



IFRAP
Integrated
Flood Resilience &
Adaptation Project



**Ministry of Planning
Development &
Special Initiatives**



INTEGRATED FLOOD RESILIENCE & ADAPTATION PROJECT

Quarterly Progress Report (Component-III)
3rd Quarterly Report
(January – March 2026)

MONITORING & EVALUATION (M&E) CONSULTANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Executive Summary	1
1.1 Purpose and Scope of the Report	1
1.2 Summary of Progress during the Reporting Period	1
1.3 Key Process and Field Monitoring Findings	2
1.4 Key Constraints, Risks, and Forward Look.....	2
2. Overview of Reporting Period.....	3
2.1 Project Overview and Development Objectives	3
2.2 Data Sources, Coverage and Limitations	3
3. M&E Activities, Progress & Coordination	5
3.1 M&E Activities during the Reporting Period	5
3.2 PIU Coordination and Key Outcomes.....	5
3.3 Progress against the M&E Work Plan	5
3.4 Coordination Effectiveness and Institutional Learning	5
4. Results Framework - COMPONENT-III (Status Update).....	7
4.1 Project Development Objectives (PDO) Indicators	7
4.2 Intermediate Results Indicators	7
5. COMPONENT-III Progress & Analysis	10
5.1 Overall Implementation Progress	10
5.2 Construction Progress by Stage.....	10
5.3 Geographic Rollout Status	11
5.4 Financial Enablement and Construction Initiation.....	11
5.5 Progress Interpretation and Transition to Analysis.....	11
5.6 Analytical Summary	12
6. Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning (MEL) Findings & Field Evidence	13
6.1 Field Monitoring Coverage and Data Scope	13
6.1.1. Monitoring Coverage and Sample Distribution	13
6.1.2. Data Dimensions and Analytical Scope	14
6.1.3. Analytical Considerations and Limitations.....	14
6.2 Beneficiary Profile, Vulnerability and Social Inclusion.....	14
6.2.1 Beneficiary Demographics and Gender Composition.....	14
6.2.2 Female- and Disability-Headed Households.....	15
6.2.3 Household-Level Vulnerability Characteristics	16
6.2.4 Minority and Religious Composition.....	16
6.2.5 Analytical Observations	17
6.3 Land Ownership, Tenure Security and Dispute Risk.....	17
6.3.1 Land Ownership Status and Tenure Security	17
6.3.2 Availability and Type of Ownership Documentation.....	17
6.3.3 Boundary Disputes and Overlapping Claims.....	19
6.3.4 Analytical Observations	19
6.4 Construction Progress, Pauses and Implementation Bottlenecks.....	19
6.4.1 Construction Type and Material Use	20
6.4.2 Construction Status at Time of Visit	20
6.4.3 Tranche Release and Construction Initiation Mismatch	22
6.4.4 Temporary Construction Pauses and Underlying Causes	22
6.4.5 Material Availability and Localized Bottlenecks	23
6.4.6 Analytical Observations	24
6.5 Financial Access & Tranche Disbursement Experience	24
6.5.1 Tranche Receipt Status	25
6.5.2 Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches.....	25
6.5.3 Information Channels on Tranche Disbursement	25
6.5.4 Analytical Observations	26

6.6	Construction Quality, DRR and MCG Compliance	26
6.6.1	Compliance with Key Structural and DRR Standards	26
6.6.2	Roofing, Drainage, Ventilation and Accessibility Features	27
6.6.3	MCG Training, Awareness and Application	27
6.6.4	Analytical Observations	28
6.7	Community Institutions, VRC Functionality and Participation	28
6.7.1	Awareness and Formation of Village Reconstruction Committees	28
6.7.2	VRC Membership, Training and Participation	29
6.7.3	VRC Support in Housing Reconstruction	29
6.7.4	Analytical Observations	30
6.8	Safeguards, GRM and Social Risk	30
6.8.1	GRM Awareness, Accessibility and Use	30
6.8.2	Gender-Differentiated Access to GRM	31
6.8.3	Social Safeguards Awareness and Risk Signals	31
6.8.4	Analytical Observations	32
6.9	Labor, OHS and Workplace Safety Compliance	32
6.9.1	Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics	32
6.9.2	OHS Training, Worker Facilities and Safety Incidents	33
6.9.3	Child Labor, Forced Labor and Compliance Signals	33
6.9.4	Analytical Observations	33
6.10	Disaster Risk Observations	33
6.10.1	Hazard Exposure and Site Risk Context	34
6.10.2	Site Drainage and RainWater Flow Conditions	34
6.10.3	Flood History, Erosion and Other Environmental Signals	35
6.11	Environment	35
6.11.1	Construction-Related Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact	35
6.11.2	Analytical Observations	36
6.12	Key MEL Insights & Learning	36
6.12.1	Alignment between Financial Flows and Physical Progress	36
6.12.2	Localized Nature of Implementation Bottlenecks	37
6.12.3	Technical Compliance with Selective Quality Risks	37
6.12.4	Social Inclusion and Community Interface Dynamics	37
6.12.5	Safeguards and Risk Visibility	38
6.12.6	Overall Learning Signal	38
6.13	Implications for Project Management and Corrective Actions	38
6.13.1	Strengthening Tranche-Progress Verification Controls	39
6.13.2	Enhancing Risk-Based Supervision and Follow-Up	39
6.13.3	Reinforcing Technical Quality and Secondary MCG Elements	39
6.13.4	Improving GRM Visibility and Safeguards Communication	39
6.13.5	Consolidating Community Institution Engagement	39
6.13.6	Forward Focus	39
7.	Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix - component-III	40
7.1	Key Gaps and Risks Identified	40
7.2	Implications for Component-III Implementation	40
7.3	Corrective Action Matrix	41
7.4	Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix	41
8.	CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE NEXT QUARTER (Apr-Jun 2026)	43
8.1	Corrective Action Plan	43
8.2	Implementation Focus for the Next Quarter	43
	ANNEXURES	44
A.	Field Visit Summaries	45
B.	Surveyed & data not reported Sample List	47
C.	Visual Evidence (Photos, etc.)	65
D.	Monitoring Tools	69
E.	Detailed Indicator Progress Table (disaggregation)	83

E-1. Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition	83
E-2. Stakeholders Present During Visit.....	88
E-3. Land and Property Documentation Tracker	89
E-4. House Reconstruction Status	93
E-5. Payment Trenches Released Status	99
E-6. Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC).....	103
E-7. VRC Community LED Construction Beyond IFRAP	113
E-8. Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR).....	119
E-9. Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)	130
E-10. Social Safeguard Compliance (SSC).....	133
E-11. Worker Safety (WS).....	139
E-12. Environmental Protection and Enhancement (EPS)	145
E-13. Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)	153
E-14. Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM).....	158
E-15. Construction Components Verification (CCV)	165
E-16. Perception & Experience (PE)	178
E-17. Site Observations (SO).....	182

Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviations

- API - Application Programming Interface
- AWP - Annual Work Plan
- CE - Citizen Engagement
- DQA - Data Quality Assurance
- ESMF - Environmental and Social Management Framework
- FGD - Focus Group Discussion
- FPMU - Federal Project Management Unit
- GAP - Gender Action Plan
- GIS - Geographic Information System
- GRM - Grievance Redress Mechanism
- IFRAP - Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project
- IR - Intermediate Results
- IVR - Interactive Voice Response
- JV - Joint Venture
- KII - Key Informant Interview
- KM - Knowledge Management
- M&E - Monitoring and Evaluation
- MIS - Management Information System
- MoPDSI - Ministry of Planning, Development, and Special Initiatives
- PAD - Project Appraisal Document
- PDO - Project Development Objective
- PIU - Provincial Implementing Unit
- PMIS - Project Monitoring Information System
- PSC - Project Steering Committee
- RBMEF - Results-Based Monitoring and Evaluation Framework
- TA - Technical Assistance
- TORs - Terms of Reference
- VRC - Village Reconstruction Committee

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Quarterly Progress Report presents the monitoring and evaluation findings for Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration) of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP) for the reporting period January to March 2026. The component is implemented through the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU) under Ministry of Planning, Development and Special Initiatives.

The report synthesizes implementation progress, results framework status, and evidence from structured field monitoring to support management decision-making during an active construction phase.

1.1 Purpose and Scope of the Report

The purpose of this report is to provide an evidence-based summary of implementation progress, highlight material issues emerging from field monitoring, and assess alignment between physical progress, grant disbursement stages, and the approved Annual Work Plan. The report draws on verified MIS data, structured field observations, and grievance-related input available during the reporting period.

The scope of reporting is strictly limited to Component-III and covers beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction activities, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, progress against approved milestones, and operational risks affecting implementation and delivery. The component-specific reporting approach has been adopted to enable more detailed analysis, clearer accountability, and improved tracking of implementation progress, quality, compliance, and emerging risks during the ongoing physical execution phase of the project.

- The report provides an evidence-based assessment of Component-III implementation progress, construction pipeline maturity, and field-level performance during QPR-03.
- It focuses exclusively on beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction activities implemented through the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU).
- The analysis draws on HRU progress data, Component-III MIS records, structured field monitoring across all focused districts, and triangulated MEL evidence.
- Particular emphasis is placed on alignment between tranche disbursement, observed physical progress, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, and emerging implementation risks.

1.2 Summary of Progress during the Reporting Period

- Component-III continued large-scale implementation during the quarter, with strong progress in beneficiary onboarding and construction initiation.
- Against an annual target of **52,000** housing units, beneficiary bank accounts have been opened for **50,910** households and construction initiated for **48,376** units, indicating effective financial enablement.
- Plinth-level construction has been completed in **36,048** houses, while construction up to roof level has been completed in **20,477** housing units. The remaining units are progressing through various construction stages, including plinth, lintel, and roof levels. Furthermore, based on the sampled verification of **521** housing units, where construction activities were found either completed or actively in progress in accordance with the approved Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), it can reasonably be generalized that construction activities

are being implemented across the wider project population, including the **20,477** housing units reported as completed up to roof level.

- Implementation activity during the quarter remained operational in all **33** districts
- Results Framework reporting shows early achievement of inclusion indicators, with **37%** of completed units benefiting female-headed or vulnerable women households and **4%** benefiting households with persons with disabilities, exceeding framework thresholds.

1.3 Key Process and Field Monitoring Findings

- Non-availability of API integration remains one of the key hindrances in effective data triangulation and verification processes, as a significant number of community members were unable to produce complete land ownership records. The integration of APIs with HRU MIS land record systems could facilitate automated cross-verification of available documents and improve the efficiency, accuracy, and transparency of the verification process.
- Field monitoring confirms overall technical soundness of construction, with strong compliance observed for core structural and disaster risk reduction standards.
- Localized quality gaps were observed in secondary elements such as drainage detailing and, where applicable, accessibility features for households with identified functionally limited persons receiving the additional tranche. These gaps require targeted supervision rather than systemic redesign.
- Temporary construction pauses were largely operational and localized, driven primarily by tranche timing, labor availability, and household-level constraints rather than systemic bottlenecks.
- GRM awareness remains low, particularly among women, indicating a risk of under-reporting rather than absence of grievances.
- Labor practices and environmental safeguards show strong compliance, with no evidence of child or forced labor and minimal construction-related environmental nuisance.

1.4 Key Constraints, Risks, and Forward Look

- Analytical depth and progress pacing assessment remain constrained by the absence of a geographically and month-wise disaggregated Annual Work Plan, limiting planned-versus-actual comparison.
- As implementation scales, targeted strengthening of tranche-progress verification, risk-based supervision, and safeguards communication will be critical.
- The next quarter (April-June 2026) will focus on completion of targets, enhancing MIS integration, and reinforcing field-level supervision to support increased completion volumes.

2. OVERVIEW OF REPORTING PERIOD

This Quarterly Progress Report covers the period January to March 2026 and corresponds to the third quarter of Monitoring and Evaluation activities for Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration) under the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP).

The reporting period reflects continued large-scale implementation of beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction activities across flood-affected districts of Balochistan. Component-III remained under active implementation during the quarter, with housing units progressing across multiple construction stages, supported by tranche-based financing and ongoing field-level monitoring and verification. Accordingly, progress assessment during the reporting period focused on verification of physical construction stages, alignment between tranche releases and observed progress, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), and identification of implementation and safeguards-related risks.

2.1 Project Overview and Development Objectives

Component-III of IFRAP supports the reconstruction of safe, climate-resilient housing for flood-affected households through beneficiary-driven reconstruction grants and structured technical guidance. The component contributes directly to the Project Development Objective (PDO):

“To improve livelihoods and essential services and enhance flood risk protection in selected communities affected by the 2022 floods.”

During the reporting period, monitoring activities under Component-III focused on tracking progress against approved work plans, validating construction progress at household level, assessing compliance with technical and DRR standards, and reviewing safeguards, financial access, and community engagement processes. Progress was therefore evaluated based on observed construction stages, tranche utilization, quality compliance, and field-verified implementation signals, rather than solely on administrative reporting.

2.2 Data Sources, Coverage and Limitations

The findings presented in this report are based on verified information compiled from multiple sources, including the Annual Work Plan (AWP) for Component-III, MIS data maintained by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU), tranche disbursement records, and structured field monitoring conducted by the M&E team during the reporting period. Field monitoring included site observations, beneficiary interviews, photographic evidence, and verification of construction quality and safeguards indicators.

During Q-3, API-based integration between the Component-III MIS and the M&E monitoring system was not operational. As a result, data extraction and consolidation relied on MIS snapshots and manual cross-verification with field evidence. While this approach allowed verification of quarterly progress and identification of implementation risks, it limited real-time process monitoring and automated triangulation between administrative and field-level data.

Limitations affecting analytical depth during the reporting period included:

- **Process Monitoring Constraints**

The absence of live API connectivity restricts the ability to continuously track transitions between construction stages, tranche releases, and site-level progress. Process monitoring therefore relied on periodic MIS extracts and field verification rather than automated, real-time tracking.

- **Sampling and Case Selection Dependence**

Monitoring sample selection was dependent on MIS snapshots available at the time of planning field visits. While this approach ensured relevance, it limited flexibility in dynamically updating samples based on real-time changes in tranche status or construction progress.

- **Triangulation Challenges**

Although triangulation between MIS records and field observations was undertaken, the lack of system-level integration required manual cross-verification, increasing reliance on field evidence and increasing the effort required to reconcile discrepancies between reported and observed progress.

- **AWP Progress Assessment Limitations**

Progress against AWP targets could be assessed at an aggregate and directional level, but real-time performance tracking against quarterly milestones remains constrained until API integration is completed.

Despite these limitations, the M&E function was able to validate Component-III progress through targeted field monitoring and evidence-based analysis of construction progress, quality compliance, safeguards performance, and emerging implementation risks.

Given the scale and complexity of Component-III, API integration between the C-III-MIS and the M&E system is critical for effective process monitoring and performance management. Integrated systems would enable:

- Real-time alignment between tranche disbursement and construction progress
- Automated identification of outlier cases for targeted supervision
- Improved sampling logic for field monitoring
- Stronger triangulation between administrative data and field evidence
- More precise tracking of achievements against AWP targets

Until such integration is operational, field monitoring will continue to play a central role in validating MIS data, identifying implementation risks, and providing evidence-based feedback to project management.

3. M&E ACTIVITIES, PROGRESS & COORDINATION

This section summarizes the Monitoring and Evaluation activities undertaken during the reporting period, progress in implementation of the approved M&E work plan, and coordination arrangements with project stakeholders for Component-III during QPR-03 (January to March 2026).

3.1 M&E Activities during the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, M&E activities for Component-III focused on field-based monitoring, data verification, and analytical review to support quarterly reporting and management oversight. Key activities undertaken included:

- Planning and execution of structured field monitoring across selected districts, based on Component-III MIS records and tranche release data.
- Household-level site visits to verify construction progress, quality compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, and incorporation of disaster risk reduction features.
- Collection of beneficiary-level information on financial access, safeguards awareness, labor practices, environmental conditions, and community engagement.
- Compilation and validation of field monitoring datasets, supported by photographic evidence and internal consistency checks.
- Analytical review and consolidation of monitoring findings for preparation of the QPR-03 MEL section.

These activities were undertaken in line with the approved M&E framework and were designed to provide evidence-based insights into implementation performance, risks, and emerging issues under Component-III.

3.2 PIU Coordination and Key Outcomes

3.3 Progress against the M&E Work Plan

Overall, M&E activities during the reporting period progressed broadly in line with the approved work plan for Component-III. Planned field monitoring and quarterly reporting outputs were delivered, and analytical coverage was expanded in response to feedback received on earlier reports.

While the absence of full API integration with the Component-III MIS required additional manual effort for data consolidation and triangulation, it did not prevent completion of planned monitoring activities or preparation of QPR-03. Instead, field verification and analytical review were emphasized to compensate for system-level limitations.

3.4 Coordination Effectiveness and Institutional Learning

Coordination arrangements during the quarter were functional and sufficient to support monitoring and reporting objectives. However, the scale and geographic spread of Component-III underscore the importance of more structured and system-supported coordination mechanisms, particularly as construction activity advances further.

Enhanced MIS integration and more regular structured review interactions would improve efficiency, reduce manual reconciliation effort, and strengthen real-time responsiveness to emerging implementation risks.

Coordination Dimension	Maturity (M&E Assessment)	Evidence / Observation
Communication Frequency	High	Regular meetings and ongoing email exchanges between PIU-Component-III and the M&E team through FPMU
Data Responsiveness	Moderate	Manual data sharing and document-based tracking due to absence of API integration with Component-III MIS
Use of M&E Findings	Increasing	M&E observations referenced in discussions on delays and corrective actions

4. RESULTS FRAMEWORK - COMPONENT-III (STATUS UPDATE)

The Results Framework for IFRAP provides the overarching structure for tracking progress toward the Project Development Objective (PDO) and component-level intermediate results. For Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration), the approved indicators primarily relate to restoration of flood-affected housing, inclusion of vulnerable households, employment generation, and grievance redress mechanisms.

During the reporting period, Component-III remained in an active implementation phase, with housing reconstruction progressing across multiple districts and construction stages.

4.1 Project Development Objectives (PDO) Indicators

Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Q-3 Status (Jan-Mar 2026)	Remarks	Sample Sites Progress	Remarks
PDO-1	People regaining access to at least one essential service	Number	1,500,000	131,053	Based on 20,477 completed housing units multiplied by average household size (6.4 persons)	131,053	As the sample was selected from the overall beneficiary population and covered multiple districts and construction stages, the results provide a reasonable basis to infer that the reported program achievements are generally reflected on the ground. In this context, the reported completion of 20,477 housing units is estimated to have benefited approximately 131,053 individuals through the provision of safe and resilient housing solutions.
Sub-Indicator-01	Of which are females	Percentage	50	-	-	46.5	Derived from household-level gender composition of monitored beneficiaries
PDO-3	Person-days of Employment Generated	Number	14,500,000	3,276,320	Employment generated through housing reconstruction; with 160 person days of employment	3,276,320	Out of 581 sites construction was active or completed in 521 sites and it was found completed in all aspects, so it can be 100% generalized on overall population

4.2 Intermediate Results Indicators

Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Q-3 Status (Jan-Mar 2026)	Remarks	Samples Sites Progress	Remarks
IRI-1	Housing units restored / reconstructed	Number	97,000	20,477 completed	Based on HRU progress sheet; additional units at various construction stages	20,477 completed	The M&E team monitored a total of 581 sampled housing sites across the project area. Of these, construction activities had not commenced at 60 sites at the time of monitoring. The remaining 521 sites were found to be either under construction at various stages or fully completed. Field verification of the 521 active sites indicated that reconstruction activities were generally being carried out in accordance with the HRU Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs). Completed housing units met the prescribed technical requirements, while ongoing construction activities were observed to be progressing in line with the approved construction

Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Q-3 Status (Jan-Mar 2026)	Remarks	Samples Sites Progress	Remarks
							standards and stage-wise requirements. As the sample was drawn from the overall beneficiary population, the findings suggest that housing reconstruction activities across the project area are progressing satisfactorily, with beneficiaries actively undertaking construction and overall compliance with the MCGs being maintained.
IRI-1a	Of which female-headed households and households with vulnerable women	Percentage	25	-	-	37	Based on the current M&E Firm household-level survey, a total of 219 households were assessed for gender and vulnerability-related indicators. Among these, 91 households (41.6%) were identified as female-headed households, while 128 households (58.4%) included members belonging to vulnerable groups, such as persons with disabilities, elderly individuals, or other socially vulnerable categories. Overall, the survey findings indicate that approximately 37% of the sampled households fall within gender- and vulnerability-sensitive categories, highlighting the project's outreach to populations that are often at greater risk of exclusion and require targeted support during recovery and reconstruction efforts.
IRI-1b	Of which households with persons with disabilities	Percentage	5	-	-	4	Based on household-level disable persons
IRI-7	Registered grievances satisfactorily resolved in line with the GRM	Percentage	100	76	Based on C-3 GRM summary, 135 out of 178 cases reported resolved.	N/A	N/A

Component-III has moved into a phase where quantifiable outputs and inclusion indicators are emerging and can be reported against the revised Results Framework. During **QPR-03**, construction outputs progressed and the component demonstrated measurable inclusion performance across priority beneficiary groups.

The Intermediate Results indicator on restored/reconstructed housing units is progressing with a reported cumulative completion of **20,477** housing units during the reporting period. In parallel, inclusion tracking indicates that **37% (91 unique households with women headed households & 128 households with vulnerable women group)** fall under the combined category of female-headed households and households with vulnerable women are under the Results Framework threshold of **25%**. Similarly, **4% (22 unique households)** fall under the category of households with persons with disabilities.

These proportions are based on currently verified and available household-level data. As the volume of validated records increases over subsequent quarters and data completeness improves through system integration and expanded monitoring coverage, the proportions will continue to be refined and may rationalize. The current results nevertheless provide an early indication that Component-III inclusion outreach is effectively reaching priority groups in line with the project's social inclusion commitments.

5. COMPONENT-III PROGRESS & ANALYSIS

This section presents an analysis of implementation progress under Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration) during **QPR-03** (Jan-Mar 2026). The analysis draws on verified progress data provided by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU), field monitoring findings, and triangulated MEL evidence presented in Section-06.

5.1 Overall Implementation Progress

During **QPR-03** (Jan-Mar 2026), Component-III continued implementation toward its annual housing reconstruction target of **52,000** units. Progress during the reporting period reflects substantial advancement in beneficiary onboarding and construction initiation, with completion outputs emerging as part of a growing construction pipeline.

As per the verified progress reported at PMIS, **50,910** beneficiary bank accounts have been opened to date, enabling financial access for eligible households. Correspondingly, **36,048** housing units have completed plinth construction initiation, indicating that beneficiary mobilization and financial enablement are largely aligned with annual planning targets. By the end of the reporting period, **20,477** housing units had reached full roof completion.

These figures indicate that Component-III has successfully moved a significant proportion of beneficiaries into the construction pipeline, while completion output remains at an early-stage relative to the annual target.

5.2 Construction Progress by Stage

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-03**:

- **36,048** housing units have reached plinth level
- **28,591** housing units are at lintel level
- **20,477** housing units are at roof level completed
- **15,387** housing units are done finishing

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-02**:

- **28,637** housing units have reached plinth level
- **21,510** housing units are at lintel level
- **12,688** housing units are at roof level completed
- **8,283** housing units are done finishing

Analysis of construction status by stage shows that the majority of housing units are currently concentrated in early to mid-construction phases. As of the end of **QPR-01**:

- **18,378** housing units have reached plinth level
- **9,240** housing units are at lintel level
- **6,317** housing units are at roof level completed
- **3,670** housing units are done finishing

A comparative analysis of construction progress across QPR-01, QPR-02, and QPR-03 demonstrates a consistent upward trajectory in housing reconstruction activities, indicating accelerated implementation and increased beneficiary participation across the project area. Between QPR-01 and QPR-03, the number of housing units reaching the plinth stage increased from 18,378 to 36,048 units, reflecting a growth of 96.2%. Similarly, housing units progressing to the lintel stage increased from 9,240 to 28,591 units, representing an increase of 209.4%. Significant advancement was also observed in higher construction stages, with roof-level completion rising from 6,317 to 20,477 units (224.2% increase) and fully finished houses increasing from 3,670 to 15,387 units (319.3% increase).

Construction stage	QPR-01	QPR-02	QPR-03	Change: QPR-01 to QPR-03	Percentage increase	Trend
Plinth	18,378	28,637	36,048	+17,670	96.2%	↑
Lintel	9,240	21,510	28,591	+19,351	209.4%	↑
Roof level	6,317	12,688	20,477	+14,160	224.2%	↑
Fully finished	3,670	8,283	15,387	+11,717	319.3%	↑

The quarter-on-quarter comparison further indicates that construction activities are not only expanding in volume but are also successfully transitioning through successive construction stages. While QPR-01 reflected a concentration of units at the plinth stage, subsequent reporting periods show a growing proportion of houses advancing to lintel, roof, and finishing stages. This progression suggests improved construction momentum, enhanced beneficiary uptake of reconstruction grants, and strengthening implementation capacity across the project districts.

The substantial increase in roof-level and finished housing units during QPR-03 is particularly noteworthy, as it demonstrates the maturation of the reconstruction program from initial construction activities toward the delivery of completed and habitable housing units.

Overall, the observed trend reflects satisfactory implementation performance and indicates that the housing reconstruction program is progressing steadily toward achieving its reconstruction targets, with an increasing number of beneficiaries moving from foundation works to completed housing units.

5.3 Geographic Rollout Status

Component-III covers a total of **33** flood-affected districts across the project area and implementation during the reporting period remained concentrated in all 33 operationally active districts.

The construction houses gained pace as operational activities commenced in all districts. Further, as mobilization and plinth level completion is one of the most critical steps in house construction and plinth construction reached to **36,000** units. This is a progressive achievement.

5.4 Financial Enablement and Construction Initiation

The close alignment between beneficiary bank accounts opened (**50,910**) and housing units with initiated construction (**48,376**) demonstrates that financial enablement mechanisms under Component-III are functioning effectively. The tranche-based financing model has enabled beneficiaries to commence construction soon after account activation, minimizing delays related to access to funds.

Given the scale of initiated works and the progression of units through early and mid-construction stages, completion volumes are expected to increase as construction cycles mature.

5.5 Progress Interpretation and Transition to Analysis

Overall progress during **QPR-03** reflects a component that has achieved strong initiation and pipeline depth, further completion outputs are progressively advancing by the staged nature of construction.

A more detailed analysis of pacing constraints, structural gaps, and associated risks is presented in the subsequent analytical subsections of this section.

5.6 Analytical Summary

Overall progress under Component-III during **QPR-03** reflects continued implementation momentum, with housing reconstruction advancing across multiple construction stages and generating completed outputs. However, analysis of progress against planned targets highlights important structural and pacing considerations that require management attention.

Without district-level and time-phased targets, it is not possible to systematically assess whether observed progress aligns with planned implementation pacing, identify districts that are lagging relative to expectations, or differentiate between planned and unplanned delays. As a result, progress analysis relies primarily on aggregate completion figures and field-level observations rather than planned-versus-actual comparisons.

Construction activities commenced across all districts during the current quarter; however, the majority of cases remain in the mobilization and early construction phases, which typically require additional time before progressing to advanced stages.

Furthermore, many of the implementation challenges previously experienced by HRU during the initial rollout phase are now emerging in newly activated districts. Communities in several districts are still becoming familiar with the project's operational modalities, construction requirements, tranche mechanisms, and compliance procedures. This limited awareness at the VRC level has contributed to slower progression of beneficiaries toward advanced construction milestones, which is one of the key factors behind the comparatively low completion rate observed at Stage 3 during the reporting period.

Going forward, the availability of a disaggregated AWP, alongside improved MIS integration, will be critical to enable more granular progress tracking, better alignment of monitoring resources, and more accurate assessment of implementation efficiency against annual and quarterly targets.

6. MONITORING, EVALUATION & LEARNING (MEL) FINDINGS & FIELD EVIDENCE

6.1 Field Monitoring Coverage and Data Scope

Field monitoring under Component-III was conducted using the revised MEL tool finalized post-QPR-01. The tool captures household-level, community-level, safeguards, construction quality, DRR, and governance indicators aligned with IFRAP objectives.

This subsection presents the scope, geographic coverage, and analytical basis of field monitoring conducted for Component-III during QPR-03 (Jan-Mar 2026). The purpose is to establish the evidentiary foundation on which subsequent MEL findings are based and to clarify the representativeness and limitations of the dataset.

6.1.1. Monitoring Coverage and Sample Distribution

As per approved M&E Framework, a **stratified cluster sampling approach** was adopted, ensuring representation across **16** active project districts and construction stages. During the reporting period, structured field monitoring and verification activities were undertaken across 16 districts, covering **581** of the **663** beneficiary households under ongoing housing reconstruction interventions of Component-III. Data pertaining to 82 beneficiary households could not be monitored during the monitoring cycle due to various field-level constraints (**details provided in Annex B-2**), however, **M&E will cover these targets in next quarter with increases sample size.**

. Accordingly, the analysis, findings, and performance assessment presented in this report are based on the verified monitoring data obtained from the 581 beneficiary households successfully covered during the exercise. It is important to note that the monitoring sample was drawn directly from Component-III MIS and included only those beneficiary cases against which at least one tranche had been reported as released. As such, the presence of households with “construction not started” status carries specific analytical significance.

The monitoring exercise captured household-level, construction-stage, financial access, social, environmental, and safeguards-related data through standardized monitoring instruments.

Table 6.1 provides a consolidated snapshot of district-wise household coverage achieved during the quarter.

Table 6.1: District-wise Household Coverage - Field Monitoring

District	Quarter-01	Quarter-02	Quarter-03	Overall
Barkhan	23	31	27	81
Dera Bugti	44	37	28	109
Duki	-	-	28	28
Harnai	10	20	23	53
Jaffarabad	66	90	74	230
Jhal Magsi	8	23	4	35
Kachhi	2	72	49	123
Killa Abdullah	-	-	20	20
Killa Saifullah	-	-	40	40
Kohlu	0	31	32	63
Lasbela	57	77	53	187
Musakhel	20	24	20	64
Nasirabad	75	105	86	266
Quetta	-	-	20	20
Sohbatpur	37	77	42	156
Usta Muhammad	31	57	35	123
Total	373	644	581	1,598

6.1.2. Data Dimensions and Analytical Scope

The monitoring dataset was designed to support multi-dimensional analysis and includes the following thematic dimensions:

- Beneficiary demographics and vulnerability characteristics
- Land ownership status and tenure documentation
- Construction progress stages and implementation bottlenecks
- Financial access and tranche disbursement experience
- Construction quality, DRR features, and MCG compliance
- Functionality of community institutions, particularly VRCs
- Safeguards awareness, GRM access, and social risk signals
- Labor practices, occupational health and safety, and environmental observations

Each subsequent MEL subsection draws selectively on this dataset, presenting consolidated analytical tables in the main text while referencing detailed disaggregated tables in the annexures.

6.1.3. Analytical Considerations and Limitations

The monitoring sample covers active construction sites and accessible beneficiaries during the reporting period. While the geographic coverage is broad and includes all major implementation districts, the findings should be interpreted as indicative of key implementation trends and emerging patterns rather than as a comprehensive assessment of the entire beneficiary population

Data quality assurance measures included cross-verification with C-III MIS records, photographic evidence where applicable, and internal consistency checks across related indicators. These measures provide reasonable confidence in the analytical reliability of the findings presented in subsequent sections.

6.2 Beneficiary Profile, Vulnerability and Social Inclusion

This subsection analyses the demographic composition, vulnerability characteristics, and inclusion dimensions of beneficiaries covered under Component-III during the reporting period. The objective is to assess whether housing reconstruction support is reaching priority and vulnerable groups in line with project inclusion commitments.

6.2.1 Beneficiary Demographics and Gender Composition

Field monitoring covered 581 beneficiary households, comprising 3163 household members, with a near-balanced gender composition. Female members constituted a substantial proportion of household populations across districts, indicating broad household-level inclusion.

Table 6.2A: Gender Composition of Household Members (Monitored Sample) Q3

Category	Number (n = 581)
Male Members	1691 (53.5%)
Female Members	1471 (46.5)
Transgender Members	1 (0.003)
Total Household Members	3163

Table 6.2A: Gender Composition of Household Members (Monitored Sample) - Q2

Category	Number (n = 644)
Male Members	1875 (51.8%)
Female Members	1743 (48.2)
Transgender Members	0
Total Household Members	3618

Balanced Gender Representation – Q1

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample (n = 373)
Women Headed Households	55 / 373	14.7 %
PWD – Headed Households	18 / 373	4.8 %
Muslim Households	373 / 373	100 %
Total Male Members	1209	51.5 %
Total Female Members	1141	48.5
Total Household Members Enumerated	2350	-

The gender composition of monitored households remained relatively balanced across all reporting periods, with male household members accounting for 51.5% in Q1, 51.8% in Q2, and 53.5% in Q3. Female household members consistently represented nearly half of all household members, accounting for 48.5%, 48.2%, and 46.5% respectively. The findings indicate that the beneficiary population reflects a balanced household demographic profile, with no significant shifts in gender composition over time. Additionally, one transgender household member was recorded in Q3, demonstrating the inclusion of diverse household profiles within the monitored sample. Variations in the total number of household members across quarters are primarily attributable to differences in sample size rather than changes in the underlying beneficiary population.

District-wise gender disaggregation and household-level detail are provided in **Annexure E-1 – 04**.

6.2.2 Female- and Disability-Headed Households

Out of the monitored households, 91 households (**15.7%**) were headed by women, while **22** households (**3.8%**) were headed by persons with disabilities. These households are considered higher-risk groups requiring closer monitoring due to economic, social, and mobility constraints.

Table 6.2B: Vulnerable Household Headship Q3

Vulnerability Category	Households
Women-headed households	91 (15.7%)
Disability-headed households	22 (3.8%)
Other households	468 (80.6%)
Total	581

Table 6.2B: Vulnerable Household Headship - Q2

Vulnerability Category	Households
Women-headed households	91 (14.1%)
Disability-headed households	16 (2.5%)
Other households	537 (83.4%)
Total	644

The proportion of vulnerable households increased slightly in Q3 compared to Q2. Women-headed households remained at the same absolute number (91 households) but increased proportionally from 14.1% in Q2 to 15.7% in Q3 due to the smaller sample size. Similarly, disability-headed households increased from 16 (2.5%) in Q2 to 22 (3.8%) in Q3. Consequently, the share of other households

decreased from 83.4% to 80.6%. Overall, the Q3 sample reflects a marginally higher representation of vulnerable household categories, particularly households headed by persons with disabilities. A direct quarter-to-quarter comparison with Q-1 was not possible because the Monitoring Tools (MTs) were subsequently revised and improved from Q-2 onward

District-level breakdowns for both categories are provided in **Annexure E-1 – 01 & 02**.

6.2.3 Household-Level Vulnerability Characteristics

Beyond headship status, monitoring captured multiple vulnerability indicators within households, including presence of youth, elderly members, widows, pregnant or lactating women, and persons with disabilities. The data indicate a high prevalence of youth and elderly members, with **33** male and **19** female persons with disabilities identified across the monitored households. The **128** cases of vulnerable female group fall under the **183** households.

Table 6.2C: Vulnerable Groups Q3

Category	Numbers
Male with Disability	33
Female with Disability	19
Widow	7
Single Women	0
Disabled Spouse	1
Elderly	38
Pregnant/Lactating	82

Table 6.2D: Vulnerable Groups - Q2

Category	Numbers
Male with Disability	31
Female with Disability	22
Widow	7
Single Women	4
Disabled Spouse	0
Elderly	57
Pregnant/Lactating	130

The vulnerability profile of monitored households remained broadly consistent between Q2 and Q3. Persons with disabilities continued to represent a notable vulnerable group, while women-headed households, widows, elderly individuals, and pregnant/lactating women were also present across the sample. Although the number of elderly and pregnant/lactating beneficiaries declined in Q3, vulnerable groups remain well represented, indicating that project interventions continue to reach households requiring additional support and attention during implementation.

Detailed district wise vulnerability matrices are provided in **Annexure E-1 – 05**.

6.2.4 Minority and Religious Composition

The monitored beneficiary population was overwhelmingly Muslim, with only one Hindu household identified in Usta Muhammad district. No other religious minorities were recorded in the monitored sample. This reflects the demographic context of the project districts rather than exclusionary patterns.

Detailed religious composition by district is provided in **Annexure E-1 – 03**.

6.2.5 Analytical Observations

Overall, the beneficiary profile indicates that Component-III is reaching a socially diverse and vulnerability-prone population, with meaningful inclusion of women-headed and disability-affected households. However, the presence of multiple vulnerability layers within households suggests a continued need for differentiated monitoring attention, particularly in districts with higher concentrations of female-headed households and households with elderly or disabled members.

6.3 Land Ownership, Tenure Security and Dispute Risk

This subsection examines land ownership status, availability of tenure documentation, and the presence of land-related disputes among monitored beneficiaries. Secure tenure is a prerequisite for housing reconstruction under Component-III and a key risk determinant for construction continuity and tranche disbursement.

6.3.1 Land Ownership Status and Tenure Security

Field monitoring indicates that **580** out of **581** households (**99.8%**) reported ownership or recognized possession of the land on which reconstruction is being carried out. However, ownership status does not uniformly translate into formal documentation, which has implications for verification processes and dispute risk.

Table 6.3A: Land Ownership Status (Monitored Households) Q3

Ownership Status	Households
Ownership / possession confirmed	580
Not in the name of beneficiary	01
Total	581

Table 6.3A: Land Ownership Status (Monitored Households) - Q2

Ownership Status	Households
Ownership / possession confirmed	608
Not in the name of beneficiary	36
Total	644

Ownership and Title Verification - Q1

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample
Land/mutation in beneficiary's or tenant's name	332 / 373	89.0 %
Lacking formal documentation	41 / 373	11.0 %
Boundary dispute or overlapping claim reported	0 / 373	0 %

Land ownership and possession verification remained highly satisfactory throughout the reporting period. The proportion of households with confirmed ownership or possession increased from 89.0% in Q1 to 94.4% in Q2 and further to 99.8% in Q3. Correspondingly, cases where land was not in the beneficiary's name declined substantially, indicating improved compliance with beneficiary eligibility requirements and reduced land tenure risks. No boundary disputes or overlapping claims were reported during the monitoring period, suggesting that land-related issues are not posing a significant constraint to housing reconstruction activities.

District-wise ownership status is provided in **Annexure E-3- 07**

6.3.2 Availability and Type of Ownership Documentation

Despite high reported ownership, **549** households (**94.7%**) did not possess formal ownership documents at the time of monitoring. The question was asked from beneficiary. It is important, with

the API integration M&E Firm team can recheck the availability of evidence in MIS so it can be confirmed. The most common situation involved informal possession without registered deeds or any legal document.

Table 6.3B: Availability of Ownership Documentation Q3

Documentation Status	Households
Formal or informal land documentation	31
No land document	549
Total	580

Table 6.3C: Availability of Ownership Documentation - Q2

Documentation Status	Households
Formal or informal land documentation	126
No land document	518
Total	644

The findings indicate that the majority of beneficiaries continue to rely on possession-based land tenure arrangements rather than formal ownership documentation. In Q3, only 31 households (5.3%) possessed formal or informal land documents compared to 126 households (19.6%) in Q2. Despite the low level of documented ownership, land ownership or possession was confirmed for nearly all monitored households, suggesting that reconstruction activities are largely taking place on land recognized through customary, community, or possession-based arrangements. This highlights the importance of maintaining flexible verification mechanisms that accommodate local land tenure practices while ensuring beneficiary eligibility.

Table 6.3C: Formal or Informal Land Documentation Q3

Category	Households
Registered Deed	11
Tenant - Stamp paper	17
Local verification certificate	0
State Land	0
Inheritance proof	2
Other	1
Total	31

Table 6.3C: Formal or Informal Land Documentation - Q2

Category	Households
Registered Deed	19
Tenant - Stamp paper	13
Local verification certificate	66
State Land	0
Inheritance proof	28
Total	126

Among households possessing land documentation, the composition of documentation types changed notably between Q2 and Q3. In Q3, tenant agreements on stamp paper (17 cases) and registered deeds (11 cases) constituted the majority of documented ownership evidence, while no local verification certificates were reported compared to 66 cases in Q2. The decline in inheritance-related documentation and local verification certificates suggests a shift toward more formalized or legally recognized forms of tenure documentation among the monitored sample. However, the overall

number of documented cases remains limited, reinforcing the continued reliance on possession-based and customary land tenure arrangements within the project area.

Table 6.3D: No Land Document

Category	Households
Not provided by the beneficiary	09
Not maintained at site	313
Misplaced	226
Other	01
Total	549

Table 6.3E: No Land Document - Q2

Category	Households
Not provided by the beneficiary	90
Not maintained at site	236
Misplaced	192
Total	518

The findings indicate that the absence of land documentation is primarily attributable to poor document retention and management rather than a complete lack of ownership evidence. In Q3, most beneficiaries reported that documents were either not maintained at the site (313 cases) or had been misplaced (226 cases), while only 9 beneficiaries were unable to provide any documentation when requested. Compared to Q2, the number of beneficiaries not providing documents decreased substantially, suggesting improved awareness and willingness to present ownership evidence. However, the continued prevalence of misplaced or unavailable documents highlights the need for stronger beneficiary awareness regarding the preservation of land-related records to facilitate future verification processes.

District wise documentation types and reasons for non-availability are provided in **Annexure E-3 – 08 & 09**.

6.3.3 Boundary Disputes and Overlapping Claims

Monitoring found no case involving boundary disputes or overlapping land claims in any district.

District-wise dispute records are provided in **Annexure E-3 – 10**.

6.3.4 Analytical Observations

The findings indicate that while land tenure insecurity does not appear to be a widespread constraint to Component-III implementation, undocumented ownership may pose a potential risk of disputes and administrative delays. Continued reliance on local verification mechanisms appears necessary, alongside targeted follow-up for the small number of disputed cases to prevent construction interruptions.

6.4 Construction Progress, Pauses and Implementation Bottlenecks

This subsection assesses the physical progress of housing reconstruction under Component-III, with specific focus on construction stages achieved at the time of field monitoring, the prevalence and causes of temporary construction pauses, and operational bottlenecks affecting implementation momentum. The analysis also examines alignment between reported construction status and tranche disbursement records as reflected in Component-III MIS.

6.4.1 Construction Type and Material Use

Table 6.4A: Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit Q3

Construction Type	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Brick masonry	320	55.1 %
Stone masonry	16	2.8 %
Block Masonry	245	42.2 %
Total	581	100 %

Table 6.4A: Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit - Q2

Construction Type	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Brick masonry	428	66.5 %
Stone masonry	1	0.2 %
Block Masonry	215	33.4 %
Total	644	100 %

Construction Type and Material Use– Q1

Construction Type	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Brick masonry	213	57.1 %
Stone masonry	1	0.3 %
Concrete block	159	42.6 %
Total	373	100 %

Construction practices remained broadly consistent across the reporting periods, with brick masonry continuing to be the predominant construction method. However, its share declined from 57.4% in Q1 to 66.5% in Q2 and then to 55.1% in Q3. In contrast, the use of concrete block masonry increased steadily from 42.6% in Q1 to 42.2% in Q3 after a lower share in Q2, while stone masonry emerged in a small number of cases during Q3. The findings indicate a gradual diversification of construction materials, likely influenced by local availability, cost considerations, and district-specific construction practices. Overall, beneficiaries continue to adopt construction methods that are generally aligned with project guidelines and local building preferences.

District-wise construction type distribution is provided in **Annexure E-4 – 11**.

6.4.2 Construction Status at Time of Visit

Field observations indicate that construction activities were underway across all monitored districts, with beneficiaries distributed across multiple construction stages. A significant proportion of houses had progressed to advanced stages, while a subset of households had not initiated construction at the time of visit.

Table 6.4B: Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit Q 3

Construction Stage	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Plinth level	52	9.0 %
Lintel level	62	10.7 %
Roof level	98	16.9 %
Completed (Finishing done)	309	53.2 %
Not started	60	10.3 %
Total	581	100 %

Among the **60** households where construction had not started, Annexure is attached with reasons.

Table 6.4B: Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit - Q2

Construction Stage	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Plinth level	74	11.5 %
Lintel level	80	12.4 %
Roof level	147	22.8 %
House completely constructed	255	39.6 %
Not started	88	13.7 %
Total	644	100 %

Construction Status at Time of Visit - Q1

Stage	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Plinth	236	63.3 %
Lintel	65	17.4 %
Roof	51	13.7 %
Completed	21	5.6 %
Total	373	100 %

The construction status of monitored houses demonstrates substantial progress across the three reporting periods. The proportion of completed houses increased significantly from 5.6% in Q1 to 39.6% in Q2 and further to 53.2% in Q3, indicating that a large number of housing units have successfully advanced through the reconstruction cycle. Correspondingly, the share of houses at the plinth, lintel, and roof stages declined over time as construction progressed toward completion. The emergence of a small proportion of non-started houses in Q2 and Q3 suggests that some beneficiaries are yet to commence reconstruction; however, their overall share declined from 13.7% in Q2 to 10.3% in Q3. Overall, the findings reflect steady implementation progress and improving housing completion rates across the project area.

District-wise construction stage distribution is provided in **Annexure E-4 12- 12a**

Table 6.4C: Construction Work Not Started Q3

District	No. of Houses
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Duki	19
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	1
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	1
Killa Abdullah	11
Killa Saifullah	13
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	2
Musakhel	2
Nasirabad	2
Quetta	4
Sohbatpur	3
Usta Muhammad	2
Grand Total	60

Table 6.4C: Construction Work not Started - Q2

District	No. of Houses
Barkhan	5
Dera Bugti	3
Harnai	2
Jaffarabad	6
Jhal Magsi	5
Kachhi	8
Lasbela	14
Musakhel	2
Naseerabad	5
Sohbat Pur	32
Usta Muhammad	6
Grand Total	88

The number of houses where construction had not yet started decreased from 88 in Q2 to 60 in Q3, indicating overall progress in the initiation of reconstruction activities. While Sohbatpur accounted for the highest number of non-started houses in Q2, the issue became more concentrated in Duki, Killa Saifullah, and Killa Abdullah during Q3. The reduction in non-started cases across most districts suggests improved mobilization of beneficiaries and construction activities; however, targeted follow-up may be required in districts with comparatively higher numbers of non-started houses to accelerate reconstruction progress.

6.4.3 Tranche Release and Construction Initiation Mismatch

- **60** cases have already received the first tranche

This indicates a clear mismatch between tranche disbursement records and observed physical progress in a limited but material number of cases. While the overall proportion is small relative to the total monitored sample, such cases represent high-risk outliers with potential implications for fiduciary control, supervision effectiveness, and milestone verification protocols.

6.4.4 Temporary Construction Pauses and Underlying Causes

Among houses that had initiated construction, **212** households were at plinth, lintel, or roof stages at the time of monitoring. Within this group, **60** cases were identified where construction was temporarily paused or not started.

Table 6.4D: Construction Status at Active Stages Q 3

Status	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Construction in progress	89	42.0 %
Temporarily paused	87	41.0 %
Completed (stage-specific)	36	28.3 %
Total	212	100 %

Table 6.4D: Construction Status at Active Stages - Q2

Status	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Construction in progress	183	60.8 %
Temporarily paused	104	34.6 %
Completed (stage-specific)	14	4.7 %
Total	301	100 %

Among houses at active construction stages, the proportion of stage-specific completed units increased significantly from 4.7% in Q2 to 28.3% in Q3, indicating substantial progress in advancing houses through the reconstruction cycle. While the share of houses under active construction declined from 60.8% to 42.0%, a considerable proportion (41.0%) remained temporarily paused, highlighting

the need to address factors causing construction delays. Overall, the findings suggest positive construction progress, with many houses. The reasons for temporary pauses were predominantly operational rather than structural. The most frequently reported causes included tranche-related delays, labor shortages, and material availability constraints, with variations observed across districts.

Detailed case-level records are provided in **Annexure E-4 – 13**.

Table 6.4E: Reasons for Temporary Paused (Multiple choices) Q3

Reasons	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Land Dispute	1	1.1 %
Tranche Delay	52	57.1 %
Material Shortage	6	6.6 %
Labor Shortage	10	11.0 %
Seasonal Effect	11	12.1 %
Bank Delay	0	0
Water Shortage	8	8.8 %
Delay Due to Death	0	0
Other	3	3.3 %
Total	91	100 %

Table 6.4E: Reasons for Temporary Paused (Multiple choices) - Q2

Reasons	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Land Dispute	1	1 %
Tranche Delay	57	54.8 %
Material Shortage	3	2.9 %
Labor Shortage	33	31.7 %
Seasonal Effect	0	0
Bank Delay	0	0
Water Shortage	3	2.9 %
Delay Due to Death	2	1.9 %
Other	5	4.8 %
Total	104	100 %

Tranche delays remained the primary reason for temporarily paused construction activities in both Q2 and Q3, accounting for more than half of all reported cases. While labor shortages declined significantly from 31.7% in Q2 to 11.0% in Q3, seasonal factors (12.1%) and water shortages (8.8%) emerged as more prominent constraints during Q3. The continued dominance of tranche-related delays suggests that timely disbursement of financial assistance remains critical for sustaining reconstruction momentum. Addressing these operational bottlenecks could help reduce interruptions and accelerate housing completion.

Detailed breakdown of pause reasons by district and category is provided in **Annexure E-4 – 14**.

6.4.5 Material Availability and Localized Bottlenecks

Perception-based responses on material availability were captured for 538 households. Of these, **114** households reported experiencing some form of material shortage, while the majority did not report any constraints related to construction materials.

Reported shortages were not widespread across districts and were concentrated on a limited number of locations. Where reported, shortages most commonly related to cement and bricks or blocks, indicating localized supply or access issues rather than systemic market constraints.

Table 6.4F: Material Availability Issues Q 3

Reasons	% of Sample
Cement	42.3 %
Bricks/Blocks	33.1 %

Reasons	% of Sample
Sand	14.5 %
Crush/Gravel	8.9 %
Steel/Bars	0.4 %
Wood/Timber	0
Paint/Finishing Items	0.8 %
Other	0
Total	100 %

Table 6.4F: Reasons for Temporary Paused (Multiple choices) - Q2

Reasons	% of Sample
Cement	21.9 %
Bricks/Blocks	51.8 %
Sand	0.9 %
Crush/Gravel	0
Steel/Bars	24.6 %
Wood/Timber	0
Paint/Finishing Items	0.9 %
Other	0
Total	100 %

The pattern of material availability constraints shifted between Q2 and Q3. In Q3, cement (42.3%) and bricks/blocks (33.1%) emerged as the most commonly reported material shortages, whereas bricks/blocks (51.8%) and steel/bars (24.6%) were the primary constraints in Q2. The increased reporting of sand and crush/gravel shortages in Q3 suggests broader supply chain and material access challenges affecting construction activities. These findings highlight the need for continued monitoring of material availability to prevent disruptions in reconstruction progress.

Detailed district-wise responses and material types are provided in **Annexure E-16 – 95**.

6.4.6 Analytical Observations

Overall, construction progress under Component-III demonstrates substantive forward movement, with the majority of monitored houses advancing through planned stages. However, the identification of non-started construction cases despite tranche releases highlights a critical control risk that warrants targeted follow-up.

These findings suggest the need for:

- Strengthened pre-tranche verification of construction initiation
- Closer synchronization between MIS reporting and field-level supervision

Addressing these gaps proactively will be essential to safeguard fiduciary integrity and maintain confidence in the tranche-based reconstruction model as implementation continues to scale.

6.5 Financial Access & Tranche Disbursement Experience

This subsection analyses beneficiary access to tranche payments, the incidence of payment-related problems, and the interfaces through which beneficiaries receive information and support regarding tranche disbursement. The objective is to assess whether financial flows are aligned with implementation needs and whether any systemic barriers are emerging at beneficiary, bank, or implementing partner levels.

6.5.1 Tranche Receipt Status

Field monitoring confirms that tranche payments have reached the vast majority of monitored beneficiaries. Out of **581** households (Where construction work was started or completed) for which payment-related information was captured, **528** households reported having received at least one tranche, while one case from Dera Bugti reported non-receipt at the time of monitoring. It was also found that the construction work was started at plinth level of this one case from Dera Bugti without receiving any tranche. This depicts active mobilization and the trust of beneficiary on the system as they are constructing their homes however the tranche is not yet received.

District-wise tranche receipt status is provided in **Annexure E-5 – 15**.

These findings indicate that, at an aggregate level, financial outreach under Component-III is strong, with very limited outright exclusion from tranche access.

6.5.2 Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches

Despite high overall tranche receipt, **17** households reported experiencing problems during the tranche disbursement process in Quarter 3 while 20 households reported the same in Quarter 2. These issues were not evenly distributed across districts and were more prominent in a small number of locations.

Table 6.5A: Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches Q3

Response	Households
Yes	17
No	511
Total	528

Table 6.5A: Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches - Q2

Response	Households
Yes	20
No	535
Total	555

Among households reporting problems, the most frequently cited reasons included delays or lack of support from bank staff and procedural difficulties, rather than systematic failures of the payment mechanism. No widespread complaints related to financial coercion or informal payments were reported.

Detailed reasons and district-wise patterns are provided in **Annexure E-5 – 17**.

6.5.3 Information Channels on Tranche Disbursement

Beneficiaries primarily relied on Implementing Partner (IP) staff for information related to tranche releases, followed by bank staff and, to a lesser extent, community members or VRC representatives. This highlights the central role of IP staff as the primary interface between beneficiaries and the financial system.

Table 6.5B: Source of Information on Tranche Release Q3

Response	Households
IP Staff	461
VRC Member	7
Bank Staff	57
Community Member	3
Overall	528

Table 6.5A: Source of Information on Tranche Release - Q2

Response	Households
IP Staff	450
VRC Member	6
Bank Staff	67
Community Member	32
Overall	555

Implementing Partner (IP) staff remained the primary source of information regarding tranche releases in both quarters, accounting for the vast majority of responses. The reliance on IP staff increased slightly in Q3, while information received through community members declined significantly from 32 cases in Q2 to only 3 cases in Q3. These findings suggest that beneficiary communication regarding tranche disbursements is largely driven through formal project channels, indicating an effective role of IP staff in disseminating project-related information.

District-wise information channels are detailed in **Annexure E-5 – 16**.

6.5.4 Analytical Observations

The findings suggest that tranche disbursement mechanisms are largely functional, with high coverage and limited reported problems. However, the dependence on IP staff for information underscores the importance of maintaining strong field-level facilitation and oversight.

6.6 Construction Quality, DRR and MCG Compliance

This subsection assesses compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), incorporation of disaster risk reduction (DRR) features, and overall construction quality observed during field monitoring. The analysis focuses on structural elements critical to safety, resilience, and durability, as well as beneficiary awareness and training related to MCG requirements.

6.6.1 Compliance with Key Structural and DRR Standards

Field monitoring indicates a high level of compliance with foundational and structural MCG requirements across most monitored sites. Critical parameters related to excavation depth, plinth elevation, footing dimensions, wall thickness, and roof installation were largely found to be compliant with prescribed standards.

Table 6.6A: Compliance with Selected Structural and DRR Standards Q3

MCG / DRR Parameter	Compliant Cases
Raised platform above flood level	519 / 521
First footing step dimensions	518 / 521
Plinth level above normal surface	519 / 521
Damp proofing provided	410 / 521
Minimum wall thickness	469 / 469

Table 6.6A: Compliance with Selected Structural and DRR Standards - Q2

MCG / DRR Parameter	Compliant Cases
Excavation depth as per soil conditions	557 / 558
Raised platform above flood level	556 / 558
First footing step dimensions	555 / 558
Plinth level above normal surface	555 / 558
Damp proofing provided	473 / 558
Minimum wall thickness	483 / 483

Compliance with key structural and disaster risk reduction (DRR) measures remained consistently high across the monitored housing units. Nearly all assessed houses met the requirements related to raised platforms above flood level, footing dimensions, plinth height, and minimum wall thickness, indicating strong adherence to the Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs). In addition, a substantial number of beneficiaries voluntarily incorporated damp-proofing measures, although this is not a mandatory requirement under the MCGs. The findings suggest that beneficiaries are not only complying with prescribed standards but, in some cases, are adopting additional measures to enhance the durability and resilience of their houses.

Detailed district-wise compliance records are provided in **Annexure E-15 – 81 - 87**.

6.6.2 Roofing, Drainage, Ventilation and Accessibility Features

Roof installation and rainwater drainage systems were largely compliant at sites where roofing activities had commenced. However, a limited number of cases exhibited deficiencies related to drainage slope or roof detailing, which may affect long-term resilience if not corrected.

Accessibility adaptations for persons with disabilities, such as ramps and tactile features, were observed in a small number of cases, reflecting limited integration of universal design features beyond households with explicitly identified needs.

Table 6.6B: Selected Construction Quality and Accessibility Features Q3

Feature	Observed / Applicable
Roof type installed as per MCG	376 / 407
Proper roof drainage system	401 / 407
Accessibility adaptations provided	9 / 11
Ventilators and windows installed	Observed across almost all district except Duki

Table 6.6B: Selected Construction Quality and Accessibility Features - Q2

Feature	Observed / Applicable
Roof type installed as per MCG	386 / 403
Proper roof drainage system	386 / 403
Accessibility adaptations provided	7 / 11
Ventilators and windows installed	Observed across all districts

The assessment findings indicate generally satisfactory compliance with construction quality and accessibility features across the monitored housing units. Most houses with completed roofing incorporated appropriate roof drainage systems, while the majority also followed the roof types prescribed under the MCGs. Accessibility adaptations were observed in most applicable cases, demonstrating consideration for the needs of vulnerable beneficiaries. Ventilators and windows were installed in almost all monitored districts, contributing to improved ventilation and living conditions; however, their absence in some houses in Duki may require follow-up during subsequent monitoring visits. Overall, the findings suggest that construction quality standards are being largely maintained across the project area.

District-level and feature-specific details are provided in **Annexure E-15 – 81 - 93**.

6.6.3 MCG Training, Awareness and Application

MCG-related training was reported in **350** cases, with 336 beneficiaries confirming participation in IP-organized MCG training sessions. Post-training awareness was strongest around plinth height, lintel, and roof specifications, suggesting effective transmission of core technical requirements.

However, the adoption of optional measures, particularly damp proofing and improved drainage detailing, where implemented, represents a positive indication of the application of construction guidance. Their uptake, although not uniform across all sites, highlights the value of continued technical supervision and reinforcement to encourage wider adoption of such good practices.

Training coverage and awareness indicators are detailed in **Annexure E-9 – 46**.

6.6.4 Analytical Observations

The overall construction quality and MCG compliance under Component-III is assessed as technically sound, with strong adherence to core structural and DRR standards. Observed gaps are localized and correctable, rather than systemic.

Priority areas for continued attention include:

-
- Encouraging the Adoption of Damp Proofing Measures
- Close supervision of roof drainage detailing
- Improved integration of accessibility features for households with special needs

Sustained technical oversight and targeted corrective guidance will be essential to preserve construction quality as implementation advances toward completion.

6.7 Community Institutions, VRC Functionality and Participation

This subsection examines the formation, functionality, and engagement of Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) as community-level institutions supporting housing reconstruction under Component-III. The analysis assesses awareness, participation, training, and the practical role played by VRCs in facilitating reconstruction activities.

6.7.1 Awareness and Formation of Village Reconstruction Committees

Field monitoring indicates that awareness of VRCs among beneficiaries is moderate and uneven across districts. Out of **538** households responding to VRC awareness questions, 328 households (**61%**) reported awareness of VRCs, while **210** households (**39%**) were not aware of their existence.

Table 6.7A: VRC Awareness and Formation Status Q3

Indicator	Households
Aware of VRC	581/328
Not aware of VRC	581/210
VRC formed (where awareness exists)	328/322
VRC not formed	6

Table 6.7A: VRC Awareness and Formation Status - Q2

Indicator	Households
Aware of VRC	312
Not aware of VRC	246
VRC formed (where awareness exists)	306
VRC not formed	6

Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) improved in Q3, with the number of households aware of VRCs increasing from 312 in Q2 to 328 in Q3. Among those aware, VRC formation remained highly satisfactory, with over 98% reporting that a VRC had been established in

their area. The findings suggest that community-level institutional arrangements are functioning effectively; however, the continued presence of households unaware of VRCs indicates a need for further community outreach and awareness efforts to strengthen beneficiary engagement and participation.

District-wise awareness and formation details are provided in **Annexure E-6 – 19 - 20**.

6.7.2 VRC Membership, Training and Participation

Among formed VRCs, **280** households aware of about their membership status in VRC. Most members served as general members, with a smaller number holding leadership roles such as chairperson or secretary.

Training coverage among VRC members was reported to be near-universal, and consultation meetings were held in most cases, although meeting frequency varied and was often conducted on an as-needed basis rather than on a fixed schedule.

Table 6.7B: VRC Participation and Capacity Indicators Q3

Indicator	Households
VRC members identified	280
VRC members trained	273
VRC consultation meetings held	254
Meetings held as needed	223

Table 6.7B: VRC Participation and Capacity Indicators - Q2

Indicator	Households
VRC members identified	175
VRC members trained	174
VRC consultation meetings held	170
Meetings held as needed	147

VRC Functionality and Meeting Frequency – Q1

Functionality Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Relevant Cases
VRC conducted consultation meetings	113 / 120	94 %
Beneficiaries participated in meetings	106 / 120	88 %
Female members attended meetings	72 / 106	68 %
Frequency: Monthly or as needed	94 / 106	89 %

The findings indicate strengthened VRC functionality and community engagement in Q3 compared to Q2. The number of households reporting identified and trained VRC members increased substantially, while reports of consultation meetings and meetings held as needed also showed notable improvement. These results suggest enhanced community participation and improved operational effectiveness of VRCs, contributing to stronger local oversight and support for housing reconstruction activities.

Detailed participation, role distribution, and meeting frequency tables are provided in **Annexure E-6 – 21 - 25**.

6.7.3 VRC Support in Housing Reconstruction

Out of **328** households associated with formed VRCs, **260** households reported receiving some form of assistance from VRCs during reconstruction. Support most commonly involved guidance on construction activities, coordination with IP or HRU teams, and assistance to vulnerable households.

However, the extent and type of support varied significantly across districts, indicating differences in VRC capacity and engagement levels.

6.7.4 Analytical Observations

The findings indicate that VRCs are functioning as supportive community platforms where they are known and engaged, particularly in facilitating coordination and guidance. The principal constraint lies in limited beneficiary awareness, which reduces the potential reach and effectiveness of these institutions.

Strengthening communication on VRC roles, standardizing meeting practices, and reinforcing VRC engagement in districts with lower awareness could enhance community-level support and improve reconstruction outcomes.

6.8 Safeguards, GRM and Social Risk

This subsection reviews the status of social safeguards implementation under Component-III, with emphasis on beneficiary awareness and use of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), inclusion-related risks, and any signals of social tension or conflict observed during field monitoring.

6.8.1 GRM Awareness, Accessibility and Use

Field monitoring indicates low overall awareness of the GRM among beneficiaries. Out of **538** households, only **123** households (**22.9%**) reported being aware of the GRM, while **415** households (**77.1%**) reported no awareness.

Among households aware of the GRM, orientation on how to use the mechanism was reported in most cases. However, the interviewed beneficiaries added that no formal complaints were submitted through the GRM during the reporting period. This suggests that low usage is primarily driven by limited awareness, rather than functional barriers within the mechanism itself.

Table 6.8A: GRM Awareness and Usage Q3

Indicator	Households
Aware of GRM	123
Not aware of GRM	415
Complaints submitted through GRM	0

Table 6.8A: GRM Awareness and Usage - Q2

Indicator	Households
Aware of GRM	142
Not aware of GRM	414
Complaints submitted through GRM	0

Awareness of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) remained relatively low and declined slightly in Q3 compared to Q2. The majority of monitored households were unaware of the available grievance channels, which may limit beneficiaries' ability to formally raise concerns or seek redress. No complaints were reported through the GRM in either quarter, suggesting a need for enhanced awareness campaigns and communication efforts to improve beneficiary knowledge and utilization of the mechanism.

District-wise GRM awareness, visibility of GRM information, and orientation records are provided in **Annexure E-14 – 74 & 79**.

Among households aware of the GRM, orientation on how to use the mechanism was reported in most cases. However, no formal complaints were submitted through the GRM during the reporting period. This suggests that low usage is primarily driven by limited awareness, rather than barriers

within the mechanism itself. There were no visible banner or information display on sites related to GRM.

Out of **123** beneficiaries who were aware of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), **86** beneficiaries agreed that women have the ability to access and utilize the GRM system. However, none of the interviewed beneficiaries had formally submitted a complaint. This may indicate either the absence of significant grievances or limited practical awareness among beneficiaries regarding the procedures and channels for lodging complaints, despite having general knowledge of the GRM system.

Detailed gender-disaggregated GRM access data are provided in **Annexure E-14 – 78**.

6.8.2 Gender-Differentiated Access to GRM

Gender-disaggregated analysis reveals additional access constraints for women, beyond general awareness gaps. Among households where women were aware of the GRM, the majority reported limited ability to independently use the mechanism, particularly in districts characterized by lower female mobility and social restrictions.

This indicates that even where GRM information is available, practical access for women remains constrained, reducing the likelihood that grievances related to payments, construction quality, or social issues will be formally reported. The absence of submitted complaints should therefore be interpreted with caution, as it may reflect under-reporting rather than absence of issues.

6.8.3 Social Safeguards Awareness and Risk Signals

Awareness of broader social safeguards, including inclusion principles and behavioral expectations during reconstruction, was mixed across districts. While safeguards orientation was reported in several districts, gaps remain in consistent community-level communication.

Table 6.8B: Social Risk Signals Observed Q3

Indicator	Cases
Social conflicts reported	0
Signs of social tension	1
Gender-related disputes	0

Table 6.8B: Social Risk Signals Observed - Q2

Indicator	Cases
Social conflicts reported	3
Signs of social tension	0
Gender-related disputes	0

The monitoring findings indicate a low level of social risk within the project areas. No social conflicts or gender-related disputes were reported in Q3, while only one case of social tension was observed. Compared to Q2, where three social conflicts were reported, the findings suggest an overall improvement in the social environment surrounding project implementation. Continued community engagement and effective grievance management mechanisms will be important to maintain social cohesion and prevent the emergence of localized tensions.

District-level social risk observations are detailed in **Annexure E-10 – 52 - 54**.

6.8.4 Analytical Observations

Overall, social risk levels under Component-III remain low and localized, with no evidence of systemic safeguards failures. However, low GRM awareness combined with gender-specific access constraints represent a latent risk for delayed issue detection.

Strengthening GRM visibility alone may not be sufficient. Complementary measures, such as female-focused orientation, trusted intermediaries, and clearer guidance through IP staff and VRCs, will be important to ensure that women and vulnerable groups can effectively raise concerns as implementation continues.

6.9 Labor, OHS and Workplace Safety Compliance

This subsection examines labor practices and occupational health and safety conditions observed at construction sites under Component-III. The analysis covers labor sourcing patterns, workforce inflow dynamics, safety training, workplace conditions, and the incidence of labor-related risks or incidents.

6.9.1 Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics

Field monitoring indicates that local labor engagement is the dominant practice across monitored sites. At the same time, construction activity has resulted in significant inflow of labor in selected districts, reflecting the scale and intensity of reconstruction works.

Table 6.9A: Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics Q3

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
Local labor hired	500	38	538
Significant inflow of labor observed	356	182	538
Child or forced labor observed	0	538	538
Social conflict related to labor	0	538	538

Table 6.9A: Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics - Q2

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
Local labor hired	536	20	556
Significant inflow of labor observed	319	237	556
Child or forced labor observed	0	556	556
Social conflict related to labor	0	556	556

Labor Presence and Local Hiring

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Significant labor presence at site	148 / 373	39.7 %
Local labor hired for construction	274 / 373	73.5 %

The findings demonstrate sustained reliance on local labor throughout the reporting period, with local hiring increasing from 73.5% in Q1 to over 90% in both Q2 and Q3. Reports of significant labor presence and labor inflow also increased over time, reflecting the expansion and advancement of reconstruction activities. Importantly, no cases of child labor, forced labor, or labor-related social conflicts were reported across any reporting period, indicating strong compliance with labor and social safeguard requirements and suggesting that reconstruction activities are generating local employment opportunities without creating notable labor-related risks.

District-wise labor engagement patterns are provided in **Annexure E-11 – 56 - 58**.

6.9.2 OHS Training, Worker Facilities and Safety Incidents

OHS-related capacity and workplace conditions show mixed performance across monitored sites. While a majority of households reported some form of safety orientation, coverage remains uneven and basic worker facilities are not consistently available.

Table 6.9B: OHS Training, Facilities and Safety Incidents Q3

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
OHS training provided to labor	327	211	538
Drinking water available at site	407	131	538
Toilet facility available at site	162	376	538
Workplace accidents reported	0	538	538
Formal safety incident reporting practiced	0	538	538

Table 6.9B: OHS Training, Facilities and Safety Incidents - Q2

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
OHS training provided to labor	306	250	556
Drinking water available at site	476	80	556
Toilet facility available at site	138	418	556
Workplace accidents reported	1	555	556
Formal safety incident reporting practiced	0	1	1

The findings indicate generally satisfactory occupational health and safety (OHS) practices at construction sites. Compared to Q2, the proportion of sites where OHS training was provided increased in Q3, reflecting improved awareness of workplace safety among laborers. Drinking water remained available at the majority of sites, although its availability declined slightly compared to Q2. Toilet facilities continued to be limited across many construction sites, indicating an area requiring further attention. Encouragingly, no workplace accidents were reported in Q3, compared to one reported incident in Q2, suggesting an overall positive safety environment during the reporting period.

Detailed OHS training coverage, worker facility availability, and accident reporting practices are provided in **Annexure E-11 – 55 & 59 - 60**.

6.9.3 Child Labor, Forced Labor and Compliance Signals

No cases of child labor or forced labor were reported or observed during field monitoring. This indicates strong compliance with labor safeguards requirements under Component-III.

District-wise compliance verification is provided in **Annexure E-11 – 58**.

6.9.4 Analytical Observations

Labor practices under Component-III demonstrate strong compliance with core labor safeguards, including the absence of child or forced labor and minimal labor-related social risk. The combination of high local labor engagement and limited conflict signals reflects positive community absorption of reconstruction activities.

At the same time, uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent availability of basic worker facilities represent manageable but important risks. As construction activity continues at scale, strengthening routine OHS orientation, minimum site safety standards, and simple incident reporting practices will be essential to prevent avoidable accidents and improve worker welfare.

6.10 Disaster Risk Observations

This subsection reviews disaster risk exposure at construction sites under Component-III. The analysis covers hazard proximity, site drainage and water flow conditions.

6.10.1 Hazard Exposure and Site Risk Context

Field monitoring confirms that reconstruction activities are being undertaken in areas with varying degrees of hazard exposure, consistent with the post-flood context of the project. Out of **538** households, **235** households (**43.7%**) reported that their construction site is located near a hazard-prone area.

Dominant hazard types reported include flood plains, flash flood ranges, and earthquake-prone zones, with notable district-wise variation. Prior flood impacts at construction sites were also reported in a substantial number of cases, reinforcing the importance of DRR-compliant construction practices.

Table 6.10A: Proximity of Construction Sites to Hazard-Prone Areas Q3

Indicator	Households
Site near hazard-prone area	235
Site not near hazard-prone area	303
Total	538

Table 6.10A: Proximity of Construction Sites to Hazard-Prone Areas - Q2

Indicator	Households
Site near hazard-prone area	249
Site not near hazard-prone area	307
Total	556

The proportion of housing sites located near hazard-prone areas remained relatively consistent between Q2 and Q3, with approximately 44% of monitored houses situated in locations exposed to potential environmental hazards. This highlights the continued importance of incorporating disaster-resilient construction practices and adherence to DRR measures within the reconstruction program. The majority of houses, however, were located outside identified hazard-prone areas, indicating a generally favorable siting profile among monitored beneficiaries.

District-wise hazard exposure, flood history, erosion indicators, and site-in-flow-path observations are provided in **Annexure E-8 – 36**.

6.10.2 Site Drainage and RainWater Flow Conditions

Site-level drainage and rainwater flow conditions generally demonstrate encouraging practices, with proper rainwater flow observed at several sites. However, 149 households reported areas where drainage arrangements could be further improved, highlighting opportunities for localized corrective measures to minimize potential water accumulation or erosion risks.

Table 6.10B: Rainwater Flow Conditions at Construction Sites

Indicator	Households
Proper flow of rainwater observed	389
Rainwater flow arrangements could be further improved	149
Total	538

Table 6.10B: Rainwater Flow Conditions at Construction Sites - Q2

Indicator	Households
Proper flow of rainwater observed	242
Improper flow of rainwater observed	314
Total	556

The findings indicate a substantial improvement in site drainage conditions between Q2 and Q3. The number of households reporting proper rainwater flow increased significantly from 242 to 389, while cases of improper drainage declined from 314 to 149. This positive trend suggests improved site selection, construction planning, and implementation of drainage-related measures, which contribute to reducing flood and waterlogging risks and enhancing the resilience of reconstructed housing units.

District-wise drainage observations are provided in **Annexure E-8 – 40**.

6.10.3 Flood History, Erosion and Other Environmental Signals

A considerable number of households reported prior flood impacts at their sites, highlighting that reconstruction is frequently occurring in areas with demonstrated exposure history. Limited cases of land erosion or landslide history were reported, though these were concentrated in a small number of districts.

Flood history, erosion indicators, and site-in-flow-path observations are detailed in **Annexure E-8 – 37 – 39**

6.11 Environment

6.11.1 Construction-Related Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact

Construction-related environmental impacts were specifically assessed to determine whether reconstruction activities are generating nuisance or ecological stress at community level.

Table 6.10C: Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact Indicators Q3

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
Construction-related dust observed	22	516	538
Dust control via water sprinkling practiced	386	152	538
Noise or vibration impacts reported	69	469	538
Wildlife disturbance observed	0	538	538
Trees removed during construction	4	534	538
Reforestation conducted for removed trees	1	3	4

Table 6.10C: Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact Indicators - Q2

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
Construction-related dust observed	8	546	556
Dust control via water sprinkling practiced	403	153	556
Noise or vibration impacts reported	0	556	556
Wildlife disturbance observed	0	556	556
Trees removed during construction	4	552	556
Reforestation conducted for removed trees	0	4	4

Environmental Conditions at Construction Sites – Q1

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Heavy dust observed at site	6 / 373	1.6 %
Observational Signs of soil erosion / waterlogging	1 / 373	0.3 %
Construction waste disposed of in designated area	262 / 373	70.2 %
Proper storage / disposal of waste materials	265 / 373	71.0 %
Hazardous materials stored / used on site	0 / 373	0 %

District-wise environmental observations and natural resource impact details are provided in **Annexure E-12 – 62 - 68**.

The findings indicate that construction-related environmental nuisance is minimal, with very limited dust observations and no reported noise, vibration, or wildlife disturbance. Tree removal was rare and localized, although compensatory planting was not observed in the monitored cases.

6.11.2 Analytical Observations

Environmental and disaster-risk observations suggest that Component-III reconstruction is progressing in hazard-exposed contexts without generating significant secondary environmental impacts. While hazard proximity and drainage conditions remain relevant risks in several districts, construction activities themselves are not contributing materially to environmental degradation or community-level nuisance.

Priority management attention should focus on:

- Improving site-level drainage and rainwater flow arrangements in hazard-prone areas
- Reinforcing beneficiary guidance on simple environmental good practices
- Ensuring documentation and follow-up where natural resource impacts, such as tree removal, occur

6.12 Key MEL Insights & Learning

This subsection consolidates the major Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning (MEL) insights emerging from field monitoring, implementation analysis, and triangulated evidence collected under Component-III during **QPR-03** (Jan–Mar 2026). The analysis aims to identify broader implementation patterns, operational learning signals, and adaptive management considerations that extend beyond individual indicators and contribute to strategic project oversight.

6.12.1 Alignment between Financial Flows and Physical Progress

Field monitoring and MIS analysis indicate that the tranche-based financing mechanism under Component-III is generally functioning effectively in enabling construction initiation and progression across beneficiary households. The strong alignment between beneficiary account activation and commencement of physical works reflects operational effectiveness in financial enablement and implementation mobilization.

The majority of monitored households demonstrated visible construction activity ranging from plinth to roof completion stages. However, a limited number of outlier cases were identified where tranche releases were not fully synchronized with observed physical progress or construction initiation. While these cases remain isolated and non-systemic, they indicate the need for strengthened verification controls and closer synchronization between MIS-triggered tranche approvals and field-level validation mechanisms.

The analysis suggests that the overall financing model remains operationally sound; however, implementation scale-up now requires enhanced process discipline, stronger verification sequencing, and improved integration between administrative and field monitoring systems to sustain fiduciary assurance.

6.12.2 Localized Nature of Implementation Bottlenecks

MEL findings indicate that implementation bottlenecks observed during the reporting period were primarily localized, operational, and context-specific rather than systemic in nature. Construction pauses, labor shortages, temporary material constraints, and delayed progression across stages varied significantly across districts and were closely linked to local implementation realities.

As implementation expanded to all operational districts during **QPR-03**, many newly activated districts began experiencing challenges similar to those encountered during the initial rollout phase in earlier districts. Communities in several areas are still adapting to project operational modalities, construction sequencing requirements, tranche utilization procedures, and compliance obligations under the Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs).

This transitional learning phase has contributed to comparatively slower progression toward advanced construction milestones, particularly Stage-3 completion. The findings therefore indicate that observed pacing differences should be interpreted in the context of implementation maturity and geographic expansion rather than generalized implementation underperformance.

The analysis reinforces the importance of adopting a risk-based supervision approach focused on districts or cases exhibiting repeated delays, prolonged pauses, or construction-progress inconsistencies, rather than applying uniform corrective measures across all implementation areas.

6.12.3 Technical Compliance with Selective Quality Risks

Overall compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs) and core Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) standards remained strong across monitored sites. Structural elements including plinth elevation, wall alignment, roofing systems, and structural reinforcement measures were generally found compliant with approved construction standards.

One important positive learning emerging from the field monitoring exercise is the voluntary adoption of additional resilience-enhancing practices by beneficiaries beyond mandatory project requirements. In several monitored sites, beneficiaries were observed incorporating damp-proofing measures through the use of polythene sheets, despite such practices not being compulsory under the approved MCGs. This reflects increasing beneficiary awareness regarding resilient construction practices and demonstrates positive behavioral adaptation within communities.

At the same time, localized gaps were observed in selected secondary construction elements such as drainage detailing, accessibility adaptations, finishing quality. These gaps were limited in scale and did not indicate systemic technical deficiencies; however, they highlight the need for reinforced field supervision, targeted corrective guidance, and practical on-site technical support.

The learning signal emerging from the analysis is that current training and technical support mechanisms are effective for critical structural safety parameters, while continued reinforcement is required for secondary quality and resilience-related elements as construction volumes increase.

6.12.4 Social Inclusion and Community Interface Dynamics

Monitoring findings confirm that Component-III continues to reach socially vulnerable and high-risk population groups, including women-headed households, households with elderly members, persons with disabilities, widows, and economically vulnerable families. Inclusion performance remains broadly aligned with the project's social protection and vulnerability targeting objectives.

Field evidence further indicates that community-level structures, particularly Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs), are contributing positively in districts where community awareness and institutional engagement are relatively mature. However, the effectiveness and visibility of these structures remain uneven across implementation areas.

The analysis suggests that the mere establishment of community institutions does not automatically translate into functional beneficiary support systems. Sustained communication, community mobilization, and beneficiary awareness-building remain critical for ensuring meaningful participation and effective utilization of available community mechanisms.

6.12.5 Safeguards and Risk Visibility

Social safeguards risks, labor-related concerns, and environmental compliance issues remained generally low and localized during the reporting period, with no evidence of systemic conflict, prohibited labor practices, or major environmental non-compliance observed across monitored sites.

However, field findings indicate that awareness and practical utilization of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) remain limited, particularly among women and vulnerable groups. Out of **123** beneficiaries who were aware of the GRM system, 86 beneficiaries agreed that women have the ability to access and utilize the mechanism. Despite this, no beneficiary interviewed during the monitoring exercise had formally submitted a complaint.

This finding does not necessarily indicate the absence of grievances. Rather, it suggests that while general awareness of the GRM exists at community level, practical understanding regarding grievance submission procedures, access channels, and confidence in utilizing the mechanism remains limited among beneficiaries.

The analysis therefore indicates that safeguards systems are operationally present but not yet fully internalized at community level. Strengthening visibility, trust-building, and practical awareness regarding grievance submission processes will be critical for improving early risk detection and community responsiveness.

6.12.6 Overall Learning Signal

Overall, the Q3 monitoring findings indicate continued progress in housing reconstruction, evidenced by the increase in completed houses from 39.6% in Q2 to 53.2% in Q3 and the corresponding reduction in houses at earlier construction stages. Compliance with key structural and disaster-resilient construction standards remained consistently high, while no significant labor, social conflict, child labor, forced labor, or environmental safeguard concerns were observed. Community-based structures such as VRCs demonstrated improved functionality and participation, contributing to local oversight and beneficiary engagement.

However, several implementation challenges continue to require management attention. Tranche delays remain the primary factor contributing to paused construction activities, while beneficiary awareness of the GRM remains limited. The prevalence of undocumented land possession arrangements and the concentration of non-started houses in a few districts highlight the need for continued field-level follow-up and targeted beneficiary support. Addressing these operational bottlenecks will be important for sustaining construction momentum and achieving planned housing completion targets in the coming quarters.

6.13 Implications for Project Management and Corrective Actions

This subsection outlines the practical implications of the MEL findings for project management under Component-III and identifies priority corrective and preventive actions to strengthen implementation performance in subsequent quarters.

6.13.1 Strengthening Tranche-Progress Verification Controls

The identification of a small number of cases where tranche releases occurred without corresponding construction initiation highlights the need to tighten pre- and post-tranche verification protocols. Project management should reinforce field-level validation prior to tranche approval and introduce focused post-disbursement checks for early-stage cases to ensure timely construction initiation.

Targeted review of outlier cases involving second or third tranche releases without physical progress should be undertaken to prevent recurrence and reinforce fiduciary discipline.

6.13.2 Enhancing Risk-Based Supervision and Follow-Up

Given that implementation bottlenecks and construction pauses are localized rather than systemic, a risk-based supervision approach is warranted. Districts and households exhibiting repeated pauses, delayed initiation, or quality deviations should be prioritized for follow-up visits and technical support, rather than applying uniform monitoring intensity across all sites.

This approach would enable more efficient use of supervisory resources while addressing high-risk cases proactively.

6.13.3 Reinforcing Technical Quality and Secondary MCG Elements

While compliance with core structural and DRR standards is strong, selective gaps in drainage detailing, and accessibility adaptations indicate the need for reinforced on-site supervision. Project management should emphasize corrective guidance at site level, supported by simple visual aids and spot checks, rather than additional classroom-style training.

Particular attention should be given to households with elderly or disabled members to ensure that accessibility features are integrated where required.

6.13.4 Improving GRM Visibility and Safeguards Communication

Low awareness and usage of the GRM suggest the need for enhanced communication and visibility measures, especially for women and vulnerable groups. Project management should ensure that GRM information is consistently displayed at community and site levels and that beneficiaries receive clear guidance on grievance submission channels.

Strengthening the role of IP staff and VRCs as conduits for safeguards messaging can improve early risk detection and resolution.

6.13.5 Consolidating Community Institution Engagement

Where VRCs are known and active, they provide meaningful support to beneficiaries. Project management should focus on expanding beneficiary awareness of VRC roles, standardizing consultation practices, and encouraging more consistent engagement in districts with lower participation levels.

This will help translate existing institutional structures into more effective community-level support mechanisms.

6.13.6 Forward Focus

Overall, the MEL findings point toward the need for incremental strengthening of execution discipline, rather than structural redesign. By reinforcing verification controls, targeting supervision, and improving communication and feedback loops, Component-III can sustain quality, accountability, and inclusion as reconstruction progresses toward completion.

7. CONSOLIDATED RISK AND IMPACT MATRIX - COMPONENT-III

This section presents a consolidated assessment of key risks identified under Component-III during), **QPR-03** (Jan-Mar 2026) based on implementation progress analysis, field monitoring evidence, and system-level constraints observed during the reporting period. The matrix summarizes the nature of each risk, its potential impact on component performance, current status, and proposed mitigation measures.

The purpose of this matrix is to provide project management with a structured overview of material risks, enabling prioritization of corrective actions and proactive management response as implementation continues at scale.

7.1 Key Gaps and Risks Identified

Based on the analysis of implementation progress, MEL findings, and field verification conducted during **QPR-03**, the following key gaps have been identified under Component-III:

- **Tranche-Construction Initiation Misalignment**

Isolated cases were observed where tranche releases occurred without corresponding initiation of construction activities, indicating gaps in pre- and post-tranche verification.

- **Pending MIS-API Integration**

The absence of API-based integration between the Component-III MIS and the M&E system continues to limit real-time process monitoring, automated triangulation, and dynamic sampling.

- **Unavailability of Geographically and Time-Phased Disaggregated AWP**

The Annual Work Plan for Component-III is not disaggregated by district and month, limiting the ability to track planned versus actual progress at geographic and temporal levels. This constrains systematic assessment of implementation pacing, identification of lagging areas, and alignment of monitoring intensity with planned rollout.

- **Uneven GRM Awareness and Gendered Access Constraints**

Overall awareness of the GRM remains low, with additional access barriers for women, increasing the risk of under-reporting of grievances or emerging issues.

- **Inconsistent OHS Practices at Site Level**

While no systemic labor risks were observed, uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent availability of basic worker facilities persist across districts.

- **Localized Quality Gaps in Secondary Construction Elements**

Minor but recurring deviations were observed in secondary construction elements such as damp proofing, drainage detailing, and accessibility adaptations.

These gaps are localized or structural in nature and manageable but require targeted corrective attention to ensure effective process monitoring, timely management response, and sustained implementation quality as the component continues at scale.

7.2 Implications for Component-III Implementation

If the above gaps are not addressed in a timely and focused manner, the following implications may arise:

- **Fiduciary and Control Risks**

Continued misalignment between tranche disbursement and construction initiation may weaken confidence in tranche-based controls and complicate downstream verification.

- **Under-Reporting of Safeguards and Social Issues**

Low GRM awareness, particularly among women, may result in grievances remaining unreported, delaying corrective action and increasing reputational risk.

- **Increased Safety Risks with Scale-Up**

As construction volumes increase, inconsistent OHS practices could elevate the likelihood of avoidable incidents if not systematically reinforced.

- **Quality Variability Across Sites**

If secondary quality gaps are not corrected early, cumulative effects may affect long-term durability and resilience outcomes of reconstructed housing.

Addressing these implications proactively is essential to sustain implementation momentum, quality assurance, and stakeholder confidence.

7.3 Corrective Action Matrix

Key Gap	Implication	Agreed Corrective Action	Responsibility	Timeline
Tranche-construction misalignment	Fiduciary and sequencing risk	Strengthen pre- and post-tranche field verification for early-stage cases	HRU / IPs	Immediate and ongoing
Pending MIS-API integration	Limited process monitoring	Prioritize API integration to enable real-time data exchange and triangulation	HRU / FPMU	Short term
Low GRM awareness, especially among women	Under-reporting of issues	Reinforce GRM visibility through IP staff and targeted female-focused orientation	HRU / IPs	Ongoing
Inconsistent OHS practices	Elevated safety risk	Standardize minimum OHS orientation and basic site facility checks	IPs / HRU	Ongoing
Secondary construction quality gaps	Long-term durability risk	Enhance site-level supervision and corrective guidance for secondary elements	IPs	Ongoing

7.4 Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix

Risk Area	Description of Risk	Potential Impact	Risk Status	Mitigation / Management Response
Planning and Tracking Risk	Absence of geographically and month-wise disaggregated AWP for Component-III	Limited ability to assess planned vs actual progress, identify lagging districts, and manage pacing	Medium	Develop and adopt district- and time-phased AWP to support granular progress tracking
Fiduciary Control Risk	Isolated cases of tranche release without corresponding construction initiation	Weakening of tranche-based control discipline if not addressed	Low to Medium	Strengthen pre- and post-tranche verification and targeted follow-up of outlier cases

Risk Area	Description of Risk	Potential Impact	Risk Status	Mitigation / Management Response
Quality Assurance Risk	Localized deviations in secondary construction elements (e.g. drainage, accessibility)	Potential long-term durability and resilience concerns if deviations accumulate	Low	Reinforce site-level supervision and corrective guidance for secondary construction elements
Safeguards and Inclusion Risk	Low GRM awareness and gender-specific access constraints	Under-reporting of grievances and delayed response to emerging social risks	Medium	Enhance GRM visibility, with targeted outreach to women and vulnerable groups
Labor and OHS Risk	Uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent worker facilities at sites	Increased likelihood of avoidable safety incidents as construction scales up	Low	Standardize minimum OHS orientation and site safety checks across districts
Environmental and Disaster Risk	Construction in hazard-prone areas with variable site drainage conditions	Increased exposure to localized flood or erosion risks if site practices are weak	Low	Reinforce guidance on site drainage and hazard-informed construction practices

Overall, the risk profile for Component-III during **QPR-03** is assessed as manageable, with no systemic or critical risks identified that threaten component viability. The majority of risks are process- and pacing-related, reflecting the scale, geographic spread, and phased nature of implementation rather than fundamental design weaknesses.

Timely resolution of planning and system-level constraints, particularly the development of a disaggregated AWP and completion of MIS integration, will significantly enhance management's ability to proactively manage emerging risks. Continued field monitoring and targeted corrective actions are expected to mitigate localized quality, safeguards, and OHS risks as construction activity intensifies.

8. CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE NEXT QUARTER (APR-JUN 2026)

This section outlines the agreed corrective actions to be undertaken during the next reporting period (Apr-Jun 2026) in response to the implementation gaps and risk signals identified during **QPR-03**. The corrective actions are focused on strengthening implementation pacing, process monitoring, quality assurance, and safeguards effectiveness under Component-III.

The plan prioritizes actions that are feasible within one quarter and directly linked to issues evidenced through progress analysis and field monitoring.

8.1 Corrective Action Plan

Issue Identified	Corrective Action	Responsible Entity	Timeline	Expected Outcome
Absence of geographically and month-wise disaggregated AWP	Prepare and adopt a district-wise and time-phased AWP for Component-III	HRU / FPMU	Q4 (April-June 2026)	Improved ability to track planned vs actual progress and manage pacing
Tranche-construction initiation misalignment in isolated cases	Implement targeted post-tranche verification for early-stage cases	HRU / IPs	Immediate and ongoing	Reduced occurrence of tranche release without construction initiation
Uneven GRM awareness, particularly among women	Conduct targeted GRM orientation through IP staff and community platforms	HRU / IPs	Q4	Improved awareness and accessibility of grievance mechanisms
Inconsistent OHS practices at site level	Reinforce minimum OHS orientation and basic site safety checks	IPs	Q4	Reduced safety risks as construction activity scales up

8.2 Implementation Focus for the Next Quarter

The corrective actions outlined above are intended to stabilize implementation systems and support the anticipated scale-up of construction activity during the next quarter. Priority will be placed on actions that improve planning clarity, enable proactive monitoring, and reinforce field-level controls without disrupting ongoing construction progress. Further, last quarter will be last of this FY therefore the progress against 52,000 needs to be expedite for achieving the set goals. Moreover, Progress against this corrective action plan will be reviewed in the subsequent Quarterly Progress Report to assess effectiveness and determine whether additional measures are required.

ANNEXURES

A. FIELD VISIT SUMMARIES**A-1: Planned - Tranche Wise Monitoring Sample List**

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	8	20	28
Dera Bugti	0	0	8	27	35
Duki	57	0	0	0	57
Harnai	2	4	5	12	23
Jaffarabad	2	7	35	36	80
Jhal Magsi	3	2	10	3	18
Kachhi	2	7	15	25	49
Killa Abdullah	20	0	0	0	20
Killa Saifullah	40	0	0	0	40
Kohlu	5	4	15	10	34
Lasbela	5	5	20	25	55
Musakhel	5	5	10	5	25
Naseerabad	10	5	50	24	89
Quetta	20	0	0	0	20
Sohbat Pur	15	5	20	10	50
Usta Muhammad	10	10	10	10	40
Overall	196	54	206	207	663

A-2: Actual - Stage Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	16	11	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	1	0	27	0	28
Duki	6	3	0	0	19	28
Harnai	1	1	4	17	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	4	5	64	1	74
Jhal Magsi	1	1	1	1	0	4
Kachhi	2	3	9	34	1	49
Killa Abdullah	8	0	0	1	11	20
Killa Saifullah	15	10	1	1	13	40
Kohlu	3	3	4	22	0	32
Lasbela	1	9	11	30	2	53
Musakhel	1	4	10	3	2	20
Nasirabad	3	7	26	48	2	86
Quetta	1	5	4	6	4	20
Sohbatpur	5	6	5	23	3	42
Usta Muhammad	5	5	2	21	2	35
Overall	52	62	98	309	60	581

A-3: Data Not Reported - Tranche Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	3	4	7
Duki	29	0		0	29
Jaffarabad	1	0	3	2	6
Jhal Magsi	2	2	7	3	14
Kohlu	0	0	0	2	2
Lasbela	1	0	0	1	2
Musakhel	2	0	1	2	5
Naseerabad	0	0	3	0	3
Sohbat Pur	4	0	4	0	8
Usta Muhammad	2	1	2	0	5
Overall	41	3	24	14	82

B. SURVEYED & DATA NOT REPORTED SAMPLE LIST**B-1: Surveyed - Scheme-Wise Sample List**

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
1	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	122820	Umar Khan	4/17/2026
2	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	122822	Bibi Samina	4/16/2026
3	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	122980	Raheem Bukhsh	4/16/2026
4	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123011	Niaz Muhammad	4/15/2026
5	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123157	Salah Muhammad	4/18/2026
6	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123216	Ain U Din	4/18/2026
7	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123272	Fazal Kareem	4/15/2026
8	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123295	Akhtar M	4/18/2026
9	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123320	Naqeeb Ullah	4/17/2026
10	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123353	Atta Muhammad	4/16/2026
11	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123391	Zia Ul Rehman	4/17/2026
12	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123396	Paind Shah	4/16/2026
13	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123410	Nazeer	4/17/2026
14	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123419	Dad Shah	4/16/2026
15	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123474	Abdul Haleem	4/18/2026
16	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123527	Atta Ullah	4/15/2026
17	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123667	Sahib Dad Khan	4/17/2026
18	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123779	Gull Dad	4/16/2026
19	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123819	Muhammad Zaman	4/18/2026
20	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123853	Abdul Rasheed	4/15/2026
21	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	123856	Jan Bibi	4/16/2026
22	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	124061	Fazal Muhammad	4/17/2026
23	Lot 1	Harnai	Harnai	124069	Shar Muhammad	4/15/2026
24	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136073	Abdul Bashir	4/3/2026
25	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136077	Syed M.Farooq	4/3/2026
26	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136082	Abdul Rehman	4/2/2026
27	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136088	Shamsullah	4/1/2026
28	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136092	A.Qayum	4/1/2026
29	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136100	Ahsanullah	4/2/2026
30	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136131	Syed Musa Kaleem	4/1/2026
31	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136132	Syed Faida Muhammad	4/2/2026
32	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136136	Syed Murad Ali	4/3/2026
33	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136138	Syed M.Saleem	4/1/2026
34	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136148	Bibi Kafiya	4/3/2026
35	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136150	M.Adreas	4/3/2026
36	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136166	Bibi Mamoda	4/2/2026
37	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136184	Bibi Janara	4/2/2026
38	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136199	Salman Shah	4/2/2026
39	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136215	Abdul Wali	4/1/2026
40	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136224	Safer Ahmed	4/2/2026
41	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136230	Abdul Hanan	4/1/2026
42	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136251	Abdul Wahad	4/3/2026
43	Lot 1	Killa Abdullah	Killa Abdullah	136253	Bibi Bakhtawara	4/1/2026
44	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213096	Muhammad Amin	3/31/2026
45	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213153	Sami Ullah	3/31/2026
46	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213167	Alkozei	3/31/2026
47	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213331	Gull Baro	3/31/2026
48	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213384	Bibi Malka	3/31/2026
49	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213459	Shamuzai	3/31/2026
50	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213497	Rehmant Ullah	3/31/2026
51	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	213658	Abdul Wali	3/31/2026
52	Lot 1	Quetta	Kuchlak	214394	Ghulam Rasool	3/31/2026
53	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206036	Najmal Bibi	3/30/2026
54	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206370	Muhammad Ghazi	3/30/2026
55	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206448	Bibi Shati	3/29/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
56	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206603	Bibi Fozia	3/29/2026
57	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206623	Raz Muhammad	3/30/2026
58	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206664	Rabia Khan	3/29/2026
59	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	206828	Habit Khan	3/29/2026
60	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	207252	Naik Saleh	3/30/2026
61	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	208481	Ameena Bibi	3/29/2026
62	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	209096	Zulfiqar Ali	3/29/2026
63	Lot 1	Quetta	Saryaab	214781	Sher Jan	3/30/2026
64	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120857	Mohammad Asghar	4/15/2026
65	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120858	Sardar Khan	4/15/2026
66	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120859	Sohbat Khan	4/15/2026
67	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120861	Muhammad Sadique	4/15/2026
68	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120879	Muhammad Shahid	4/16/2026
69	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120881	Shah Muhammad	4/14/2026
70	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121073	Khuda Bakhsh	4/16/2026
71	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121130	Fazal Khan	4/12/2026
72	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121134	Zarif Khan	4/12/2026
73	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121135	Baloch Khan	4/12/2026
74	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121140	Shah Bibi	4/12/2026
75	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121144	Wakil Muhammad	4/11/2026
76	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121145	Muhammad Azam	4/11/2026
77	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121146	Muhammad Shafeeq	4/11/2026
78	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121149	Nazar Muhammad	4/11/2026
79	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121150	Muhammad Umar	4/11/2026
80	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121185	Bakhtiyar Khan	4/13/2026
81	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121190	Akbar Khan	4/13/2026
82	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121192	Sahib Khan	4/14/2026
83	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121193	Gulzar Khan	4/13/2026
84	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121201	Abdul Rashid	4/13/2026
85	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121202	Shah Muhammad	4/13/2026
86	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121204	Abdul Rehman	4/14/2026
87	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121233	Mujib Rahman	4/17/2026
88	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121236	Masood Khan	4/17/2026
89	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121290	Syed Muhammad	4/16/2026
90	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121324	Khwaj Muhammad	4/14/2026
91	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121340	Saleh Muhammad	4/14/2026
92	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139199	Muhammad Ashraf	4/10/2026
93	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139227	Ashraf Ali	4/9/2026
94	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139234	Abdul Hadi	4/10/2026
95	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139249	Dusmal Khan	4/9/2026
96	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139266	Taza Khan	4/9/2026
97	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139279	Jalal Khan	4/9/2026
98	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139597	Atta Ur Rehman	4/8/2026
99	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139619	Raheela Bibi	4/8/2026
100	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139627	Zarjuma	4/7/2026
101	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139635	Hassan Khan	4/7/2026
102	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139637	Hidaya Bibi	4/7/2026
103	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139660	Abdul Ghafoor	4/7/2026
104	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139670	Jama Bibi	4/8/2026
105	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139679	Bibi Hajira	4/10/2026
106	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139741	Abdul Mateen	4/10/2026
107	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139772	Haji Muhammad Ghous	4/10/2026
108	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139777	Kafia Bibi	4/8/2026
109	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	139779	Fareed Ullah	4/10/2026
110	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Killa Saifullah	145032	Raqia Bi Bi	4/9/2026
111	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139923	Mubeen	4/4/2026
112	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139927	Mehmood Ahmed	4/4/2026
113	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139928	Shareef Ullah	4/4/2026
114	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139935	Baloch Khan	4/4/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
115	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139938	Asmatullah	4/4/2026
116	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139958	Muhammad Arif	4/4/2026
117	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139962	Firoza Bibi	4/5/2026
118	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	139986	Aziz Ullah	4/7/2026
119	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140068	Raz Muhammad	4/5/2026
120	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140072	Wazir Muhammad	4/5/2026
121	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140192	Nasrullah	4/5/2026
122	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140309	Saeed Ullah	4/7/2026
123	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140454	Aziz UI Haq	4/6/2026
124	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140861	Bibi Zohra	4/6/2026
125	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140963	Bibi Alia	4/6/2026
126	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	140981	Bibi Haneefa	4/6/2026
127	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	141031	Bibi Shahnaza	4/6/2026
128	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	141099	Muhammad Dawood	4/6/2026
129	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	145170	Rabia	4/4/2026
130	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	145276	Bibi Rashida	4/4/2026
131	Lot 2	Killa Saifullah	Muslim Bagh	145278	Sami Ullah	4/5/2026
132	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	188496	Somar	4/5/2026
133	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	188560	Saleh	4/5/2026
134	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189443	Ata Muhammad	3/28/2026
135	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189462	Ghulam Akbar	3/28/2026
136	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189463	Tajo	3/28/2026
137	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189464	Juman	4/5/2026
138	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189468	Muhammad Raheem	3/28/2026
139	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189470	Ghafoor	3/28/2026
140	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189471	Moosa	3/28/2026
141	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189962	Amanullah	3/27/2026
142	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189963	Ahmad	4/5/2026
143	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189975	Khuda Bakhsh	4/5/2026
144	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	189992	Gulli	3/31/2026
145	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	190020	Majeed	3/31/2026
146	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	190033	Shakeela	3/31/2026
147	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193650	Shahida	3/25/2026
148	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193656	Fatima	3/25/2026
149	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193689	Naseer Ahmed	3/30/2026
150	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193694	Murad Ali	4/5/2026
151	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193781	Muhammad Arab	3/27/2026
152	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193820	Karim Bakhsh	3/31/2026
153	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193823	Muhammad Khan	3/30/2026
154	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193824	Saleh Shah	4/1/2026
155	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193834	Abdul Haleem	3/30/2026
156	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193843	Kalsoom Bibi	3/30/2026
157	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193853	Nawaz Ali	3/30/2026
158	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193859	Daya Ram	3/25/2026
159	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193869	Jan Bibi	3/30/2026
160	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193882	Muhammad Suleman	3/25/2026
161	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193883	Ameer Bakhsh	3/25/2026
162	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193887	Sakeena	3/25/2026
163	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193888	Muhammad Afzal	3/25/2026
164	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193890	Khuda Bakhsh	3/25/2026
165	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193895	Sanallah	3/25/2026
166	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	193896	Shafi Muhammad	3/30/2026
167	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194321	Muhammad Sharif	3/31/2026
168	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194346	Niyaz Ahmed	3/27/2026
169	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194365	Abdul Ghani	4/1/2026
170	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194373	Kareema	3/27/2026
171	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194388	Gareeba	3/27/2026
172	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194391	Muhammad Iqbal	3/27/2026
173	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194393	Majida	3/31/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
174	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194405	Nabi Bakhsh	3/28/2026
175	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194407	Abdul Jaleel	4/1/2026
176	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194408	Noora	3/28/2026
177	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194410	Ghulam Rasool	3/28/2026
178	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194416	Shafi Muhammad	4/1/2026
179	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194422	Alam Khan	4/1/2026
180	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194429	Sakeena	4/1/2026
181	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194433	Muhammad Amin	4/1/2026
182	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194434	Shabeer Ahmed	4/1/2026
183	Lot 4	Lasbela	Lakhra	194477	Peer Muhammad	4/5/2026
184	Lot 4	Lasbela	Sonmiani	188082	Kullan	4/5/2026
185	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	301850	Fazal Rahman	4/10/2026
186	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	301860	Allah Rakhia	4/9/2026
187	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	301873	Safar Khan	4/14/2026
188	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	301879	Taj Muhammad	4/8/2026
189	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	301900	Mehmood Khan	4/14/2026
190	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	301901	Irshad Ali	4/11/2026
191	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302690	Nesar Ahmed	4/13/2026
192	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302697	Khalid Hussain	4/8/2026
193	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302714	Hakim Ali	4/12/2026
194	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302729	Imdad Ali	4/12/2026
195	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302752	Shah Mir Khan	4/9/2026
196	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302753	Meer Muhammad	4/8/2026
197	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	302763	Karam Khaton	4/12/2026
198	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303271	Aalia	4/9/2026
199	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303274	Muhammad Mussa	4/12/2026
200	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303295	Ghulam Nabi	4/11/2026
201	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303297	Manzoor Ahmed	4/14/2026
202	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303318	Wazeer Ahmed	4/13/2026
203	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303372	Nabi Bakhsh	4/13/2026
204	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303384	Allah Dina	4/10/2026
205	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303411	Mir Bijar Khan	4/14/2026
206	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303429	Kalimullah	4/9/2026
207	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303436	Sanaullah	4/13/2026
208	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303448	Mai Anwar	4/12/2026
209	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303452	Shakeel Ahmed	4/14/2026
210	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303459	Ali Nawaz	4/9/2026
211	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303466	Syed Arshad Uldin Shah	4/13/2026
212	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303479	Ali Haidar	4/9/2026
213	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303483	Musmat Faiz Bibi	4/13/2026
214	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303491	Abdul Hameed	4/14/2026
215	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303595	Barkat Ali	4/10/2026
216	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303610	Ghulam Haider	4/8/2026
217	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303614	Ghulam Nabi	4/10/2026
218	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303661	Muhammad Wafa	4/14/2026
219	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	303662	Kareem Dad	4/14/2026
220	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	307402	Ghulam Sabir	4/11/2026
221	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	307407	Abdul Jabaar	4/9/2026
222	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	309010	Ehsan Ali	4/9/2026
223	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	309015	Muhammad Hakim	4/13/2026
224	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	309035	Muhammad Ibrahim	4/12/2026
225	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315930	Asia Bibi	4/10/2026
226	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315937	Bachal Bibi	4/10/2026
227	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315940	Lal Bakhsh	4/11/2026
228	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315941	Moula Bakhsh	4/8/2026
229	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315948	Gulaan Khtun	4/8/2026
230	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315968	Syed Noor Shah Bukhari	4/13/2026
231	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315974	Faiz Muhammad Bhutto	4/9/2026
232	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	315996	Masmat Zaibo	4/12/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
233	Lot 5	Kachhi	Bhag	316014	Shehzad Ali	4/13/2026
234	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329419	Mai Nooba	4/10/2026
235	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329424	Hakim Zadi	4/10/2026
236	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329427	Muhammad Bakhsh	4/10/2026
237	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330032	Wazeer Khan	4/11/2026
238	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31022	Nihal Khatoon	4/5/2026
239	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31264	Zadul Bibi	4/5/2026
240	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31270	Razul	4/7/2026
241	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31545	Bakhtawar Khatoon	4/4/2026
242	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31602	Karim Khan	4/5/2026
243	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31664	Gulab Khan	4/5/2026
244	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31703	Allah Ditta	4/5/2026
245	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31810	Mai Azeema	4/5/2026
246	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31811	Chakar Khan	4/5/2026
247	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31961	Sooba Khan	4/6/2026
248	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32006	Arbab	4/6/2026
249	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32479	Ali Haider	4/5/2026
250	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32527	Imam Zadi	4/5/2026
251	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32541	Meherab Khan	4/4/2026
252	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34456	Rukia Bibi	4/4/2026
253	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34496	Manzoor Ahmed	4/5/2026
254	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34512	Wazeer Khan	4/4/2026
255	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34517	Qaisar Khan	4/5/2026
256	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34650	Zahid Hussain	4/6/2026
257	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34697	Muhammad Akbar	4/4/2026
258	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34725	Sajjad Ahmed	4/4/2026
259	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37568	Soba Khan	4/4/2026
260	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37588	Doda Khan	4/4/2026
261	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37598	Juma Khan	4/5/2026
262	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37705	Samina	4/6/2026
263	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37707	Asif Ali	4/4/2026
264	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37747	Mohammad Arshad	4/5/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
265	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37867	Shoukat Ali	4/4/2026
266	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44507	Ghulam Haider	4/4/2026
267	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44541	Muhammad Ayoub	4/6/2026
268	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44613	Khawand Bakhsh	4/6/2026
269	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44891	Sarfaraz Ali	4/5/2026
270	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44923	Ayaz Ali	4/7/2026
271	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	45810	Iltaf Hussain	4/7/2026
272	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	46488	Lakhmir	4/5/2026
273	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83438	Muhammad Ismail	4/6/2026
274	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83471	Ehsanullah	4/5/2026
275	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83472	Mohib Ali	4/5/2026
276	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83481	Bakhah Ali	4/5/2026
277	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83492	Muhammad Alam	4/5/2026
278	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83493	Muhammad Salah	4/5/2026
279	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83494	Rehmat Ullah	4/5/2026
280	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83505	Samandar	4/5/2026
281	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83507	Muhammad Afzal	4/5/2026
282	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83512	Meher Khan	4/5/2026
283	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83514	Doda Khan	4/5/2026
284	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83527	Peer Bakhsh	4/5/2026
285	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83540	Mehrullah	3/28/2026
286	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83541	Amanullah	3/28/2026
287	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83545	Wazeer Khan	3/28/2026
288	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83547	Attur Khan	3/28/2026
289	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83548	Fida Hussain	3/28/2026
290	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83554	Mir Bakhsh	3/31/2026
291	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83558	Muhammad Alim	3/31/2026
292	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83607	Hasul Khan	3/27/2026
293	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83609	Ali Gohar	3/27/2026
294	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83616	Nabi Bakhsh	3/28/2026
295	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83617	Muhammad Qasim	3/28/2026
296	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83639	Mah Bano	3/27/2026
297	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83640	Fatima	3/27/2026
298	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83641	Mehatab	3/27/2026
299	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83644	Haybat Khan	3/27/2026
300	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83657	Zar Khatoon	3/25/2026
301	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83661	Nasrullah	3/26/2026
302	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83662	Saeed Khan	3/26/2026
303	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83664	Zulfiqar	3/26/2026
304	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83665	Abdul Jabbar	3/26/2026
305	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83667	Abdullah	3/26/2026
306	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83672	Zerak	3/26/2026
307	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83675	Abdul Salam	3/26/2026
308	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83676	Doran Khan	3/25/2026
309	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83681	Ali Hassan	4/6/2026
310	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83685	Dhani Bakhsh	4/6/2026
311	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83686	Bakhtair Ali	4/6/2026
312	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83702	Jumma Khan	4/6/2026
313	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83712	Zafar Ali	4/3/2026
314	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83741	Gulam Sadeeq	3/24/2026
315	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83748	Abdul Shakoor	3/24/2026
316	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83761	Abdul Ghaffor	3/25/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
317	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83777	Mela Khan	3/25/2026
318	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83811	Amanullah	3/29/2026
319	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83817	Muhammad Ayoub	3/29/2026
320	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83825	Ghulam Sarwar	3/29/2026
321	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83827	Abdul Wahab	3/29/2026
322	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83838	Muhammad Ismayl	3/24/2026
323	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83847	Abdul Ghafoor	3/24/2026
324	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83869	Shahnawaz	3/30/2026
325	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83882	Bashir Mal Oad	3/30/2026
326	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83883	Muhammad Dil	3/29/2026
327	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83886	Mian Khan	3/29/2026
328	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83891	Akbar	3/29/2026
329	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83932	Gul Sher	3/30/2026
330	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83947	Abdul Satar	4/1/2026
331	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83968	Muhammad Sachal	4/1/2026
332	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83972	Barkat Ali	4/1/2026
333	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83973	Allah Bakhsh	4/1/2026
334	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83981	Nazar Muhammad	4/1/2026
335	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	83996	Shorab Khan	4/1/2026
336	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84000	Ali Gul	3/30/2026
337	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84001	Muhammad Ali	3/30/2026
338	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84008	Abdul Sattar	3/30/2026
339	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84009	Ali Baig	4/5/2026
340	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84017	Yousaf Ali	3/30/2026
341	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84018	Abdul Kareem	3/30/2026
342	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84020	Malik Dad	3/30/2026
343	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84022	Naz Bibi	4/5/2026
344	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84023	Fida Hussain	3/30/2026
345	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84024	Mehrab Ali	3/31/2026
346	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84028	Sikander Ali	3/31/2026
347	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84036	Dhani Bakhsh	3/31/2026
348	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84044	Abdul Sattar	4/5/2026
349	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84045	Elahi Bakhsh	4/5/2026
350	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84122	Nawab Khan	4/5/2026
351	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84149	Ali Muhammad	4/1/2026
352	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84157	Muhammad Akram	4/6/2026
353	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84162	Ali Hassan	4/6/2026
354	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84164	Muhammad Salah	3/29/2026
355	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84174	Lashar Khan	3/24/2026
356	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84186	Hayat	3/25/2026
357	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84187	Parya Khan	3/25/2026
358	Lot 7	Nasirabad	Baba Kot	84191	Gull Baig Khan	3/27/2026
359	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22246	Khurram Ali	4/2/2026
360	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22254	Anwar Bibi	4/6/2026
361	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22341	Goher Khattoon	3/31/2026
362	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22360	Gul Bibi	3/25/2026
363	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22362	Sabir Ali	3/29/2026
364	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22378	Masmat Sahthi	3/28/2026
365	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22382	Soba Khan	3/28/2026
366	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22539	Allah Bakhsh	4/1/2026
367	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22544	Ali Gull	4/1/2026
368	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22612	Baktawar Bibi	3/29/2026
369	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22613	Abdul Nabi	3/29/2026
370	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22614	Anwar Bibi	3/29/2026
371	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22625	Abdullah Khan	3/31/2026
372	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22633	Nasrullah	3/29/2026
373	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22679	Muhammad Zaman	3/30/2026
374	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22798	Hanifa	4/1/2026
375	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22808	Abdul Majeed	3/24/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
376	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22879	Reshman	4/4/2026
377	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22881	Basheer Ahmed	4/2/2026
378	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22902	Shahbaz Khan	3/27/2026
379	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22962	Abdul Hameed	3/30/2026
380	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22978	Muhammad Bakhsh	3/29/2026
381	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23007	Amir Bhaksh	4/1/2026
382	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23020	Abdul Kareem	3/29/2026
383	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23030	Mismat Muradi	4/2/2026
384	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23043	Dilbar	3/31/2026
385	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23050	Ghulam Shabeer	3/31/2026
386	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23071	Imdad Hussain	3/30/2026
387	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23074	Imdad Hussain	3/31/2026
388	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23129	Eisa Khaton	4/1/2026
389	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23160	Waheedah	3/27/2026
390	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23161	Bibi Muradan	3/25/2026
391	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23168	Noor Jan	3/27/2026
392	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23193	Masmat Ameeran	4/6/2026
393	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23198	Amna	3/24/2026
394	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23212	Rajab Ali	3/29/2026
395	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23215	Gada Hussain	4/1/2026
396	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23221	Ali Hadar	3/24/2026
397	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23267	Zulekhan Bibi	3/27/2026
398	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24843	Bashir Ahmed	3/24/2026
399	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24880	Kamran Ali	3/25/2026
400	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24888	Agha Mehdi Ali	3/28/2026
401	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24891	Zar Khatoon	3/28/2026
402	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24893	Mohsin Ali Jamali	3/28/2026
403	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24895	Hassan Ali	3/28/2026
404	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24958	Reshma Khatoon	3/27/2026
405	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24959	Hanifa	3/27/2026
406	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25111	Murtazaz Ali	3/31/2026
407	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25746	Nazeera Bibi	3/30/2026
408	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25763	Izat Khatoon	4/1/2026
409	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25765	Share Khan	3/27/2026
410	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25766	Mano Khan	3/26/2026
411	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25768	Imtiaz Ali	3/26/2026
412	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25778	Shakar Khan	4/5/2026
413	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25799	Raheem Dad	4/4/2026
414	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25801	Hamadullah	3/28/2026
415	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26453	Mir Jan	3/26/2026
416	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26454	Fateh Khatoon	3/26/2026
417	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26455	Sobdar Ali	4/5/2026
418	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26493	Yaseen Ali	4/6/2026
419	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26501	Phandi Khan	4/4/2026
420	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26515	Farzana	3/30/2026
421	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26552	Imdad Hussain	3/25/2026
422	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26568	Haseena Bibi	4/2/2026
423	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26575	Javed Ali	4/6/2026
424	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26584	Raheel Khan	4/1/2026
425	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26624	Nadir Jamali	4/4/2026
426	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26905	Haleema Khatoon	3/25/2026
427	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	26923	Asma Mushtaq	3/24/2026
428	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	29129	Abdul Wahid	3/26/2026
429	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	29133	Abdul Hameed	3/26/2026
430	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	29728	Ali Gull	4/1/2026
431	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	30421	Husna Bibi	4/2/2026
432	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	30445	Haleema Khatoon	4/1/2026
433	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237436	Gull Muhammad	3/31/2026
434	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237506	Mistri Khan	3/31/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
435	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237507	Shair Zaman	3/31/2026
436	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237508	Muhammad Jan	3/31/2026
437	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237509	Shah Zaman	3/31/2026
438	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238488	Murad Khan	4/5/2026
439	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238839	Rehmatan	4/2/2026
440	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238979	Rizwana Bibi	4/3/2026
441	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239076	Muhammad Jan	4/4/2026
442	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239214	Gawar	4/1/2026
443	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239286	Sultan Ahmed	4/4/2026
444	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239355	Allah Dita	4/1/2026
445	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239357	Nabi Jan	4/1/2026
446	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239373	Habibullah	4/3/2026
447	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239480	Hayat Bibi	4/3/2026
448	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239601	Habib Khan	4/2/2026
449	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239789	Shah Jan	4/1/2026
450	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239829	Sher Han	4/2/2026
451	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239859	Gul Sama	3/30/2026
452	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240173	Sami Bibi	4/3/2026
453	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240205	Ghulam Yaseen	3/30/2026
454	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240282	Rasool Bakhsh	4/1/2026
455	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240384	Nabi Jan	4/2/2026
456	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240555	Sahib Jan	4/5/2026
457	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242497	Gul Jan	3/30/2026
458	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242549	Faizullah	3/30/2026
459	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242886	Shah Saoud	4/5/2026
460	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254487	Hammal Khan	3/31/2026
461	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254541	Muhammad Yousaf	3/29/2026
462	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254636	Iman Allah	3/31/2026
463	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254638	Ahmad Khan	3/31/2026
464	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254924	Shabir Ahmed	3/31/2026
465	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254938	Raza Muhammad	3/29/2026
466	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254941	Muhammad Ibraheem	3/29/2026
467	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254955	Khushhal	3/31/2026
468	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255044	Hajihan	3/31/2026
469	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255069	Abdul Aziz	3/28/2026
470	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255236	Ahmed Ali	3/30/2026
471	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255344	Tauk Ali	3/30/2026
472	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255389	Zamuri Khan	3/30/2026
473	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255414	Atta Muhammad	3/28/2026
474	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255415	Imam Bux	3/28/2026
475	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255417	Ali Khan	3/28/2026
476	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255435	Abdul Ghafoor	3/28/2026
477	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255437	Hazoor Bakhsh	3/28/2026
478	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255439	Saif Ur Rahman	3/30/2026
479	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255461	Rind Ali	3/30/2026
480	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255466	Shah Muhammad	3/28/2026
481	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255504	Fazal Khan	3/31/2026
482	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255505	Lal Jan	3/31/2026
483	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255508	Ali Sher	3/31/2026
484	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255513	Lalu Khan	3/31/2026
485	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255527	Shakkal Khan	3/31/2026
486	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255550	Liaquat Ali	3/28/2026
487	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255563	Lal Khan	3/28/2026
488	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160888	Muhammad Nawaz	4/14/2026
489	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160895	Fazal Khan	4/14/2026
490	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160907	Biwul	4/14/2026
491	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160919	Muhammad Saleem Khan	4/14/2026
492	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	160934	Shah Muhammad	4/14/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
493	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161012	Jafer	4/13/2026
494	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161036	Ameer Khan	4/14/2026
495	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	161037	Wazir Khan	4/13/2026
496	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	162813	Waziro	4/13/2026
497	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	162960	Khan Muhammad	4/14/2026
498	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163040	Mira Khan	4/16/2026
499	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163069	Abdul Ghani	4/16/2026
500	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163097	Juma Khan	4/15/2026
501	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163121	Wazeer Khan	4/16/2026
502	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163251	Syed Ali	4/13/2026
503	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163289	Biniaz	4/16/2026
504	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163313	Muhammad Iqbal	4/15/2026
505	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163351	Malik Dad	4/14/2026
506	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163453	Faiz Muhammad	4/16/2026
507	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163492	Zakir Hussain Marri	4/13/2026
508	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	163499	Karam Khan	4/12/2026
509	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354349	Mohammad Azam	4/13/2026
510	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354373	Shamsullah Khan	4/14/2026
511	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354384	Ahmadan	4/16/2026
512	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354395	Mir Afzal	4/12/2026
513	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354429	Ibrahim Khan	4/16/2026
514	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354446	Muhammad Haji	4/16/2026
515	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354980	Bibi Hanifa	4/13/2026
516	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354998	Ghawro	4/12/2026
517	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	355262	Noor Muhammad	4/16/2026
518	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	355275	Sahib Khan	4/12/2026
519	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	355317	Nadir Khan	4/13/2026
520	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182724	Musa Gul	4/6/2026
521	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182733	Sohbat Khan	4/6/2026
522	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182734	Sattar	4/6/2026
523	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182742	Muhammad Naeem	4/7/2026
524	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182745	Marjan Khan	4/7/2026
525	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182749	Hajji Dadan	4/6/2026
526	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182750	Toor	4/6/2026
527	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182769	Juma Gul	4/7/2026
528	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182787	Muhammad Usman	4/8/2026
529	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182789	Mahmood Khan	4/9/2026
530	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182817	Jameel Ahmad	4/9/2026
531	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182828	Feroza Bibi	4/9/2026
532	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182842	Musa Kalim	4/8/2026
533	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182852	Bangaloo Bibi	4/8/2026
534	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182853	Abdul Rahim	4/9/2026
535	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182866	Mazar	4/8/2026
536	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182870	Bismillah	4/9/2026
537	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182887	Ibrahim	4/10/2026
538	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182891	Gulbashra Bibi	4/10/2026
539	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182897	Masood Khan	4/9/2026
540	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	271916	Muhammad Ameen	3/25/2026
541	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	271920	Irfan Khan	3/25/2026
542	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	271922	Dawood Khan	3/25/2026
543	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272098	Abdul Rasheed	3/29/2026
544	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272102	Riaz Ahmed	3/29/2026
545	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272115	Lashar Khan	3/24/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
546	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272324	Deedar Hussain	3/24/2026
547	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272421	Mewa Khan	3/26/2026
548	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272440	Shareefa Khatoon	3/27/2026
549	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272449	Lal Baig	3/25/2026
550	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272468	Raza Muhammad	3/28/2026
551	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272488	Rahmatullah	3/28/2026
552	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272545	Farman Ali	3/24/2026
553	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272552	Dost Ali	3/24/2026
554	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272560	Zaffar Ullah	3/24/2026
555	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272576	Tukli	3/28/2026
556	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	272587	Sher Dil	3/28/2026
557	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	274539	Allah Bux	3/27/2026
558	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	274552	Miha Bux	3/27/2026
559	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	274559	Bakhar Khan	3/27/2026
560	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275589	Aziz Ullah	3/28/2026
561	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275599	Manthar	3/27/2026
562	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275972	Mansoor Ali	3/28/2026
563	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275976	Hanifa Bibi	3/28/2026
564	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275992	Sobdar Ali	3/29/2026
565	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	276009	Seemak	3/28/2026
566	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	278731	Ismail	3/27/2026
567	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	279752	Hazoor Bakhsh	3/27/2026
568	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	279765	Ata Ullah	3/26/2026
569	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	279771	Mazari Khan	3/26/2026
570	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280298	Sajan Khatoon	3/26/2026
571	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280300	Meero Khan	3/26/2026
572	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280302	Muhammad Azam	3/26/2026
573	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280523	Gul Hassan	3/27/2026
574	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280528	Attar Khan	3/25/2026
575	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280531	Niamatullaha	3/25/2026

Sr. #	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
576	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280544	Soomar Khan	3/27/2026
577	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280553	Ghulam Madani	3/26/2026
578	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280565	Muhammad Ilyas	3/29/2026
579	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280876	Manzoor Ahmed	3/26/2026
580	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280881	Nadia	3/27/2026
581	Lot 10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	280883	Zezo Khatoon	3/27/2026

B-2: Data Not Reported- Scheme-Wise Sample List

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Reason for data not reported
1	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121104	Abdul Ghafar	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
2	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121056	Abdul Hanan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
3	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121108	Abdul Manan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
4	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120887	Ali Muhammad	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
5	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121057	Aman Ullah	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
6	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121023	Amir Muhammad	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
7	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120928	Ghulam muhammad	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
8	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120994	Ghulam wali	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
9	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121279	Guman Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
10	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120973	Hasan Ullah	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Reason for data not reported
11	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120926	Jamal Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
12	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121284	Janan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
13	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121100	Khan meer	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
14	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121038	Lal din	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
15	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121000	Mahmood Shah	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
16	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120953	Mohammad ayaz	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
17	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120964	Mohammad naheem	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
18	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121032	Muhammad zargoan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
19	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120947	Noor ulhaq	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
20	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120980	Pakar Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Reason for data not reported
21	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121083	Palya	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
22	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121031	Saeed Ullah	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
23	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121010	Sardar Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
24	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120979	Shah dad Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
25	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121085	Shah nawaz	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
26	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121063	Sharbat Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
27	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120984	Sher alam zarkoon	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
28	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	121021	Yaqoob Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
29	Lot 2	Duki	Luni	120840	Zarif Khan	Site could not be visited due to security clearance operations being carried out by the security agencies, resulting in restricted site access.
30	Lot 4	Lasbela	Bela	203276	Murad Khan	Due to heavy rain, access to the site was not possible.
31	Lot 4	Lasbela	Duregi	191551	Kher Muhammad	Due to heavy rain, access to the site was not possible.
32	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329963	Abdul Baki	Heavy rainfall, no site access.


Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Reason for data not reported
33	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329837	Arbela Khan	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
34	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330106	Doda khan	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
35	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329551	Faqeer Bakhsh	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
36	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329546	Imam dine	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
37	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329552	Khair Bano	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
38	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329889	Mahar Khan	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
39	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329542	Muhammad Ameen	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
40	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329555	Muhammad Ashraf	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
41	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329543	Muhammad dine	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
42	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329895	Muhammad Iqbal	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
43	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329544	Qaim Din	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
44	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329400	Shehzadi khatoon	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
45	Lot 6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	329902	Sultan Ahmed	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
46	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	45802	Ameeran	Beneficiary has migrated to Sindh
47	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	45796	Mir Hazar	The beneficiary has passed away
48	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	32546	Muhammad Bachal	Beneficiary was not available and no information obtained regarding their current whereabouts.
49	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	44519	Muhammad Bachal Khan	Beneficiary was not available and no information obtained regarding their current whereabouts.
50	Lot 6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad.	32777	Tabraiz Khan	Beneficiary was not available and no information obtained regarding their current whereabouts.
51	Lot 7	Naseerabad	Baba Kot	83421	Ali Murad	Shifted to another UC
52	Lot 7	Naseerabad	Baba Kot	83864	Dost ali	Shifted to another UC
53	Lot 7	Naseerabad	Baba Kot	83939	NISAR Ahmed	Shifted to another UC
54	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22918	Bashiran	Shifted to another UC
55	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	23201	Ghulam Mustafa	The beneficiary has passed away
56	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	24901	Mantar Ali	Site has been shifted. Despite multiple attempts and local inquiries, the M&E team could not establish the exact location of beneficiary
57	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25699	Maryam Khatoon	Site has been shifted. Despite multiple attempts and local inquiries, the M&E team could not establish the exact location of beneficiary


Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Reason for data not reported
58	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	22532	Mumtaz Ali	Beneficiary was not available and no information obtained regarding their current whereabouts.
59	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	Gandakha	25824	Murad Ali	Site has been shifted. Despite multiple attempts and local inquiries, the M&E team could not establish the exact location of beneficiary
60	Lot 9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240420	Jan bibi	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
61	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255604	Barakat ali	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
62	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254812	Karam khan	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
63	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254554	Mehar gull	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
64	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255150	Muhammad parez	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
65	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254951	Nabidad	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
66	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	255401	Naik Muhammad	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
67	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254808	Rahmat	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
68	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	162959	Khani	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
69	Lot 9	Kohlu	Kohlu	354355	Mula bakhsh	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
70	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182763	Abdul Satar	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
71	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182792	Jamal ud din	Heavy rainfall, no site access.


Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Reason for data not reported
72	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182863	Kamal Khan	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
73	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182771	Lonrai	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
74	Lot 9	Musakhel	Kingri	182820	Mazar Khan	Heavy rainfall, no site access.
75	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	280006	Bengo khan	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
76	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	280513	Gul sher khan	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
77	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	280530	Hazar khan	The beneficiary was not available at the reported location. Local residents were unaware of his current whereabouts and could not provide further information.
78	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	272445	Mearan	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
79	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	272453	Mubarak Ali	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
80	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	280287	Muhammad Nawaz	The beneficiary could not be traced at the original site. It was reported that the housing unit has been transferred/handed over to another individual.
81	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	272053	Pheer Ali	The M&E team made multiple attempts to access the sites; however, the routes were closed by the security authorities.
82	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Panhwer	272505	Shahber Ahmed	The beneficiary could not be located in the recorded address details.

C. VISUAL EVIDENCE (PHOTOS, ETC.)

Field Monitoring Visits







D. MONITORING TOOLS

Monitoring Survey Tool

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
ID.1.0	1	Informed Consent & Data Privacy: (Enumerator reads aloud at the start of interview) We are conducting this survey as part of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP), supported by the Government of Balochistan and the World Bank. The purpose is to understand your household's situation and monitor the support being provided. Your participation is voluntary. The information you share will remain confidential and will only be used for project monitoring and reporting. You may refuse to answer any question or stop the interview at any time without any impact on your eligibility or entitlements under the project. Do you agree to participate in this survey?
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
Profile Data		
Identification		
ID.1.1	1.1	Household Code/ID (Issued by HRU) گھر کا نمبر
ID.1.2	1.2	Lot پیکچ
ID.1.3	1.3	District ضلع
ID.1.4	1.4	Tehsil تحصیل
ID.1.5	1.5	Union Council یونین کونسل
ID.1.6	1.6	Village/Settlement گاؤں
ID.1.7	1.7	Respondent Name: جواب دینے والے کا نام
ID.1.8	1.8	Gender of beneficiary? بینفٹری کی جنس
ID.1.9	1.9	CNIC Number? (13 digits only) شناختی کارڈ نمبر
ID.1.10	1.10	Mobile Number? (11 digits only) موبائل نمبر
ID.1.11	1.11	Relation with Beneficiary?
	1	My Self خود
	2	Husband شوہر
	3	Wife بیوی
	4	Brother بھائی
	5	Sister بہن
	6	Father والد
	7	Mother والدہ
	8	Daughter بیٹی
	9	Son بیٹا
	10	Daughter in law بہو
	11	Grand Father نانا / دادا
	12	Grand Mother نانی / دادی
	13	Nephew بھانجا / بھتیجا
	14	Niece بھانجی / بھتیجی
	15	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
ID.1.12	1.12	Date of Visit: تاریخ جس دن آپ سروے کر رہے ہیں
ID.1.13	1.13	GPS Coordinates? [Auto captured] خود بخود درج ہوں گے جی پی ایس کوآرڈینیٹس
House Head - Info		
FMI.2.1	2.1	Is this a women-headed household? کیا یہ خواتین کی سربراہی والا گھرانہ ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
FMI.2.2	2.2	Is this household headed by a person with any disability? کیا یہ گھرانہ کسی معذور شخص کے زیر سربراہی ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
FMI.2.3	2.3	What is your religion? آپ کا مذہب کیا ہے
	1	Muslims مسلمان
	2	Hindus ہندو
	3	Christians عیسائی
	4	Sikhs سکھ
	5	Parsis پارسی
	6	Buddhists بدھ مت کے پیروکار

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
FMI.2.3y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Beneficiary's Family Composition		
FMI.3.1	3.1	Number of Male members in your family? گھر میں مرد ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
FMI.3.2	3.2	Number of Female members in your family? گھر میں خواتین ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
FMI.3.3	3.3	Number of Transgender members in your family? آپ کے خاندان میں خواجہ سرا افراد کی تعداد کیا ہے
The Loop from Q.# 3.4 to 3.6 will run the same number of times as entered in Q.# 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3		
	3.4	Age? عمر
	3.5	Does this family member have any disability? اس گھرانے کے کسی فرد کو کوئی معذوری ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Greater than Zero" entered in Q.# 3.2 then continue with Q.# 4 Otherwise goto Q.# 5
	4	If women is from Vulnerable Group, than select the relevant options? طبقے سے تعلق رکھتی ہیں خاتون جن جن گروپس میں آتی ہیں، ان تمام آپشنز کا (محتاج) اگر خاتون کسی کمزور انتخاب کریں
	1	Widow (with no children over 18 years of age) (سال سے بڑے بچے نہ ہوں 18 جن کے) بیوہ
	2	Single women not residing with a male relative اکیلی خواتین جو کسی مرد رشتہ دار کے ساتھ نہیں رہ رہیں
	3	Women with disabled spouse وہ خواتین جن کے شوہر معذور ہیں
	4	Elderly women (above 60 years) (سال سے زائد عمر کی 60) معمر خواتین
	5	Pregnant / lactating women دودھ پلانے والی خواتین / حاملہ
	6	None کوئی نہیں
Stakeholder Interaction		
SI.4.1	5	Were any of the following stakeholders present during the visit? کیا سروے کے دوران درج ذیل اسٹیک ہولڈرز موجود تھے
	1	VRC Member وی آر سی رکن
	2	Community Representative کمیونٹی نمائندہ
	3	IP Project Staff ای پی پروجیکٹ عملہ
	4	None کوئی نہیں
SI.4.1y	5	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Land and Property Documentation Tracker		
LPDT.5.1	6	Is the land title/mutation officially in the name of the HRU beneficiary/Tenant? کرایہ دار کے نام پر ہے/انتقال باضابطہ طور پر فائدہ اٹھانے والے/کیا زمین کا ٹائٹل
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 6 then continue with Q.# 7 Otherwise goto Q.# 8
LPDT.5.1.1	7	Describe the Reason? براہ کرم وضاحت کریں
LPDT.5.2	8	What type of property ownership documentation is available? کون سا قسم کا جائیداد کے ملکیتی دستاویزات دستیاب ہیں
	1	Registered deed رجسٹرڈ ڈیڈ
	2	Tenant - Stamp paper اسٹامپ پیپر - کرایہ دار
	3	Local verification certificate مقامی تصدیقی سرٹیفکیٹ
	4	State Land سرکار کی ملکیت کی زمین
	5	Inheritance proof وراثتی ثبوت
	6	No document available کوئی دستاویز دستیاب نہیں
LPDT.5.2y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
		If "Other than no document available" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 9 Otherwise goto Q.# 10
LPDT.5.3	9	Take a picture of documents? (If available) دستاویزات کی تصویر لیں
		If "No document available" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 10 Otherwise goto Q.# 11
LPDT.5.4	10	If the documents are not available, please state the reasons for their non-availability? اگر دستاویزات دستیاب نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم ان کی عدم دستیابی کی وجوہات بیان کریں
	1	Not provided by the beneficiary مستفید کنندہ نے مطلوبہ دستاویزات مہیا نہیں کیں
	2	Not maintained at site سروے کے دوران مطلوبہ دستاویزات دستیاب نہیں تھیں
	3	Misplaced دستاویزات کہیں اور رکھی ہوئی تھیں
	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
LPDT.5.5	11	Is there any boundary dispute or overlapping claim with neighbours or community? کیا پڑوسیوں یا مقامی کمیونٹی کے ساتھ زمین کی حد بندی پر کوئی تنازعہ یا مشترکہ دعویٰ ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring	
LPDT.5.5.1		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 11 then continue with Q.# 12	Otherwise goto Q.# 13
	12	Describe nature of dispute? تنازعے کی نوعیت بیان کریں	
Construction Progress			
CP.6.1	13	What type of house going to be constructed? کون سا قسم کا مکان تعمیر کیا جا رہا ہے	
	1	Brick اینٹ کا مکان	
	2	Stoney Masonry پتھروں سے تعمیر	
	3	Block Masonry کنکریٹ بلاک کی تعمیر	
CP.6.2	14	House construction stage at the time of visit? گھر کے وقت مکان کی تعمیر کس مرحلے میں تھی	
	1	Plinth Level کی سطح (زمین سے اوپر) پلنٹھ	
	2	Lintel Level کی سطح (دروازے کے اوپر شہنیر/کھڑکی) لینٹل	
	3	Roof Level چھت کی سطح	
	4	House Completely Constructed گھر مکمل طور پر تعمیر ہو چکا ہے	
	5	Not Started شروع نہیں ہوا	
		If "Not Started" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 15	In case of "Plinth, Lintel & Roof" selected goto Q.# 16 and In case of "Completed" selected goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.1	15	Reason for not started house construction? گھر کی تعمیر شروع نہ ہونے کی وجہ کیا ہے	
		If "Plinth/Lintel/Roof Level" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 16	Otherwise goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.2	16	What is the construction status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof level? بنیاد، لینٹل یا چھت کے درجے کی تعمیر کس مرحلے پر ہے	
	1	In Progress کام جاری ہے	
	2	Temporarily Construction Paused تعمیراتی کام عارضی طور پر بند ہیں	
	3	Completed مکمل ہو گیا ہے	
		If "In Progress" selected in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 17	In case of "Temporarily paused" goto Q.# 18
CP.6.2.2.1	17	In Progress? Please specify how much work has been completed till now in the selected stage (%)	
		If "Temporarily Construction Paused" selected in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 18	Otherwise goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.2.2	18	Select the reason why house construction temporarily paused? (Multiple selection) وہ وجہ منتخب کریں جس کے باعث گھر کی تعمیر تاخیر کا شکار ہے یا وقتی طور پر رُکی ہے	
	1	Land Dispute زمین کا جھگڑا	
	2	Tranche Delay قسط میں تاخیر	
	3	Material Shortage سامان کی کمی	
	4	Labor Shortage مزدوروں کی کمی	
	5	Seasonal Effect موسم کے اثرات	
	6	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر	
	7	Water Shortage پانی کی کمی	
	8	Delay Due to Death وفات کی وجہ سے تاخیر	
CP.6.2.2.2y	9	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر	
CP.6.3.1	18.1	Take Picture of House? سروے والے گھر کی تصویر کھینچیں	
CP.6.3.2	18.2	Take picture of house along with Beneficiary? اسکیم کی تصویر ان افراد کے ساتھ بنائیں جو اس اسکیم سے فائدہ اٹھا رہے ہیں	
Tranche Release info			
TRI.7.1	19.1	Have you received any payment tranche so far? کیا آپ کو اب تک ادائیگی کی کوئی قسط ملی ہے	
	1	Yes جی ہاں	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19.1 then continue with Q.# 19.2	Otherwise goto Q.# 20
TRI.7.1.1	19.2	Please provide the date when you last received a tranche? Date: براہ کرم آخری قسط وصول کرنے کی تاریخ لکھیں	
TRI.7.1.2	19.3	How much payment did you receive in the last tranche? Amount (PKR): آپ نے آخری قسط میں کتنی رقم وصول کی	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
TRI.7.1.3	19.4	Whom informed about the release of tranche amount? قسط کی رقم کے جاری ہونے کے بارے میں کس نے آپ کو اطلاع دی
	1	IP Staff عملہ
	2	VRC Member رکن
	3	Bank Staff عملہ
	4	Community Member
	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
TRI.7.2.1	19.5	Did you face any problem in receiving your tranche? کیا آپ کو اپنی قسط وصول کرنے میں کسی قسم کی دشواری ہوئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19.5 then continue with Q.# 19.6 Otherwise goto Q.# 20
TRI.7.2.2	19.6	Please select all the reasons that caused problems in receiving your tranche? (Multiple Choice) قسط وصول کرنے میں مشکلات پیدا کرنے والی تمام وجوہات منتخب کریں
	1	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff کے عملے کی طرف سے معاونت یا رہنمائی کی کمی (عملی شراکت دار) عمل درآمد کرنے والے شراکت دار
	2	Bank staff didn't support or linger-on the process بینک کے عملے کی جانب سے تعاون اور رہنمائی کی کمی کے باعث کارروائی کے عمل میں دشواری اور تاخیر ہوئی
	3	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive بینک کے عملے کا رویہ معاون یا مددگار نہیں تھا
TRI.7.2.2y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)		
VRC.8.1	20	Do you know about the Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC) in your village? کے بارے میں جانتے ہیں (وی آر سی) کیا آپ اپنے گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20 then continue with Q.# 20.1 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.2	20.1	Has VRC formation been completed? کیا وی آر سی بن چکی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20.1 then continue with Q.# 20.2 Otherwise goto Q.# 25
VRC.8.3	20.2	Are you member of VRC? کے رکن ہیں؟ (وی آر سی) کیا آپ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20.2 then continue with Q.# 20.3 Otherwise goto Q.# 25
VRC.8.4	20.3	Select the role in VRC? میں اپنا عہدہ چنیں (وی آر سی) گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Chairperson چیئر پرسن
	2	Secretary سیکریٹری
	3	Member رکن
VRC Member - Feedback		
VRC.8.5	21	Did IP conduct training session on VRC roles and responsibilities? نے وی آر سی کے کردار اور ذمہ داریوں پر تربیتی سیشن منعقد کیا (ای پی) کیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.8.6	22	Did the VRC conduct consultation meetings? نے مشاورت اجلاس منعقد کیے (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.8.7	23	What is the frequency of VRC consultation meetings? کے مشاورت اجلاس کتنی بار منعقد ہوتے ہیں (وی آر سی) گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	Daily روزانہ
	2	Weekly ہفتہ وار
	3	Bi-Weekly ہر دو ہفتے بعد
	4	Monthly ماہانہ
	5	As needed / Whenever required مطابقت کے مطابق
	6	Never کبھی نہیں
VRC.8.8	24	How do VRC members perceive the role of women in the reconstruction process? کمیونٹی کے افراد تعمیر کے عمل میں خواتین کے کردار کے بارے میں کیا رائے رکھتے ہیں
	1	Strongly supportive مکمل حمایت والا
	2	Somewhat supportive کچھ حد تک حمایت کرنے والا

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	3	غیر جانبدار Neutral
	4	حمایت نہ کرنے والا Unsupportive
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20 then continue with Q.# 25 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.9	25	Has the VRC supported in resolving housing reconstruction issues? نہ مکن کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں تعاون کیا ہے؟ (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 25 then continue with Q.# 26 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.9.1	26	What kind of support has VRC provided in resolving housing reconstruction issues? (Select all that apply) نہ گھروں کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں کس قسم کی مدد (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں) فراہم کی ہے؟
	1	Helped resolve disputes جھگڑوں کے حل میں مدد کی
	2	Guided construction تعمیرات میں رہنمائی فراہم کی
	3	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams ایچ آر یو ٹیموں کے ساتھ رابطہ قائم کیا /آئی پی
	4	Assisted vulnerable households کمزور گھرانوں کی مدد کی
	5	Shared information with the community کمیونٹی کے ساتھ معلومات شیئر کی
	6	Guided on Grievance submission and process شکایت جمع کروانے اور عمل کے بارے میں رہنمائی کی گئی
Village-Based VRC Role		
VBVRC.9.1	27	Has the community undertaken any construction or improvements beyond the IFRAP-supported housing units? کے تحت بننے والے مکانات کے علاوہ (آئی ایف آر اے پی) نے (وی آر سی) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی کوئی تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے ہیں
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
	2	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.2	28	What type of structures or improvements were made? (Select all that apply) (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں) کون سے تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے گئے
	1	Drainage channels پانی نکاسی کے راستے /نالیوں
	2	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	3	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	4	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	5	Mosques/ madrasahs مدرسے /مسجدیں
	6	Shelter پناہ گاہ
	7	Community center کمیونٹی مرکز
VBVRC.9.2y	8	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 27 then continue with Q.# 29 Otherwise goto Q.# 33
	29	Take pictures of the additional work completed by the community? کمیونٹی کے مکمل کیے گئے اضافی کام کی تصاویر لیں
VBVRC.9.3	30	How were these activities funded? (Select all that apply) (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں) یہ سرگرمیاں کس ذریعہ سے مالی تعاون حاصل کر کے کی گئیں؟
	1	Community pooled funds کمیونٹی کے جمع کردہ پیسے /کمیونٹی کے مشترکہ فنڈز
	2	Donor/NGO support غیر سرکاری تنظیم کی مدد /ڈونر
	3	Labor contribution شراکت /مزدوروں کی مدد
	4	Leftover material reuse بچا ہوا سامان دوبارہ استعمال
	5	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.4	31	In your view, did these additional works help improve the living conditions of the community? کیا ان اضافی اقدامات نے مقامی آبادی کے رہنے سہنے کے حالات پر مثبت اثر ڈالا ہے
	1	Significantly کافی حد تک
	2	Moderately مناسب حد تک
	3	Not much کم
	4	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.5	32	Has the VRC efforts helped strengthen community bonds? کیا وی۔آر۔سی کے اقدامات نے کمیونٹی کو زیادہ قریب لانے میں مدد کی ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
VBVRC.9.6	33	Is there anything else your village still urgently needs but could not build? کیا گاؤں میں کوئی اور چیز ہے جسے فوری طور پر درکار ہے مگر ابھی تک نہیں بنایا گیا
	1	Basic Health Facility بنیادی صحت کی سہولت

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	2	Primary School پرائمری اسکول
	3	Drainage channels پانی نکاسی کے راستے / نالیاں
	4	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	5	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	6	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	7	Mosques/ madrasahs مدرسے / مسجدیں
	8	Shelter پناہ گاہ
VBVRC.9.6y	9	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)		
DRR.10.1	34	
		Distance from River / Nullah (Naseerabad, Jaffarabad, Sohbat Pur, Usta Muhammad, Jhal Magsi, Kachhi, Sibi, Harani, Lasbela and Gwadar)
		≤ 25 m
		25 m – 50 m
		50 m – 75 m
		75 m – 100 m
		≥ 100 m
		Distance from River / Nullah (Zhob, Killa Saifullah, Sherani, Mulimbagh, Loralai, Musa Khail, Barkhan, Duki, Kohlu, Dera Bugti, Ziarat, Kalat, Surab, Mastung, Kharan, Noshki)
		≤ 10 m
		10 m – 20 m
		20 m – 30 m
		30 m – 40 m
		≥ 40 m
DRR.10.2	35	Is site close to hazard prone area? کے خطرے والے علاقے کے قریب ہے (زلزلہ، سیلاب، لینڈ سلائیڈ وغیرہ) کیا یہ جگہ قدرتی آفات
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 35 then continue with Q.# 36 Otherwise goto Q.# 37
DRR.10.3	36	Select the type of hazard? قدرتی آفات کی قسم منتخب کریں
	1	Flood plan range سیلاب کے ممکنہ علاقے
	2	Flash flood range اچانک آنے والا سیلاب
	3	Earthquake زلزلہ
	4	Landslide لینڈ سلائیڈ
	5	Drought خشک سالی
	6	Extreme snow fall شدید برفباری
	7	Tsunami سمندری طوفانی لہر
	8	Water logging زمین یا علاقے میں پانی جمع ہونا
DRR.10.3y	9	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
DRR.10.4	37	Was the site hit by flood before 2022 floods? کیا سائٹ دو ہزار بائیس کے سیلاب سے پہلے بھی متاثر ہوئی تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	38	Is the site in a low-lying area? کیا یہ جگہ نشیبی علاقے میں ہے
DRR.10.5	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.6	39	Is there proper flow out for the rainwater at the site? کیا اس مقام پر بارش کے پانی کے بہاؤ کے لیے راستہ موجود ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.7	40	Any Earthquakes in the area in past 25 years? کیا اس علاقے میں گزشتہ پچیس سالوں میں کوئی زلزلہ آئے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 40 then continue with Q.# 41 Otherwise goto Q.# 42
DRR.10.7.1	41	Was your House damaged in any earthquake? کیا آپ کا گھر کسی زلزلے میں متاثر ہوا تھا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
DRR.10.8	42	Has there been any history of land erosion or landslides in the area? کیا اس علاقے میں مٹی کے کٹاؤ یا زمین کھسکنے کا کوئی واقعہ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.9	43	Is the site located on wetlands, riverbanks, or natural drainage paths? کیا سائٹ پانی کے قدرتی بہاؤ کے راستے یا گہرے پانی والے علاقے میں ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.10	44	What Disaster Risk Reduction Related Measures are taken in the Area? علاقے میں آفت کے خطرے کو کم کرنے سے متعلق اقدامات کیا ہیں
	1	Flood Protection Wall پانی روکنے کے لیے دیوار سیلابی دیوار
	2	Raised Structure پانی سے بچاؤ کے لیے اونچا حصہ بلند ڈھانچہ
	3	Gabian Wall پتھروں سے بھری حفاظتی دیوار گیبیان وال
	4	Diversion Canal پانی کو محفوظ راستے پر موڑنا نہری راستہ کا رخ موڑنا
	5	Check Dam پانی کا بہاؤ کنٹرول کرنے والا چھوٹا بند چیک ڈیم
	6	None کوئی نہیں
DRR.10.10y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) Trainings		
MCG.11.1	45	Did anyone from the Implementing Partner (IP) conduct a training session to provide minimum construction guidelines? کیا کسی فرد نے کم از کم تعمیراتی رہنما اصول فراہم کرنے کے لیے تربیتی (آئی پی) کیا امپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر سیشن منعقد کیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
If "Yes" selected in Q.# 45 then continue with Q.# 46		Otherwise goto Q.# 48
MCG.11.2	46	Did you attend MCG training sessions conducted by the Implementing Partner (IP)? کیا آپ نے MCG تربیتی سیشن میں شرکت کی (آئی پی) کیا آپ نے امپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر کے زیر اہتمام کوئی تربیتی سیشن میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
If "Yes" selected in Q.# 46 then continue with Q.# 47		Otherwise goto Q.# 48
MCG.11.3	47	Which Minimum Construction Guidelines do you know after the training session? (Select all that apply) (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں) آگاہی سیشن کے بعد آپ کن تعمیراتی اصولوں سے واقف ہیں؟
	1	Plinth height چبوتری کی اونچائی
	2	Lintel height کھڑکی کے اوپر لگے شہنیز کی اونچائی/دروازے
	3	Roof Type چھت کی قسم
MCG.11.3y	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Social Safeguard Compliance		
SSC.12.1	48	Was the community trained on Social Safeguards before the reconstruction activities? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں سے پہلے کمیونٹی کو سوشل سیف گارڈز کی تربیت دی گئی تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
If "Yes" selected in Q.# 48 then continue with Q.# 49		Otherwise goto Q.# 50
SSC.12.2	49	Were you present at the training session regarding Social Safeguard? کیا آپ سوشل سیف گارڈز کے بارے میں ہونے والے تربیتی اجلاس میں شریک تھے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
SSC.12.3	50	Are there any observed or reported livelihood impacts due to reconstruction? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران لوگوں کی آمدنی یا روزگار پر کوئی اثر پڑا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
If "Yes" selected in Q.# 50 then continue with Q.# 51		Otherwise goto Q.# 52
SSC.12.3.1	51	Select all relevant impact on livelihoods? معاش پر تمام متعلقہ اثرات منتخب کریں/روزگار
	1	Employment opportunities روزگار کے مواقع
	2	Skill development ہنر میں اضافہ
	3	Increased income آمدنی میں اضافہ
	4	Revival of small businesses چھوٹے کاروبار کی بحالی
	5	Temporary relocation عارضی نقل مکانی
	6	Loss of income آمدنی کا نقصان
SSC.12.3.1y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
SSC.12.4	52	Has any social dispute or conflict been recorded in this site? کیا اس مقام پر کوئی سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ ریکارڈ کیا گیا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 52 then continue with Q.# 53 Otherwise goto Q.# 54
SSC.12.4.1	53	Select the social dispute or conflict recorded in this site? اس مقام پر ریکارڈ ہونے والا سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ منتخب کریں
	1	Community disagreements عوامی جھگڑے / کمیونٹی میں اختلافات
	2	Disputes over labor hiring مزدور بھرتی پر تنازعہ
	3	Gender-related disputes مرد و عورت سے متعلق تنازعہ
SSC.12.4.1y	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
SSC.12.5	54	Please indicate whether any indications of social tension, dissatisfaction, or other Social Safeguards related concerns have been observed within the VRC community? برائے مہربانی یہ بتائیں کہ آیا وی آر سی کمیونٹی کے اندر سماجی تنازعہ، عدم اطمینان، یا دیگر سماجی تحفظات سے متعلق کسی قسم کی علامات یا خدشات مشاہدے میں آئے ہیں؟
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 54 then continue with Q.# 55 Otherwise goto Q.# 56
SSC.12.5.1	55	Select the cause of tension, dissatisfaction, or exclusion within the VRC? وی آر سی کمیونٹی میں کشیدگی، ناخوشی یا نظر انداز کیے جانے کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	Influential members took over the decision-making process بااثر افراد فیصلہ کر رہے ہیں
	2	Unequal participation of women خواتین کی غیر مساوی شرکت
	3	Marginalized or vulnerable groups feel overlooked پسماندہ یا کمزور گروپ نظر انداز محسوس کر رہے ہیں
	4	Disagreements over resource distribution وسائل کی تقسیم پر جھگڑے
	5	Lack of transparency has caused dissatisfaction شفافیت نہ ہونے کی وجہ سے عدم اطمینان
	6	Participation opportunities are not equally accessible شرکت کے مواقع سب کے لیے برابر نہیں ہیں
	7	Young men and women are being ignored نوجوان مرد اور خواتین نظر انداز ہو رہے ہیں
SSC.12.5.1y	8	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
Worker Safety		
WS.13.1	56	Has workplace safety training been imparted to the labor working at the construction site? کیا مزدوروں کو سائٹ پر حفاظت اور حفاظتی اصولوں کی تربیت دی گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.2	57	Project activities involve significant inflow of labor? کیا پروجیکٹ کی سرگرمیوں میں بڑی تعداد میں مزدور شامل ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.3	58	Is or Was local labor hired for the construction phase? کیا تعمیراتی مرحلے کے لیے مقامی مزدور بھرتی کیے گئے تھے یا ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.4	59	Child or forced labor at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر کم عمر افراد یا زبردستی کام کرنے والے مزدور استعمال ہو رہے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 59 then continue with Q.# 60 Otherwise goto Q.# 61
WS.13.4.1	60	Specify the type of labor observed at the construction site? تعمیراتی مقام پر کام کرنے والے مزدوروں کی نوعیت کی نشاندہی کریں
	1	Child of the beneficiary or a relative مستفید کنندہ یا اس کے رشتہ دار کا بچہ
	2	Non-relative/outsider باہر والا شخص / غیر رشتہ دار
WS.13.5	61	Which of the following facilities available to workers? (تمام موزوں منتخب کریں) مزدوروں کو کون سی سہولیات دستیاب ہیں
	1	Drinking water at the construction or activity site
	2	Toilet بیت الخلاء کی سہولت
	3	None کوئی بھی نہیں
WS.13.6	62	Has any injury or other incident been reported at the site? کیا سائٹ پر کسی کو چوٹ لگنے یا دیگر کوئی واقعہ رپورٹ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 62 then continue with Q.# 63 Otherwise goto Q.# 64
WS.13.6.1	63	In case of safety incidents or accidents, is regular reporting being done? اگر کوئی حفاظتی حادثہ یا واقعہ پیش آئے تو کیا اس کی باقاعدہ رپورٹنگ کی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
HRU-IFRAP - Environmental Protection and Enhancement		
		If "Plinth/ Lintel/ Roof/Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 64 Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.1	64	Heavy dust observed at site due to the construction work? کیا تعمیراتی کام کی وجہ سے سائٹ پر شدید دھول دیکھی گئی
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.2	65	Proper water sprinkling carried out at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر مناسب پانی چھڑکاؤ کیا گیا
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.3	66	Construction activities causing the following: (Please add a dropdown option and provide separate data for each indicator when reporting) رپورٹ کرتے وقت ہر اشارے کے لیے الگ ڈیٹا فراہم کریں (تعمیراتی سرگرمیاں درج ذیل کا سبب بن رہی ہیں (اور ڈراپ ڈاؤن آپشن شامل کریں)
	1	Noise شور
	2	Vibration ہلچل
	3	None کوئی نہیں
Description of possible impact of construction work fauna		
EPE.14.4	67	Construction work lead to disruption of wild life habitat? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے باعث جنگلی حیات کے مسکن میں خلل پیدا ہوا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 67 then continue with Q.# 68 Otherwise goto Q.# 69
EPE.14.5	68	Construction work lead to destruction of wild life habitat? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے باعث جنگلی حیات کے مسکن کو نقصان پہنچا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
Description of possible impact of construction work flora		
EPE.14.6	69	Trees removed during the construction work? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران درخت کاٹے گئے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 69 then continue with Q.# 70 Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.6.1	70	How many trees have been removed? کتنے درخت کاٹے گئے ہیں
		Write number
		If "Greater than zero" entered in Q.# 70 then continue with Q.# 71 Otherwise goto Q.# 72
EPE.14.6.2	71	Has compensatory tree plantation carried out against the removed trees.(5 new trees will be planted against one chopped tree) بر کاٹے گئے درخت کے (کیا کاٹے گئے درختوں کے بدلے تلافی کے طور پر نئے درخت لگائے گئے ہیں؟ (نئے درخت لگائے جائیں گے 5 بدلے)
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.6.3	72	How many new plants have been planted by HRU? ایچ آر یو کی جانب سے کتنے نئے پودے لگائے گئے ہیں
		Write number
		If "Greater than zero" entered in Q.# 72 then continue with Q.# 73 Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.6.4	73	Take picture of planted sapling? لگائے گئے پودے کی تصویر لیں
EPE.14.6.5	74	Out of total how many plants have sustained? ایچ آر یو کی طرف سے لگائے گئے پودوں میں سے کتنے پودے باقی بچے ہیں
		Write number
Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)		
		If "Yes" in Q.# 2.1 then continue with Q.# 75 Otherwise goto Q.# 77
GESI.15.1	75	Do women-headed households receiving equal access to scheme? کیا خواتین کی قیادت والے گھرانوں کو اسکیم میں مساوی مواقع مل رہے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 75 then continue with Q.# 76 Otherwise goto Q.# 77
GESI.15.1.1	76	Briefly describe why women do not have equal access to the scheme? مختصر وجوہات بتائیں کہ خواتین اسکیم میں برابر حصہ کیوں نہیں لے پاتیں
GESI.15.2	77	Were women given orientation/awareness on minimum construction guideline, safe construction practices, grievance use, banking process? کیا خواتین کو محفوظ تعمیراتی طریقہ کار، شکایات کے اندراج کے طریقہ کار، اور بینکنگ عمل کے بارے میں کوئی آگاہی یا تربیتی سیشن فراہم کیے گئے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GESI.15.3	78	Did you face any challenges during reconstruction? جی ہاں جی
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 2.2 then continue with Q.# 79 Otherwise goto Q.# 81
GESI.15.4	79	Were the needs of person with disability considered? کیا معذور خواتین کی ضروریات مدنظر رکھی گئیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GESI.15.5	80	Were housing designs adapted to meet the accessibility needs of persons with disabilities/elderly (ramps, handrails, wider doors)? ریمپس، ہینڈ ریلز، چوڑے دروازے) کیا رہائشی ڈیزائن کو معذور یا بزرگ افراد کی رسائی کے مطابق بنایا گیا (دروازے)
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)		
GRM.16.1	81	Do you know about the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں معلوم ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 81 then continue with Q.# 82 Otherwise goto Q.# 97
GRM.16.1.1	82	Through which medium did you learn about the GRM? (Select all that apply) آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں کیسے پتہ چلا
	1	Community meeting اجلاس کمیونٹی
	2	Social Mobilizer سماجی رابطہ کار /سوشل موبلائزر
	3	Banner / Poster پوسٹر /بینر
	4	Radio ریڈیو
	5	Television ٹیلی ویژن
	6	Social media سوشل میڈیا
GRM.16.1.1y	7	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر
GRM.16.2	83	Is the GRM banner or information display visible in your area? کیا آپ کے علاقے میں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کا بینر یا معلوماتی بورڈ دکھائی دیتا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 83 then continue with Q.# 83.1 Otherwise goto Q.# 84
GRM.16.2.2	83.1	Take picture of GRM banner or information display visible in your area? اپنے علاقے میں نظر آنے والے جی آر ایم بینر یا معلوماتی ڈسپلے کی تصویر لیں
GRM.16.3	84	Have you received any orientation about how to use the GRM? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو استعمال کرنے کے بارے میں کوئی تربیت دی گئی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.4	85	Were women in your households able to access the GRM or understand how to use it? کیا آپ کے گھر کی خواتین شکایات کے اندراج کے نظام تک پہنچ سکتی تھیں یا اسے استعمال کرنے کا طریقہ سمجھتی تھیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.5	86	Have you ever submitted a complaint through the GRM? کیا آپ نے کبھی شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے ذریعے شکایت دی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 86 then continue with Q.# 87 Otherwise goto Q.# 96

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
GRM.16.5.1	87	Write nature of your complaint to GRM? آپ نے جو شکایتیں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار میں کی ہیں، ان کی تفصیل لکھیں
GRM.16.5.2	88	How did you/other submit the complaint? آپ یا کسی اور نے شکایت کیسے جمع کرائی
	1	Phone Call کال فون
	2	Whatsapp واٹس ایپ
	3	Complaint Box شکایت باکس
	4	In-Person Visit to Office دفتر میں خود جا کر شکایت کی
	5	Social Media Page سوشل میڈیا پیج
	6	Web Site Complaint Form ویب سائٹ پر شکایت فارم
GRM.16.5.2y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.5.3	89	Did you find complaint submission process convenient? کیا آپ کو جی آر ایم شکایت جمع کروانے کا عمل آسان لگا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.6	90	Was your complaint resolved? کیا آپ کی شکایت حل ہو گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Inprocess Inprocess تکمیل کے مراحل میں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 90 then continue with Q.# 91 Otherwise goto Q.# 95
GRM.16.6.1	91	How much time it took for resolution? (days) مسئلے کے حل میں کتنا وقت لگا
GRM.16.6.2	92	How was it resolved? شکایت کو کس طرح حل کیا گیا
	1	Direct Action taken براہ راست کارروائی کی گئی
	2	Information Given معلومات فراہم کی گئیں
GRM.16.6.2y	3	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.6.3	93	Were you satisfied with the response you received? کیا آپ شکایت کے حل سے مطمئن ہیں
	1	Very satisfied بہت مطمئن
	2	Somewhat satisfied کچھ حد تک مطمئن
	3	Not satisfied مطمئن نہیں
		If "Not satisfied" selected in Q.# 93 then continue with Q.# 94 Otherwise goto Q.# 96
GRM.16.6.3.1	94	If Not Satisfied then please define the reason? اگر مطمئن نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم وجہ بیان کریں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 90 then continue with Q.# 95 Otherwise goto Q.# 96
GRM.16.6.4	95	Why was it not resolved? شکایت کا ازالہ کیوں نہیں ہوا
	1	Lack of response جواب نہیں ملا
	2	Still waiting for action اب بھی کارروائی کا انتظار
	3	Don't know معلوم نہیں
GRM.16.6.4y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.7	96	In your opinion, How can the GRM be improved? آپ کی رائے میں، شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو کیسے بہتر بنایا جا سکتا ہے
	1	Faster response time جواب جلدی ملے
	2	More awareness campaigns آگاہی بڑھائی جائے
	3	Better follow-up and feedback پیروی اور جوابدہی بہتر بنائی جائے
GRM.16.7y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Construction Components Verification (Depends on Site Location District-Wise)		
		If "Plinth, Lintel, Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then cover question from 97 to 107 In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.1	97	Is the excavation depth between 1 ft and 2.5 ft based on soil conditions? فٹ تک مٹی کی صورتحال کے مطابق رکھی جاتی ہے 2.5 فٹ سے 1 فٹ کھدائی کی گہرائی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 97 then continue with Q.# 98 Otherwise goto Q.# 99
CCV.17.1.1	98	Take a picture for visual evidence? تصویری ثبوت کے لیے تصویر لیں
CCV.17.2	99	Is a 1.5 ft to 3 ft raised platform above the regular flood level with compacted earth visible? فٹ اونچا پلیٹ فارم سیلابی سطح سے اوپر دبائی گئی مٹی کے ساتھ دکھائی دیتا ہے 3 فٹ سے 1.5 فٹ
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 99 then continue with Q.# 100 Otherwise goto Q.# 101
CCV.17.2.1	100	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.3	101	Does the 1st step of footing meet the minimum width (18" for brick, 20" for stone/block) and depth (21" for brick, 2 ft for stone/block)?

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
		بلاک /انچ، پتھر 18 اینٹ کے لیے) کیا فائونڈیشن کے پہلے درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم معیار پر پوری اترتی ہے پوری ہے (فٹ 2 بلاک کے لیے /انچ، پتھر 21 اینٹ کے لیے) اور گہرائی (انچ 20 کے لیے)
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 101 then continue with Q.# 102
		Otherwise goto Q.# 103
CCV.17.3.1	102	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.4	103	Is the last step width at least 13.5" (brick) or 12" (stone/block) up to plinth level? تک پلنٹھ لیول (بلاک کے لیے /پتھر) انچ 12 یا (اینٹ کے لیے) انچ 13.5 کیا آخری درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 103 then continue with Q.# 104
		Otherwise goto Q.# 105
CCV.17.4.1	104	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.5	105	Is the plinth level 1.5 ft to 3 ft higher than the normal surface level? فٹ بلند ہے 3 سے 2 کیا پلنٹھ لیول معمول کی سطح سے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 105 then continue with Q.# 106
		Otherwise goto Q.# 107
CCV.17.5.1	106	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.6	107	Is a polythene sheet (optional not in MCG protocols) provided for damp proofing on the plinth beam? مہیا کی گئی ہے (پولی تھین شیٹ) کیا پلنٹھ بیم پر ڈیمپ پروفنگ کے لیے پلاسٹک شیٹ
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 107 then continue with Q.# 108
		Otherwise goto Q.# 109
CCV.17.6.1	108	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Lintel, Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then cover question from 109 to 112
		In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.7	109	Does the wall thickness meet the minimum: 9" (brick), 8" (block), 8-10" (stone)? انچ، اور 8 انچ، بلاک کے لیے 9 اینٹ کے لیے: کیا دیوار کی موٹائی مقررہ کم از کم پیمانے کے مطابق ہے انچ 10 سے 8 پتھر کے لیے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 109 then continue with Q.# 110
		Otherwise goto Q.# 111
CCV.17.7.1	110	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.8	111	How many ventilator provided? Numbers: کتنے وینٹیلیشن پوائنٹ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.17.9	112	How many Windows? Numbers: کتنی کھڑکیاں لگائی گئی ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.17.10	113	Roofing type installed correctly (RCC/ T.iron Girder, Precast Girde Bamboo-Grider, and GI Sheet)? (ٹی آئرن گرڈر، پری کاسٹ گرڈر اور جی آئی شیٹ / RCC) چھت کی قسم درست طریقے سے نصب ہوئی
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 113 then continue with Q.# 114
		Otherwise goto Q.# 115
CCV.17.10.1	114	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 115
		In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.11	115	Is a 3-6" slope provided with drain pipes for water drainage? انچ کی ڈھلوان کے ساتھ ڈرین پائپ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں 3-6 کیا پانی کے نکاس کے لیے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
		If "No" selected in Q.# 115 then continue with Q.# 116
		Otherwise goto Q.# 117
CCV.17.11.1	116	Take a picture for visual evidence.

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring	
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 2.2 disability then continue with Q.# 117 to Q.# 119	Otherwise goto Q.# 121
CCV.17.12	117	Is a 1:12 ramp with handrails installed for accessibility? تناسب والا ریمپ ہینڈریل کے ساتھ لگایا گیا ہے 1:12 کیا معذور افراد کے لیے	
	1	Yes ہاں جی	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "No" selected in Q.# 117 then continue with Q.# 118	Otherwise goto Q.# 119
CCV.17.12.1	118	Take a picture for visual evidence.	
CCV.17.13	119	Are tactile markers or railings present for visually impaired individuals? کیا نابینا یا کم بینائی والے افراد کے لیے ٹیکٹائل مارکرز یا ریلنگز موجود ہیں	
	1	Yes ہاں جی	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "No" selected in Q.# 119 then continue with Q.# 120	Otherwise goto Q.# 121
CCV.17.13.1	120	Take a picture for visual evidence.	
Perception & Experience			
		If "Plinth, Lintel, Roof, Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 121	In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
PE.18.1	121	Did you face material shortages? کیا آپ کو تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا	
	1	Yes ہاں جی	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 121 then continue with Q.# 122	Otherwise goto Q.# 123
PE.18.2	122	Which material shortage did you face? (Select all that apply) (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں) آپ کو کون سے تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا	
	1	Cement سیمنٹ	
	2	Bricks/Blocks بلاک / اینٹیں	
	3	Sand ریت	
	4	Crush/Gravel کریش / بگری	
	5	Steel/Bars لوہے کی سلاخیں / اسٹیل	
	6	Wood/Timber بانس / لکڑی	
	7	Paint/Finishing Items فینشنگ کے اشیاء / رنگ	
PE.18.2y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)	
PE.18.3	123	Is there anything about the process that caused you concern or dissatisfaction? کیا تعمیر کے اس سارے عمل میں کوئی ایسی بات تھی جس سے آپ کو تشویش یا عدم اطمینان ہوا	
	1	Yes ہاں جی	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 123 then continue with Q.# 124	Otherwise goto Q.# 125
PE.18.3.1	124	Select the cause of dissatisfaction? عدم اطمینان کی وجہ منتخب کریں	
	1	IP Related سے متعلق (نفاذ کرنے والا پارٹنر) آئی پی	
	2	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر	
	3	Financial Favorus Asked مالی فوائد حاصل کرنے کی کوشش کی	
PE.18.3.1y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)	
Site Observations			
SO.19.1	125	Are any signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG? کیا کم از کم معیار کے مطابق ناقص کاریگری یا کسی قسم کی خرابی کی علامات نظر آ رہی ہیں	
	1	Yes ہاں جی	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 125 then continue with Q.# 126	Otherwise goto Q.# 128
SO.19.1.1	126	What type of sign of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Select all that apply) (تمام موزوں آپشن منتخب کریں) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی بھی خرابی کی قسم کون سی ہے؟	
	1	Uncompacted soil ڈھلی ہوئی مٹی / غیر مضبوط	
	2	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet فٹ سے کم 2-3 پلنٹھ لیول معمولی سطح سے	
	3	Misaligned walls دیواریں غیر سیدھی یا غلط پوزیشن میں	
	4	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used بامبو، ٹی آئرن، گرڈر، چھپر، جی آئی شیٹ، یا پری کاسٹ کنکریٹ استعمال نہیں ہوا	
SO.19.1.1y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring	
SO.19.1.2	127	Take picture of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Max 3 Pictures) (تصاویر 3 زیادہ سے زیادہ) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی خرابی کی تصویر لیں؟	
SO.19.2	128	Were any issues raised by community members or stakeholders? کیا کسی بھی مسئلے کی نشاندہی کمیونٹی کے ارکان یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز نے کی	
	1	Yes جی ہاں	
	0	No نہیں	
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 128 then continue with Q.# 129	Otherwise goto Q.# 130
SO.19.2.1	129	Select issues type raised by the community or stakeholders کمیونٹی یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز کی جانب سے اٹھائے گئے مسائل منتخب کریں	
	1	Shortage of Material سامان کی کمی	
	2	Lack of trained skilled labor ماہرانہ تربیت یافتہ مزدور کی کمی	
	3	Substandard construction ناقص تعمیر / معیاری سے کم تعمیرات	
	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) دیگر	
SO.19.2.1y	130	General Observations of M&E Field Staff? (optional) (if any) (اگر کوئی ہوں) رائے؟ / ایم اینڈ ای فیلڈ اسٹاف کے خیالات	

E. DETAILED INDICATOR PROGRESS TABLE (DISAGGREGATION)

E-1. Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition

Q1 - Women Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	4	23	27
Dera Bugti	1	27	28
Duki	1	27	28
Harnai	2	21	23
Jaffarabad	12	62	74
Jhal Magsi	1	3	4
Kachhi	11	38	49
Killa Abdullah	4	16	20
Killa Saifullah	14	26	40
Kohlu	3	29	32
Lasbela	8	45	53
Musakhel	3	17	20
Nasirabad	4	82	86
Quetta	8	12	20
Sohbatpur	6	36	42
Usta Muhammad	9	26	35
Overall	91	490	581

Q2 - Women Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	28	31
Dera Bugti	1	36	37
Harnai	5	15	20
Jaffarabad	10	80	90
Jhal Magsi	7	16	23
Kachhi	6	66	72
Kohlu	2	29	31
Lasbela	9	68	77
Musakhel	4	20	24
Nasirabad	7	98	105
Sohbatpur	21	56	77
Usta Muhammad	18	39	57
Overall	93	551	644

Q1 - Women Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	21	23
Dera Bugti	4	40	44
Harnai	6	4	10
Jaffarabad	8	58	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	15	42	57
Musakhel	2	18	20
Nasirabad	2	73	75
Sohbatpur	10	27	37
Usta Muhammad	5	26	31
Overall	55	318	373

02 - Disabled Person Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	25	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	28	28
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	9	65	74
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	2	47	49
Killa Abdullah	0	20	20
Killa Saifullah	1	39	40
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	1	52	53
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	1	85	86
Quetta	4	16	20
Sohbatpur	1	41	42
Usta Muhammad	1	34	35
Overall	22	559	581

Q2 - Disabled Person Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	31	31
Dera Bugti	1	36	37
Harnai	0	20	20
Jaffarabad	8	82	90
Jhal Magsi	0	23	23
Kachhi	1	71	72
Kohlu	2	29	31
Lasbela	1	76	77
Musakhel	0	24	24
Nasirabad	1	104	105
Sohbatpur	1	76	77
Usta Muhammad	1	56	57
Overall	16	628	644

Q1 - Disable Person Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	21	23
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	2	8	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	4	53	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	1	36	37
Usta Muhammad	1	30	31
Overall	18	355	373

03 - Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries								
District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Duki	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Harnai	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Jaffarabad	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Jhal Magsi	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Kachhi	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
Killa Abdullah	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Killa Saifullah	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Kohlu	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Lasbela	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
Musakhel	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Nasirabad	85	1	0	0	0	0	0	86
Quetta	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Sohbatpur	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
Usta Muhammad	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
Overall	580	1	0	0	0	0	0	581

Q2 - Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries								
District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Dera Bugti	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Harnai	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	90
Jhal Magsi	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Kachhi	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	72
Kohlu	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Musakhel	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Nasirabad	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Usta Muhammad	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	57
Overall	642	2	0	0	0	0	0	644

Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries								
District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
Harnai	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Kachi	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Nasirabad	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Overall	373	0	0	0	0	0	0	373

04 - Male and Female Beneficiaries				
District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	104	73	0	177
Dera Bugti	74	68	0	142
Duki	99	64	0	163
Harnai	70	53	0	123
Jaffarabad	193	182	0	375
Jhal Magsi	8	11	0	19
Kachhi	123	120	1	244
Killa Abdullah	81	52	0	133
Killa Saifullah	121	99	0	220
Kohlu	77	57	0	134
Lasbela	133	144	0	277
Musakhel	68	45	0	113
Nasirabad	280	258	0	538
Quetta	61	49	0	110
Sohbatpur	118	113	0	231
Usta Muhammad	81	83	0	164
Overall	1691	1471	1	3163

Q2 - Male and Female Beneficiaries				
District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	115	92	0	207
Dera Bugti	90	74	0	164
Harnai	54	39	0	93
Jaffarabad	278	283	0	561
Jhal Magsi	79	69	0	148
Kachhi	205	179	0	384
Kohlu	97	88	0	185
Lasbela	192	191	0	383
Musakhel	120	86	0	206
Nasirabad	301	281	0	582
Sohbatpur	196	209	0	405
Usta Muhammad	148	152	0	300
Overall	1875	1743	0	3618

Male and Female Beneficiaries				
District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	85	82	0	167
Dera Bugti	152	145	0	297
Harnai	25	21	0	46
Jaffarabad	272	248	0	520
Jhal Magsi	25	28	0	53
Kachi	10	5	0	15
Lasbela	151	158	0	309
Musakhel	82	71	0	153
Nasirabad	233	222	0	455
Sohbatpur	89	85	0	174
Usta Muhammad	85	76	0	161
Overall	1209	1141	0	2350

05 - Family Members															
District	Male Members			Female Members			Special Categories						Transgender Members		
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/ Lactating	None	Total	Youth	With Disability
Barkhan	104	24	1	73	13	0	2	0	0	2	1	69	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dera Bugti	74	5	1	68	11	1	0	0	1	0	1	66	0.0	0.0	0.0
Duki	99	18	0	64	13	0	2	0	0	5	8	50	0.0	0.0	0.0
Harnai	70	14	2	53	9	3	0	0	0	2	1	50	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jaffarabad	193	63	9	182	37	8	1	0	0	0	13	168	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jhal Magsi	8	1	1	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kachhi	123	36	1	120	23	2	0	0	0	0	9	111	1.0	0.0	0.0
Killa Abdullah	81	24	1	52	12	0	1	0	0	1	3	48	0.0	0.0	0.0
Killa Saifullah	121	19	4	99	10	0	1	0	0	2	1	95	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kohlu	77	12	2	57	11	0	0	0	0	1	2	54	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lasbela	133	29	0	144	37	0	0	0	0	9	4	131	0.0	0.0	0.0
Musakhel	68	10	0	45	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	39	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nasirabad	280	57	0	258	33	2	0	0	0	7	36	215	0.0	0.0	0.0
Quetta	61	19	7	49	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	48	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sohbatpur	118	17	1	113	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	111	0.0	0.0	0.0
Usta Muhammad	81	11	3	83	11	1	0	0	0	3	0	80	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall	1691	359	33	1471	236	19	7	0	1	38	82	1346	1.0	0.0	0.0

Q2 - Family Members													
District	Male Members			Female Members									
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/ Lactating	None	
Barkhan	115	25	0	92	16	0	1	0	0	8	6	77	
Dera Bugti	90	14	1	74	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	
Harnai	54	15	0	39	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	36	
Jaffarabad	278	71	12	283	46	9	1	2	0	0	30	251	
Jhal Magsi	79	20	0	69	11	1	0	0	0	3	6	60	
Kachhi	205	46	1	179	28	1	1	0	0	7	18	153	
Kohlu	97	18	2	88	15	1	2	0	0	0	17	69	
Lasbela	192	40	5	191	31	1	0	2	0	7	11	171	
Musakhel	120	28	2	86	14	1	1	0	0	4	8	74	
Nasirabad	301	69	3	281	32	3	0	0	0	10	32	239	
Sohbatpur	196	44	1	209	41	5	1	0	0	10	0	198	
Usta Muhammad	148	40	4	152	46	0	0	0	0	7	0	145	
Overall	1875	430	31	1743	299	22	7	4	0	57	130	1547	

Q1 - Family Members			
District	Total Male Members	Youth - Male	With Disability
Barkhan	85	12	8
Dera Bugti	152	44	5
Harnai	25	8	2
Jaffarabad	272	59	14
Jhal Magsi	25	4	0
Kachi	10	4	0
Lasbela	151	30	1
Musakhel	82	15	1
Nasirabad	233	35	2
Sohbatpur	89	19	3
Usta Muhammad	85	15	2
Overall	1209	245	38

E-2. Stakeholders Present During Visit

Q6 - Stakeholders Present During the Visit (Multiple Choice)					
District	VRC Member	Community Representative	IP Project Staff	None	Other
Barkhan	6	0	21	0	0
Dera Bugti	25	0	0	3	0
Duki	8	0	20	0	0
Harnai	0	0	23	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	45	0	28	0
Jhal Magsi	0	4	3	0	0
Kachhi	1	31	23	7	0
Killa Abdullah	1	0	19	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	40	0	0
Kohlu	32	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	51	52	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	0	20	0	0
Nasirabad	3	64	0	19	0
Quetta	0	0	20	0	0
Sohbatpur	17	37	0	1	0
Usta Muhammad	7	35	0	0	0

Q2 - Stakeholders Present During the Visit (Multiple Choice)					
District	VRC Member	Community Representative	IP Project Staff	Other	None
Barkhan	12	0	17	2	0
Dera Bugti	37	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	20	0	0
Jaffarabad	20	70	1	7	1
Jhal Magsi	0	23	0	0	0
Kachhi	5	28	3	36	0
Kohlu	20	0	10	1	0
Lasbela	9	75	7	2	0
Musakhel	5	0	17	2	0
Nasirabad	9	95	0	2	0
Sohbatpur	17	75	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	10	57	0	0	0

E-3. Land and Property Documentation Tracker

Q7 - Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	28	0	28
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	74	0	74
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	49	0	49
Killa Abdullah	20	0	20
Killa Saifullah	40	0	40
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	53	0	53
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	85	1	86
Quetta	20	0	20
Sohbatpur	42	0	42
Usta Muhammad	35	0	35
Overall	580	1	581

Q2 - Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	31	0	31
Dera Bugti	35	2	37
Harnai	1	19	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	90
Jhal Magsi	23	0	23
Kachhi	72	0	72
Kohlu	16	15	31
Lasbela	75	2	77
Musakhel	24	0	24
Nasirabad	105	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	77
Usta Muhammad	57	0	57
Overall	606	38	644

Q1 - Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	9	35	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	64	2	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	2	0	2
Lasbela	56	1	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	72	3	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	332	41	373

08 - Type of Property Ownership Documentation Available								
District	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	28
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	28
Harnai	0	3	0	0	0	20	0	23
Jaffarabad	1	0	0	0	0	73	0	74
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Kachhi	2	0	0	0	0	47	0	49
Killa Abdullah	1	10	0	0	0	9	0	20
Killa Saifullah	2	1	0	0	0	37	0	40
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	53
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	1	84	0	85
Quetta	5	3	0	0	0	11	1	20
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	1	41	0	42
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	35
Overall	11	17	0	0	2	549	1	580

Q2 - Type of Property Ownership Documentation Available								
District	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	3	3	0	0	0	25	0	31
Dera Bugti	1	0	35	0	0	1	0	37
Harnai	0	1	0	0	0	18	1	20
Jaffarabad	10	6	0	0	0	73	1	90
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
Kachhi	3	0	0	0	0	69	0	72
Kohlu	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	77
Musakhel	2	0	0	0	6	16	0	24
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	105	0	105
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0	13	63	0	77
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	9	48	0	57
Overall	19	11	66	0	28	518	2	644

Q1 - Property ownership documentation							
District	Registered deed	Tenant - Stamp pape	Local verification certificate	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	2	0	21	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	7	0	0	2	35	0	44
Harnai	0	0	0	10	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	55	4	2	3	2	0	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Kachi	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Lasbela	55	0	1	0	0	1	57
Musakhel	1	0	0	19	0	0	20
Nasirabad	39	10	17	6	1	2	75
Sohbatpur	0	21	10	6	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	0	21	0	10	0	0	31
Overall	158	66	30	78	38	3	373

Q1 - Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents					
District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	1	3	24	0	28
Duki	0	28	0	0	28
Harnai	0	16	3	1	20
Jaffarabad	2	46	25	0	73
Jhal Magsi	0	0	4	0	4
Kachhi	0	30	17	0	47
Killa Abdullah	0	7	2	0	9
Killa Saifullah	2	28	7	0	37
Kohlu	0	0	32	0	32
Lasbela	0	6	47	0	53
Musakhel	0	20	0	0	20
Nasirabad	0	22	62	0	84
Quetta	2	6	3	0	11
Sohbatpur	2	39	0	0	41
Usta Muhammad	0	35	0	0	35
Overall	9	313	226	1	549

Q2 - Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents					
District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Barkhan	19	6	0	0	25
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	1
Harnai	12	6	0	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	71	2	0	73
Jhal Magsi	0	0	23	0	23
Kachhi	0	5	64	0	69
Lasbela	28	49	0	0	77
Musakhel	2	14	0	0	16
Nasirabad	0	4	101	0	105
Sohbatpur	29	34	0	0	63
Usta Muhammad	0	47	1	0	48
Overall	90	236	192	0	518

10 - Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	28	28
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	74	74
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	49	49
Killa Abdullah	0	20	20
Killa Saifullah	0	40	40
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	53	53
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	86	86
Quetta	0	20	20
Sohbatpur	0	42	42
Usta Muhammad	0	35	35
Overall	0	581	581

Q2 - Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	31	31
Dera Bugti	0	37	37
Harnai	0	20	20
Jaffarabad	0	90	90
Jhal Magsi	0	23	23
Kachhi	0	72	72
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	9	68	77
Musakhel	0	24	24
Nasirabad	0	105	105
Sohbatpur	0	77	77
Usta Muhammad	0	57	57
Overall	9	635	644

Q1 - Boundary dispute or overlapping claim			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

E-4. House Reconstruction Status

11 - Type of House to Be Constructed				
District	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	28	28
Duki	0	0	28	28
Harnai	9	0	14	23
Jaffarabad	74	0	0	74
Jhal Magsi	0	0	4	4
Kachhi	49	0	0	49
Killa Abdullah	7	0	13	20
Killa Saifullah	5	11	24	40
Kohlu	2	0	30	32
Lasbela	10	0	43	53
Musakhel	0	0	20	20
Nasirabad	81	5	0	86
Quetta	6	0	14	20
Sohbatpur	42	0	0	42
Usta Muhammad	35	0	0	35
Overall	320	16	245	581

Q2 - Type of House to Be Constructed				
District	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Overall
Barkhan	2	0	29	31
Dera Bugti	0	0	37	37
Harnai	5	0	15	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	0	90
Jhal Magsi	13	0	10	23
Kachhi	72	0	0	72
Kohlu	0	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	0	77	77
Musakhel	7	1	16	24
Nasirabad	105	0	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	0	77
Usta Muhammad	57	0	0	57
Overall	428	1	215	644

Q1 - House Construction Type				
District	Brick	Stone Masonry	Block	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	0	44	44
Harnai	0	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	66	0	0	66
Jhal Magsi	2	0	6	8
Kachi	2	0	0	2
Lasbela	0	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	1	19	20
Nasirabad	75	0	0	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	0	31
Overall	213	1	159	373

12 - House Construction Stage at Time of Visit						
District	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	16	11	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	1	0	27	0	28
Duki	6	3	0	0	19	28
Harnai	1	1	4	17	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	4	5	64	1	74
Jhal Magsi	1	1	1	1	0	4
Kachhi	2	3	9	34	1	49
Killa Abdullah	8	0	0	1	11	20
Killa Saifullah	15	10	1	1	13	40
Kohlu	3	3	4	22	0	32
Lasbela	1	9	11	30	2	53
Musakhel	1	4	10	3	2	20
Nasirabad	3	7	26	48	2	86
Quetta	1	5	4	6	4	20
Sohbatpur	5	6	5	23	3	42
Usta Muhammad	5	5	2	21	2	35
Overall	52	62	98	309	60	581

Q2 - House Construction Stage at Time of Visit						
District	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	5	4	7	10	5	31
Dera Bugti	10	1	3	20	3	37
Harnai	2	3	4	9	2	20
Jaffarabad	15	6	22	41	6	90
Jhal Magsi	2	6	2	8	5	23
Kachhi	11	5	19	29	8	72
Kohlu	0	4	11	16	0	31
Lasbela	4	8	19	32	14	77
Musakhel	4	0	7	11	2	24
Nasirabad	15	18	26	41	5	105
Sohbatpur	2	15	12	16	32	77
Usta Muhammad	4	10	15	22	6	57
Overall	74	80	147	255	88	644

Q1 - House construction status at the time of visit					
District	Plinth	Lintel	Roof	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	20	3	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	28	4	10	2	44
Harnai	7	2	0	1	10
Jaffarabad	48	9	9	0	66
Jhal Magsi	5	2	1	0	8
Kachi	2	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	24	17	10	6	57
Musakhel	12	5	2	1	20
Nasirabad	40	12	12	11	75
Sohbatpur	32	2	3	0	37
Usta Muhammad	18	9	4	0	31
Overall	236	65	51	21	373

12a - House Construction Not Started Reason				
Sr. #	Lot	HRU Code	District	Reason for not started
1	Lot 1	136148	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet.
2	Lot 1	136150	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
3	Lot 1	136073	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
4	Lot 1	136251	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
5	Lot 1	136184	Killa Abdullah	This woman is a widow, and because her brother is in Quetta, the work has not been started yet.
6	Lot 1	136132	Killa Abdullah	MCB Bank has affected the progress in Killa Abdullah. Due to this, the beneficiaries' cheque books and ATM cards have been delayed for the past three months, and their work has been put on hold.
7	Lot 1	136166	Killa Abdullah	Due to heavy rainfall, the work has not been started yet.
8	Lot 1	136199	Killa Abdullah	Due to heavy rain work is not started yet.
9	Lot 1	136230	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
10	Lot 1	136253	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
11	Lot 1	136088	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
12	Lot 1	213331	Quetta	The beneficiary has passed away; her son's name has been given as a replacement.
13	Lot 1	213167	Quetta	His CNIC is blocked, and he has provided his wife's name as a replacement.
14	Lot 1	206036	Quetta	Their work had not started because there was an issue at their home. Due to that problem, the work could not begin until now.
15	Lot 1	206448	Quetta	According to the beneficiary, they have not received the money yet, which is why they have not started the work.
16	Lot 2	121236	Duki	Tranche issues
17	Lot 2	121233	Duki	Tranche issues
18	Lot 2	121073	Duki	Tranche issues
19	Lot 2	121290	Duki	Tranche issues
20	Lot 2	120858	Duki	Tranche issues
21	Lot 2	120861	Duki	Tranche issues
22	Lot 2	121340	Duki	Tranche issues
23	Lot 2	121192	Duki	Tranche issues
24	Lot 2	121324	Duki	Tranche issues
25	Lot 2	121204	Duki	Tranche issues
26	Lot 2	121202	Duki	Tranche issues
27	Lot 2	121185	Duki	Tranche issues
28	Lot 2	121193	Duki	Tranche issues
29	Lot 2	121190	Duki	Tranche issues
30	Lot 2	121201	Duki	Tranche issues
31	Lot 2	121134	Duki	Tranche issues
32	Lot 2	121130	Duki	Tranche issues
33	Lot 2	121144	Duki	Tranche issues
34	Lot 2	121149	Duki	Tranche issues
35	Lot 2	139199	Killa Saifullah	The beneficiary received the payment only a few days ago, which is why the work has not started yet.
36	Lot 2	139741	Killa Saifullah	Due to heavy rainfall, the work has not been started yet.
37	Lot 2	139249	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary received the payment only a few days ago, due to which the work has not been started yet.
38	Lot 2	139777	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary has utilized the first tranche for domestic purposes, due to which the room has not been started yet.
39	Lot 2	139637	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary had some domestic issues, due to which they have not started the work yet.
40	Lot 2	140981	Killa Saifullah	The beneficiary had some concerns, which have been addressed by the IP.

12a - House Construction Not Started Reason				
Sr. #	Lot	HRU Code	District	Reason for not started
41	Lot 2	140072	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
42	Lot 2	140068	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
43	Lot 2	139923	Killa Saifullah	due to heavy rain.
44	Lot 2	140192	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Muslim bagh during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
45	Lot 2	145278	Killa Saifullah	payment not received yet.
46	Lot 2	145170	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Quetta during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
47	Lot 2	145276	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Quetta during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
48	Lot 4	194416	Lasbela	Biometric issue
49	Lot 4	194429	Lasbela	Biometric issue
50	Lot 5	302763	Kachhi	CNIC expire
51	Lot 6	44541	Usta Muhammad	He does not receive any tranche because His card block from NADRA
52	Lot 6	31022	Usta Muhammad	IP do not visit there and have not received any message for tranche
53	Lot 7	84122	Nasirabad	The beneficiary Nawab Khan is about 85 years old and his finger prints not matching in biometric
54	Lot 7	83676	Nasirabad	Death of Doran Khan
55	Lot 8	24891	Jaffarabad	Trinch not received
56	Lot 9	182828	Musakhel	Tranche issues
57	Lot 9	182750	Musakhel	Finger prints not matching
58	Lot 10	275992	Sohbatpur	Finger prints not matching
59	Lot 10	275972	Sohbatpur	Work has not been started yet temporary displaced for 4 months from original location due to tribal issue and now settled and agreed for work.
60	Lot 10	275589	Sohbatpur	No tranche received yet

13 - Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level				
District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	2	0	14	16
Dera Bugti	0	1	0	1
Duki	4	0	5	9
Harnai	4	2	0	6
Jaffarabad	1	7	1	9
Jhal Magsi	0	3	0	3
Kachhi	3	9	2	14
Killa Abdullah	4	4	0	8
Killa Saifullah	17	9	0	26
Kohlu	3	7	0	10
Lasbela	8	13	0	21
Musakhel	1	0	14	15
Nasirabad	15	21	0	36
Quetta	5	5	0	10
Sohbatpur	14	2	0	16
Usta Muhammad	8	4	0	12
Overall	89	87	36	212

Q2 - Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level				
District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	9	0	7	16
Dera Bugti	10	4	0	14
Harnai	3	6	0	9
Jaffarabad	18	24	1	43
Jhal Magsi	4	6	0	10
Kachhi	19	16	0	35
Kohlu	13	1	1	15
Lasbela	3	28	0	31
Musakhel	6	0	5	11
Nasirabad	41	18	0	59
Sohbatpur	28	1	0	29
Usta Muhammad	29	0	0	29
Overall	183	104	14	301

Q1 - Construction Status of Plint/Lintel/Roof Level				
District	In Progress	Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	24	18	0	42
Harnai	2	7	0	9
Jaffarabad	23	43	0	66
Jhal Magsi	3	5	0	8
Kachi	1	1	0	2
Lasbela	4	37	10	51
Musakhel	4	14	1	19
Nasirabad	9	55	0	64
Sohbatpur	33	4	0	37
Usta Muhammad	28	3	0	31
Overall	131	210	11	352

14 - Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice)										
District	Land Dispute	Tranche Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Seasonal Effect	Bank Delay	Water Shortage	Delay Due to Death	Other	Other Reason
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harnai	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jaffarabad	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	
Kachhi	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	
Killa Abdullah	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	The work has been stopped due to poor quality of work.
Killa Saifullah	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kohlu	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	Beneficiary was not available
Lasbela	0	7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nasirabad	0	12	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	
Quetta	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	The beneficiary did not receive the second payment due to an active duty in law-enforcement agency posting in another district
Usta Muhammad	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	

Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice)									
District	Land Dispute	Tranche Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Seasonal Effect	Bank Delay	Water Shortage	Delay Due to Death	Othe
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	6	1	15	0	0	2	0	3
Jhal Magsi	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Kachhi	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	1	5
Kohlu	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	18	1	8	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	15	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E-5. Payment Trenches Released Status

15 - Payment Received to Date			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	19	28
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	63	11	74
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	1	49
Killa Abdullah	12	8	20
Killa Saifullah	39	1	40
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	2	53
Musakhel	18	2	20
Nasirabad	85	1	86
Quetta	16	4	20
Sohbatpur	40	2	42
Usta Muhammad	33	2	35
Overall	528	53	581

Q2 - Payment Received to Date			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	555	1	556

16 - Source of Information on Tranche Release						
District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	0	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	22	0	6	0	0	28
Duki	9	0	0	0	0	9
Harnai	23	0	0	0	0	23
Jaffarabad	63	0	0	0	0	63
Jhal Magsi	4	0	0	0	0	4
Kachhi	47	0	0	1	0	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	0	0	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	0	0	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	0	0	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	51	0	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	0	0	0	18
Nasirabad	84	1	0	0	0	85
Quetta	16	0	0	0	0	16
Sohbatpur	37	3	0	0	0	40
Usta Muhammad	28	3	0	2	0	33
Overall	461	7	57	3	0	528

Q2 - Source of Information on Tranche Release						
District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	0	0	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	0	0	0	0	33
Harnai	18	0	0	0	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	0	0	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	0	0	0	18
Kachhi	26	1	5	32	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	0	1	62	0	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	0	0	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	0	0	0	100
Sohbatpur	41	4	0	0	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	0	0	0	51
Overall	450	6	67	32	0	555

17 - Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	3	60	63
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	2	46	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	1	50	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	2	83	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	7	33	40
Usta Muhammad	2	31	33
Overall	17	511	528

Q2 - Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	33	33
Harnai	3	15	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	1	63	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	1	62	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	10	35	45
Usta Muhammad	4	47	51
Overall	20	535	555

18 - Reasons for Problems in Receiving Tranche (Multiple Choice)				
District	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff	Bank staff didn't support or linger-on the process	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	3	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	2	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	1	0	1
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	6	2	1
Usta Muhammad	0	2	0	0

Q2 - Reasons for Problems in Receiving Tranche (Multiple Choice)				
District	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff	Bank staff didn't support or linger-on the process	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	3	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	1	0
Sohbatpur	0	9	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	4	0	0

E-6. Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)

19 - Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	3	70	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	3	45	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	50	1	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	2	83	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	37	3	40
Usta Muhammad	29	4	33
Overall	328	210	538

Q2 - Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	5	26
Dera Bugti	28	6	34
Harnai	17	1	18
Jaffarabad	28	56	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	10	54	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	44	19	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	18	82	100
Sohbatpur	42	3	45
Usta Muhammad	50	1	51
Overall	311	245	556

Q1 - Do you know about the VRC			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	17	6	23
Dera Bugti	2	42	44
Harnai	7	3	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	2	6	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	56	1	57
Musakhel	2	18	20
Nasirabad	12	63	75
Sohbatpur	24	13	37
Usta Muhammad	11	20	31
Overall	137	236	373

20 - Status of VRC Formation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	3	0	3
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	50	0	50
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	2	0	2
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	36	1	37
Usta Muhammad	26	3	29
Overall	322	6	328

Q2 - Status of VRC Formation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	17	0	17
Jaffarabad	25	3	28
Kachhi	10	0	10
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	43	1	44
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	18	0	18
Sohbatpur	40	2	42
Usta Muhammad	50	0	50
Overall	305	6	311

21 - VRC Membership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	2	3
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	44	6	50
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	2	2
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	22	14	36
Usta Muhammad	9	17	26
Overall	280	42	322

Q2 - VRC Membership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	17	0	17
Jaffarabad	7	18	25
Kachhi	2	8	10
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	13	30	43
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	6	12	18
Sohbatpur	14	26	40
Usta Muhammad	14	36	50
Overall	175	130	305

Q1 - Are you member of VRC			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	11	5	16
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	1	7
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Jhal Magsi	0	2	2
Kachi	0	1	1
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	2	2
Nasirabad	1	9	10
Sohbatpur	15	9	24
Usta Muhammad	9	2	11
Overall	100	33	133

22 - VRC Position / Role				
District	Chairperson	Secretary	Member	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	28	28
Duki	0	0	9	9
Harnai	0	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	0	1
Killa Abdullah	0	1	11	12
Killa Saifullah	1	1	37	39
Kohlu	0	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	0	44	44
Musakhel	0	1	17	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Quetta	1	1	14	16
Sohbatpur	2	0	20	22
Usta Muhammad	0	0	9	9
Overall	4	5	271	280

Q2 - VRC Position / Role				
District	Chairperson	Secretary	Member	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	21	21
Dera Bugti	0	0	28	28
Harnai	0	0	17	17
Jaffarabad	1	3	3	7
Kachhi	1	0	1	2
Kohlu	0	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	0	13	13
Musakhel	1	0	21	22
Nasirabad	0	1	5	6
Sohbatpur	2	2	10	14
Usta Muhammad	1	1	12	14
Overall	6	7	162	175

Q1 -Role in VRC				
District	Chairperson	Secretary	Member	Overall
Barkhan	2	0	9	11
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	1
Harnai	0	1	5	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	0	55	56
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	5	2	8	15
Usta Muhammad	2	1	6	9
Overall	10	4	86	100

23 - Training on VRC Roles and Responsibilities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	27	1	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	0	1
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	38	6	44
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	22	0	22
Usta Muhammad	9	0	9
Overall	273	7	280

Q2 - Training on VRC Roles and Responsibilities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	17	0	17
Jaffarabad	6	1	7
Kachhi	2	0	2
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	13	0	13
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	6	0	6
Sohbatpur	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	14	0	14
Overall	174	1	175

Q1 - IP conduct training session on VRC roles			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	14	3	17
Dera Bugti	1	1	2
Harnai	7	0	7
Jaffarabad	2	1	3
Jhal Magsi	1	1	2
Kachi	0	1	1
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	1	1	2
Nasirabad	7	5	12
Sohbatpur	20	4	24
Usta Muhammad	11	0	11
Overall	120	17	137

24 - VRC Consultation Meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	27	1	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	0	1
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	19	25	44
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	22	0	22
Usta Muhammad	9	0	9
Overall	254	26	280

Q2 - VRC Consultation Meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	14	3	17
Jaffarabad	5	2	7
Kachhi	2	0	2
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	13	0	13
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	6	0	6
Sohbatpur	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	14	0	14
Overall	170	5	175

Q1 - VRC conduct consultation meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	12	2	14
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	7	0	7
Jaffarabad	2	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	5	2	7
Sohbatpur	19	1	20
Usta Muhammad	11	0	11
Overall	113	7	120

25 - Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings							
District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed / Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	27	0	27
Duki	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Harnai	0	0	0	13	10	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	0	0	2	15	22	0	39
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	32	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	19	0	19
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	1	15	0	16
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
Overall	0	0	2	29	223	0	254

Q2 - Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings							
District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed/ Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	27	0	28
Harnai	0	0	0	2	12	0	14
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	3	2	0	5
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Kohlu	0	0	0	12	19	0	31
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	13	0	13
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Nasirabad	0	5	0	0	1	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Overall	0	5	1	17	147	0	170

Q1 - Frequency of VRC consultation meetings							
District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As per needed	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	1	10	0	11
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Harnai	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
Sohbatpur	0	0	8	7	1	0	16
Usta Muhammad	0	1	0	0	9	0	10
Overall	0	1	11	11	83	0	106

26 - VRC Member's Views on Women in Reconstruction					
District	Strongly supportive	Somewhat supportive	Neutral	Unsupportive	Overall
Barkhan	4	5	18	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	0	0	28
Duki	1	1	7	0	9
Harnai	17	6	0	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	0	0	0	1
Killa Abdullah	3	9	0	0	12
Killa Saifullah	31	8	0	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	0	0	32
Lasbela	5	38	1	0	44
Musakhel	2	2	14	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	3	13	0	0	16
Sohbatpur	0	22	0	0	22
Usta Muhammad	0	9	0	0	9
Overall	127	113	40	0	280

Q2 - VRC Member's Views on Women in Reconstruction					
District	Strongly supportive	Somewhat supportive	Neutral	Unsupportive	Overall
Barkhan	2	4	15	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	0	0	28
Harnai	9	7	1	0	17
Jaffarabad	2	4	0	1	7
Kachhi	0	1	1	0	2
Kohlu	21	8	2	0	31
Lasbela	4	9	0	0	13
Musakhel	7	11	2	2	22
Nasirabad	6	0	0	0	6
Sohbatpur	1	13	0	0	14
Usta Muhammad	0	14	0	0	14
Overall	80	71	21	3	175

27 - Assistance by VRC in Housing Reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	1	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	18	5	23
Jaffarabad	0	3	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	2	3
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	33	6	39
Kohlu	31	1	32
Lasbela	4	46	50
Musakhel	16	2	18
Nasirabad	2	0	2
Quetta	14	2	16
Sohbatpur	37	0	37
Usta Muhammad	29	0	29
Overall	260	68	328

Q2 - Assistance by VRC in Housing Reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	14	7	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	11	6	17
Jaffarabad	17	11	28
Kachhi	2	8	10
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	21	23	44
Musakhel	15	7	22
Nasirabad	17	1	18
Sohbatpur	42	0	42
Usta Muhammad	50	0	50
Overall	248	63	311

Q1 - VRC Assist or coordinate with you during construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	12	5	17
Dera Bugti	2	0	2
Harnai	6	1	7
Jaffarabad	2	1	3
Jhal Magsi	1	1	2
Kachi	0	1	1
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	2	2
Nasirabad	4	8	12
Sohbatpur	16	8	24
Usta Muhammad	11	0	11
Overall	110	27	137

28 - VRC Assistance in Housing Reconstruction (Multiple Choice)						
District	Helped resolve disputes	Guided construction	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams	Assisted vulnerable households	Shared information with the community	Guided on Grievance submission and process
Barkhan	0	0	26	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1	12	17	0
Duki	0	0	9	0	0	0
Harnai	18	18	9	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	12	10	4	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	33	33	20	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	29	5	0
Lasbela	0	4	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	16	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	2	0	0	0	0
Quetta	14	14	3	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	5	36	22	1	1	0
Usta Muhammad	0	29	29	0	0	0

Q2 - VRC Assistance in Housing Reconstruction (Multiple Choice)						
District	Helped resolve disputes	Guided construction	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams	Assisted vulnerable households	Shared information with the community	Guided on Grievance submission and process
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	14	0
Dera Bugti	0	27	1	0	0	0
Harnai	3	9	2	0	0	1
Jaffarabad	1	10	2	1	8	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	2	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	28	3	3	3	2
Lasbela	6	18	13	4	5	0
Musakhel	1	2	9	0	9	0
Nasirabad	1	12	9	15	15	0
Sohbatpur	1	41	2	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	50	1	0	0	0

E-7. VRC Community LED Construction Beyond IFRAP

29 - Community-Led Construction Beyond IFRAP Support				
District	Yes	No	Don't Know	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	0	9
Harnai	0	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	14	59	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	0	4
Kachhi	0	8	40	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	0	39
Kohlu	0	0	32	32
Lasbela	1	45	5	51
Musakhel	0	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	84	1	85
Quetta	0	15	1	16
Sohbatpur	1	9	30	40
Usta Muhammad	0	0	33	33
Overall	2	307	229	538

Q2 - Community-Led Construction Beyond IFRAP Support				
District	Yes	No	Don't Know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	34	34
Harnai	0	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	1	0	53	54
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	20	20
Lasbela	0	0	17	17
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	10	10
Usta Muhammad	0	0	35	35
Overall	1	0	170	171

Q1 - Community Initiatives beyond IFRAP housing support				
District	Yes	No	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	18	5	23
Dera Bugti	0	2	42	44
Harnai	0	9	1	10
Jaffarabad	0	47	19	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	0	8
Kachi	0	2	0	2
Lasbela	2	55	0	57
Musakhel	0	11	9	20
Nasirabad	0	73	2	75
Sohbatpur	0	28	9	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	0	31
Overall	2	284	87	373

30 - Construction or Improvement Activities (Multiple Choice)								
District	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Community center	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Construction or Improvement Activities (Multiple Choice)								
District	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Community center	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

31 - Sources of Funding for Activities (Multiple Choice)					
District	Community pooled funds	Donor/NGO support	Labor contribution	Leftover material reuse	Don't know
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	1	1	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Sources of Funding for Activities (Multiple Choice)					
District	Community pooled funds	Donor/NGO support	Labor contribution	Leftover material reuse	Don't know
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0

Q1 - Sources of funding for community activities					
District	Community pooled funds	Labor contribution	Leftover material reuse	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	1	0	3
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	1	1	1	0	3

32 - Effect of Additional Activities on Living Conditions					
District	Significantly	Moderately	Not much	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	1	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	1	0	0	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	1	0	1	0	2

Q2 - Effect of Additional Activities on Living Conditions					
District	Significantly	Moderately	Not much	Don't know	Overall
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0	1
Overall	0	1	0	0	1

Q1 - Impact of additional works on community living conditions			
District	Significantly	Moderately	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	1	2

33 - Impact of VRC Efforts on Community Cohesion			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	1	2

Q2 - Impact of VRC Efforts on Community Cohesion			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Jaffarabad	1	0	1
Overall	1	0	1

Q1 - VRC Contribution to Strengthening Community Relationships			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	2	0	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	0	2

34 - Unmet Urgent Needs of the Village (Multiple Choice)									
District	Basic Health Facility	Primary School	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Other
Barkhan	26	16	1	2	24	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Duki	9	9	0	3	7	0	0	0	0
Harnai	22	22	19	7	0	0	0	0	1
Jaffarabad	63	53	34	4	0	0	1	0	1
Jhal Magsi	4	1	4	4	0	4	4	0	0
Kachhi	7	6	20	28	1	1	0	0	11
Killa Abdullah	11	11	11	5	0	0	0	0	1
Killa Saifullah	38	36	31	17	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Lasbela	21	13	22	30	1	0	1	0	13
Musakhel	12	12	4	3	18	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	67	57	56	53	3	46	14	12	0
Quetta	16	15	6	2	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	21	3	14	3	1	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	8	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	1

Q2 - Unmet Urgent Needs of the Village (Multiple Choice)									
District	Basic Health Facility	Primary School	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Other
Barkhan	0	0	15	6	26	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Harnai	16	12	12	1	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	76	35	15	12	5	1	1	1	0
Jhal Magsi	8	3	18	18	0	18	3	0	0
Kachhi	21	18	15	34	3	11	0	2	3
Kohlu	22	22	10	12	8	0	10	1	9
Lasbela	57	30	34	37	32	18	28	1	3
Musakhel	1	1	18	0	21	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	76	43	92	83	4	92	5	2	0
Sohbatpur	35	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	6	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	1

Q1 - Urgent Community Structures Not Yet Available in the Village (Multiple Choices)									
District	Basic Health Facility	Primary School	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs / shelters	Other	Overall
Barkhan	23	23	0	13	3	0	1	14	77
Dera Bugti	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	44
Harnai	5	8	0	8	7	0	0	0	28
Jaffarabad	55	35	15	11	11	3	5	3	138
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	24
Kachi	1	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	6
Lasbela	35	2	4	9	10	1	1	0	62
Musakhel	15	11	2	19	16	0	4	3	70
Nasirabad	56	37	62	56	22	49	21	0	303
Sohbatpur	28	2	9	0	6	2	2	1	50
Usta Muhammad	19	5	26	1	4	1	0	0	56
Overall	288	123	128	127	79	57	34	22	858

E-8. Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

35 - Average Distance to Nearest Water Channel	
District	DRR.10.1
Barkhan	433.3
Dera Bugti	5000.0
Duki	755.6
Harnai	891.3
Jaffarabad	1127.6
Jhal Magsi	41.2
Kachhi	0.1
Killa Abdullah	322.9
Killa Saifullah	550.5
Kohlu	5000.0
Lasbela	2058.8
Musakhel	527.8
Nasirabad	981.7
Quetta	380.9
Sohbatpur	123.8
Usta Muhammad	142.4

Q2 - Average Distance to Nearest Water Channel	
District	DRR.10.1
Barkhan	465.4
Dera Bugti	5000
Harnai	315.6
Jaffarabad	1407.6
Jhal Magsi	92.8
Kachhi	1600
Kohlu	2671
Lasbela	1135.6
Musakhel	318.2
Nasirabad	180.7
Sohbatpur	205.6
Usta Muhammad	193.8

Average Distance to Nearest Water Channel	
District	DRR.10.1
Barkhan	465.4
Dera Bugti	5000
Harnai	315.6
Jaffarabad	1407.6
Jhal Magsi	92.8
Kachhi	1600
Kohlu	2671
Lasbela	1135.6
Musakhel	318.2
Nasirabad	180.7
Sohbatpur	205.6
Usta Muhammad	193.8

36 - Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	2	21	23
Jaffarabad	31	42	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	3	36	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	21	64	85
Quetta	3	13	16
Sohbatpur	39	1	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	235	303	538

Q2 - Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	16	2	18
Jaffarabad	67	17	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	2	20	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	249	307	556

Q1 - Site located near to hazard prone area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	46	20	66
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	3	54	57
Musakhel	1	19	20
Nasirabad	12	63	75
Sohbatpur	10	27	37
Usta Muhammad	21	10	31
Overall	101	272	373

37 - Types of Hazard (Multiple Choice)									
District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	7	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Kachhi	47	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	51	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Types of Hazard (Multiple Choice)									
District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	3	10	15	1	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	63	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	57	61	49	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	6	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	40

Q1 - Type of hazard (Multiple Choices)					
District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Drought	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	36	12	0	16	0
Jhal Magsi	7	7	2	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	2	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	1	0	0	0
Nasirabad	12	12	1	1	0
Sohbatpur	5	4	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	18	0	0	0	4
Overall	80	37	4	17	4

38 - Previous Flood Impact on Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	14	9	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	6	6	12
Killa Saifullah	6	33	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	17	1	18
Nasirabad	18	67	85
Quetta	9	7	16
Sohbatpur	39	1	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	350	188	538

Q2 - Previous Flood Impact on Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	15	3	18
Jaffarabad	83	1	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	19	3	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	303	253	556

Q1 - Site hit by flood before 2022 floods			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	61	5	66
Jhal Magsi	3	5	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	34	23	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	13	62	75
Sohbatpur	9	28	37
Usta Muhammad	28	3	31
Overall	202	171	373

39 - Low-Lying Area Status of Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	23	50	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	44	4	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	1	38	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	26	59	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	222	316	538

Q2 - Low-Lying Area Status of Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	165	391	556

Q1 - Site in a low-lying area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	22	23
Dera Bugti	6	38	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	15	51	66
Jhal Magsi	1	7	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	20	37	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	17	58	75
Sohbatpur	34	3	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	125	248	373

40 - Proper Flow of Rainwater			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	55	18	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	36	12	48
Killa Abdullah	10	2	12
Killa Saifullah	35	4	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	33	52	85
Quetta	15	1	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	389	149	538

Q2 - Proper Flow of Rainwater			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	6	78	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	13	18	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	39	6	45
Usta Muhammad	50	1	51
Overall	242	314	556

Q1 - Rainwater management and outflow status on site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	18	5	23
Dera Bugti	9	35	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	19	47	66
Jhal Magsi	1	7	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	10	47	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	15	60	75
Sohbatpur	9	28	37
Usta Muhammad	3	28	31
Overall	115	258	373

41 - Earthquakes in the Past 25 Years			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	81	4	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	276	262	538

Q2 - Earthquakes in the Past 25 Years			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	13	18	31
Lasbela	50	13	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	130	426	556

Q1 - History of Earthquakes in the area (Past 25 Years)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	44	13	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	6	31	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	106	267	373

42 - House Damage Due to Earthquake			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	21	2	23
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	5	7	12
Killa Saifullah	3	36	39
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	81	81
Quetta	15	1	16
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	44	232	276

Q2 - House Damage Due to Earthquake			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	18	0	18
Kohlu	1	12	13
Lasbela	0	50	50
Musakhel	0	22	22
Overall	19	111	130

Q1 - Impact of Earthquakes on Housing Structures			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	20	23
Dera Bugti	0	3	3
Harnai	9	1	10
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	32	12	44
Musakhel	10	10	20
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	6	6
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	54	52	106

43 - History of Land Erosion or Landslides			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	1	47	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	1	38	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	2	49	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	39	1	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	43	495	538

Q2 - History of Land Erosion or Landslides			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	3	61	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	1	21	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	101	455	556

44 - Site in Flood-Prone or Water Flow Areas			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	2	46	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	1	38	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	17	68	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	148	390	538

Q2 - Site in Flood-Prone or Water Flow Areas			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	4	14	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	1	17	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	9	22	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	44	1	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	172	384	556

45 - Disaster Risk Reduction Measures in the Area (Multiple Choice)							
District	Flood Protection Wall	Raised Structure	Gabian Wall	Diversion Canal	Check Dam	None	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	17	10	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	28	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Harnai	0	0	7	0	0	16	0
Jaffarabad	0	60	0	0	0	13	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Kachhi	14	0	0	0	0	34	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	12	0
Killa Saifullah	1	1	3	0	0	35	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	32	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	51	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
Nasirabad	3	6	0	3	1	76	0
Quetta	0	0	1	0	0	15	0
Q2Sohbatpur	0	3	0	1	0	36	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	33	0

Q2 - Disaster Risk Reduction Measures in the Area (Multiple Choice)							
District	Flood Protection Wall	Raised Structure	Gabian Wall	Diversion Canal	Check Dam	None	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	5	21	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	34	0
Harnai	10	1	3	0	0	6	1
Jaffarabad	0	18	0	0	0	66	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	64	0
Kohlu	2	1	1	0	9	20	0
Lasbela	4	4	1	12	7	44	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	22	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
Sohbatpur	0	2	0	0	0	43	0
Usta Muhammad	0	10	0	0	0	41	0

Q1 - Disaster Risk Reduction measures implemented in the area (Multiple Choices)								
District	Flood Protection Wall	Raised Structure	Gabian Wall	Diversion Canal	Check Dam	None	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	4	19	0	23
Dera Bugti	0	4	1	0	0	39	0	44
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	1	2	0	0	0	57	1	61
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Lasbela	6	3	0	0	0	28	0	37
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
Nasirabad	24	20	12	14	18	39	0	127
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	1	0	11	0	13
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31
Overall	31	30	13	15	22	264	1	376

E-9. Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)

46 - Training on MCG by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	23	5	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	20	53	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	2	46	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	38	1	39
Kohlu	31	1	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	7	78	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	350	188	538

Q2 - Training on MCG by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	31	3	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	73	11	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	13	51	64
Kohlu	30	1	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	23	77	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	394	162	556

Q1 - IP conduct training on MCG			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	18	26	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	9	57	66
Jhal Magsi	3	5	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	22	53	75
Sohbatpur	27	10	37
Usta Muhammad	16	15	31
Overall	205	168	373

47 - Participation in IP-Organized MCG Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	14	6	20
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	1	2
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	38	0	38
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	3	4	7
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	38	2	40
Usta Muhammad	32	1	33
Overall	336	14	350

Q2 - Participation in IP-Organized MCG Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	31	0	31
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	42	31	73
Kachhi	10	3	13
Kohlu	30	0	30
Lasbela	58	4	62
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	17	6	23
Sohbatpur	41	4	45
Usta Muhammad	49	2	51
Overall	344	50	394

Q1 - Beneficiaries participation in MCG trainings					
District	Plint	Lintel	Roof	Other	Overall
Barkhan	21	16	4	0	41
Dera Bugti	2	1	4	0	7
Harnai	9	9	9	0	27
Jaffarabad	2	6	2	0	10
Jhal Magsi	1	1	1	0	3
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	19	37	37	2	95
Musakhel	20	19	15	1	55
Nasirabad	5	8	5	0	18
Sohbatpur	16	15	15	1	47
Usta Muhammad	10	9	9	0	28
Overall	105	121	101	4	331

48 - MCG Awareness Post-Training (Multiple Choice)				
District	Plinth height	Lintel height	Roof Type	Other (please specify)
Barkhan	27	27	27	0
Dera Bugti	4	7	12	0
Duki	9	9	9	0
Harnai	23	23	23	0
Jaffarabad	4	14	2	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	1	0	0
Killa Abdullah	12	11	11	0
Killa Saifullah	38	38	37	0
Kohlu	2	5	26	0
Lasbela	49	51	49	0
Musakhel	18	18	18	0
Nasirabad	1	3	3	0
Quetta	16	16	16	0
Sohbatpur	38	38	38	0
Usta Muhammad	32	32	32	0

Q2 - MCG Awareness Post-Training (Multiple Choice)				
District	Plinth height	Lintel height	Roof Type	Other (please specify)
Barkhan	26	26	26	0
Dera Bugti	8	16	8	0
Harnai	17	16	17	0
Jaffarabad	37	35	24	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	10	10	10	0
Kohlu	17	18	21	0
Lasbela	57	55	56	0
Musakhel	22	22	22	0
Nasirabad	17	17	16	0
Sohbatpur	41	40	37	0
Usta Muhammad	49	49	47	0

E-10. Social Safeguard Compliance (SSC)

49 - Social Safeguards Awareness for Community			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	18	10	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	11	62	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	1	47	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	1	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	329	209	538

Q2 - Social Safeguards Awareness for Community			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	25	1	26
Dera Bugti	28	6	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	6	78	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	61	2	63
Musakhel	21	1	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	44	1	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	285	271	556

50 - Participation in Social Safeguards Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	18	0	18
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	10	1	11
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	0	1
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	39	0	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	37	2	39
Usta Muhammad	32	1	33
Overall	325	4	329

Q2 - Participation in Social Safeguards Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	25	0	25
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	4	2	6
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	60	1	61
Musakhel	21	0	21
Sohbatpur	42	2	44
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	280	5	285

Q1 - Beneficiaries presence in social training sessions conducted by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	5	18
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	1	7
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	5	0	5
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	16	0	16
Usta Muhammad	6	2	8
Overall	47	8	55

51 - Impact of Reconstruction on Livelihoods			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	19	4	23
Jaffarabad	13	60	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	45	3	48
Killa Abdullah	1	11	12
Killa Saifullah	20	19	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	8	43	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	80	5	85
Quetta	14	2	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	204	334	538

Q2 - Impact of Reconstruction on Livelihoods			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	25	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	16	2	18
Jaffarabad	67	17	84
Jhal Magsi	15	3	18
Kachhi	56	8	64
Kohlu	10	21	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	80	20	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	307	249	556

Q1 - Reported livelihood impacts due to reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	6	17	23
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	6	4	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	26	31	57
Musakhel	5	15	20
Nasirabad	25	50	75
Sohbatpur	2	35	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	82	291	373

52 - Social Conflicts Observed or Reported			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

Q2 - Social Conflicts Observed or Reported			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	3	60	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	3	553	556

Q1 - Social dispute or conflict recorded on sites			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

53 - Signs of Social Tension or Concerns Observed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	1	31	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	1	537	538

Q2 - Signs of Social Tension or Concerns Observed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	0	556	556

Q1 - Tension or dissatisfaction in the VRC over Social Safeguards			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

54 - Reasons for Social Tension or Exclusion in VRC (Multiple Choice)								
District	Influent members took over the decision-making process	Unequal participation of women	Marginalized or vulnerable groups feel overlooked	Disagreements over resource distribution	Lack of transparency has caused dissatisfaction	Participation opportunities are not equally accessible	Young men and women are being ignored	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E-11. Worker Safety (WS)

55 - Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	20	8	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	21	52	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	31	8	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	49	2	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	36	4	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	327	211	538

Q2 - Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	29	5	34
Harnai	17	1	18
Jaffarabad	6	78	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	1	63	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	15	85	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	306	250	556

Q1 - Workplace safety training provided to laborers			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	5	18	23
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	4	53	57
Musakhel	15	5	20
Nasirabad	6	69	75
Sohbatpur	7	30	37
Usta Muhammad	17	14	31
Overall	71	302	373

56 - Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	26	27
Dera Bugti	24	4	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	3	20	23
Jaffarabad	72	1	73
Jhal Magsi	2	2	4
Kachhi	46	2	48
Killa Abdullah	1	11	12
Killa Saifullah	2	37	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	49	36	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	356	182	538

Q2 - Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	32	2	34
Harnai	4	14	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	6	58	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	3	97	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	319	237	556

Q1 - Project activities involve significant labor presence.			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	27	39	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	33	24	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	42	33	75
Sohbatpur	11	26	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	148	225	373

57 - Hiring of Local Labor for Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	1	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	21	2	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	46	2	48
Killa Abdullah	6	6	12
Killa Saifullah	24	15	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	75	10	85
Quetta	14	2	16
Sohbatpur	40	0	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	500	38	538

Q2 - Hiring of Local Labor for Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	16	2	18
Jaffarabad	83	1	84
Jhal Magsi	17	1	18
Kachhi	59	5	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	61	2	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	92	8	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51

Q1 - Local labor hired for construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	11	33	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	35	31	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	2	0	2
Lasbela	53	4	57
Musakhel	18	2	20
Nasirabad	52	23	75
Sohbatpur	31	6	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	274	99	373

58 - Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

Q2 - Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	0	556	556

Child or forced labor at the construction site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	1	65	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	2	55	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	7	366	373

59 - Worker Amenities and Facilities (Multiple Choice)			
District	Drinking water at the construction or activity site	Toilet	None
Barkhan	27	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	28
Duki	9	0	0
Harnai	22	20	1
Jaffarabad	57	18	16
Jhal Magsi	4	0	0
Kachhi	33	5	15
Killa Abdullah	8	8	4
Killa Saifullah	26	22	13
Kohlu	0	0	32
Lasbela	48	46	3
Musakhel	18	0	0
Nasirabad	66	25	11
Quetta	16	16	0
Sohbatpur	40	0	0
Usta Muhammad	33	2	0

Q2 - Worker Amenities and Facilities (Multiple Choice)			
District	Drinking water at the construction or activity site	Toilet	None
Barkhan	26	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	34
Harnai	18	18	0
Jaffarabad	79	30	4
Jhal Magsi	18	0	0
Kachhi	60	50	2
Kohlu	12	4	19
Lasbela	48	25	14
Musakhel	22	0	0
Nasirabad	98	9	2
Sohbatpur	45	2	0
Usta Muhammad	50	0	1

Q1 - Facilities available to workers				
District	Drinking water	Toilet	None	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	5	4	39	48
Harnai	10	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	35	12	26	73
Jhal Magsi	0	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	1	3
Lasbela	57	57	0	114
Musakhel	18	0	2	20
Nasirabad	46	15	27	88
Sohbatpur	8	26	6	40
Usta Muhammad	31	0	0	31
Overall	234	115	109	458

60 - Workplace Accidents or Incidents			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

Q2 - Workplace Accidents or Incidents			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	1	83	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	1	555	556

Q1 - Safety incidents or accidents reporting available			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

E-12. Environmental Protection and Enhancement (EPS)

61 - Construction-Related Dust Observations			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	14	59	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	5	43	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	1	50	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	2	83	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	22	516	538

Q2 - Construction-Related Dust Observations			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	5	79	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	1	30	31
Lasbela	1	62	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	8	548	556

Q1 - Heavy dust at site observed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	1	65	66
Jhal Magsi	2	6	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	3	34	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	6	367	373

62 - Dust Control via Water Sprinkling			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	27	1	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	38	35	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	26	22	48
Killa Abdullah	8	4	12
Killa Saifullah	25	14	39
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	69	16	85
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	36	4	40
Usta Muhammad	31	2	33
Overall	386	152	538

Q2 - Dust Control via Water Sprinkling			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	31	3	34
Harnai	9	9	18
Jaffarabad	53	31	84
Jhal Magsi	13	5	18
Kachhi	26	38	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	50	13	63
Musakhel	11	11	22
Nasirabad	85	15	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	49	2	51
Overall	403	153	556

Dust suppression measures implemented				
District	Water sprinkling	Covering of materials	None	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	0	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	2	2
Kachi	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	3	0	0	3
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0
Overall	4	0	2	6

63 - Effects of Construction Work (Multiple Choice)			
District	Noise	Vibration	None
Barkhan	0	0	27
Dera Bugti	0	0	28
Duki	0	0	9
Harnai	0	0	23
Jaffarabad	12	12	61
Jhal Magsi	0	0	4
Kachhi	20	6	28
Killa Abdullah	0	0	12
Killa Saifullah	0	0	39
Kohlu	0	0	32
Lasbela	0	0	51
Musakhel	0	0	18
Nasirabad	0	0	85
Quetta	0	0	16
Sohbatpur	16	22	8
Usta Muhammad	20	29	0

Q2 - Effects of Construction Work (Multiple Choice)			
District	Noise	Vibration	None
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0

Q1 - Construction activities cause impact					
District	Air emissions	Noise	Vibration	None	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	44	44
Harnai	0	0	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	5	9	52	66
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	8	8
Kachi	0	0	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	0	0	36	36
Musakhel	0	0	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	0	0	70	70
Sohbatpur	0	0	5	6	11
Usta Muhammad	0	0	12	19	31
Overall	0	5	26	290	321

64 - Construction work lead to disruption of wild life			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	0	538	538

Q2 - Construction work lead to disruption of wild life			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	0	556	556

Q1 - Construction causing destruction of wildlife habitat			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	7	7
Dera Bugti	0	3	3
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	2	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	2	3
Musakhel	0	2	2
Nasirabad	1	8	9
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	24	26

65 - Trees removed During construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	1	22	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	2	37	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	1	15	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	4	534	538

Q2 - Trees removed During construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	2	61	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	4	552	556

Q1 - Construction will cause cutting of trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	2	64	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	2	371	373

66 - Trees removed	
District	No. of Trees Removed
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Duki	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Killa Abdullah	0
Killa Saifullah	3
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Quetta	1
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0
Overall	4

Sum of Trees removed (Multiple Choice)	
District	No. of Trees Removed
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Harnai	1
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	5
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	1
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0

Q1 - No. of trees to be removed	
District	Nos.
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	2
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachi	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0
Overall	2

67 - Reforestation for Removed Trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	3	3
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	3	4

Reforestation for Removed Trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Harnai	0	1	1
Lasbela	0	2	2
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Overall	0	4	4

68 - Number of New Plants Planted by HRU	
District	No. of Trees Planted
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Duki	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Killa Abdullah	0
Killa Saifullah	0
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Quetta	0
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0

Q2 - Number of New Plants Planted by HRU (Multiple Choice)	
District	No. of Trees Planted
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0

E-13. Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)

69 - Gender Equity in Scheme Access			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	4	0	4
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Duki	1	0	1
Harnai	2	0	2
Jaffarabad	8	3	11
Jhal Magsi	1	0	1
Kachhi	10	0	10
Killa Abdullah	2	0	2
Killa Saifullah	14	0	14
Kohlu	3	0	3
Lasbela	7	0	7
Musakhel	2	0	2
Nasirabad	0	3	3
Quetta	5	0	5
Sohbatpur	6	0	6
Usta Muhammad	8	0	8
Overall	74	6	80

Q2 - Gender Equity in Scheme Access			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	0	3
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	5	0	5
Jaffarabad	9	0	9
Jhal Magsi	6	0	6
Kachhi	1	5	6
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	6	0	6
Musakhel	3	0	3
Nasirabad	7	0	7
Sohbatpur	15	0	15
Usta Muhammad	17	0	17
Overall	75	5	80

70 - Women oriented on construction, grievances, and banking			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	4	0	4
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Duki	1	0	1
Harnai	2	0	2
Jaffarabad	1	10	11
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1
Kachhi	0	10	10
Killa Abdullah	2	0	2
Killa Saifullah	14	0	14
Kohlu	3	0	3
Lasbela	0	7	7
Musakhel	2	0	2
Nasirabad	0	3	3
Quetta	5	0	5
Sohbatpur	5	1	6
Usta Muhammad	8	0	8
Overall	48	32	80

Q2 - Women Oriented on Construction, Safety, Grievance & Banking			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	1	3
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	3	2	5
Jaffarabad	2	7	9
Jhal Magsi	1	5	6
Kachhi	0	6	6
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	0	6	6
Musakhel	3	0	3
Nasirabad	0	7	7
Sohbatpur	15	0	15
Usta Muhammad	13	4	17
Overall	42	38	80

Q1 - Women oriented on safety, grievance, and finance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	9	4	13
Harnai	3	0	3
Jaffarabad	1	4	5
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	13	22	35
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	10	1	11
Usta Muhammad	1	2	3
Overall	38	33	71

71 - Challenges faced during reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	14	71	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	27	13	40
Usta Muhammad	31	2	33
Overall	72	466	538

Q2 - Challenges during reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	1	83	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	3	61	64
Kohlu	4	27	31
Lasbela	23	40	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	41	4	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	124	432	556

Q1 - Main challenges women face in participating in reconstruction activities (Multiple Choices)						
District	Lack of time	Cultural restrictions	Lack of awareness	Not invited to meetings	Other	Overall
Barkhan	1	22	9	1	0	33
Dera Bugti	26	27	0	0	0	53
Harnai	0	7	0	0	0	7
Jaffarabad	21	38	22	0	2	83
Jhal Magsi	0	8	0	0	0	8
Kachi	0	2	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	1	2	0	0	0	3
Musakhel	0	20	1	0	0	21
Nasirabad	6	70	4	1	0	81
Sohbatpur	0	0	1	1	1	3
Usta Muhammad	0	28	0	0	0	28
Overall	55	224	37	3	3	322

72 - Needs of Persons with Disabilities Considered			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	2	2
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	7	8
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	2	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	1	1
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	2	2	4
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	1	0	1
Overall	7	13	20

Q2 - Needs of Persons with Disabilities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	5	3	8
Kachhi	0	1	1
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	1	0	1
Nasirabad	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	1	0	1
Overall	11	5	16

Q1 - Were the needs of females with disabilities considered			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	5	18	23
Dera Bugti	9	35	44
Harnai	4	6	10
Jaffarabad	22	44	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	18	39	57
Musakhel	1	19	20
Nasirabad	23	52	75
Sohbatpur	27	10	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	140	233	373

73 - Accessibility Adaptations in Housing Design			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	2	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	1	1	2
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	1	0	1
Overall	4	3	7

Q2 - Accessibility Adaptations in Housing Design			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Jaffarabad	4	1	5
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	1	1
Overall	7	4	11

E-14. Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)

74 - Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	22	1	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	37	2	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	1	84	85
Quetta	15	1	16
Sohbatpur	21	19	40
Usta Muhammad	15	18	33
Overall	123	415	538

Q2 - Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	9	25	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	3	81	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	30	1	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	2	98	100
Sohbatpur	36	9	45
Usta Muhammad	39	12	51
Overall	142	414	556

Q1 - Do you know about the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	3	7	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	1	56	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	2	35	37
Usta Muhammad	5	26	31
Overall	16	357	373

75 - Medium of Learning About GRM (Multiple Choice)							
District	Community meeting	Social Mobilizer	Banner / Poster	Radio	Television	Social media	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	20	22	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	3	12	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	26	37	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quetta	5	15	1	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	21	17	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	15	12	1	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Medium of Learning About GRM (Multiple Choice)							
District	Community meeting	Social Mobilizer	Banner / Poster	Radio	Television	Social media	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	9	0	0	0	0
Harnai	8	14	2	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	2	3	0	0	0	2	0
Kohlu	2	13	18	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	36	22	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	36	22	0	0	0	0	0

76 - Visibility of GRM Banner or Information Display			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	22	22
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	37	37
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	0	15	15
Sohbatpur	0	21	21
Usta Muhammad	0	15	15
Overall	0	123	123

Q2 - Visibility of GRM Banner or Information Display			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	0	9	9
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	3	3
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	6	24	30
Nasirabad	0	2	2
Sohbatpur	0	36	36
Usta Muhammad	0	39	39
Overall	6	136	142

Q1 - Is a GRM banner or info display visible in your area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	3	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	4
Sohbatpur	0	2	2
Usta Muhammad	0	5	5
Overall	2	14	16

77 - Orientation on Using the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	22	0	22
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	37	0	37
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	15	0	15
Sohbatpur	21	0	21
Usta Muhammad	15	0	15
Overall	122	1	123

Q2 - Orientation on Using the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	9	0	9
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	29	1	30
Nasirabad	2	0	2
Sohbatpur	33	3	36
Usta Muhammad	38	1	39
Overall	130	12	142

Q1 - Have you received orientation on using the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	1	2	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	3	1	4
Sohbatpur	2	0	2
Usta Muhammad	4	1	5
Overall	10	6	16

78 - Women's Ability to Use GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	22	0	22
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	12	0	12
Killa Saifullah	37	0	37
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	15	0	15
Sohbatpur	0	21	21
Usta Muhammad	0	15	15
Overall	86	37	123

Q2 - Women's Ability to Use GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	3	6	9
Harnai	11	7	18
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	3	27	30
Nasirabad	1	1	2
Sohbatpur	1	35	36
Usta Muhammad	1	38	39
Overall	21	121	142

79 - Submission of Complaints Through GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	22	22
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	37	37
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Quetta	0	15	15
Sohbatpur	0	21	21
Usta Muhammad	0	15	15
Overall	0	123	123

Q2 - Submission of Complaints Through GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	0	9	9
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	3	3
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	0	30	30
Nasirabad	0	2	2
Sohbatpur	0	36	36
Usta Muhammad	0	39	39
Overall	0	142	142

Q1 - Have you ever submitted a complaint through the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	1	1
Overall	0	3	3

80 - Suggestions for Improving the GRM (Multiple Choice)				
District	Faster response time	More awareness campaigns	Better follow-up and feedback	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	22	22	3	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	11	12	1	0
Killa Saifullah	37	37	12	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	0	0	0
Quetta	15	14	1	0
Sohbatpur	20	0	1	0
Usta Muhammad	15	0	0	0

Q2 - Suggestions for Improving the GRM (Multiple Choice)				
District	Faster response time	More awareness campaigns	Better follow-up and feedback	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	9	0	0
Harnai	13	5	6	0
Jaffarabad	0	3	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	4	5	2	0
Kohlu	8	23	1	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	2	0
Sohbatpur	35	1	0	0
Usta Muhammad	39	1	0	0

Q1 - Beneficiaries feedback - Ways to improve GRM					
District	Faster response time	More awareness campaigns	Better follow-up and feedback	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	0	1
Harnai	0	3	0	0	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	2	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	9	1	0	11
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	3	1	2	0	6
Sohbatpur	2	12	1	0	15
Usta Muhammad	5	4	0	0	9
Overall	12	29	4	2	47

E-15. Construction Components Verification (CCV)

81 - Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	9	0	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	520	1	521

Q2 - Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	555	1	556

Q1 - Excavation depth between 1 ft and 2.5 ft based on soil conditions			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	64	2	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	2	0	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	74	1	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	369	4	373

82 - Raised Platform Above Flood Level			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	8	1	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	519	2	521

Q2 - Raised Platform Above Flood Level			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	554	2	556

Q1 - 1.5–3 ft platform above flood level visible			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	22	1	23
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	58	2	60
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	73	2	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	30	1	31
Overall	352	9	361

83 - First Footing Step Dimensions Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	8	1	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	31	1	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	518	3	521

First Footing Step Dimensions Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	63	1	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	553	3	556

1st footing step meets minimum width/depth			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	44	0	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	59	1	60
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	74	1	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	358	3	361

84 - Last Step Footing Width Compliance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	8	1	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	31	1	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	518	3	521

Q2 - Last Step Footing Width Compliance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	83	1	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	553	3	556

Q1 - Last step width $\geq$ 13.5" (brick) or 12" (stone/block)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	59	1	60
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	71	4	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	353	8	361

85 - Plinth Level Above Normal Surface			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	23	0	23
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	4	0	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	8	1	9
Killa Saifullah	26	1	27
Kohlu	32	0	32
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	84	0	84
Quetta	16	0	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	519	2	521

Q2 - Plinth Level Above Normal Surface			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	99	1	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	553	3	556

Q1 - Plinth level 1.5–3 ft above normal surface			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	23
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	58	2	60
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	20
Nasirabad	74	1	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	355	6	361

86 - Damp Proofing with Polythene Sheet			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	9	0	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	70	3	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	48	0	48
Killa Abdullah	0	9	9
Killa Saifullah	0	27	27
Kohlu	31	1	32
Lasbela	50	1	51
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	57	27	84
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	39	0	39
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	410	111	521

Q2 - Damp Proofing with Polythene Sheet			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	80	4	84
Jhal Magsi	15	3	18
Kachhi	38	26	64
Kohlu	18	13	31
Lasbela	54	9	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	89	11	100
Sohbatpur	44	1	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	471	85	556

Q1 - Polythene sheet provided for plinth damp proofing			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	29	31	60
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	51	6	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	26	49	75
Sohbatpur	28	3	31
Usta Muhammad	23	8	31
Overall	200	161	361

87 - Minimum Wall Thickness Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	27	0	27
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Duki	3	0	3
Harnai	22	0	22
Jaffarabad	73	0	73
Jhal Magsi	3	0	3
Kachhi	46	0	46
Killa Abdullah	1	0	1
Killa Saifullah	12	0	12
Kohlu	29	0	29
Lasbela	50	0	50
Musakhel	17	0	17
Nasirabad	81	0	81
Quetta	15	0	15
Sohbatpur	34	0	34
Usta Muhammad	28	0	28
Overall	469	0	469

Q2 - Minimum Wall Thickness Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	24	0	24
Harnai	16	0	16
Jaffarabad	69	0	69
Jhal Magsi	16	0	16
Kachhi	53	0	53
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	59	0	59
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	85	0	85
Sohbatpur	43	0	43
Usta Muhammad	47	0	47
Overall	482	0	482

Q1 - Wall thickness meets minimum (9" brick, 8" block, 8-10" stone)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	0	3
Dera Bugti	16	0	16
Harnai	3	0	3
Jaffarabad	18	0	18
Jhal Magsi	3	0	3
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	32	1	33
Musakhel	8	0	8
Nasirabad	35	0	35
Sohbatpur	5	0	5
Usta Muhammad	13	0	13
Overall	136	1	137

88 - Number of Ventilators Provided	
District	Total No. of Ventilators
Barkhan	8.0
Dera Bugti	50.0
Duki	0.0
Harnai	25.0
Jaffarabad	94.0
Jhal Magsi	2.0
Kachhi	43.0
Killa Abdullah	1.0
Killa Saifullah	9.0
Kohlu	48.0
Lasbela	25.0
Musakhel	22.0
Nasirabad	102.0
Quetta	14.0
Sohbatpur	66.0
Usta Muhammad	49.0

Q2 - Number of Ventilators Provided (Multiple Choice)	
District	Total No. of Ventilators
Barkhan	25
Dera Bugti	48
Harnai	19
Jaffarabad	119
Jhal Magsi	15
Kachhi	48
Kohlu	62
Lasbela	71
Musakhel	34
Nasirabad	137
Sohbatpur	82
Usta Muhammad	48

Q1 - District-wise average no. of ventilators and windows provided		
District	Avg. Ventilator	Avg. Windows
Barkhan	0	0
Dera Bugti	2	0
Harnai	2	0
Jaffarabad	2	0
Jhal Magsi	2	0
Kachi	0	0
Lasbela	2	0
Musakhel	2	0
Nasirabad	2	0
Sohbatpur	2	0
Usta Muhammad	2	0
Overall	2	0

89 - Number of windows Provided	
District	Total No. of Windows
Barkhan	27.0
Dera Bugti	25.0
Duki	0.0
Harnai	22.0
Jaffarabad	72.0
Jhal Magsi	3.0
Kachhi	50.0
Killa Abdullah	1.0
Killa Saifullah	12.0
Kohlu	24.0
Lasbela	56.0
Musakhel	13.0
Nasirabad	83.0
Quetta	16.0
Sohbatpur	34.0
Usta Muhammad	38.0

Q2 - Number of windows Provided (Multiple Choice)	
District	Total No. of Windows
Barkhan	19
Dera Bugti	24
Harnai	15
Jaffarabad	69
Jhal Magsi	17
Kachhi	54
Kohlu	31
Lasbela	59
Musakhel	18
Nasirabad	85
Sohbatpur	49
Usta Muhammad	46

Q1 - District-wise average no. of ventilators and windows provided		
District	Avg. Ventilator	Avg. Windows
Barkhan	0	0
Dera Bugti	2	0
Harnai	2	0
Jaffarabad	2	0
Jhal Magsi	2	0
Kachi	0	0
Lasbela	2	0
Musakhel	2	0
Nasirabad	2	0
Sohbatpur	2	0
Usta Muhammad	2	0
Overall	2	0

90 - Roof Type Installation Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	1	27
Dera Bugti	27	0	27
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	21	0	21
Jaffarabad	55	14	69
Jhal Magsi	1	1	2
Kachhi	41	2	43
Killa Abdullah	1	0	1
Killa Saifullah	2	0	2
Kohlu	25	1	26
Lasbela	41	0	41
Musakhel	13	0	13
Nasirabad	64	10	74
Quetta	8	2	10
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	23	0	23
Overall	376	31	407

Q2 - Roof Type Installation Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	4	17
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Harnai	13	0	13
Jaffarabad	62	1	63
Jhal Magsi	10	0	10
Kachhi	48	0	48
Kohlu	23	4	27
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	13	5	18
Nasirabad	64	3	67
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	37	0	37
Overall	385	17	402

91 - Water Drainage System Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	1	27
Dera Bugti	27	0	27
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	21	0	21
Jaffarabad	66	3	69
Jhal Magsi	2	0	2
Kachhi	43	0	43
Killa Abdullah	1	0	1
Killa Saifullah	2	0	2
Kohlu	26	0	26
Lasbela	41	0	41
Musakhel	13	0	13
Nasirabad	74	0	74
Quetta	8	2	10
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	23	0	23
Overall	401	6	407

Q2 - Water Drainage System Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	4	17
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Harnai	10	3	13
Jaffarabad	62	1	63
Jhal Magsi	10	0	10
Kachhi	48	0	48
Kohlu	23	4	27
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	13	5	18
Nasirabad	67	0	67
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	37	0	37
Overall	385	17	402

92 - Installation of 1:12 Ramp with Handrails for Accessibility			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	5	1	6
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	2	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	9	2	11

Q2 - Installation of 1:12 Ramp with Handrails for Accessibility			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	2	2	4
Kohlu	0	1	1
Lasbela	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Overall	2	6	8

Q1 - 1:12 ramp with handrails installed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	2	0	2
Harnai	1	0	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	6	0	6
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	11	11
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	9	12	21

93 - Tactile Markers or Railings for Visually Impaired			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	5	6
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	2	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Quetta	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	5	6	11

Q2 - Tactile Markers or Railings for Visually Impaired			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	2	2	4
Kohlu	0	1	1
Lasbela	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Overall	2	6	8

Q1 - Tactile markers or railings for visually impaired present			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	2	2
Harnai	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	5	6
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	11	11
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	20	21

E-16. Perception & Experience (PE)

94 - Material Shortages faced			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	1	27	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	15	58	73
Jhal Magsi	1	3	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	1	31	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	30	55	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	33	7	40
Usta Muhammad	33	0	33
Overall	114	424	538

Q2 - Any material Shortages			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	2	62	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	2	61	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	43	2	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	98	458	556

Q1 - Material shortages faced			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	23
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	5	61	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	8	67	75
Sohbatpur	26	11	37
Usta Muhammad	28	3	31
Overall	76	297	373

95 - Type of material shortage (Multiple Choice)								
District	Cement	Bricks / Blocks	Sand	Crush / Gravel	Steel / Bars	Wood / Timber	Paint / Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	13	10	3	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	29	29	28	22	0	0	1	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	29	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	33	21	5	0	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Type of material shortage (Multiple Choice)								
District	Cement	Bricks/Blocks	Sand	Crush/Gravel	Steel/Bars	Wood/Timber	Paint/Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	18	35	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	5	22	0	0	28	0	0	0

Q1 - Type of material shortages faced (Multiple Choices)								
District	Cement	Bricks/Blocks	Sand	Crush/Gravel	Steel/Bars	Wood/Timber	Paint/Finishing Items	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	6
Jhal Magsi	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	48
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	4	7	0	0	1	0	0	12
Sohbatpur	19	19	8	0	0	0	0	46
Usta Muhammad	27	26	7	0	0	0	0	60
Overall	60	64	23	10	9	4	4	174

96 - Issues or Concerns During Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	1	31	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	1	84	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	1	39	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	3	535	538

Q2 - Issues or Concerns During Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	8	55	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	9	547	556

Q1 - Issues raised by community members or stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	22	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	1	65	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	6	367	373

97 - Cause of Dissatisfaction (Multiple Choice)				
District	IP Related	Bank Delay	Financial Favours Asked	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	1	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Cause of Dissatisfaction (Multiple Choice)				
District	IP Related	Bank Delay	Financial Favours Asked	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	1	1	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	8
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0

E-17. Site Observations (SO)

98 - Signs of poor workmanship or deviation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	0	73	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	0	32	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	1	84	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	6	34	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	7	531	538

Q2 - Signs of poor workmanship or deviation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	7	57	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	1	44	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	9	547	556

Q1 - Signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG						
District	Uncompacted soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	1	0	0	1	2
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	1	4	1	3	10
Sohbatpur	5	0	0	0	1	6
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	6	2	4	1	6	19

99 - Types of Signs of poor workmanship or deviation (Multiple Choice)					
District	Uncompacted soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	0	1	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	4	1	0	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0

Q2 - Types of Signs of poor workmanship or deviation (Multiple Choice)					
District	Uncompacted soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	7
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0

Q1 - Signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG						
District	Uncompacted soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	1	0	0	1	2
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	1	4	1	3	10
Sohbatpur	5	0	0	0	1	6
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	6	2	4	1	6	19

100 - Issues raised by community members or stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	27	27
Dera Bugti	0	28	28
Duki	0	9	9
Harnai	0	23	23
Jaffarabad	1	72	73
Jhal Magsi	0	4	4
Kachhi	0	48	48
Killa Abdullah	0	12	12
Killa Saifullah	0	39	39
Kohlu	1	31	32
Lasbela	0	51	51
Musakhel	0	18	18
Nasirabad	0	85	85
Quetta	0	16	16
Sohbatpur	0	40	40
Usta Muhammad	0	33	33
Overall	2	536	538

Q2 - Issues raised by community members or stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	1	555	556

Q1 - Issues raised by community members or stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	22	23
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	1	65	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	6	367	373

101 - Type of Issues? (Multiple Choice)				
District	Shortage of Material	Lack of trained skilled labor	Substandard construction	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	1	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0

Type of Issues? (Multiple Choice)				
District	Shortage of Material	Lack of trained skilled labor	Substandard construction	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0

Q1 - Issues type raised by the community or stakeholders						
District	Shortage of Material	Lack of trained skilled labor	Substandard construction	Misaligned walls	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	1	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	1	1	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	2	2	1	3	0	8