in your village? کے بارے میں جانتے ہیں (وی آر سی) کیا آپ اپنے گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20 then continue with Q.# 20.1 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.2	20.1	Has VRC formation been completed? کیا وی آر سی بن چکی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20.1 then continue with Q.# 20.2 Otherwise goto Q.# 25
VRC.8.3	20.2	Are you member of VRC? کے رکن ہیں؟ (وی آر سی) کیا آپ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20.2 then continue with Q.# 20.3 Otherwise goto Q.# 25
VRC.8.4	20.3	Select the role in VRC? میں اپنا عہدہ چُنیں (وی آر سی) گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Chairperson چئیر پرسن
	2	Secretary سیکریٹری
	3	Member رکن
VRC Member – Feedback		
VRC.8.5	21	Did IP conduct training session on VRC roles and responsibilities? نے وی آر سی کے کردار اور ذمہ داریوں پر تربیتی سیشن منعقد کیا (آئی پی) کیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.8.6	22	Did the VRC conduct consultation meetings? نے مشاورت اجلاس منعقد کیے (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.8.7	23	What is the frequency of VRC consultation meetings? کے مشاورت اجلاس کتنی بار منعقد ہوتے ہیں (وی آر سی) گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Daily روزانہ
	2	Weekly ہفتہ وار
	3	Bi-Weekly ہر دو ہفتے بعد

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	4	Monthly ماہانہ
	5	As needed / Whenever required جب بھی ضرورت ہو / ضرورت کے مطابق
	6	Never کبھی نہیں
VRC.8.8	24	How do VRC members perceive the role of women in the reconstruction process? کمیونٹی کے افراد تعمیر کے عمل میں خواتین کے کردار کے بارے میں کیا رائے رکھتے ہیں
	1	Strongly supportive مکمل حمایت والا
	2	Somewhat supportive کچھ حد تک حمایت کرنے والا
	3	Neutral غیر جانبدار
	4	Unsupportive حمایت نہ کرنے والا
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20 then continue with Q.# 25 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.9	25	Has the VRC supported in resolving housing reconstruction issues? نہ مکان کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں تعاون کیا ہے؟ (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 25 then continue with Q.# 26 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.9.1	26	What kind of support has VRC provided in resolving housing reconstruction issues? (Select all that apply) نہ گھروں کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں کس قسم کی مدد (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں) فراہم کی ہے؟
	1	Helped resolve disputes جھگڑوں کے حل میں مدد کی
	2	Guided construction تعمیرات میں رہنمائی فراہم کی
	3	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams ایچ آر یو ٹیموں کے ساتھ رابطہ قائم کیا / آئی پی
	4	Assisted vulnerable households کمزور گھرانوں کی مدد کی
	5	Shared information with the community کمیونٹی کے ساتھ معلومات شیئر کی
	6	Guided on Grievance submission and process شکایت جمع کروانے اور عمل کے بارے میں رہنمائی کی گئی
Village-Based VRC Role		
VBVRC.9.1	27	Has the community undertaken any construction or improvements beyond the IFRAP- supported housing units? کے تحت بننے والے مکانات کے علاوہ (آئی ایف آر اے پی) نے (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی کوئی تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.2	28	What type of structures or improvements were made? (Select all that apply) (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں) کون سے تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے گئے
	1	Drainage channels پانی نکاسی کے راستے / نالیاں
	2	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	3	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	4	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	5	Mosques/ madrasahs مدرسے / مسجدیں
	6	Shelter پناہ گاہ
	7	Community center کمیونٹی مرکز
VBVRC.9.2y	8	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 27 then continue with Q.# 29 Otherwise goto Q.# 33
	29	Take pictures of the additional work completed by the community? کمیونٹی کے مکمل کیے گئے اضافی کام کی تصاویر لیں
VBVRC.9.3	30	How were these activities funded? (Select all that apply) (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں) یہ سرگرمیاں کس ذریعہ سے مالی تعاون حاصل کر کے کی گئیں؟
	1	Community pooled funds کمیونٹی کے جمع کردہ پیسے / مشترکہ فنڈز
	2	Donor/NGO support غیر سرکاری تنظیم کی مدد / ڈونر
	3	Labor contribution شراکت / مزدوروں کی مدد
	4	Leftover material reuse بچا ہوا سامان دوبارہ استعمال
	5	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.4	31	In your view, did these additional works help improve the living conditions of the community? کیا ان اضافی اقدامات نے مقامی آبادی کے رہنے سہنے کے حالات پر مثبت اثر ڈالا ہے
	1	Significantly کافی حد تک

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	2	Moderately مناسب حد تک
	3	Not much کم
	4	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.5	32	Has the VRC efforts helped strengthen community bonds? کیا وی-آر سی کے اقدامات نے کمیونٹی کو زیادہ قریب لانے میں مدد کی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VBVRC.9.6	33	Is there anything else your village still urgently needs but could not build? کیا گاؤں میں کوئی اور چیز ہے جسے فوری طور پر درکار ہے مگر ابھی تک نہیں بنایا گیا
	1	Basic Health Facility بنیادی صحت کی سہولت
	2	Primary School پرائمری اسکول
	3	Drainage channels پانی نکاسی کے راستے / نالیاں
	4	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	5	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	6	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	7	Mosques/ madrasahs مدرسے / مسجدیں
	8	Shelter پناہ گاہ
VBVRC.9.6y	9	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)		
DRR.10.1	34	Approx Nearest Water Channel Distance in meters? قریب ترین پانی کے نالے کا فاصلہ تقریباً کتنے میٹر ہے
DRR.10.2	35	Is site close to hazard prone area? کے خطرے والے علاقے کے قریب ہے (زلزلہ، سیلاب، لینڈ سلائیڈ وغیرہ) کیا یہ جگہ قدرتی آفات
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 35 then continue with Q.# 36
		Otherwise goto Q.# 37
DRR.10.3	36	Select the type of hazard? قدرتی آفات کی قسم منتخب کریں
	1	Flood plan range سیلاب کے ممکنہ علاقے
	2	Flash flood range اچانک آنے والا سیلاب
	3	Earthquake زلزلہ
	4	Landslide لینڈ سلائیڈ
	5	Drought خشک سالی
	6	Extreme snow fall شدید برفباری
	7	Tsunami سمندری طوفانی لہر
	8	Water logging زمین یا علاقے میں پانی جمع ہونا
DRR.10.3y	9	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
DRR.10.4	37	Was the site hit by flood before 2022 floods? کیا سائٹ دو ہزار بائیس کے سیلاب سے پہلے بھی متاثر ہوئی تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	38	Is the site in a low-lying area? کیا یہ جگہ نشیبی علاقے میں ہے
DRR.10.5	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.6	39	Is there proper flow out for the rainwater at the site? کیا اس مقام پر بارش کے پانی کے بہاؤ کے لیے راستہ موجود ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.7	40	Any Earthquakes in the area in past 25 years? کیا اس علاقے میں گزشتہ پچیس سالوں میں کوئی زلزلے آئے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 40 then continue with Q.# 41
		Otherwise goto Q.# 42
DRR.10.7.1	41	Was your House damaged in any earthquake? کیا آپ کا گھر کسی زلزلے میں متاثر ہوا تھا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.8	42	Has there been any history of land erosion or landslides in the area? کیا اس علاقے میں مٹی کے کٹاؤ یا زمین کھسکنے کا کوئی واقعہ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
DRR.10.9	43	Is the site located on wetlands, riverbanks, or natural drainage paths? کیا سائٹ پانی کے قدرتی بہاؤ کے راستے یا گہرے پانی والے علاقے میں ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.10	44	What Disaster Risk Reduction Related Measures are taken in the Area? علاقے میں آفت کے خطرے کو کم کرنے سے متعلق اقدامات کیا ہیں
	1	Flood Protection Wall پانی روکنے کے لیے دیوار سیلابی دیوار
	2	Raised Structure پانی سے بچاؤ کے لیے اونچا حصہ بلند ڈھانچہ
	3	Gabian Wall پتھروں سے بھری حفاظتی دیوار گیبیان وال
	4	Diversion Canal پانی کو محفوظ راستے پر موڑنا سنبھری راستہ کا رخ موڑنا
	5	Check Dam پانی کا بہاؤ کنٹرول کرنے والا چھوٹا بند چیک ڈیم
	6	None کوئی نہیں
DRR.10.10y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) Trainings		
MCG.11.1	45	Did anyone from the Implementing Partner (IP) conduct a training session to provide minimum construction guidelines? کیا کسی فرد نے کم از کم تعمیراتی رہنما اصول فراہم کرنے کے لیے تربیتی (آئی پی) کیا امپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر سیشن منعقد کیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 45 then continue with Q.# 46	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 48	
MCG.11.2	46	Did you attend MCG training sessions conducted by the Implementing Partner (IP)? کیا آپ نے MCG تربیتی سیشن میں شرکت کی (آئی پی) کیا آپ نے امپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر کے زیر اہتمام کوئی تربیتی سیشن میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 46 then continue with Q.# 47	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 48	
MCG.11.3	47	Which Minimum Construction Guidelines do you know after the training session? (Select all that apply) (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں) آگاہی سیشن کے بعد آپ کن تعمیراتی اصولوں سے واقف ہیں؟
	1	Plinth height چبوتری کی اونچائی
	2	Lintel height کھڑکی کے اوپر لگے شہنیر کی اونچائی/دروازے
	3	Roof Type چھت کی قسم
MCG.11.3y	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Social Safeguard Compliance		
SSC.12.1	48	Was the community trained on Social Safeguards before the reconstruction activities? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں سے پہلے کمیونٹی کو سوشل سیف گارڈز کی تربیت دی گئی تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 48 then continue with Q.# 49	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 50	
SSC.12.2	49	Were you present at the training session regarding Social Safeguard? کیا آپ سوشل سیف گارڈز کے بارے میں ہونے والے تربیتی اجلاس میں شریک تھے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
SSC.12.3	50	Are there any observed or reported livelihood impacts due to reconstruction? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران لوگوں کی آمدنی یا روزگار پر کوئی اثر پڑا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 50 then continue with Q.# 51	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 52	
SSC.12.3.1	51	Select all relevant impact on livelihoods? معاشرے پر تمام متعلقہ اثرات منتخب کریں/روزگار
	1	Employment opportunities روزگار کے مواقع
	2	Skill development ہنر میں اضافہ
	3	Increased income آمدنی میں اضافہ
	4	Revival of small businesses چھوٹے کاروبار کی بحالی
	5	Temporary relocation عارضی نقل مکانی
	6	Loss of income آمدنی کا نقصان
SSC.12.3.1y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
SSC.12.4	52	Has any social dispute or conflict been recorded in this site? کیا اس مقام پر کوئی سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ ریکارڈ کیا گیا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 52 then continue with Q.# 53
		Otherwise goto Q.# 54
SSC.12.4.1	53	Select the social dispute or conflict recorded in this site? اس مقام پر ریکارڈ ہونے والا سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ منتخب کریں
	1	Community disagreements / کمیونٹی میں اختلافات
	2	Disputes over labor hiring / مزدور بھرتی پر تنازعات
	3	Gender-related disputes / مرد و عورت سے متعلق تنازعات
SSC.12.4.1y	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
SSC.12.5	54	Please indicate whether any indications of social tension, dissatisfaction, or other Social Safeguards related concerns have been observed within the VRC community? برائے مہربانی یہ بتائیں کہ آیا وی آر سی کمیونٹی کے اندر سماجی تنازعہ، عدم اطمینان، یا دیگر سماجی تحفظات سے متعلق کسی قسم کی علامات یا خدشات مشاہدے میں آئے ہیں؟
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 54 then continue with Q.# 55
		Otherwise goto Q.# 56
SSC.12.5.1	55	Select the cause of tension, dissatisfaction, or exclusion within the VRC? وی آر سی کمیونٹی میں کشیدگی، ناخوشی یا نظر انداز کیے جانے کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	Influential members took over the decision-making process / بااثر افراد فیصلہ کر رہے ہیں
	2	Unequal participation of women / خواتین کی غیر مساوی شرکت
	3	Marginalized or vulnerable groups feel overlooked / پسماندہ یا کمزور گروپ نظر انداز محسوس کر رہے ہیں
	4	Disagreements over resource distribution / وسائل کی تقسیم پر جھگڑے
	5	Lack of transparency has caused dissatisfaction / شفافیت نہ ہونے کی وجہ سے عدم اطمینان
	6	Participation opportunities are not equally accessible / شرکت کے مواقع سب کے لیے برابر نہیں ہیں
	7	Young men and women are being ignored / نوجوان مرد اور خواتین نظر انداز ہو رہے ہیں
SSC.12.5.1y	8	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Worker Safety		
WS.13.1	56	Has workplace safety training been imparted to the labor working at the construction site? کیا مزدوروں کو سائٹ پر حفاظت اور حفاظتی اصولوں کی تربیت دی گئی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.2	57	Project activities involve significant inflow of labor? کیا پروجیکٹ کی سرگرمیوں میں بڑی تعداد میں مزدور شامل ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.3	58	Is or Was local labor hired for the construction phase? کیا تعمیراتی مرحلے کے لیے مقامی مزدور بھرتی کیے گئے تھے یا ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.4	59	Child or forced labor at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر کم عمر افراد یا زبردستی کام کرنے والے مزدور استعمال ہو رہے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 59 then continue with Q.# 60
		Otherwise goto Q.# 61
WS.13.4.1	60	Specify the type of labor observed at the construction site? تعمیراتی مقام پر کام کرنے والے مزدوروں کی نوعیت کی نشاندہی کریں
	1	Child of the beneficiary or a relative / مستفید کنندہ یا اس کے رشتہ دار کا بچہ
	2	Non-relative/outsider / باہر والا شخص / غیر رشتہ دار
WS.13.5	61	Which of the following facilities available to workers? (تمام موزوں منتخب کریں) مزدوروں کو کون سی سہولیات دستیاب ہیں
	1	Drinking water at the construction or activity site
	2	Toilet / بیت الخلاء کی سہولت

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	3	None کوئی بھی نہیں
WS.13.6	62	Has any injury or other incident been reported at the site? کیا سائٹ پر کسی کو چوٹ لگنے یا دیگر کوئی واقعہ رپورٹ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 62 then continue with Q.# 63
		Otherwise goto Q.# 64
WS.13.6.1	63	In case of safety incidents or accidents, is regular reporting being done? اگر کوئی حفاظتی حادثہ یا واقعہ پیش آئے تو کیا اس کی باقاعدہ رپورٹنگ کی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
HRU-IFRAP - Environmental Protection and Enhancement		
		If "Plinth/ Lintel/ Roof/Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 64
		Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.1	64	Heavy dust observed at site due to the construction work? کیا تعمیراتی کام کی وجہ سے سائٹ پر شدید دھول دیکھی گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.2	65	Proper water sprinkling carried out at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر مناسب پانی چھڑکاؤ کیا گیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.3	66	Construction activities causing the following: (Please add a dropdown option and provide separate data for each indicator when reporting) رپورٹ کرتے وقت ہر اشارے کے لیے الگ ڈیٹا فراہم کریں (تعمیراتی سرگرمیاں درج ذیل کا سبب بن رہی ہیں (اور ڈراپ ڈاؤن آپشن شامل کریں)
	1	Noise شور
	2	Vibration ہلچل
	3	None کوئی نہیں
Description of possible impact of construction work fauna		
EPE.14.4	67	Construction work lead to disruption of wild life habitat? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے باعث جنگلی حیات کے مسکن میں خلل پیدا ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 67 then continue with Q.# 68
		Otherwise goto Q.# 69
EPE.14.5	68	Construction work lead to destruction of wild life habitat? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے باعث جنگلی حیات کے مسکن کو نقصان پہنچا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Description of possible impact of construction work flora		
EPE.14.6	69	Trees removed during the construction work? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران درخت کاٹے گئے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 69 then continue with Q.# 70
		Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.6.1	70	How many trees have been removed? کتنے درخت کاٹے گئے ہیں
		Write number
		If "Greater than zero" entered in Q.# 70 then continue with Q.# 71
		Otherwise goto Q.# 72
EPE.14.6.2	71	Has compensatory tree plantation carried out against the removed trees.(5 new trees will be planted against one chopped tree) بر کاٹے گئے درخت کے (کیا کاٹے گئے درختوں کے بدلے تلافی کے طور پر نئے درخت لگائے گئے ہیں؟ (نئے درخت لگائے جائیں گے 5 بدلے)
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.6.3	72	How many new plants have been planted by HRU? ایچ آر یو کی جانب سے کتنے نئے پودے لگائے گئے ہیں
		Write number
		If "Greater than zero" entered in Q.# 72 then continue with Q.# 73
		Otherwise goto Q.# 75

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
EPE.14.6.4	73	Take picture of planted sapling? لگانے گئے پودے کی تصویر لیں
EPE.14.6.5	74	Out of total how many plants have sustained? ایچ آر یو کی طرف سے لگانے گئے پودوں میں سے کتنے پودے باقی بچے ہیں
		Write number
Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)		
		If "Yes" in Q.# 2.1 then continue with Q.# 75
		Otherwise goto Q.# 77
GESI.15.1	75	Do women-headed households receiving equal access to scheme? کیا خواتین کی قیادت والے گھرانوں کو اسکیم میں مساوی مواقع مل رہے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 75 then continue with Q.# 76
		Otherwise goto Q.# 77
GESI.15.1.1	76	Briefly describe why women do not have equal access to the scheme? مختصر وجوہات بتائیں کہ خواتین اسکیم میں برابر حصہ کیوں نہیں لے پاتیں
GESI.15.2	77	Were women given orientation/awareness on minimum construction guideline, safe construction practices, grievance use, banking process? کیا خواتین کو محفوظ تعمیراتی طریقہ کار، شکایات کے اندراج کے طریقہ کار، اور بینکنگ عمل کے بارے میں کوئی آگاہی یا تربیتی سیشن فراہم کیے گئے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.15.3	78	Did you face any challenges during reconstruction? جی ہاں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 2.2 then continue with Q.# 79
		Otherwise goto Q.# 81
GESI.15.4	79	Were the needs of person with disability considered? کیا معذور خواتین کی ضروریات مدنظر رکھی گئیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.15.5	80	Were housing designs adapted to meet the accessibility needs of persons with disabilities/elderly (ramps, handrails, wider doors)? ریمپس، ہینڈ ریلز، چوڑے دروازے (دروازے) کیا رہائشی ڈیزائن کو معذور یا بزرگ افراد کی رسائی کے مطابق بنایا گیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)		
GRM.16.1	81	Do you know about the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں معلوم ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 81 then continue with Q.# 82
		Otherwise goto Q.# 97
GRM.16.1.1	82	Through which medium did you learn about the GRM? (Select all that apply) آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں کیسے پتہ چلا
	1	Community meeting کمیونٹی اجلاس
	2	Social Mobilizer سماجی رابطہ کار / سوشل موبلائزر
	3	Banner / Poster پوسٹر / بینر
	4	Radio ریڈیو
	5	Television ٹی وی / ٹیلی ویژن
	6	Social media سوشل میڈیا
GRM.16.1.1y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
GRM.16.2	83	Is the GRM banner or information display visible in your area? کیا آپ کے علاقے میں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کا بینر یا معلوماتی بورڈ دکھائی دیتا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 83 then continue with Q.# 83.1
		Otherwise goto Q.# 84
GRM.16.2.2	83.1	Take picture of GRM banner or information display visible in your area? اپنے علاقے میں نظر آنے والے جی آر ایم بینر یا معلوماتی ڈسپلے کی تصویر لیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
GRM.16.3	84	Have you received any orientation about how to use the GRM? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو استعمال کرنے کے بارے میں کوئی تربیت دی گئی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.4	85	Were women in your households able to access the GRM or understand how to use it? کیا آپ کے گھر کی خواتین شکایات کے اندراج کے نظام تک پہنچ سکتی تھیں یا اسے استعمال کرنے کا طریقہ سمجھتی تھیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.5	86	Have you ever submitted a complaint through the GRM? کیا آپ نے کبھی شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے ذریعے شکایت دی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 86 then continue with Q.# 87
		Otherwise goto Q.# 96
GRM.16.5.1	87	Write nature of your complaint to GRM? آپ نے جو شکایتیں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار میں کی ہیں، ان کی تفصیل لکھیں
GRM.16.5.2	88	How did you/other submit the complaint? جمع کرائی آپ یا کسی اور نے شکایت کیسے جمع کرائی
	1	Phone Call فون کال
	2	Whatsapp واٹس ایپ
	3	Complaint Box شکایت باکس
	4	In-Person Visit to Office دفتر میں خود جا کر شکایت کی
	5	Social Media Page سوشل میڈیا پیج
	6	Web Site Complaint Form ویب سائٹ پر شکایت فارم
GRM.16.5.2y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.5.3	89	Did you find complaint submission process convenient? جمع کیا آپ کو جی آر ایم شکایت جمع کروانے کا عمل آسان لگا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.6	90	Was your complaint resolved? کیا آپ کی شکایت حل ہو گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Inprocess Inprocess تکمیل کے مراحل میں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 90 then continue with Q.# 91
		Otherwise goto Q.# 95
GRM.16.6.1	91	How much time it took for resolution? (days) مسئلے کے حل میں کتنا وقت لگا
GRM.16.6.2	92	How was it resolved? شکایت کو کس طرح حل کیا گیا
	1	Direct Action taken براہ راست کارروائی کی گئی
	2	Information Given معلومات فراہم کی گئیں
GRM.16.6.2y	3	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.6.3	93	Were you satisfied with the response you received? کیا آپ شکایت کے حل سے مطمئن ہیں
	1	Very satisfied بہت مطمئن
	2	Somewhat satisfied کچھ حد تک مطمئن
	3	Not satisfied مطمئن نہیں
		If "Not satisfied" selected in Q.# 93 then continue with Q.# 94
		Otherwise goto Q.# 96
GRM.16.6.3.1	94	If Not Satisfied then please define the reason? اگر مطمئن نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم وجہ بیان کریں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 90 then continue with Q.# 95
		Otherwise goto Q.# 96
GRM.16.6.4	95	Why was it not resolved? شکایت کا ازالہ کیوں نہیں ہوا
	1	Lack of response جواب نہیں ملا
	2	Still waiting for action اب بھی کارروائی کا انتظار
	3	Don't know معلوم نہیں
GRM.16.6.4y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.7	96	In your opinion, How can the GRM be improved? آپ کی رائے میں، شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو کیسے بہتر بنایا جا سکتا ہے
	1	Faster response time جواب جلدی ملے
	2	More awareness campaigns آگاہی بڑھانی جائے
	3	Better follow-up and feedback پیروی اور جوابدہی بہتر بنائی جائے
GRM.16.7y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
Construction Components Verification (Depends on Site Location District-Wise)		
		If "Plinth, Lintel, Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then cover question from 97 to 107
		In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.1	97	Is the excavation depth between 1 ft and 2.5 ft based on soil conditions? فٹ تک مٹی کی صورتحال کے مطابق رکھی جاتی ہے 2.5 فٹ سے 1 کیا کھدائی کی گہرائی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 97 then continue with Q.# 98
		Otherwise goto Q.# 99
CCV.17.1.1	98	Take a picture for visual evidence? تصویر لیں
CCV.17.2	99	Is a 1.5 ft to 3 ft raised platform above the regular flood level with compacted earth visible? فٹ اونچا پلیٹ فارم سیلابی سطح سے اوپر دیباہی گئی مٹی کے ساتھ دکھائی دیتا ہے 3 فٹ سے 1.5 کیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 99 then continue with Q.# 100
		Otherwise goto Q.# 101
CCV.17.2.1	100	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.3	101	Does the 1st step of footing meet the minimum width (18" for brick, 20" for stone/block) and depth (21" for brick, 2 ft for stone/block)? بلاک /انچ، پتھر 18 اینچ کے لیے) کیا فائونڈیشن کے پہلے درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم معیار پر پوری اترتی ہے (فٹ 2 بلاک کے لیے /انچ، پتھر 21 اینچ کے لیے) اور گہرائی (انچ 20 کے لیے) پوری ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 101 then continue with Q.# 102
		Otherwise goto Q.# 103
CCV.17.3.1	102	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.4	103	Is the last step width at least 13.5" (brick) or 12" (stone/block) up to plinth level? تک پلنٹھ لیول (بلاک کے لیے/پتھر) انچ 12 یا (اینچ کے لیے) 13.5 کیا آخری درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم تک ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 103 then continue with Q.# 104
		Otherwise goto Q.# 105
CCV.17.4.1	104	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.5	105	Is the plinth level 1.5 ft to 3 ft higher than the normal surface level? فٹ بلند ہے 3 سے 2 کیا پلنٹھ لیول معمول کی سطح سے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 105 then continue with Q.# 106
		Otherwise goto Q.# 107
CCV.17.5.1	106	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.6	107	Is a polythene sheet (optional not in MCG protocols) provided for damp proofing on the plinth beam? مہیا کی گئی ہے (پولی تھین شیٹ) کیا پلنٹھ بیم پر ڈیمپ پروفنگ کے لیے پلاسٹک شیٹ
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 107 then continue with Q.# 108
		Otherwise goto Q.# 109
CCV.17.6.1	108	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Lintel, Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then cover question from 109 to 112
		In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.7	109	Does the wall thickness meet the minimum: 9" (brick), 8" (block), 8-10" (stone)? انچ، اور 8 انچ، بلاک کے لیے 9 اینچ کے لیے: کیا دیوار کی موٹائی مقررہ کم از کم پیمانے کے مطابق ہے انچ 10 سے 8 پتھر کے لیے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 109 then continue with Q.# 110
		Otherwise goto Q.# 111
CCV.17.7.1	110	Take a picture for visual evidence.

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
CCV.17.8	111	How many ventilator provided? Numbers: _____ کتنے وینٹیلیٹرز پوائنٹ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.17.9	112	How many Windows? Numbers: _____ کتنی کھڑکیاں لگائی گئی ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.17.10	113	Roofing type installed correctly (RCC/ T.iron Girder, Precast Girde Bamboo-Grider, and GI Sheet)? (ٹی آئرن گرڈر، پری کاسٹ گرڈر اور جی آئی شیٹ / RCC) چھت کی قسم درست طریقے سے نصب ہوئی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 113 then continue with Q.# 114
		Otherwise goto Q.# 115
CCV.17.10.1	114	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 115
		In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.11	115	Is a 3-6" slope provided with drain pipes for water drainage? انچ کی ڈھلوان کے ساتھ ڈرین پائپ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں 3-6" کیا پانی کے نکاس کے لیے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 115 then continue with Q.# 116
		Otherwise goto Q.# 117
CCV.17.11.1	116	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 2.2 disability then continue with Q.# 117 to Q.# 119
		Otherwise goto Q.# 121
CCV.17.12	117	Is a 1:12 ramp with handrails installed for accessibility? تناسب والا ریمپ ہینڈریل کے ساتھ لگایا گیا ہے 1:12 کیا معذور افراد کے لیے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 117 then continue with Q.# 118
		Otherwise goto Q.# 119
CCV.17.12.1	118	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.13	119	Are tactile markers or railings present for visually impaired individuals? کیا نابینا یا کم بینائی والے افراد کے لیے ٹیکٹائل مارکرز یا ریلنگز موجود ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 119 then continue with Q.# 120
		Otherwise goto Q.# 121
CCV.17.13.1	120	Take a picture for visual evidence.
Perception & Experience		
		If "Plinth, Lintel, Roof, Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 121
		In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
PE.18.1	121	Did you face material shortages? کیا آپ کو تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 121 then continue with Q.# 122
		Otherwise goto Q.# 123
PE.18.2	122	Which material shortage did you face? (Select all that apply) (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں) آپ کو کون سے تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا
	1	Cement سیمنٹ
	2	Bricks/Blocks بلاک / اینٹیں
	3	Sand ریت
	4	Crush/Gravel کریش / بجرے
	5	Steel/Bars لوہے کی سلاخیں / اسٹیل
	6	Wood/Timber بانس / لکڑی
	7	Paint/Finishing Items فینشنگ کے اشیاء / رنگ
PE.18.2y	8	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
PE.18.3	123	Is there anything about the process that caused you concern or dissatisfaction? کیا تعمیر کے اس سارے عمل میں کوئی ایسی بات تھی جس سے آپ کو تشویش یا عدم اطمینان ہوا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 123 then continue with Q.# 124
		Otherwise goto Q.# 125

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
PE.18.3.1	124	Select the cause of dissatisfaction? عدم اطمینان کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	IP Related سے متعلق (نفاذ کرنے والا پارٹنر) آئی پی
	2	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر
	3	Fianancial Favorus Asked مالی فوائد حاصل کرنے کی کوشش کی
PE.18.3.1y	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Site Observations		
SO.19.1	125	Are any signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG? کیا کم از کم معیار کے مطابق ناقص کاریگری یا کسی قسم کی خرابی کی علامات نظر آ رہی ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 125 then continue with Q.# 126
		Otherwise goto Q.# 128
SO.19.1.1	126	What type of sign of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Select all that apply) (تمام موزوں آپشن منتخب کریں) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی بھی خرابی کی قسم کون سی ہے؟
	1	Uncompacted soil ڈھلی ہوئی مٹی / غیر مضبوط
	2	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet فٹ سے کم 2-3 پلنٹھ لیول معمولی سطح سے
	3	Misaligned walls دیواریں غیر سیدھی یا غلط پوزیشن میں
	4	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used بامبو، ٹی آئرن، گرڈر، چھپر، جی آئی شیٹ، یا پری کاسٹ کنکریٹ استعمال نہیں ہوا
SO.19.1.1y	5	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
SO.19.1.2	127	Take picture of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Max 3 Pictures) (تصاویر 3 زیادہ سے زیادہ) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی خرابی کی تصویر لیں؟
SO.19.2	128	Were any issues raised by community members or stakeholders? کیا کسی بھی مسئلے کی نشاندہی کمیونٹی کے ارکان یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز نے کی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 128 then continue with Q.# 129
		Otherwise goto Q.# 130
SO.19.2.1	129	Select issues type raised by the community or stakeholders کمیونٹی یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز کی جانب سے اٹھائے گئے مسائل منتخب کریں
	1	Shortage of Material سامان کی کمی
	2	Lack of trained skilled labor ماہرانہ تربیت یافتہ مزدور کی کمی
	3	Substandard construction ناقص تعمیر / معیاری سے کم تعمیرات
	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
SO.19.2.1y	130	General Observations of M&E Field Staff? (optional) (if any) (اگر کوئی ہوں) رائے؟ / ایم اینڈ ای فیلڈ اسٹاف کے خیالات

E. DETAILED INDICATOR PROGRESS TABLE (DISAGGREGATION)

E-1. Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition

1 - Women Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	5	44	49
Chaman	9	11	20
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	5	25	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	32	54	86
Jhal Magsi	6	55	61
Kachhi	4	70	74
Kalat	1	10	11
Khuzdar	2	39	41
Kohlu	1	56	57
Loralai	5	11	16
Mastung	3	13	16
Musakhel	4	40	44
Noshki	9	22	31
Pishin	0	11	11
Sherani	0	9	9
Sibi	5	35	40
Sohbatpur	3	8	11
Surab	1	28	29
Usta Muhammad	14	57	71
Zhob	3	10	13
Ziarat	5	16	21
Overall	117	647	764

2 - Disabled Person Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	48	49
Chaman	0	20	20
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	4	82	86
Jhal Magsi	0	61	61
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	0	11	11
Khuzdar	2	39	41
Kohlu	1	56	57
Loralai	0	16	16
Mastung	5	11	16
Musakhel	0	44	44
Noshki	1	30	31
Pishin	1	10	11
Sherani	1	8	9
Sibi	1	39	40
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	1	70	71
Zhob	2	11	13
Ziarat	0	21	21
Overall	21	743	764

3 - Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries								
District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
Chaman	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Dera Bugti	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Duki	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Jaffarabad	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
Jhal Magsi	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
Kachhi	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Kalat	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Khuzdar	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
Kohlu	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
Loralai	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Mastung	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Musakhel	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
Noshki	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Pishin	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Sherani	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Sibi	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Surab	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
Zhob	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Ziarat	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Overall	764	0	0	0	0	0	0	764

4 - Male and Female Beneficiaries				
District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	146	119	0	265
Chaman	68	52	0	120
Dera Bugti	40	28	0	68
Duki	83	81	0	164
Gwadar	28	15	0	43
Jaffarabad	231	224	0	455
Jhal Magsi	172	161	0	333
Kachhi	164	154	0	318
Kalat	30	31	0	61
Khuzdar	116	106	0	222
Kohlu	158	127	0	285
Loralai	60	48	0	108
Mastung	41	55	0	96
Musakhel	148	98	0	246
Noshki	92	81	0	173
Pishin	29	23	0	52
Sherani	26	20	0	46
Sibi	107	102	0	209
Sohbatpur	36	31	0	67
Surab	65	60	0	125
Usta Muhammad	184	180	0	364
Zhob	40	28	0	68
Ziarat	67	70	0	137
Overall	2131	1894	0	4025

5 - Family Members												
District	Male Members			Female Members			Special Categories					
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/ Lactating	None
Barkhan	146	34	1	119	26	1	0	0	0	5	2	112
Chaman	68	17	0	52	11	1	0	0	0	2	2	48
Dera Bugti	40	8	0	28	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Duki	83	15	0	81	12	0	0	0	0	2	5	74
Gwadar	28	10	0	15	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	13
Jaffarabad	236	61	9	228	30	4	2	0	0	1	6	219
Jhal Magsi	172	31	0	161	14	0	0	0	1	1	18	142
Kachhi	164	26	0	154	8	0	0	0	0	4	16	134
Kalat	30	3	0	31	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Khuzdar	116	25	0	106	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	104
Kohlu	158	24	0	127	18	0	0	0	0	0	6	121
Loralai	60	11	0	48	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	44
Mastung	41	9	3	55	12	5	0	1	3	1	0	50
Musakhel	148	43	1	98	22	0	2	1	0	5	4	88
Noshki	92	17	2	81	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	80
Pishin	29	7	2	23	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	22
Sherani	26	4	1	20	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	20
Sibi	107	22	1	102	18	1	0	1	0	0	13	88
Sohbatpur	36	7	1	31	9	2	0	0	0	1	0	30
Surab	67	12	0	60	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
Usta Muhammad	184	39	1	180	36	1	0	0	0	6	0	174
Zhob	40	12	2	28	5	1	0	0	0	3	0	25
Ziarat	67	16	0	70	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	69
Overall	2138	453	24	1898	304	20	6	3	4	35	77	1776

E-2. Stakeholders Present During Visit

6 - Stakeholders Present During the Visit (Multiple Choice)					
District	VRC Member	Community Representative	IP Project Staff	None	Other
Barkhan	1	0	47	1	0
Chaman	0	9	9	2	0
Dera Bugti	14	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	30	0
Gwadar	8	8	8	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	85	8	1	0
Jhal Magsi	10	59	20	0	0
Kachhi	1	53	9	11	0
Kalat	11	11	11	0	0
Khuzdar	41	41	41	0	0
Kohlu	57	0	0	0	0
Loralai	1	1	0	15	0
Mastung	15	0	12	0	0
Musakhel	4	0	40	0	0
Noshki	0	0	31	0	0
Pishin	0	0	11	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	9
Sibi	0	36	7	0	0
Sohbatpur	1	11	0	0	0
Surab	28	28	28	1	0
Usta Muhammad	19	71	2	0	0
Zhob	0	13	0	0	0
Ziarat	2	0	19	0	0

E-3. Land and Property Documentation Tracker

7 - Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	49
Chaman	20	0	20
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	86	0	86
Jhal Magsi	61	0	61
Kachhi	74	0	74
Kalat	11	0	11
Khuzdar	41	0	41
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	16	0	16
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	44	0	44
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	11	0	11
Sherani	9	0	9
Sibi	40	0	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	29	0	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	21	0	21
Overall	764	0	764

8 - Type of Property Ownership Documentation Available								
District	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49
Chaman	1	3	0	0	0	16	0	20
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	1	0	0	0	0	85	0	86
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	61	0	61
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	74	0	74
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	41
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	57
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16
Mastung	0	0	0	0	1	14	1	16
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	44
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31
Pishin	1	0	0	0	0	10	0	11
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Sibi	0	0	0	0	1	39	0	40
Sohbatpur	2	1	0	0	0	8	0	11
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	71
Zhob	2	0	0	0	0	11	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	21
Overall	7	4	0	0	2	750	1	764

9 - Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents					
District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	47	2	0	49
Chaman	0	9	7	0	16
Dera Bugti	0	0	14	0	14
Duki	3	27	0	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	1	76	8	0	85
Jhal Magsi	0	0	61	0	61
Kachhi	0	0	74	0	74
Kalat	0	0	11	0	11
Khuzdar	0	1	40	0	41
Kohlu	0	1	56	0	57
Loralai	14	2	0	0	16
Mastung	2	10	2	0	14
Musakhel	0	7	37	0	44
Noshki	0	27	4	0	31
Pishin	0	8	2	0	10
Sherani	0	7	2	0	9
Sibi	0	39	0	0	39
Sohbatpur	0	8	0	0	8
Surab	0	0	29	0	29
Usta Muhammad	0	65	6	0	71
Zhob	0	9	2	0	11
Ziarat	3	9	9	0	21
Overall	23	352	375	0	750

10 - Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	20	20
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	86	86
Jhal Magsi	0	61	61
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	11	11
Khuzdar	0	41	41
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	16	16
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	44	44
Noshki	1	30	31
Pishin	0	11	11
Sherani	0	9	9
Sibi	0	40	40
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	21	21
Overall	1	763	764

E-4. House Reconstruction Status

11 - Type of House to Be Constructed				
District	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Overall
Barkhan	5	3	41	49
Chaman	6	4	10	20
Dera Bugti	0	0	14	14
Duki	5	0	25	30
Gwadar	0	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	86	0	0	86
Jhal Magsi	23	0	38	61
Kachhi	66	0	8	74
Kalat	0	0	11	11
Khuzdar	0	0	41	41
Kohlu	1	0	56	57
Loralai	1	8	7	16
Mastung	15	1	0	16
Musakhel	10	9	25	44
Noshki	5	3	23	31
Pishin	0	1	10	11
Sherani	0	0	9	9
Sibi	40	0	0	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	0	11
Surab	0	0	29	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	0	71
Zhob	3	9	1	13
Ziarat	10	4	7	21
Overall	358	42	364	764

12 - House Construction Stage at Time of Visit						
District	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	6	12	7	23	1	49
Chaman	5	4	1	7	3	20
Dera Bugti	2	0	1	11	0	14
Duki	1	17	6	6	0	30
Gwadar	1	3	2	0	3	9
Jaffarabad	0	2	15	55	14	86
Jhal Magsi	10	25	10	12	4	61
Kachhi	0	0	2	71	1	74
Kalat	0	3	4	0	4	11
Khuzdar	6	11	18	3	3	41
Kohlu	6	6	11	34	0	57
Loralai	2	3	3	2	6	16
Mastung	6	2	5	3	0	16
Musakhel	2	1	2	35	4	44
Noshki	5	6	8	9	3	31
Pishin	0	7	1	2	1	11
Sherani	1	0	0	6	2	9
Sibi	1	3	7	20	9	40
Sohbatpur	0	1	3	7	0	11
Surab	1	13	8	1	6	29
Usta Muhammad	0	5	14	52	0	71
Zhob	2	8	2	1	0	13
Ziarat	7	3	2	3	6	21
Overall	64	135	132	363	70	764

13 - Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level				
District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	2	25
Chaman	5	5	0	10
Dera Bugti	1	2	0	3
Duki	14	0	10	24
Gwadar	1	5	0	6
Jaffarabad	8	8	1	17
Jhal Magsi	7	38	0	45
Kachhi	0	2	0	2
Kalat	3	4	0	7
Khuzdar	16	19	0	35
Kohlu	14	9	0	23
Loralai	4	3	1	8
Mastung	7	6	0	13
Musakhel	2	0	3	5
Noshki	12	5	2	19
Pishin	5	3	0	8
Sherani	0	1	0	1
Sibi	6	5	0	11
Sohbatpur	2	2	0	4
Surab	13	9	0	22
Usta Muhammad	18	1	0	19
Zhob	4	6	2	12
Ziarat	7	5	0	12
Overall	172	138	21	331

14 - Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice)									
District	Land Dispute	Tranche Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Seasonal Effect	Bank Delay	Water Shortage	Delay Due to Death	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Jhal Magsi	0	12	23	21	0	0	3	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Kalat	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Khuzdar	0	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	2	2	0	3	0	0	1	1	0
Loralai	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	3	2	3	0	0	3	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
Pishin	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	1	0	4	0	0	5	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Zhob	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	1	5	1	0	1	0	0	0

E-5. Payment Tranche Released Status

15 - Payment Received to Date			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	49
Chaman	19	1	20
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	76	10	86
Jhal Magsi	60	1	61
Kachhi	74	0	74
Kalat	7	4	11
Khuzdar	38	3	41
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	1	16
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	4	44
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	1	11
Sherani	7	2	9
Sibi	31	9	40
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	5	29
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	1	21
Overall	722	42	764

16 - How many tranches have been received so far					
District	1st Tranche	2nd Tranche	3rd Tranche	4th Tranche	Overall
Barkhan	5	10	11	23	49
Chaman	12	4	3	0	19
Dera Bugti	1	1	5	7	14
Duki	1	20	5	4	30
Gwadar	3	3	3	0	9
Jaffarabad	0	2	22	52	76
Jhal Magsi	11	3	34	12	60
Kachhi	0	1	0	73	74
Kalat	0	2	5	0	7
Khuzdar	6	9	18	5	38
Kohlu	4	9	22	22	57
Loralai	9	1	5	0	15
Mastung	4	4	5	3	16
Musakhel	2	3	2	33	40
Noshki	8	8	9	6	31
Pishin	0	7	1	2	10
Sherani	1	0	1	5	7
Sibi	0	9	5	17	31
Sohbatpur	0	2	4	5	11
Surab	1	9	11	3	24
Usta Muhammad	0	2	25	44	71
Zhob	0	8	4	1	13
Ziarat	11	6	3	0	20
Overall	79	123	203	317	722

17 - Payments received in the last tranche? Amount (PKR)	
District	TRI.7.1.3
Barkhan	87755.1
Chaman	110526.3
Dera Bugti	78571.4
Duki	126666.7
Gwadar	116666.7
Jaffarabad	66644.7
Jhal Magsi	92500.0
Kachhi	51351.4
Kalat	114285.7
Khuzdar	105263.2
Kohlu	89473.7
Loralai	103333.3
Mastung	100000.0
Musakhel	63750.0
Noshki	103225.8
Pishin	125000.0
Sherani	64285.7
Sibi	85483.9
Sohbatpur	81636.4
Surab	112500.0
Usta Muhammad	73647.9
Zhob	126923.1
Ziarat	108250.0

18 - Source of Information on Tranche Release						
District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	49	0	0	0	0	49
Chaman	11	8	0	0	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	0	0	0	14
Duki	26	1	3	0	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	0	0	0	9
Jaffarabad	76	0	0	0	0	76
Jhal Magsi	60	0	0	0	0	60
Kachhi	69	0	5	0	0	74
Kalat	7	0	0	0	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	0	0	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	0	0	0	57
Loralai	5	7	3	0	0	15
Mastung	1	15	0	0	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	0	0	0	40
Noshki	23	8	0	0	0	31
Pishin	10	0	0	0	0	10
Sherani	2	1	3	1	0	7
Sibi	31	0	0	0	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	0	0	0	11
Surab	24	0	0	0	0	24
Usta Muhammad	64	3	4	0	0	71
Zhob	1	12	0	0	0	13
Ziarat	16	4	0	0	0	20
Overall	644	59	18	1	0	722

19 - Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	4	15	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	1	75	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	3	7	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	1	70	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	3	17	20
Overall	13	709	722

20 - Reasons for Problems in Receiving Tranche (Multiple Choice)				
District	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff	Bank staff didn't support or linger-on the process	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Chaman	4	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	1	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0
Pishin	3	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	1	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0	3

E-6. Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)

21 - Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	4	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	19	57	76
Jhal Magsi	7	53	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	56	1	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	28	31
Sohbatpur	10	1	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	66	5	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	497	225	722

22 - Status of VRC Formation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	0	45
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	16	3	19
Jhal Magsi	7	0	7
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	0	37
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	0	3
Sohbatpur	10	0	10
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	62	4	66
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	490	7	497

23 - VRC Membership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	0	45
Chaman	18	1	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	11	5	16
Jhal Magsi	0	7	7
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	34	3	37
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	4	12	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	1	3
Sohbatpur	5	5	10
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	29	33	62
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	403	87	490

24 - VRC Position / Role				
District	Chairperson	Secretary	Member	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	45	45
Chaman	0	0	18	18
Dera Bugti	0	0	14	14
Duki	1	0	29	30
Gwadar	0	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	0	11	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	0	34	34
Kohlu	0	0	56	56
Loralai	0	0	15	15
Mastung	1	0	3	4
Musakhel	0	0	40	40
Noshki	1	0	30	31
Pishin	0	0	10	10
Sherani	1	0	6	7
Sibi	0	0	2	2
Sohbatpur	0	1	4	5
Surab	0	0	23	23
Usta Muhammad	2	6	21	29
Zhob	0	1	12	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	0
Overall	6	8	389	403

25 - Training on VRC Roles and Responsibilities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	44	1	45
Chaman	18	0	18
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	10	1	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	6	1	7
Khuzdar	31	3	34
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	4	0	4
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	0	2
Sohbatpur	5	0	5
Surab	22	1	23
Usta Muhammad	28	1	29
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	394	9	403

26 - VRC Consultation Meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	0	45
Chaman	18	0	18
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	11	0	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	34	34
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	4	0	4
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	0	2
Sohbatpur	5	0	5
Surab	0	23	23
Usta Muhammad	29	0	29
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	330	73	403

27 - Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings							
District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed/Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	45	0	45
Chaman	0	0	0	0	18	0	18
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Duki	0	0	0	1	29	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	56	0	56
Loralai	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
Mastung	0	0	0	3	1	0	4
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	40	0	40
Noshki	1	0	0	6	24	0	31
Pishin	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Sherani	0	0	0	0	7	0	7
Sibi	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
Zhob	0	0	0	1	12	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	1	0	0	11	318	0	330

28 - VRC Member's Views on Women in Reconstruction					
District	Strongly supportive	Somewhat supportive	Neutral	Unsupportive	Overall
Barkhan	4	2	39	0	45
Chaman	12	6	0	0	18
Dera Bugti	14	0	0	0	14
Duki	0	5	25	0	30
Gwadar	0	9	0	0	9
Jaffarabad	0	11	0	0	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	7	0	0	7
Khuzdar	1	30	3	0	34
Kohlu	53	3	0	0	56
Loralai	1	5	9	0	15
Mastung	1	3	0	0	4
Musakhel	6	3	31	0	40
Noshki	12	19	0	0	31
Pishin	0	10	0	0	10
Sherani	4	3	0	0	7
Sibi	0	1	1	0	2
Sohbatpur	0	5	0	0	5
Surab	0	19	4	0	23
Usta Muhammad	0	29	0	0	29
Zhob	0	13	0	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	108	183	112	0	403

29 - Assistance by VRC in Housing Reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	0	45
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	19	0	19
Jhal Magsi	4	3	7
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	37	37
Kohlu	55	1	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	30	1	31
Pishin	7	3	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	0	3
Sohbatpur	10	0	10
Surab	0	23	23
Usta Muhammad	66	0	66
Zhob	12	1	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	412	85	497

30 - VRC Assistance in Housing Reconstruction (Multiple Choice)						
District	Helped resolve disputes	Guided construction	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams	Assisted vulnerable households	Shared information with the community	Guided on Grievance submission and process
Barkhan	0	0	45	0	0	0
Chaman	19	19	3	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	14	0	0
Duki	0	30	1	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	19	19	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	2	4	1	1	4	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	2	0	52	1	0
Loralai	0	15	3	0	0	0
Mastung	2	15	6	2	12	7
Musakhel	0	0	40	0	0	0
Noshki	30	30	5	0	1	0
Pishin	7	7	0	0	0	0
Sherani	7	7	1	0	0	0
Sibi	0	1	0	0	2	0
Sohbatpur	0	10	5	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	66	12	1	0	0
Zhob	12	12	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	6	17	9	1	18	19

E-7. VRC Community LED Construction Beyond IFRAP

31 - Community-Led Construction Beyond IFRAP Support				
District	Yes	No	Don't Know	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	0	49
Chaman	0	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	14	14
Duki	0	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	0	4	72	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	0	60
Kachhi	0	74	0	74
Kalat	0	7	0	7
Khuzdar	0	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	0	57	57
Loralai	0	1	14	15
Mastung	0	9	7	16
Musakhel	0	40	0	40
Noshki	0	31	0	31
Pishin	0	10	0	10
Sherani	0	7	0	7
Sibi	0	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	0	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	0	13
Ziarat	1	3	16	20
Overall	1	398	323	722

32 - Construction or Improvement Activities (Multiple Choice)								
District	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Community center	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

33 - Sources of Funding for Activities (Multiple Choice)					
District	Community pooled funds	Donor/NGO support	Labor contribution	Leftover material reuse	Don't know
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	1	0	0	0

34 - Effect of Additional Activities on Living Conditions					
District	Significantly	Moderately	Not much	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	1	0	0	1
Overall	0	1	0	0	1

35 - Impact of VRC Efforts on Community Cohesion			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	1	0	1
Overall	1	0	1

36 - Unmet Urgent Needs of the Village (Multiple Choice)									
District	Basic Health Facility	Primary School	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Other
Barkhan	33	3	45	47	6	0	0	0	9
Chaman	18	19	11	1	0	1	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14
Duki	30	30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	7	2	0	2	0	0	1
Jaffarabad	2	5	36	43	1	2	0	0	5
Jhal Magsi	53	33	46	56	2	40	16	0	0
Kachhi	42	31	21	42	9	33	16	7	2
Kalat	1	1	5	4	0	0	0	0	1
Khuzdar	0	0	16	28	1	0	0	0	3
Kohlu	6	4	2	3	0	1	0	0	50
Loralai	15	15	7	2	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	11	2	15	10	0	0	2	0	0
Musakhel	18	18	20	37	6	0	0	0	0
Noshki	31	31	30	8	1	1	0	0	0
Pishin	10	10	8	4	0	0	1	0	0
Sherani	7	7	7	6	1	0	0	0	0
Sibi	2	0	20	22	1	0	0	0	8
Sohbatpur	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	7	8	21	18	1	0	0	0	2
Usta Muhammad	19	1	55	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	13	13	12	7	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	19	9	12	8	0	0	0	0	0

E-8. Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

37 - Average Distance to Nearest Water Channel	
District	DRR.10.1
Barkhan	818.4
Chaman	854.3
Dera Bugti	5000.0
Duki	420.0
Gwadar	455.6
Jaffarabad	792.1
Jhal Magsi	1047.5
Kachhi	3125.7
Kalat	4428.6
Khuzdar	882.4
Kohlu	5000.0
Loralai	308.0
Mastung	3359.4
Musakhel	512.5
Noshki	368.9
Pishin	525.0
Sherani	285.0
Sibi	645.2
Sohbatpur	204.5
Surab	3716.7
Usta Muhammad	117.0
Zhob	443.1
Ziarat	3585.0

38 - Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	67	9	76
Jhal Magsi	6	54	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	8	8	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	30	1	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	3	17	20
Overall	274	448	722

39 - Types of Hazard (Multiple Choice)									
District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	65	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	5	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0

40 - Previous Flood Impact on Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	36	13	49
Chaman	2	17	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	76	0	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	1	56	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	15	1	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	70	1	71
Zhob	2	11	13
Ziarat	19	1	20
Overall	380	342	722

41 - Low-Lying Area Status of Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	5	4	9
Jaffarabad	67	9	76
Jhal Magsi	6	54	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	12	4	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	2	18	20
Overall	272	450	722

42 - Proper Flow of Rainwater			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	42	7	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	9	0	9
Jaffarabad	68	8	76
Jhal Magsi	10	50	60
Kachhi	35	39	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	14	1	15
Mastung	12	4	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	12	19	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	523	199	722

43 - Earthquakes in the Past 25 Years			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	45	4	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	1	14	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	2	18	20
Overall	237	485	722

44 - House Damage Due to Earthquake			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	45	45
Chaman	6	13	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	1	1
Mastung	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	4	6	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	2	2
Overall	10	227	237

45 - History of Land Erosion or Landslides			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	1	15	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	1	10	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	2	69	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	4	718	722

46 - Site in Flood-Prone or Water Flow Areas			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	7	2	9
Jaffarabad	23	53	76
Jhal Magsi	4	56	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	8	8	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	2	29	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	196	526	722

47 - Disaster Risk Reduction Measures in the Area (Multiple Choice)							
District	Flood Protection Wall	Raised Structure	Gabian Wall	Diversion Canal	Check Dam	None	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	38	11	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0	19	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
Duki	15	27	1	0	0	3	0
Gwadar	1	8	1	5	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	6	7	0	0	0	63	0
Jhal Magsi	7	6	5	3	2	53	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	1	73	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	38	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	57	0
Loralai	12	13	2	0	0	1	0
Mastung	0	0	0	0	0	16	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	15	25	0
Noshki	2	2	2	0	0	29	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
Sherani	2	2	2	0	0	5	0
Sibi	1	14	0	0	0	16	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	24	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	71	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0	13	0
Ziarat	0	11	0	0	0	9	0

E-9. Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)

48 - Training on MCG by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	41	8	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	13	1	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	22	54	76
Jhal Magsi	2	58	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	56	1	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	28	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	68	3	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	494	228	722

49 - Participation in IP-Organized MCG Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	31	10	41
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	13	0	13
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	8	0	8
Jaffarabad	21	1	22
Jhal Magsi	1	1	2
Kachhi	1	0	1
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	56	0	56
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	3	0	3
Sohbatpur	10	1	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	54	14	68
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	467	27	494

50 - MCG Awareness Post-Training (Multiple Choice)				
District	Plinth height	Lintel height	Roof Type	Other (please specify)
Barkhan	31	31	30	0
Chaman	19	19	19	0
Dera Bugti	1	2	10	0
Duki	30	30	30	0
Gwadar	7	7	8	0
Jaffarabad	19	19	21	0
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1	0
Kachhi	1	1	1	0
Kalat	7	7	7	0
Khuzdar	38	38	38	0
Kohlu	9	18	37	0
Loralai	15	15	15	0
Mastung	16	16	16	0
Musakhel	40	40	40	0
Noshki	31	31	31	0
Pishin	10	10	10	0
Sherani	7	7	7	0
Sibi	1	3	2	0
Sohbatpur	10	10	10	0
Surab	23	23	23	0
Usta Muhammad	54	54	54	0
Zhob	13	13	13	0
Ziarat	20	20	19	0

E-10. Social Safeguard Compliance (SSC)

51 - Social Safeguards Awareness for Community			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	44	5	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	22	54	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	29	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	70	1	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	498	224	722

52 - Participation in Social Safeguards Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	30	14	44
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	8	0	8
Jaffarabad	14	8	22
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	14	1	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	0	2	2
Sohbatpur	9	2	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	51	19	70
Zhob	11	2	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	450	48	498

53 - Impact of Reconstruction on Livelihoods			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	9	10	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	64	12	76
Jhal Magsi	60	0	60
Kachhi	65	9	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	1	37	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	16	15	31
Pishin	2	8	10
Sherani	4	3	7
Sibi	30	1	31
Sohbatpur	7	4	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	3	68	71
Zhob	2	11	13
Ziarat	15	5	20
Overall	339	383	722

54 - Social Conflicts Observed or Reported			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

55 - Signs of Social Tension or Concerns Observed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

E-11. Worker Safety (WS)

56 - Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	36	13	49
Chaman	18	1	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	29	1	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	31	45	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	30	1	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	6	1	7
Sibi	1	30	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	24	0	24
Usta Muhammad	69	2	71
Zhob	7	6	13
Ziarat	18	2	20
Overall	484	238	722

57 - Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	6	24	30
Gwadar	8	1	9
Jaffarabad	76	0	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	3	71	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	53	4	57
Loralai	5	10	15
Mastung	2	14	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	2	5	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	350	372	722

58 - Hiring of Local Labor for Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	44	5	49
Chaman	14	5	19
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	28	2	30
Gwadar	6	3	9
Jaffarabad	74	2	76
Jhal Magsi	57	3	60
Kachhi	58	16	74
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	14	1	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	30	1	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	6	1	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	17	3	20
Overall	679	43	722

59 - Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

60 - Worker Amenities and Facilities (Multiple Choice)			
District	Drinking water at the construction or activity site	Toilet	None
Barkhan	48	0	1
Chaman	17	17	2
Dera Bugti	0	0	14
Duki	30	26	0
Gwadar	6	6	3
Jaffarabad	41	1	35
Jhal Magsi	59	4	1
Kachhi	57	29	13
Kalat	7	7	0
Khuzdar	38	38	0
Kohlu	2	0	55
Loralai	15	5	0
Mastung	15	12	1
Musakhel	39	0	1
Noshki	30	12	1
Pishin	10	1	0
Sherani	7	4	0
Sibi	3	1	28
Sohbatpur	11	0	0
Surab	23	23	1
Usta Muhammad	71	0	0
Zhob	13	1	0
Ziarat	9	6	11

61 - Workplace Accidents or Incidents			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	1	37	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	1	721	722

62 - Safety Incident Reporting Practices			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	1	0	1
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	1	0	1

E-12. Environmental Protection and Enhancement (EPS)

63 - Construction-Related Dust Observations			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	2	74	76
Jhal Magsi	2	58	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	5	717	722

64 - Dust Control via Water Sprinkling			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	17	2	19
Dera Bugti	13	1	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	7	2	9
Jaffarabad	29	47	76
Jhal Magsi	40	20	60
Kachhi	38	36	74
Kalat	6	1	7
Khuzdar	37	1	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	30	1	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	2	29	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	1	24
Usta Muhammad	69	2	71
Zhob	12	1	13
Ziarat	12	8	20
Overall	481	241	722

65 - Effects of Construction Work (Multiple Choice)			
District	Noise	Vibration	None
Barkhan	0	0	49
Chaman	0	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	14
Duki	0	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	9
Jaffarabad	39	1	37
Jhal Magsi	0	0	60
Kachhi	0	2	72
Kalat	0	0	7
Khuzdar	0	0	38
Kohlu	0	0	57
Loralai	0	0	15
Mastung	0	0	16
Musakhel	0	0	40
Noshki	0	0	31
Pishin	0	0	10
Sherani	0	0	7
Sibi	1	1	30
Sohbatpur	11	0	0
Surab	0	0	24
Usta Muhammad	71	0	0
Zhob	0	0	13
Ziarat	0	0	20

66 - Construction work lead to disruption of wild life			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	722	722

67 - Trees removed During construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	1	15	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	1	721	722

68 - Trees removed	
District	EPE.14.6.1
Barkhan	0.0
Chaman	0.0
Dera Bugti	0.0
Duki	0.0
Gwadar	0.0
Jaffarabad	0.0
Jhal Magsi	0.0
Kachhi	0.0
Kalat	0.0
Khuzdar	0.0
Kohlu	0.0
Loralai	0.0
Mastung	1.0
Musakhel	0.0
Noshki	0.0
Pishin	0.0
Sherani	0.0
Sibi	0.0
Sohbatpur	0.0
Surab	0.0
Usta Muhammad	0.0
Zhob	0.0
Ziarat	0.0

69 - Reforestation for Removed Trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	0	1	1

70 - Number of New Plants Planted by HRU	
District	No. of Trees Planted
Barkhan	0.0
Chaman	0.0
Dera Bugti	0.0
Duki	0.0
Gwadar	0.0
Jaffarabad	0.0
Jhal Magsi	0.0
Kachhi	0.0
Kalat	0.0
Khuzdar	0.0
Kohlu	0.0
Loralai	0.0
Mastung	0.0
Musakhel	0.0
Noshki	0.0
Pishin	0.0
Sherani	0.0
Sibi	0.0
Sohbatpur	0.0
Surab	0.0
Usta Muhammad	0.0
Zhob	0.0
Ziarat	0.0

E-13. Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)

71 - Gender Equity in Scheme Access			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	5	0	5
Chaman	8	0	8
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	5	0	5
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	27	0	27
Jhal Magsi	6	0	6
Kachhi	4	0	4
Kalat	1	0	1
Khuzdar	2	0	2
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	5	0	5
Mastung	3	0	3
Musakhel	4	0	4
Noshki	9	0	9
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0
Sibi	5	0	5
Sohbatpur	3	0	3
Surab	0	1	1
Usta Muhammad	14	0	14
Zhob	3	0	3
Ziarat	4	0	4
Overall	109	1	110

72 - Women oriented on construction, grievances, and banking			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	2	5
Chaman	8	0	8
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	1	4	5
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	8	19	27
Jhal Magsi	0	6	6
Kachhi	0	4	4
Kalat	1	0	1
Khuzdar	2	0	2
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	4	1	5
Mastung	3	0	3
Musakhel	4	0	4
Noshki	9	0	9
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0
Sibi	0	5	5
Sohbatpur	2	1	3
Surab	0	1	1
Usta Muhammad	13	1	14
Zhob	3	0	3
Ziarat	4	0	4
Overall	66	44	110

73 - Challenges faced during reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	1	8	9
Jaffarabad	22	54	76
Jhal Magsi	40	20	60
Kachhi	21	53	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	5	11	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	2	29	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	69	2	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	11	9	20
Overall	182	540	722

74 - Needs of Persons with Disabilities Considered			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	4	0	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	1
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	2	2
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	5	5
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	1	0	1
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	1	0	1
Sibi	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	1	0	1
Zhob	0	2	2
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	10	10	20

75 - Accessibility Adaptations in Housing Design			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	1	1
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	3	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	1	0	1
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	1	0	1
Sibi	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	1	0	1
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	6	4	10

E-14. Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)

76 - Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	10	66	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	15	1	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	4	27	31
Sohbatpur	6	5	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	33	38	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	213	509	722

77 - Medium of Learning About GRM (Multiple Choice)							
District	Community meeting	Social Mobilizer	Banner / Poster	Radio	Television	Social media	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	19	19	1	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	30	15	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	7	5	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	15	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	31	31	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	9	10	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	7	5	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	4	6	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	20	29	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	12	10	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	19	4	2	0	0	0	0

78 - Visibility of GRM Banner or Information Display			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	10	10
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	15	15
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	4	4
Sohbatpur	0	6	6
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	213	213

79 - Orientation on Using the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	9	1	10
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	15	0	15
Mastung	15	0	15
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	28	3	31
Pishin	9	1	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	4	0	4
Sohbatpur	3	3	6
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	32	1	33
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	20	0	20
Overall	204	9	213

80 - Women's Ability to Use GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	19	0	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	9	10
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	1	14	15
Mastung	14	1	15
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	31	0	31
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	0	4	4
Sohbatpur	0	6	6
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	3	30	33
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	18	2	20
Overall	117	96	213

81 - Submission of Complaints Through GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	10	10
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	15	15
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	4	4
Sohbatpur	0	6	6
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	0	213	213

82 - Suggestions for Improving the GRM (Multiple Choice)				
District	Faster response time	More awareness campaigns	Better follow-up and feedback	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Chaman	19	18	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	14	30	12	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	9	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Loralai	13	15	7	0
Mastung	9	13	5	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Noshki	31	31	2	0
Pishin	10	10	0	0
Sherani	7	7	4	0
Sibi	1	2	0	1
Sohbatpur	2	4	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	14	21	0	0
Zhob	13	13	1	0
Ziarat	10	18	4	0

E-15. Construction Components Verification (CCV)

83 - Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	17	0	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	27	1	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	693	1	694

84 - Raised Platform Above Flood Level			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	16	1	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	28	0	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	693	1	694

85 - First Footing Step Dimensions Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	17	0	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	14	2	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	28	0	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	692	2	694

86 - Last Step Footing Width Compliance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	16	1	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	14	2	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	28	0	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	691	3	694

87 - Plinth Level Above Normal Surface			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	16	1	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	57	0	57
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	38	0	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	16	0	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	28	0	28
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	7	0	7
Sibi	31	0	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	23	0	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	13	0	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	693	1	694

88 - Damp Proofing with Polythene Sheet			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	48	0	48
Chaman	0	17	17
Dera Bugti	14	0	14
Duki	30	0	30
Gwadar	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	70	2	72
Jhal Magsi	22	35	57
Kachhi	62	11	73
Kalat	4	3	7
Khuzdar	32	6	38
Kohlu	57	0	57
Loralai	10	0	10
Mastung	10	6	16
Musakhel	40	0	40
Noshki	0	28	28
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	30	1	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	11	12	23
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	15	0	15
Overall	543	151	694

89 - Minimum Wall Thickness Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	42	0	42
Chaman	12	0	12
Dera Bugti	12	0	12
Duki	29	0	29
Gwadar	5	0	5
Jaffarabad	72	0	72
Jhal Magsi	47	0	47
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	7	0	7
Khuzdar	32	0	32
Kohlu	51	0	51
Loralai	8	0	8
Mastung	10	0	10
Musakhel	38	0	38
Noshki	23	0	23
Pishin	10	0	10
Sherani	6	0	6
Sibi	30	0	30
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	22	0	22
Usta Muhammad	71	0	71
Zhob	11	0	11
Ziarat	8	0	8
Overall	630	0	630

90 - Number of Ventilators Provided	
District	Total No. of Ventilators
Barkhan	39.0
Chaman	8.0
Dera Bugti	24.0
Duki	50.0
Gwadar	8.0
Jaffarabad	127.0
Jhal Magsi	35.0
Kachhi	53.0
Kalat	12.0
Khuzdar	58.0
Kohlu	100.0
Loralai	8.0
Mastung	5.0
Musakhel	68.0
Noshki	20.0
Pishin	7.0
Sherani	6.0
Sibi	52.0
Sohbatpur	18.0
Surab	44.0
Usta Muhammad	78.0
Zhob	9.0
Ziarat	6.0

91 - Number of windows Provided	
District	Total No. of Windows
Barkhan	44.0
Chaman	11.0
Dera Bugti	12.0
Duki	29.0
Gwadar	5.0
Jaffarabad	91.0
Jhal Magsi	48.0
Kachhi	72.0
Kalat	7.0
Khuzdar	32.0
Kohlu	52.0
Loralai	5.0
Mastung	8.0
Musakhel	36.0
Noshki	23.0
Pishin	10.0
Sherani	6.0
Sibi	29.0
Sohbatpur	20.0
Surab	22.0
Usta Muhammad	139.0
Zhob	10.0
Ziarat	11.0

92 - Roof Type Installation Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	3	30
Chaman	8	0	8
Dera Bugti	12	0	12
Duki	12	0	12
Gwadar	2	0	2
Jaffarabad	70	0	70
Jhal Magsi	20	2	22
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	4	0	4
Khuzdar	21	0	21
Kohlu	45	0	45
Loralai	5	0	5
Mastung	8	0	8
Musakhel	35	2	37
Noshki	17	0	17
Pishin	3	0	3
Sherani	6	0	6
Sibi	27	0	27
Sohbatpur	10	0	10
Surab	9	0	9
Usta Muhammad	66	0	66
Zhob	3	0	3
Ziarat	5	0	5
Overall	488	7	495

93 - Water Drainage System Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	3	30
Chaman	8	0	8
Dera Bugti	12	0	12
Duki	12	0	12
Gwadar	2	0	2
Jaffarabad	70	0	70
Jhal Magsi	22	0	22
Kachhi	73	0	73
Kalat	4	0	4
Khuzdar	21	0	21
Kohlu	45	0	45
Loralai	5	0	5
Mastung	8	0	8
Musakhel	35	2	37
Noshki	17	0	17
Pishin	3	0	3
Sherani	6	0	6
Sibi	27	0	27
Sohbatpur	10	0	10
Surab	9	0	9
Usta Muhammad	66	0	66
Zhob	3	0	3
Ziarat	5	0	5
Overall	490	5	495

94 - Installation of 1:12 Ramp with Handrails for Accessibility			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	2	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	1
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	1	0	1
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	1	0	1
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	6	4	10

95 - Tactile Markers or Railings for Visually Impaired			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Chaman	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	3	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	1
Kalat	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	0	1
Loralai	0	0	0
Mastung	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Noshki	1	0	1
Pishin	0	0	0
Sherani	1	0	1
Sibi	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0
Overall	5	5	10

E-16. Perception & Experience (PE)

96 - Any material Shortages?			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	48	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	21	55	76
Jhal Magsi	36	24	60
Kachhi	36	38	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	1	37	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	11	5	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	1	30	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	11	0	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	68	3	71
Zhob	1	12	13
Ziarat	19	1	20
Overall	206	516	722

97 - Type of material shortage (Multiple Choice)								
District	Cement	Bricks/Blocks	Sand	Crush/Gravel	Steel/Bars	Wood/Timber	Paint/Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	21	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	34	35	34	32	5	1	1	0
Kachhi	36	36	36	35	1	1	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	5	10	3	2	0	0	3	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noshki	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	10	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	54	48	28	1	4	0	0	0
Zhob	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	17	18	15	4	1	0	0	0

98 - Issues or Concerns During Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	0	74	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	3	13	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	3	719	722

99 - Cause of Dissatisfaction (Multiple Choice)				
District	IP Related	Bank Delay	Financial Favours Asked	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	2	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0	0

E-17. Site Observations (SO)

100 - Signs of poor workmanship or deviation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	5	69	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	2	14	16
Musakhel	1	39	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	8	714	722

101 - Types of Signs of poor workmanship or deviation (Multiple Choice)					
District	Uncompacted soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	5	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	1
Noshki	0	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0	0	0

102 - Issues raised by community members or stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	49	49
Chaman	0	19	19
Dera Bugti	0	14	14
Duki	0	30	30
Gwadar	0	9	9
Jaffarabad	0	76	76
Jhal Magsi	0	60	60
Kachhi	1	73	74
Kalat	0	7	7
Khuzdar	0	38	38
Kohlu	0	57	57
Loralai	0	15	15
Mastung	0	16	16
Musakhel	0	40	40
Noshki	0	31	31
Pishin	0	10	10
Sherani	0	7	7
Sibi	0	31	31
Sohbatpur	0	11	11
Surab	0	24	24
Usta Muhammad	0	71	71
Zhob	0	13	13
Ziarat	0	20	20
Overall	1	721	722

103 - Type of Issues? (Multiple Choice)				
District	Shortage of Material	Lack of trained skilled labor	Substandard construction	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Chaman	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Gwadar	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	0	0	0
Kalat	0	0	0	0
Khuzdar	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Loralai	0	0	0	0
Mastung	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Noshki	0	0	0	0
Pishin	0	0	0	0
Sherani	0	0	0	0
Sibi	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Surab	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0
Zhob	0	0	0	0
Ziarat	0	0	0	0

F. MEETING DETAILS

Key meetings of Q-4 (Component-III) and training during the reporting period are summarized below:

Debrief Meeting

Date: 30th April 2026

Venue: PIU-III Office, Chaman Housing Scheme, Quetta.

Participants:

- Mr. Zarak, M&E Specialist, Component-III, PIU-III, IFRAP
- Program Heads, Component-III, PIU-III, IFRAP
- Mr. Rizwan Ahmed, Team Leader, M&E Consultants (IFRAP)
- All experts, M&E Consultants (IFRAP)

Agenda:

- Presentation of the key findings and overall performance highlighted in the QPR-2.
- Review of progress against Quarter-2 work plan, Results Framework, and key performance indicators.
- Discussion on implementation achievements and major milestones completed during the quarter.
- Review of monitoring findings, field observations, and data validation results.
- Discussion on implementation challenges and bottlenecks.
- Discussion on the recommendations provided in the QPR-2 and agreement on corrective actions.



Coordination / Report Review Meeting

Date: 11th May 2026

Venue: PIU-III Office, Chaman Housing Scheme, Quetta.

Participants:

- Mr. Feroz, Program Assistant, M&E, Component-III, PIU-III, IFRAP
- Program Heads, Component-III, PIU-III, IFRAP
- Mr. Rizwan Ahmed, Team Leader, M&E Consultants (IFRAP)
- Mr. Sanaullah, M&E Associate, M&E Consultants (IFRAP)

Agenda:

- Review of the QPR-2 findings and overall implementation progress.
- Discussion on the status of activities completed during Quarter-2.
- Review of progress against the Results Framework and key performance indicators.
- Review of field monitoring observations and validation of reported achievements.
- Discussion on implementation challenges, constraints, and mitigation measures.
- Discussion on Environmental and Social Safeguards compliance.
- Discussion on pending issues, agreed recommendations, and required follow-up actions.
- Any other matters related to Component III implementation and reporting.



Coordination / Report Review Meeting

Date: 6th May 2026

Venue: PIU-III Office, Chaman Housing Scheme, Quetta.

Participants:

- Mr. Feroz, Program Assistant, M&E, Component-III, PIU-III, IFRAP
- Program Heads, Component-III, PIU-III, IFRAP
- Mr. Rizwan Ahmed, Team Leader, M&E Consultants (IFRAP)

Agenda:

- Review of the overall implementation progress of Component III during Quarter-2 against the approved work plan and planned targets.
- Discussion on the key achievements, outputs, and milestones reported in the QPR-2.
- Review of progress against the Project Results Framework, PDO indicators, and Intermediate Results Indicators.
- Discussion on implementation challenges, operational constraints, and agreed mitigation measures.
- Review of Environmental and Social (E&S) safeguards implementation.
- Discussion on the status of environmental awareness activities, safeguard monitoring, and compliance with applicable World Bank environmental requirements.
- Confirmation of responsibilities, action points, and timelines for implementing the agreed recommendations.




Meeting on Report Review, QPR-3, C-III**Date:** 9th June 2026**Venue:** FPMU Office, Jinnah Town, Quetta.**Participants:**

- Mr. Abdul Rafay, M&E Specialist, FPMU, IFRAP
- Zabhiullah, Program Specialist, FPMU, IFRAP
- Mr. Rizwan Ahmed, Team Leader, M&E Consultants
- Mr. Sanauallah, M&E Associate, M&E Consultants, IFRAP

Agenda:

- Review of overall implementation progress during Quarter-3 against the approved work plan.
- Presentation of key monitoring findings and achievements recorded during the reporting period.
- Review of progress against the Results Framework, PDO indicators, and intermediate indicators.
- Review of issues, challenges, and implementation risks identified during field monitoring.
- Discussion on corrective actions and recommendations to improve implementation performance.
- Any other business related to Component III implementation and reporting.



Two Days Training / Refresher of M&E Field Staff

Date: 16th June 2026

Venue: M&E Consultants Office, Jinnah Town, Quetta.

Participants:

- Mr. Rizwan Ahmed, Team Leader, M&E Consultants, IFRAP
- Dr. Shabbir, M&E Specialist, M&E Consultants, IFRAP
- Mr. Rizwan Saleem, IT Specialist, M&E Consultants, IFRAP
- Mr. Shumail, IT Expert, M&E Consultants, IFRAP
- All M&E Field Staff

Agenda:

- Conducted a comprehensive refresher training for M&E field teams on the revised Monitoring Tools (MTs) to ensure standardized data collection and reporting across all project districts.
- Oriented participants on the project's M&E Framework, including its objectives, results chain, performance indicators, and reporting requirements aligned with the Project Results Framework (PRF).
- Provided detailed guidance on the application of revised monitoring checklists, data collection instruments, and indicator definitions to improve data consistency and quality.
- Strengthened participants' understanding of monitoring methodologies, sampling approaches, field verification procedures, and evidence-based reporting practices.
- Demonstrated the correct use of digital data collection platforms (ODK/mobile-based tools), including form completion, validation checks, geo-tagging, and photo documentation requirements.
- Reviewed common data quality issues identified during previous monitoring rounds and discussed corrective measures to enhance data accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and reliability.
- Reinforced procedures for documenting physical progress, safeguards compliance, beneficiary verification, and supporting evidence in accordance with project monitoring protocols.
- Enhanced participants' capacity to prepare monitoring reports, maintain proper documentation, and ensure timely submission of field monitoring data to the central M&E team.
- Facilitated interactive practical exercises, case studies, and question-and-answer sessions to strengthen participants' understanding of the revised monitoring tools and reporting procedures.
- Emphasized compliance with World Bank reporting requirements, data quality assurance protocols, ethical data collection practices, and continuous coordination among PIUs, field teams, and the M&E consultants to ensure effective monitoring and reporting.

