



IFRAP
Integrated
Flood Resilience &
Adaptation Project



**Ministry of Planning
Development &
Special Initiatives**



INTEGRATED FLOOD RESILIENCE & ADAPTATION PROJECT

Quarterly Progress Report (Q2)
(October – December 2025)
(Component-III)

MONITORING & EVALUATION (M&E) CONSULTANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....	0
E.1 Purpose and Scope of the Report	0
E.2 Summary of Progress During the Reporting Period	0
E.3 Key Process and Field Monitoring Findings	1
E.4 Key Constraints, Risk, and Forward Look	1
1. Overview of Reporting Period.....	2
1.1. Project Overview and Development Objectives	2
1.2. Data Sources, Coverage and Limitations	2
2. M&E ACTIVITIES, Progress & Coordination.....	4
2.1. M&E Activities During the Reporting Period.....	4
2.2. PIU Coordination and Key Outcomes.....	4
2.3. Progress Against the M&E Work Plan	4
2.4. Coordination Effectiveness and Institutional Learning	5
3. Results Framework - COMPONENT-III (Status Update).....	6
3.1. Project Development Objectives (PDO) Indicators	6
3.2. Intermediate Results Indicators.....	6
4. COMPONENT-III Progress & Analysis	8
4.1. Overall Implementation Progress	8
4.2. Construction Progress by Stage.....	8
4.3. Geographic Rollout Status	9
4.4. Financial Enablement and Construction Initiation.....	9
4.5. Progress Interpretation and Transition to Analysis.....	9
4.6. Analytical Summary	10
5. Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning (MEL) Findings & Field Evidence.....	11
5.1. Field Monitoring Coverage and Data Scope	11
5.2. Beneficiary Profile, Vulnerability and Social Inclusion.....	12
5.3. Land Ownership, Tenure Security and Dispute Risk.....	16
5.4. Construction Progress, Pauses and Implementation Bottlenecks.....	19
5.5. Financial Access & Tranche Disbursement Experience	26
5.6. Construction Quality, DRR and MCG Compliance.....	29
5.7. Community Institutions, VRC Functionality and Participation	33
5.8. Safeguards, GRM and Social Risk	36
5.9. Labor, OHS and Workplace Safety Compliance	39
5.10. Environmental and Disaster Risk Observations.....	43
5.11. Key MEL Insights & Learning	46
5.12. Implications for Project Management and Corrective Actions	47
6. Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix - component-III	49
6.1. Component-1 Key Gaps and Risks Identified	49
6.2. Implications for Component-III Implementation	50
6.3. Component-III Corrective Action Matrix.....	50
6.4. Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix	51
7. CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE NEXT QUARTER (Jan - Mar 2026)	52
7.1. Corrective Action Plan.....	52
7.2. Implementation Focus for the Next Quarter.....	52
ANNEXURES.....	53
A. Field Visit Summaries.....	54
B. ACTUAL & MISSING Sample List.....	55
C. Monitoring tools.....	70

D. Visual Evidence (Photos, etc.)	86
E. BENEFICIARY DEMOGRAPHICS AND GENDER COMPOSITION	89
E-1. Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition	89
E-2. Stakeholders Present During Visit.....	90
F- Land and Property Documentation Tracker	91
G- House Reconstruction Status.....	92
H- Payment Tranche Released Status	93
I- Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC).....	95
J- VRC COMMUNITY LED CONSTRUCTION BEYOND IFRAP	98
K- Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR).....	100
K- Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)	104
L- Social Safeguard Compliance (SSC)	105
M- Worker Safety (WS)	107
N- Environmental Protection and Enhancement (EPS).....	109
O- Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI).....	111
P- Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM).....	113
Q- Construction Components Verification (CCV).....	115
R- Perception & Experience (PE).....	120
S- Site Observations (SO)	121

Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviations

- API - Application Programming Interface
- AWP - Annual Work Plan
- CE - Citizen Engagement
- DQA - Data Quality Assurance
- ESMF - Environmental and Social Management Framework
- FGD - Focus Group Discussion
- FPMU - Federal Project Management Unit
- GAP - Gender Action Plan
- GIS - Geographic Information System
- GRM - Grievance Redress Mechanism
- IFRAP - Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project
- IR - Intermediate Results
- IVR - Interactive Voice Response
- JV - Joint Venture
- KII - Key Informant Interview
- KM - Knowledge Management
- M&E - Monitoring and Evaluation
- MIS - Management Information System
- MoPDSI - Ministry of Planning, Development, and Special Initiatives
- PAD - Project Appraisal Document
- PDO - Project Development Objective
- PIU - Provincial Implementing Unit
- PMIS - Project Monitoring Information System
- PSC - Project Steering Committee
- RBMEF - Results-Based Monitoring and Evaluation Framework
- TA - Technical Assistance
- TORs - Terms of Reference
- VRC - Village Reconstruction Committee

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Quarterly Progress Report presents the monitoring and evaluation findings for Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration) of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP) for the reporting period October to December 2025. The component is implemented through the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU) under the Ministry of Planning, Development and Special Initiatives.

The report synthesizes implementation progress, results framework status, and evidence from structured field monitoring to support management decision-making during an active construction phase.

E.1 Purpose and Scope of the Report

The purpose of this report is to provide an evidence-based summary of implementation progress, highlight material issues emerging from field monitoring, and assess alignment between physical progress, grant disbursement stages, and the approved Annual Work Plan. The report draws on verified MIS data, structured field observations, and grievance-related input available during the reporting period.

The scope of reporting is strictly limited to Component-III and covers beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction activities, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, progress against approved milestones, and operational risks affecting delivery. The component-specific reporting approach has been adopted to enable more detailed analysis, clearer accountability, and improved tracking of implementation readiness as the project transitions toward physical execution.

- The report provides an evidence-based assessment of Component-III implementation progress, construction pipeline maturity, and field-level performance during QPR-02.
- It focuses exclusively on beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction activities implemented through the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU).
- The analysis draws on HRU progress data, Component-III MIS records, structured field monitoring across 12 districts, and triangulated MEL evidence.
- Particular emphasis is placed on alignment between tranche disbursement, observed physical progress, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, and emerging implementation risks.

E.2 Summary of Progress During the Reporting Period

- Component-III continued large-scale implementation during the quarter, with strong progress in beneficiary onboarding and construction initiation.
- Against an annual target of 51,000 housing units, beneficiary bank accounts have been opened for 43,727 households and construction initiated for 42,547 units, indicating effective financial enablement.
- Construction output remains at an early stage of maturity, with 8,283 housing units fully completed and the majority of units progressing through plinth, lintel, and roof stages.
- Implementation activity during the quarter remained concentrated in 12 operational districts out of 33, reflecting phased geographic rollout rather than uniform component-wide execution.
- Results Framework reporting shows early achievement of inclusion indicators, with 37.5% of completed units benefiting female-headed or vulnerable women households and 7.3% benefiting households with persons with disabilities, exceeding framework thresholds.

- A total of 644 housing sites were visited by the M&E Consultant. Of these, 402 houses were found to be completed, while the remaining units were at various stages of construction or had not yet started. Field verification found no significant anomalies between the sampled sites and the progress reported by the PIU, indicating satisfactory implementation progress.

E.3 Key Process and Field Monitoring Findings

- Field monitoring confirms overall technical soundness of construction, with strong compliance observed for core structural and disaster risk reduction standards.
- Localized quality gaps were observed in secondary elements such as damp proofing, drainage detailing, and accessibility features, requiring targeted supervision rather than systemic redesign.
- A limited but material number of cases were identified where tranche releases had occurred despite construction not being initiated, including isolated cases involving second and third tranche releases.
- Temporary construction pauses were largely operational and localized, driven primarily by tranche timing, labor availability, and household-level constraints rather than systemic bottlenecks.
- GRM awareness remains low, particularly among women, indicating a risk of under-reporting rather than absence of grievances.
- Labor practices and environmental safeguards show strong compliance, with no evidence of child or forced labor and minimal construction-related environmental nuisance.

E.4 Key Constraints, Risk, and Forward Look

- Analytical depth and progress pacing assessment remain constrained by the absence of a geographically and month-wise disaggregated Annual Work Plan, limiting planned-versus-actual comparison.
- Pending API integration between the Component-III MIS and the M&E system continues to restrict real-time process monitoring and automated triangulation.
- As implementation scales, targeted strengthening of tranche-progress verification, risk-based supervision, and safeguards communication will be critical.
- The next quarter (January-March 2026) will focus on accelerating district rollout, strengthening process controls, enhancing MIS integration, and reinforcing field-level supervision to support increased completion volumes.

1. OVERVIEW OF REPORTING PERIOD

This Quarterly Progress Report covers the period October to December 2025 and corresponds to the second quarter of Monitoring and Evaluation activities for Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration) under the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP).

The reporting period reflects continued large-scale implementation of beneficiary-driven housing reconstruction activities across flood-affected districts of Balochistan. Component-III remained in an active construction phase during the quarter, with housing units progressing across multiple stages, supported by tranche-based financing and field-level facilitation. Accordingly, progress assessment during the reporting period focused on verification of physical construction stages, alignment between tranche releases and observed progress, compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), and identification of implementation and safeguards-related risks.

1.1. Project Overview and Development Objectives

Component-III of IFRAP supports the reconstruction of safe, climate-resilient housing for flood-affected households through beneficiary-driven reconstruction grants and structured technical guidance. The component contributes directly to the Project Development Objective (PDO):

“To improve livelihoods and essential services and enhance flood risk protection in selected communities affected by the 2022 floods.”

During the reporting period, monitoring activities under Component-III focused on tracking progress against approved work plans, validating construction progress at household level, assessing compliance with technical and DRR standards, and reviewing safeguards, financial access, and community engagement processes. Progress was therefore evaluated based on observed construction stages, tranche utilization, quality compliance, and field-verified implementation signals, rather than solely on administrative reporting.

1.2. Data Sources, Coverage and Limitations

The findings presented in this report are based on verified information compiled from multiple sources, including the Annual Work Plan (AWP) for Component-III, MIS data maintained by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU), tranche disbursement records, and structured field monitoring conducted by the M&E team during the reporting period. Field monitoring included site observations, beneficiary interviews, photographic evidence, and verification of construction quality and safeguards indicators.

During Q-2, API-based integration between the Component-III MIS and the M&E monitoring system was not operational. As a result, data extraction and consolidation relied on MIS snapshots and manual cross-verification with field evidence. While this approach allowed verification of quarterly progress and identification of implementation risks, it limited real-time process monitoring and automated triangulation between administrative and field-level data.

Limitations affecting analytical depth during the reporting period included:

- **Process Monitoring Constraints**

The absence of live API connectivity restricts the ability to continuously track transitions between construction stages, tranche releases, and site-level progress. Process monitoring therefore relied on periodic MIS extracts and field verification rather than automated, real-time tracking.

- **Sampling and Case Selection Dependence**

Monitoring sample selection was dependent on MIS snapshots available at the time of planning field visits. While this approach ensured relevance, it limited flexibility in dynamically updating samples based on real-time changes in tranche status or construction progress.

- **Triangulation Challenges**

Although triangulation between MIS records and field observations was undertaken, the lack of system-level integration required manual cross-verification, increasing reliance on field evidence and increasing the effort required to reconcile discrepancies between reported and observed progress.

- **AWP Progress Assessment Limitations**

Progress against AWP targets could be assessed at an aggregate and directional level, but real-time performance tracking against quarterly milestones remains constrained until API integration is completed.

Despite these limitations, the M&E team was able to validate Component-III progress through targeted field monitoring, MIS cross-checks, and evidence-based analysis of construction progress, quality compliance, safeguards performance, and emerging implementation risks.

Given the scale and complexity of Component-III, API integration between the C-III-MIS and the M&E system is critical for effective process monitoring and performance management. Integrated systems would enable:

- Real-time alignment between tranche disbursement and construction progress
- Automated identification of outlier cases for targeted supervision
- Improved sampling logic for field monitoring
- Stronger triangulation between administrative data and field evidence
- More precise tracking of achievements against AWP targets

Until such integration is operational, field monitoring will continue to play a central role in validating MIS data, identifying implementation risks, and providing evidence-based feedback to project management.

2. M&E ACTIVITIES, PROGRESS & COORDINATION

This section summarizes the Monitoring and Evaluation activities undertaken during the reporting period, progress in implementation of the approved M&E work plan, and coordination arrangements with project stakeholders for Component-III during QPR-02 (October to December 2025).

2.1. M&E Activities During the Reporting Period

During the reporting period, M&E activities for Component-III focused on field-based monitoring, data verification, and analytical review to support quarterly reporting and management oversight. Key activities undertaken included:

- Planning and execution of structured field monitoring across selected districts, based on Component-III MIS records and tranche release data.
- Household-level site visits to verify construction progress, quality compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines, and incorporation of disaster risk reduction features.
- Collection of beneficiary-level information on financial access, safeguards awareness, labor practices, environmental conditions, and community engagement.
- Compilation and validation of field monitoring datasets, supported by photographic evidence and internal consistency checks.
- Analytical review and consolidation of monitoring findings for preparation of the QPR-02 MEL section.

These activities were undertaken in line with the approved M&E framework and were designed to provide evidence-based insights into implementation performance, risks, and emerging issues under Component-III.

2.2. PIU Coordination and Key Outcomes

Although no formal multi-stakeholder review meetings (Workshops) were convened specifically during the reporting period, coordination for M&E activities was maintained through ongoing technical interactions and debriefings with relevant project entities like QPR-01 and Baseline.

These included:

- Follow-up discussions related to QPR-01 findings and observations, including clarification of earlier monitoring issues and refinement of analytical focus areas for QPR-02.
- Technical debriefings linked to baseline and field monitoring instruments, including alignment on indicators, data interpretation, and validation of observed trends.
- Regular coordination with HRU for access to MIS extracts, tranche-related information, and facilitation of field monitoring activities.
- Issue-specific communications related to clarification of construction progress discrepancies, safeguards observations, and tranche-progress alignment cases identified during field visits.

These engagements supported continuous information flow and ensured that monitoring activities remained aligned with implementation realities and reporting requirements.

2.3. Progress Against the M&E Work Plan

Overall, M&E activities during the reporting period progressed broadly in line with the approved work plan for Component-III. Planned field monitoring and quarterly reporting outputs were delivered, and analytical coverage was expanded in response to feedback received on earlier reports.

2.4. Coordination Effectiveness and Institutional Learning

Coordination arrangements during the quarter were functional and sufficient to support monitoring and reporting objectives. However, the scale and geographic spread of Component-III underscore the importance of more structured and system-supported coordination mechanisms, particularly as construction activity advances further.

Enhanced MIS integration and more regular structured review interactions would improve efficiency, reduce manual reconciliation effort, and strengthen real-time responsiveness to emerging implementation risks.

Coordination Dimension	Maturity (M&E Assessment)	Evidence / Observation
Communication Frequency	High	Regular meetings and ongoing email exchanges between PIU-Component-III and the M&E team through FPMU
Data Responsiveness	Moderate	Manual data sharing and document-based tracking due to absence of API integration with Component-III MIS
Inter-PIU Collaboration	Emerging	Increased interaction with line departments during design review processes
Use of M&E Findings	Increasing	M&E observations referenced in discussions on delays and corrective actions

3. RESULTS FRAMEWORK - COMPONENT-III (STATUS UPDATE)

The Results Framework for IFRAP provides the overarching structure for tracking progress toward the Project Development Objective (PDO) and component-level intermediate results. For Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration), the approved indicators primarily relate to restoration of flood-affected housing, inclusion of vulnerable households, employment generation, and citizen engagement through grievance redress mechanisms.

During the reporting period, Component-III remained in an active implementation phase, with housing reconstruction progressing across multiple districts and construction stages. However, measurement against Results Framework indicators is still partially constrained by the absence of an approved baseline, the ongoing nature of construction activities, and pending system integration for automated aggregation of results.

Accordingly, progress against PDO and Intermediate Results indicators during QPR-02 is reported, with outcome-level achievement to be formally reported once baseline values are finalized and construction outputs reach completion thresholds.

3.1. Project Development Objectives (PDO) Indicators

Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Q-2 Status (Oct-Dec 2025)	Remarks
PDO-1	People regaining access to at least one essential service	Number	1,500,000	71,053	Based on 12,688 completed roof level housing units multiplied by average household size (5.6 persons).
Sub-Indicator-01	Of which are females	Percentage	50	48.2	Derived from household-level gender composition of monitored beneficiaries.
PDO-3	Person-days of Employment Generated	Number	14,500,000	1,325,280	8,283 houses construction completed, one house construction generates 160 person days of employment.

3.2. Intermediate Results Indicators

Indicator No.	Indicator Description	Unit of Measure	End Target	Q-2 Status (Oct-Dec 2025)	Remarks
IRI-1	Housing units restored/reconstructed	Number	97,000	12,688 Completed roof level	A total of 644 housing sites were visited by the M&E Consultant. Of these, 402 houses were found to be completed , while the remaining units were at various stages of construction or had not yet started. Field verification found no significant anomalies between the sampled sites and the progress reported by the PIU, indicating satisfactory implementation progress.
IRI-1a	Of which female-headed households and households with vulnerable women	Percentage	25	37.5	Based on household-level gender and vulnerability analysis
IRI-1b	Of which households with persons with disabilities	Percentage	5	7.3	Based on household-level disable persons
IRI-7	Registered grievances satisfactorily resolved in line with the GRM	Percentage	100	78.8	Based on C-3 GRM summary, 208 out of 264 cases reported resolved.

Component-III has moved into a phase where quantifiable outputs and inclusion indicators are emerging and can be reported against the revised Results Framework. During QPR-02, construction outputs progressed and the component demonstrated measurable inclusion performance across priority beneficiary groups.

The Intermediate Results indicator on restored/reconstructed housing units is progressing with a reported cumulative completion of 9,561 housing units during the reporting period. In parallel, inclusion tracking indicates that 37.5% (242 unique households) fall under the combined category of female-headed households and households with vulnerable women, exceeding the Results Framework threshold of 25%. Similarly, 7.3% (47 unique households) fall under the category of households with persons with disabilities, exceeding the Results Framework threshold of 5%.

These proportions are based on currently verified and available household-level data. As the volume of validated records increases over subsequent quarters and data completeness improves through system integration and expanded monitoring coverage, the proportions will continue to be refined and may rationalize. The current results nevertheless provide an early indication that Component-III inclusion outreach is effectively reaching priority groups in line with the project's social inclusion commitments.

4. COMPONENT-III PROGRESS & ANALYSIS

This section presents an analysis of implementation progress under Component-III (Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration) during QPR-02 (October to December 2025). The analysis draws on verified progress data provided by the Housing Reconstruction Unit (HRU), field monitoring findings, and triangulated MEL evidence presented in Section-06.

4.1. Overall Implementation Progress

During QPR-02 (October to December 2025), Component-III continued implementation toward its annual housing reconstruction target of 51,000 units by end of June 2026. Progress during the reporting period reflects substantial advancement in beneficiary onboarding and construction initiation, with completion outputs emerging as part of a growing construction pipeline.

As per the verified progress reported at PMIS, 43,727 beneficiary bank accounts have been opened by the end of December 2025, enabling financial access for eligible households. Correspondingly, 42,547 housing units have reached construction initiation, indicating that beneficiary mobilization and financial enablement are largely aligned with annual planning targets. By the end of the reporting period, 8,283 housing units had reached finishing level completion.

These figures indicate that Component-III has successfully moved a significant proportion of beneficiaries into the construction pipeline, while completion output remains at an early-stage relative to the annual target.

4.2. Construction Progress by Stage

Analysis of Construction Status by Stage as per progress shared by PIU.

As of the end of QPR-01 (September 2025)

- 18,378 housing units had reached the plinth stage.
- 9,240 housing units had progressed to the lintel stage.
- 6,317 housing units had reached the roof stage.
- 3,670 housing units had been finishing completed.

As of the end of QPR-02 (December 2025)

- 28,637 housing units had reached the plinth stage, representing an increase of 10,259 units (55.8%) over QPR-01.
- 21,510 housing units had progressed to the lintel stage, an increase of 12,270 units (132.8%).
- 12,688 housing units had reached the roof stage, reflecting an increase of 6,371 units (100.9%).
- 8,283 housing units had been finishing completed, an increase of 4,613 units (125.7%) compared to the previous quarter.

Analysis

The comparison between QPR-01 and QPR-02 demonstrates significant acceleration across all construction stages during the reporting period. While the largest proportion of houses remained at the plinth stage, substantial growth was observed in the more advanced stages, particularly at the lintel, roof, and completed stages, indicating that construction activities were steadily progressing rather than remaining concentrated at the initial stage.

The more than doubling of completed houses and roof-stage houses reflects improved implementation momentum, while the sharp increase in lintel-stage units suggests a strong pipeline of houses expected to transition to roof completion in subsequent quarters. Overall, the stage-wise distribution is consistent with the phased implementation approach of the owner-driven housing reconstruction model, where beneficiaries construct their houses incrementally based on milestone-linked tranche disbursements. The observed trend indicates a healthy progression of housing units through the construction cycle and provides confidence that completion rates would continue to increase in the following quarters as units advance through successive construction. A total of 644 housing sites were visited by the M&E Consultant to assess construction progress under the Housing Reconstruction Component. Of these, 402 housing units were found to be completed, while the remaining sites were at various stages of construction or had not yet commenced. The stage-wise distribution of the sampled houses is presented in Table 6.4A.

Verification of the completed houses confirmed that the physical status observed in the field was consistent with the progress reported by the PIU, with no significant anomalies identified in the sampled units. Based on the findings from the monitoring sample, the reported construction progress appears to be reliable and reflects satisfactory implementation performance. Overall, the results indicate that housing reconstruction activities are progressing in accordance with the reported implementation status, with the majority of sampled houses either completed or actively advancing through the prescribed construction stages.

4.3. Geographic Rollout Status

Component-III covers a total of 33 flood-affected districts across the project area. However, implementation during the reporting period remained concentrated in 12 operationally active districts, with construction activity in remaining districts yet to reach full rollout.

This phased geographic activation has a direct bearing on observed completion rates and overall pacing, as construction outputs are currently being generated from a limited subset of the component's geographic footprint. Expansion of active implementation into additional districts is therefore expected to materially influence progress trajectories in future quarters.

4.4. Financial Enablement and Construction Initiation

The close alignment between beneficiary bank accounts opened (43,727) and housing units with initiated construction (42,547) demonstrates that financial enablement mechanisms under Component-III are functioning effectively. The tranche-based financing model has enabled beneficiaries to commence construction soon after account activation, minimizing delays related to access to funds.

The comparatively lower number of completed units reflects construction maturity rather than constraints in financial access or beneficiary onboarding. Given the scale of initiated works and the progression of units through early and mid-construction stages, completion volumes are expected to increase as construction cycles mature.

4.5. Progress Interpretation and Transition to Analysis

Overall progress during QPR-02 reflects a component that has achieved strong initiation and pipeline depth, while completion outputs remain constrained by the staged nature of construction, phased district rollout, and the absence of time-phased planning benchmarks against which pacing can be assessed.

These factors underscore the importance of interpreting completion figures in the context of construction maturity and geographic rollout, rather than as indicators of implementation delay. A more detailed analysis of pacing constraints, structural gaps, and associated risks is presented in the subsequent analytical subsections of this section.

4.6. Analytical Summary

Overall progress under Component-III during QPR-02 reflects continued implementation momentum, with housing reconstruction advancing across multiple construction stages and generating completed outputs. However, analysis of progress against planned targets highlights important structural and pacing considerations that require management attention.

Analytical assessment of progress is further constrained by the absence of a geographically and month-wise disaggregated Annual Work Plan (AWP). Without district-level and time-phased targets, it is not possible to systematically assess whether observed progress aligns with planned implementation pacing, identify districts that are lagging relative to expectations, or differentiate between planned and unplanned delays. As a result, progress analysis relies primarily on aggregate completion figures and field-level observations rather than planned-versus-actual comparisons.

Despite these constraints, field monitoring and MEL analysis indicate that implementation challenges observed during the reporting period are largely operational and localized, rather than systemic. The concentration of activity in a limited number of districts suggests that overall completion rates are likely to accelerate as additional districts become operational and construction intensity increases.

Going forward, the availability of a disaggregated AWP, alongside MIS integration, will be critical to enable more effective progress tracking, better alignment of monitoring resources, and more accurate assessment of implementation efficiency against annual and quarterly targets.

5. MONITORING, EVALUATION & LEARNING (MEL) FINDINGS & FIELD EVIDENCE

5.1. Field Monitoring Coverage and Data Scope

Field monitoring under Component-III was conducted using the revised MEL tool finalized post-QPR-01. The tool captures household-level, community-level, safeguards, construction quality, DRR, and governance indicators aligned with IFRAP objectives.

This subsection presents the scope, geographic coverage, and analytical basis of field monitoring conducted for Component-III during QPR-02 (October-December 2025). The purpose is to establish the evidentiary foundation on which subsequent MEL findings are based and to clarify the representativeness and limitations of the dataset.

5.1.1. MONITORING COVERAGE AND SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION

As per approved M&E Framework, a **stratified cluster sampling approach** was adopted, ensuring representation across 12 active project districts and construction stages. Structured field monitoring was conducted, covering a total of 661 (**Annexure A&B**) beneficiary households associated with ongoing housing reconstruction activities under Component-III. Although at 17 (**Annexure A&B**) sites due to multiple reasons, the regular monitoring was not conducted, so that the visited sites were 644 across 12 districts.

The monitoring exercise captured household-level, construction-stage, financial access, social, environmental, and safeguards-related data through standardized monitoring instruments.

Table 5.1.1 provides a consolidated snapshot of district-wise household coverage achieved during the quarter.

Table 5.1.1: District-wise Household Coverage - Field Monitoring

District	Quarter-01	Quarter-02	Overall
Barkhan	23	31	54
Dera Bugti	44	37	81
Harnai	10	20	30
Jaffarabad	66	90	156
Jhal Magsi	8	23	31
Kachhi	2	72	74
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	57	77	134
Musakhel	20	24	44
Nasirabad	75	105	180
Sohbatpur	37	77	114
Usta Muhammad	31	57	88
Total	373	644	1,017

5.1.2. DATA DIMENSIONS AND ANALYTICAL SCOPE

The monitoring dataset was designed to support multi-dimensional analysis and includes the following thematic dimensions:

- Beneficiary demographics and vulnerability characteristics
- Land ownership status and tenure documentation
- Construction progress stages and implementation bottlenecks
- Financial access and tranche disbursement experience
- Construction quality, DRR features, and MCG compliance
- Functionality of community institutions, particularly VRCs
- Safeguards awareness, GRM access, and social risk signals
- Labor practices, occupational health and safety, and environmental observations

Each subsequent MEL subsection draws selectively on this dataset, presenting consolidated analytical tables in the main text while referencing detailed disaggregated tables in the annexures.

5.1.3. ANALYTICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The monitoring sample reflects active construction sites and accessible beneficiaries during the reporting period. While the geographic spread is broad and covers all major implementation districts, the findings should be interpreted as indicative of systemic patterns and implementation signals, rather than statistically exhaustive measurement of the full beneficiary universe.

Data quality assurance measures included cross-verification with C-III MIS records, photographic evidence where applicable, and internal consistency checks across related indicators. These measures provide reasonable confidence in the analytical reliability of the findings presented in subsequent sections.

5.2. Beneficiary Profile, Vulnerability and Social Inclusion

This subsection analyses the demographic composition, vulnerability characteristics, and inclusion dimensions of beneficiaries covered under Component-III during the reporting period. The objective is to assess whether housing reconstruction support is reaching priority and vulnerable groups in line with project inclusion commitments.

5.2.1. BENEFICIARY DEMOGRAPHICS AND GENDER COMPOSITION

Field monitoring covered 644 beneficiary households, comprising 3,618 household members, with a near-balanced gender composition. Female members constituted a substantial proportion of household populations across districts, indicating broad household-level inclusion.

Table 5.2.1: Gender Composition of Household Members (Monitored Sample)

Category	Q2	Q1
	Number (n = 644)	Number (n = 373)
Male Members	1875 (51.8%)	1209 (51.5%)
Female Members	1743 (48.2)	1141 (48.5%)
Transgender Members	0	0
Total Household Members	3618	2350

District-wise gender disaggregation and household-level detail are provided in **Annexure E-01**.

Table 5.2.2: District-Wise Male and Female Beneficiaries

District	Q-2			Q-1		
	Male and Female Beneficiaries			Male and Female Beneficiaries		
	Male	Female	Transgender	Male	Female	Transgender
Barkhan	115	92	0	85	82	0
Dera Bugti	90	74	0	152	145	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	54	39	0	25	21	0
Jaffarabad	278	283	0	272	248	0
Jhal Magsi	79	69	0	25	28	0
Kachhi	205	179	0	10	5	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	97	88	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	192	191	0	151	158	0
Musakhel	120	86	0	82	71	0
Nasirabad	301	281	0	233	222	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	196	209	0	89	85	0
Usta Muhammad	148	152	0	85	76	0
Overall	1875	1743	0	1209	1141	0

5.2.2. FEMALE AND DISABILITY-HEADED HOUSEHOLDS

Out of the monitored households, 93 households (14.4%) were headed by women, while 16 households (2.5%) were headed by persons with disabilities. These households are considered higher-risk groups requiring closer monitoring due to economic, social, and mobility constraints.

Table 5.2.3: Vulnerable Household Headship

Vulnerability Category	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
Women-headed households	93 (14.4%)	55 (14.7%)
Disability-headed households	16 (2.5%)	18 (4.8%)
Other households	535 (83.1%)	673 (80.5%)
Total	644	373

District-level breakdowns for both categories are provided in **Annexure E-02** and **Annexure E-03**.

Table 5.2.4: Women Headed Household

District	Q2		Q-1	
	Women Headed Household		Women Headed Household	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	3	28	2	21
Dera Bugti	1	36	4	40
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	5	15	6	4
Jaffarabad	10	80	8	58
Jhal Magsi	7	16	0	8
Kachhi	6	66	1	1
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	2	29	0	0
Lasbela	9	68	15	42
Musakhel	4	20	2	18
Nasirabad	7	98	2	73
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	21	56	10	27
Usta Muhammad	18	39	5	26
Overall	93	551	55	318

Table 5.2.5: Disabled Person Headed Household

District	Q2		Q1	
	Disabled Person Headed Household		Disabled Person Headed Household	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	31	2	21
Dera Bugti	1	36	1	43
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	20	2	8
Jaffarabad	8	82	3	63
Jhal Magsi	0	23	0	8
Kachhi	1	71	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	2	29	0	0
Lasbela	1	76	4	53
Musakhel	0	24	0	20
Nasirabad	1	104	4	71
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	1	76	1	36
Usta Muhammad	1	56	1	30
Overall	16	628	18	355

5.2.3. HOUSEHOLD-LEVEL VULNERABILITY CHARACTERISTICS

Beyond headship status, monitoring captured multiple vulnerability indicators within households, including presence of youth, elderly members, widows, pregnant or lactating women, and persons with disabilities. The data indicate a high prevalence of youth and elderly members, with 31 male and 22 female persons with disabilities identified across the monitored households. The 198 cases of vulnerable female group fall under the 183 households.

Table 5.2.6: Vulnerable Groups

Category	Q-2	Q-1
	Numbers	Numbers
Male with Disability	31	38
Female with Disability	22	21
Widow	7	9
Single Women	4	4
Disabled Spouse	0	3
Elderly	57	63
Pregnant/Lactating	130	77

These vulnerability characteristics have direct implications for construction pace, labor availability, and the need for targeted technical or social support during reconstruction. Detailed district wise vulnerability matrices are provided in **Annexure E-04**.

Table 5.2.7: Family Members

District	Family Members – (Q-2)											
	Male Members			Female Members								
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/Lactating	None
Barkhan	115	25	0	92	16	0	1	0	0	8	6	77
Dera Bugti	90	14	1	74	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Harnai	54	15	0	39	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	36
Jaffarabad	278	71	12	283	46	9	1	2	0	0	30	251
Jhal Magsi	79	20	0	69	11	1	0	0	0	3	6	60
Kachhi	205	46	1	179	28	1	1	0	0	7	18	153
Kohlu	97	18	2	88	15	1	2	0	0	0	17	69
Lasbela	192	40	5	191	31	1	0	2	0	7	11	171
Musakhel	120	28	2	86	14	1	1	0	0	4	8	74
Nasirabad	301	69	3	281	32	3	0	0	0	10	32	239
Sohbatpur	196	44	1	209	41	5	1	0	0	10	0	198
Usta Muhammad	148	40	4	152	46	0	0	0	0	7	0	145
Overall	1875	430	31	1743	299	22	7	4	0	57	130	1547

Table 5.2.8: Family Members

District	Family Members – (Q-1)											
	Male Members			Female Members								
	Total	Youth - Male	With Disability	Total	With Disability	Youth - Female	Widow	Single women	Disabled spouse	Elderly women	Pregnant / lactating	
Barkhan	85	12	8	82	1	6	0	1	1	6	12	
Dera Bugti	152	44	5	145	1	32	0	0	0	9	0	
Harnai	25	8	2	21	2	5	2	2	0	2	1	
Jaffarabad	272	59	14	248	10	51	1	0	0	7	33	
Jhal Magsi	25	4	0	28	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	

District	Family Members – (Q-1)										
	Male Members					Female Members					
	Total	Youth - Male	With Disability	Total	With Disability	Youth - Female	Widow	Single women	Disabled spouse	Elderly women	Pregnant / lactating
Kachi	10	4	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	151	30	1	161	1	33	3	0	0	9	1
Musakhel	82	15	1	71	0	7	2	1	0	6	12
Nasirabad	233	35	2	219	2	27	0	0	2	11	12
Sohbatpur	89	19	3	85	3	18	1	0	0	8	0
Usta Muhammad	85	15	2	76	1	13	0	0	0	5	0
Overall	1209	245	38	1141	21	195	9	4	3	63	77

5.2.4. MINORITY AND RELIGIOUS COMPOSITION

The monitored beneficiary population was overwhelmingly Muslim, with only two Hindu households identified in Usta Muhammad district. No other religious minorities were recorded in the monitored sample. This reflects the demographic context of the project districts rather than exclusionary patterns.

Detailed religious composition by district is provided in **Annexure E-06**.

Table 5.2.9: Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries

District	Q-2							
	Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries							
	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Dera Bugti	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Harnai	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	90
Jhal Magsi	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Kachhi	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	72
Kohlu	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Musakhel	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Nasirabad	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Usta Muhammad	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	57
Overall	642	2	0	0	0	0	0	644

Table 5.2.10: Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries

District	Q-1						
	Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries						
	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	0	0	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	44	0	0	0	0	0	44
Harnai	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	66	0	0	0	0	0	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
Kachi	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	57	0	0	0	0	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	0	0	0	0	20
Nasirabad	75	0	0	0	0	0	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	0	0	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	0	0	0	0	31
Overall	373	0	0	0	0	0	373

5.2.5. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

Overall, the beneficiary profile indicates that Component-III is reaching a socially diverse and vulnerability-prone population, with meaningful inclusion of women-headed and disability-affected households. However, the presence of multiple vulnerability layers within households suggests a continued need for differentiated monitoring attention, particularly in districts with higher concentrations of female-headed households and households with elderly or disabled members.

5.3. Land Ownership, Tenure Security and Dispute Risk

This subsection examines land ownership status, availability of tenure documentation, and the presence of land-related disputes among monitored beneficiaries. Secure tenure is a prerequisite for housing reconstruction under Component-III and a key risk determinant for construction continuity and tranche disbursement.

5.3.1. LAND OWNERSHIP STATUS AND TENURE SECURITY

Field monitoring indicates that 606 out of 644 households (94.1%) reported ownership or recognized possession of the land on which reconstruction is being carried out. However, ownership status does not uniformly translate into formal documentation, which has implications for verification processes and dispute risk.

Table 5.3.1: Land Ownership Status (Monitored Households)

Ownership Status	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
Ownership / possession confirmed	608	332
Not in the name of beneficiary	36	41
Total	644	373

District-wise ownership status is provided in **Annexure F-07**.

Table 5.3.2: District-Wise Land Ownership Status (Monitored Households)

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status		Land title/mutation in the name of Beneficiary/Tenant	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	31	0	23	0
Dera Bugti	35	2	9	35
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	1	19	10	0
Jaffarabad	90	0	64	2
Jhal Magsi	23	0	8	0
Kachhi	72	0	2	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	16	15	0	0
Lasbela	75	2	56	1
Musakhel	24	0	20	0
Nasirabad	105	0	72	3
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	77	0	37	0
Usta Muhammad	57	0	31	0
Overall	606	38	332	41

Table 5.3.3: Not in the Name of Beneficiary

Category	Households
Far area in the mountains	1
Land of Lasbela University	1
Tribal land	15
Relatives Land	18
Business Partner's Land	1
Grand Total	36

5.3.2. AVAILABILITY AND TYPE OF OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTATION

Despite high reported ownership, 520 households (80.5%) did not possess formal ownership documents at the time of monitoring. The most common situation involved informal possession without registered deeds or any legal document.

Table 5.3.4: Availability of Ownership Documentation

Documentation Status	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
Formal or informal land documentation	126	335
No land document	518	38
Total	644	373

Table 5.3.5: Formal or Informal Land Documentation

Category	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
Registered Deed	19	158
Tenant - Stamp paper	13	66
Local verification certificate	66	30
State Land	0	0
Inheritance proof	28	78
Total	126	332

Table 5.3.6: No Land Document

Category	Q-2
	Households
Not provided by the beneficiary	90
Not maintained at site	236
Misplaced	192
Total	518

Among households without documentation, the primary reasons cited included non-maintenance of documents at the site, misplaced records, or reliance on customary land arrangements.

District wise documentation types and reasons for non-availability are provided in **Annexure F-08**, and **Annexure F-09**.

Table 5.3.7: District-Wise Availability of Ownership Documentation

District	Q-2							
	Property ownership documentation							
	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	3	3	0	0	0	25	0	31
Dera Bugti	1	0	35	0	0	1	0	37
Harnai	0	1	0	0	0	18	1	20
Jaffarabad	10	6	0	0	0	73	1	90
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
Kachhi	3	0	0	0	0	69	0	72
Kohlu	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	77
Musakhel	2	0	0	0	6	16	0	24
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	105	0	105
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0	13	63	0	77
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	9	48	0	57
Overall	19	11	66	0	28	518	2	644

Table 5.3.8: District-Wise Availability of Ownership Documentation (Q-1)

District	Q-1						
	Property ownership documentation						Overall
Registered deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other		
Barkhan	0	2	0	21	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	7	0	0	2	35	0	44
Harnai	0	0	0	10	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	55	4	2	3	2	0	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Kachi	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Lasbela	55	0	1	0	0	1	57
Musakhel	1	0	0	19	0	0	20
Nasirabad	39	10	17	6	1	2	75
Sohbatpur	0	21	10	6	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	0	21	0	10	0	0	31
Overall	158	66	30	78	38	3	373

Table 5.3.9: District-Wise Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents

District	Q-2					Overall
	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other		
Barkhan	19	6	0	0		25
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0		1
Harnai	12	6	0	0		18
Jaffarabad	0	71	2	0		73
Jhal Magsi	0	0	23	0		23
Kachhi	0	5	64	0		69
Lasbela	28	49	0	0		77
Musakhel	2	14	0	0		16
Nasirabad	0	4	101	0		105
Sohbatpur	29	34	0	0		63
Usta Muhammad	0	47	1	0		48
Overall	90	236	192	0		518

5.3.3. BOUNDARY DISPUTES AND OVERLAPPING CLAIMS

Monitoring identified 9 cases (1.4%) involving boundary disputes or overlapping land claims, concentrated in Lasbela district. While limited in number, such cases present a high-risk profile due to their potential to halt construction or trigger social conflict if not addressed promptly.

District wise boundary disputes & overlapping claims recorded are provided in **Annexure F-10**.

Table 5.3.10: District-Wise Boundary Disputes & Overlapping Claims Recorded

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims		Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	31	0	23
Dera Bugti	0	37	0	44
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	20	0	10
Jaffarabad	0	90	0	66
Jhal Magsi	0	23	0	8
Kachhi	0	72	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	31	0	0
Lasbela	9	68	0	57
Musakhel	0	24	0	20
Nasirabad	0	105	0	75
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	77	0	37
Usta Muhammad	0	57	0	31
Overall	9	635	0	373

5.3.4. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

The findings indicate that while land tenure insecurity is not a widespread constraint to Component-III implementation, the prevalence of undocumented ownership represents a latent risk for dispute emergence and administrative delays. Continued reliance on local verification mechanisms appears necessary, alongside targeted follow-up for the small number of disputed cases to prevent construction interruptions.

5.4. Construction Progress, Pauses and Implementation Bottlenecks

This subsection assesses the physical progress of housing reconstruction under Component-III, with specific focus on construction stages achieved at the time of field monitoring, the prevalence and causes of temporary construction pauses, and operational bottlenecks affecting implementation momentum. The analysis also examines alignment between reported construction status and tranche disbursement records as reflected in Component-III MIS.

5.4.1. CONSTRUCTION TYPE AND MATERIAL USE

The dominant use of **brick masonry (66.5 %)** and **concrete block (33 %)** reflect the prevalent building materials available in flood-affected plains. Stone masonry remains minimal, limited to hilly terrain such as Musakhel. Material selection corresponds with both local availability and MCG prescriptions for structural stability.

District wise construction type distribution at time of field visit is provided in **Annexure G-11**

Table 5.4.1: Construction Type Distribution at Time of Field Visit

Construction Type	Q-2		Q-1	
	No. of Houses	% of Sample	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Brick masonry	428	66.5 %	213	51.1%
Stone masonry	1	0.2 %	1	0.3%
Concrete block	215	33.4 %	159	42.6%
Total	644	100 %	373	100 %

Table 5.4.2: District-Wise Construction Type Distribution

District	Q2			Q1		
	Type of House to Be Constructed			Type of House to Be Constructed		
	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry
Barkhan	2	0	29	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	0	0	37	0	0	44
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	5	0	15	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	90	0	0	66	0	0
Jhal Magsi	13	0	10	2	0	6
Kachhi	72	0	0	2	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	77	0	0	57
Musakhel	7	1	16	0	1	19
Nasirabad	105	0	0	75	0	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	77	0	0	37	0	0
Usta Muhammad	57	0	0	31	0	0
Overall	428	1	215	213	1	159

5.4.2. CONSTRUCTION STATUS AT TIME OF VISIT

Field observations indicate that construction activities were underway across all monitored districts, with beneficiaries distributed across multiple construction stages. A significant proportion of houses had progressed to advanced stages, while a subset of households had not initiated construction at the time of visit. District wise construction stage at time of field visit is provided in **Annexure G-12**

Table 5.4.3: Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit

Construction Stage	Q-2		Q-1	
	No. of Houses	% of Sample	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Plinth level	74	11.5 %	236	63.3 %
Lintel level	80	12.4 %	65	17.4 %
Roof level	147	22.8 %	51	13.7 %
House completely constructed	255	39.6 %	21	5.6 %
Not started	88	13.7 %	0	0
Total	644	100 %	373	100 %

Table 5.4.4: District-Wise Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit


District	Q-2 House Construction Stage at Time of Visit					
	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	5	4	7	10	5	31
Dera Bugti	10	1	3	20	3	37
Harnai	2	3	4	9	2	20
Jaffarabad	15	6	22	41	6	90
Jhal Magsi	2	6	2	8	5	23
Kachhi	11	5	19	29	8	72
Kohlu	0	4	11	16	0	31
Lasbela	4	8	19	32	14	77
Musakhel	4	0	7	11	2	24
Nasirabad	15	18	26	41	5	105
Sohbatpur	2	15	12	16	32	77
Usta Muhammad	4	10	15	22	6	57
Overall	74	80	147	255	88	644

Table 5.4.5: District-Wise Construction Stage at Time of Field Visit

District	Q-1 House construction status at the time of visit				
	Plinth	Lintel	Roof	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	20	3	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	28	4	10	2	44
Harnai	7	2	0	1	10
Jaffarabad	48	9	9	0	66
Jhal Magsi	5	2	1	0	8
Kachi	2	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	24	17	10	6	57
Musakhel	12	5	2	1	20
Nasirabad	40	12	12	11	75
Sohbatpur	32	2	3	0	37
Usta Muhammad	18	9	4	0	31
Overall	236	65	51	21	373

Although the monitoring sample increased substantially from 373 sites in QPR-01 to 644 sites in QPR-02, the proportional distribution of construction stages provides a more accurate picture of implementation progress. The share of completed houses increased significantly from 5.6% to 39.6%, while the proportion of houses at the plinth stage declined from 63.3% to 11.5%, indicating that a large number of housing units progressed through successive construction milestones rather than

remaining at the initial stage. Similarly, the proportion of houses at the roof stage increased from 13.7% to 22.8%, reflecting a strong pipeline of houses nearing completion. Overall, these findings demonstrate a clear shift from early-stage construction to advanced stages, indicating satisfactory implementation progress despite the expanded monitoring sample.



5.4.3. TRANCHE RELEASE AND CONSTRUCTION INITIATION MISMATCH

Among the 88 households where construction had not started, MIS records indicate that:

- 86 cases have already received the first tranche
- One case in Dera Bugti had received the second tranche, despite construction remaining at the non-started stage
- One case in Sohbatpur had received the third tranche, despite construction having not commenced

Table 5.4.6: District-Wise Construction Work Not Started

District	Q-2
	No. of Houses
Barkhan	5
Dera Bugti	3
Harnai	2
Jaffarabad	6
Jhal Magsi	5
Kachhi	8
Lasbela	14
Musakhel	2
Naseerabad	5
Sohbat Pur	32
Usta Muhammad	6
Grand Total	88

This indicates a clear mismatch between tranche disbursement records and observed physical progress in a limited but material number of cases. While the overall proportion is small relative to the total monitored sample, such cases represent high-risk outliers with potential implications for fiduciary control, supervision effectiveness, and milestone verification protocols.

Detailed case-level records are provided below:

Table 5.4.7: Case-level records of Not Started

Q-2				
House Construction Not Started Reason				
Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Reason for not started
1	Lot 1	136148	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet.
2	Lot 1	136150	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
3	Lot 1	136073	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
4	Lot 1	136251	Killa Abdullah	Payment not received yet
5	Lot 1	136184	Killa Abdullah	This woman is a widow, and because her brother is in Quetta, the work has not been started yet.
6	Lot 1	136132	Killa Abdullah	MCB Bank has affected the progress in Killa Abdullah. Due to this, the beneficiaries cheque books and ATM cards have been delayed for the past three months, and their work has been put on hold.
7	Lot 1	136166	Killa Abdullah	Due to heavy rainfall, the work has not been started yet.
8	Lot 1	136199	Killa Abdullah	Due to heavy rain work is not started yet.
9	Lot 1	136230	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
10	Lot 1	136253	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
11	Lot 1	136088	Killa Abdullah	The beneficiary has not received the payment yet.
12	Lot 1	213331	Quetta	The beneficiary has passed away; her son's name has been given as a replacement.
13	Lot 1	213167	Quetta	His CNIC is blocked, and he has provided his wife's name as a replacement.
14	Lot 1	206036	Quetta	Their work had not started because there was an issue at their home. Due to that problem, the work could not begin until now.
15	Lot 1	206448	Quetta	According to the beneficiary, they have not received the money yet, which is why they have not started the work.
16	Lot 2	121236	Duki	Tranche issues
17	Lot 2	121233	Duki	Tranche issues
18	Lot 2	121073	Duki	Tranche issues
19	Lot 2	121290	Duki	Tranche issues
20	Lot 2	120858	Duki	Tranche issues
21	Lot 2	120861	Duki	Tranche issues
22	Lot 2	121340	Duki	Tranche issues
23	Lot 2	121192	Duki	Tranche issues
24	Lot 2	121324	Duki	Tranche issues
25	Lot 2	121204	Duki	Tranche issues
26	Lot 2	121202	Duki	Tranche issues
27	Lot 2	121185	Duki	Tranche issues
28	Lot 2	121193	Duki	Tranche issues
29	Lot 2	121190	Duki	Tranche issues
30	Lot 2	121201	Duki	Tranche issues
31	Lot 2	121134	Duki	Tranche issues
32	Lot 2	121130	Duki	Tranche issues
33	Lot 2	121144	Duki	Tranche issues
34	Lot 2	121149	Duki	Tranche issues
35	Lot 2	139199	Killa Saifullah	The beneficiary received the payment only a few days ago, which is why the work has not started yet.
36	Lot 2	139741	Killa Saifullah	Due to heavy rainfall, the work has not been started yet.
37	Lot 2	139249	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary received the payment only a few days ago, due to which the work has not been started yet.
38	Lot 2	139777	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary has utilized the first tranche for domestic purposes, due to which the room has not been started yet.
39	Lot 2	139637	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary had some domestic issues, due to which they have not started the work yet.
40	Lot 2	140981	Killa Saifullah	The beneficiary had some concerns, which have been addressed by the IP.
41	Lot 2	140072	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
42	Lot 2	140068	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
43	Lot 2	139923	Killa Saifullah	due to heavy rain.
44	Lot 2	140192	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Muslim bagh during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
45	Lot 2	145278	Killa Saifullah	payment not received yet.

Q-2				
House Construction Not Started Reason				
Sr.#	Lot	HRU Code	District	Reason for not started
46	Lot 2	145170	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Quetta during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
47	Lot 2	145276	Killa Saifullah	This beneficiary shifts to Quetta during the winter season, due to which they have not started the work yet.
48	Lot 4	194416	Lasbela	Biometric issue
49	Lot 4	194429	Lasbela	Biometric issue
50	Lot 5	302763	Kachhi	CNIC expire
51	Lot 6	44541	Usta Muhammad	He does not receive any tranche because His card block from NADRA
52	Lot 6	31022	Usta Muhammad	IP do not visit there and have not received any message for tranche
53	Lot 7	84122	Nasirabad	The beneficiary Nawab Khan is about 85 years old and his finger prints not matching in biometric
54	Lot 7	83676	Nasirabad	Death of Doran Khan
55	Lot 8	24891	Jaffarabad	Tranche not received
56	Lot 9	182828	Musakhel	Tranche issues
57	Lot 9	182750	Musakhel	Finger prints not matching
58	Lot 10	275992	Sohbatpur	Finger prints not matching
59	Lot 10	275972	Sohbatpur	Work has not been started yet temporary displaced for 4 months from original location due to tribal issue and now settled and agreed for work.
60	Lot 10	275589	Sohbatpur	No tranche received yet

The dominant constraint was delayed or unavailable financial access, accounting for 35 of the 60 cases (58.3%). This was particularly concentrated in Duki, where all 19 reported non-started cases were attributed to tranche issues. In Killa Abdullah, several beneficiaries reported that payments had not been received, while one case specifically cited delays in cheque books and ATM cards. Similar payment-related issues were also reported in Quetta, Killa Saifullah, Usta Muhammad, Jaffarabad, Musakhel, and Sohbatpur. This pattern indicates that account activation, payment release, and beneficiary access to banking instruments require closer monitoring before construction-start targets can be achieved.

Administrative and identity-related constraints accounted for 10 cases (16.7%), including biometric verification failures, blocked or expired CNICs/cards, and beneficiary deaths requiring replacement or succession processing. These cases require a fast-track exception-handling mechanism involving HRU, implementing partners, NADRA, and the relevant bank to prevent prolonged exclusion of otherwise eligible households.

Household and contextual factors accounted for 11 cases (18.3%). These included temporary seasonal migration, domestic or family issues, temporary displacement due to tribal concerns, and beneficiary hesitation. One case in Killa Saifullah indicated that the first tranche had reportedly been used for domestic purposes; this case should be followed up individually to confirm the facts, provide counselling, and assess whether additional safeguards or recovery measures are required under project procedures.

Weather-related delays were limited to four cases (6.7%), mainly in Killa Abdullah and Killa Saifullah, where heavy rainfall prevented construction commencement. These appear localized and temporary, but should be considered in seasonal work planning.

Overall, the findings suggest that the principal issue is not beneficiary unwillingness or widespread technical constraints, but the timely conversion of approved beneficiaries into financially enabled and construction-ready households. Priority actions should therefore focus on resolving the Duki tranche backlog, clearing banking and biometric cases, tracking newly released payments to confirm construction start, and conducting targeted follow-up for households facing personal, migration-related, or exceptional vulnerability constraints.

5.4.4. TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION PAUSES AND UNDERLYING CAUSES

Among houses that had initiated construction, 301 households were at plinth, lintel, or roof stages at the time of monitoring. Within this group, 104 cases were identified where construction was temporarily paused.

Table 5.4.8: Construction Status at Active Stages

Status	Q-2		Q-1	
	No. of Houses	% of Sample	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Construction in progress	183	60.8 %	131	37.2 %
Temporarily paused	104	34.6 %	210	59.7 %
Completed (stage-specific)	14	4.7 %	11	3.1 %
Total	301	100 %	352	100 %

The reasons for temporary pauses were predominantly operational rather than structural. The most frequently reported causes included tranche-related delays, labor shortages, and material availability constraints, with variations observed across districts.

Table 5.4.9: Reasons for Temporary Paused (Multiple choices) (Q-2)

Reasons	Q-2	
	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Land Dispute	1	1 %
Tranche Delay	57	54.8 %
Material Shortage	3	2.9 %
Labor Shortage	33	31.7 %
Seasonal Effect	0	0
Bank Delay	0	0
Water Shortage	3	2.9 %
Delay Due to Death	2	1.9 %
Other	5	4.8 %
Total	104	100 %

Detailed breakdown of pause reasons by district and category is provided in **Annexure G-13** and **Annexure G-14**.

Table 5.4.10: District-Wise Construction Status at Active Stages

District	Q2			Q1		
	Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level			Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level		
	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed
Barkhan	9	0	7	0	23	0
Dera Bugti	10	4	0	24	18	0
Duki	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	3	6	0	2	7	0
Jaffarabad	18	24	1	23	43	0
Jhal Magsi	4	6	0	3	5	0
Kachhi	19	16	0	1	1	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	13	1	1	0	0	0
Lasbela	3	28	0	4	37	10
Musakhel	6	0	5	4	14	1
Nasirabad	41	18	0	9	55	0
Quetta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	28	1	0	33	4	0
Usta Muhammad	29	0	0	28	3	0
Overall	183	104	14	131	210	11

Although the monitoring sample increased from 373 sites in QPR-01 to 644 sites in QPR-02, comparison based on percentages indicates a notable improvement in construction activity. The proportion of sites where construction was temporarily paused decreased significantly from 56.3% to 16.1%, suggesting that many previously stalled housing units had resumed construction. Conversely, the proportion of sites classified as in progress increased in absolute terms due to the larger sample but represented 28.4% of the monitored sites in QPR-02. The number of houses reported as completed during the monitoring period remained relatively stable (11 in QPR-01 and 14 in QPR-02); however, this indicator should be interpreted alongside the stage-wise construction status, which shows a substantial increase in fully completed houses. Overall, the findings indicate improved construction momentum and a marked reduction in stalled construction activities between the two reporting periods.

Table 5.4.11: District-Wise Reasons for Temporary Paused (Multiple choices)

District	Q-2								
	Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice)								
	Land Dispute	Tranche Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Seasonal Effect	Bank Delay	Water Shortage	Delay Due to Death	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	6	1	15	0	0	2	0	3
Jhal Magsi	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Kachhi	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	1	5
Kohlu	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	18	1	8	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	15	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

5.4.5. MATERIAL AVAILABILITY AND LOCALIZED BOTTLENECKS

Perception-based responses on material availability were captured for 556 households. Of these, 98 households reported experiencing some form of material shortage, while the majority did not report any constraints related to construction materials.

Reported shortages were not widespread across districts and were concentrated on a limited number of locations. Where reported, shortages most related to cement and bricks or blocks, indicating localized supply or access issues rather than systemic market constraints.

Table 5.4.12: Material Availability Issues (Multiple choices)

Reasons	Q-2	Q-1
	% of Sample	% of Sample
Cement	25 / 21.9 %	60 / 34.5 %
Bricks/Blocks	59 / 51.8 %	64 / 36.8 %
Sand	1 / 0.9 %	23 / 13.2 %
Crush/Gravel	0	10 / 5.7 %
Steel/Bars	28 / 24.6 %	9 / 5.2 %
Wood/Timber	0	4 / 2.3 %
Paint/Finishing Items	1 / 0.9 %	4 / 2.3 %
Other	0	0
Total	100 %	100 %

Given the limited geographic concentration and the perception-based nature of the responses, material shortages are assessed as a localized operational issue rather than a primary driver of construction delays at the component level.

Detailed district-wise responses and material types are provided in **Annexure R-94**.

Table 5.4.13: District-Wise Material Availability Issues (Multiple choices)

District	Q-2							
	Type of material shortage							
	Cement	Bricks/ Blocks	Sand	Crush/ Gravel	Steel/ Bars	Wood/ Timber	Paint/ Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	18	35	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	5	22	0	0	28	0	0	0

Table 5.4.14: District-Wise Material Availability Issues (Multiple choices)

District	Q-1						
	Type of material shortages faced						
	Cement	Bricks/ Blocks	Sand	Crush/ Gravel	Steel/ Bars	Wood/ Timber	Paint/ Finishing Items
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	4	0	1	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	8	8	8	8	8	4	4
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	4	7	0	0	1	0	0
Sohbatpur	19	19	8	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	27	26	7	0	0	0	0

5.4.6. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

Overall, construction progress under Component-III demonstrates substantive forward movement, with the majority of monitored houses advancing through planned stages. However, the identification of non-started construction cases despite tranche releases highlights a critical control risk that warrants targeted follow-up.

These findings suggest the need for:

- Strengthened pre-tranche verification of construction initiation
- Closer synchronization between MIS reporting and field-level supervision
- Focused review of outlier cases involving second or third tranche releases without corresponding physical progress

Addressing these gaps proactively will be essential to safeguard fiduciary integrity and maintain confidence in the tranche-based reconstruction model as implementation continues to scale.

5.5. Financial Access & Tranche Disbursement Experience

This subsection analyses beneficiary access to tranche payments, the incidence of payment-related problems, and the interfaces through which beneficiaries receive information and support regarding tranche disbursement. The objective is to assess whether financial flows are aligned with

implementation needs and whether any systemic barriers are emerging at beneficiary, bank, or implementing partner levels.

5.5.1. TRANCHE RECEIPT STATUS

Field monitoring confirms that tranche payments have reached the vast majority of monitored beneficiaries. Out of 556 households (Where construction work was started or completed) for which payment-related information was captured, 555 households reported having received at least one tranche, while one case from Dera Bugti reported non-receipt at the time of monitoring. It was also found that the construction work was started at plinth level of this one case from Dera Bugti without receiving any tranche.

District-wise tranche receipt status is provided in **Annexure H-15**.

Table 5.5.1: District-Wise Tranche Receipt Status

District	Q-2	
	Payment Received to Date	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0
Dera Bugti	33	1
Duki	0	0
Harnai	18	0
Jaffarabad	84	0
Jhal Magsi	18	0
Kachhi	64	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	31	0
Lasbela	63	0
Musakhel	22	0
Nasirabad	100	0
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0
Overall	555	1

These findings indicate that, at an aggregate level, financial outreach under Component-III is strong, with very limited outright exclusion from tranche access.

5.5.2. PROBLEMS FACED IN RECEIVING TRANCHE

Despite high overall tranche receipt, 20 households reported experiencing problems during the tranche disbursement process. These issues were not evenly distributed across districts and were more prominent in a small number of locations.

Table 5.5.2: Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches (Q-2)

Response	Q-2
	Households
Yes	20
No	535
Total	555

Among households reporting problems, the most frequently cited reasons included delays or lack of support from bank staff and procedural difficulties, rather than systematic failures of the payment mechanism. No widespread complaints related to financial coercion or informal payments were reported.

Detailed reasons and district-wise patterns are provided in **Annexure H-17**.

Table 5.5.3: District-Wise Problems Faced in Receiving Tranches

District	Q2	
	Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	33
Duki	0	0
Harnai	3	15
Jaffarabad	0	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	1	63
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	0	31
Lasbela	1	62
Musakhel	0	22
Nasirabad	1	99
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	10	35
Usta Muhammad	4	47
Overall	20	535

5.5.3. INFORMATION CHANNELS ON TRANCHE DISBURSEMENT

Beneficiaries primarily relied on Implementing Partner (IP) staff for information related to tranche releases, followed by bank staff and, to a lesser extent, community members or VRC representatives. This highlights the central role of IP staff as the primary interface between beneficiaries and the financial system.

Table 5.5.4: Source of Information on Tranche Release

Response	Households
IP Staff	450
VRC Member	6
Bank Staff	67
Community Member	32
Overall	555

District-wise information channels are detailed in **Annexure H-16**.

Table 5.5.5: District-Wise Source of Information on Tranche Release (Q-2)

District	Q-2					
	Source of Information on Tranche Release					
	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	0	0	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	0	0	0	0	33
Harnai	18	0	0	0	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	0	0	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	0	0	0	18
Kachhi	26	1	5	32	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	0	1	62	0	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	0	0	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	0	0	0	100
Sohbatpur	41	4	0	0	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	0	0	0	51
Overall	450	6	67	32	0	555

5.5.4. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

The findings suggest that tranche disbursement mechanisms are largely functional, with high coverage and limited reported problems. However, the dependence on IP staff for information underscores the importance of maintaining strong field-level facilitation and oversight.

When considered alongside the findings presented in Section 6.4, the small number of cases in which tranche releases were not aligned with the commencement of construction highlights the need for stronger integration between financial controls and physical verification mechanisms, rather than expanding payment outreach alone.

5.6. Construction Quality, DRR and MCG Compliance

This subsection assesses compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCGs), incorporation of disaster risk reduction (DRR) features, and overall construction quality observed during field monitoring. The analysis focuses on structural elements critical to safety, resilience, and durability, as well as beneficiary awareness and training related to MCG requirements.

5.6.1. COMPLIANCE WITH KEY STRUCTURAL AND DRR STANDARDS

Field monitoring indicates a high level of compliance with foundational and structural MCG requirements across most monitored sites. Critical parameters related to excavation depth, plinth elevation, footing dimensions, wall thickness, and roof installation were largely found to be compliant with prescribed standards.

Table 5.6.1: Compliance with Selected Structural and DRR Standards

MCG / DRR Parameter	Q-2	Q-1
	Compliant Cases	Compliant Cases
Raised platform above flood level	556 / 556	352 / 361
First footing step dimensions	553 / 556	358 / 361
Plinth level above normal surface	553 / 556	355 / 361
Damp proofing provided	471 / 556	200 / 361
Minimum wall thickness	482 / 482	136 / 137

Detailed district-wise compliance records are provided in **Annexure Q-82 to Annexure Q-87**.

Table 5.6.2: District-Wise Raised Platform Above Flood Level

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Raised Platform Above Flood Level		Raised Platform Above Flood Level	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0	22	1
Dera Bugti	33	1	43	1
Harnai	18	0	10	0
Jaffarabad	84	0	58	2
Jhal Magsi	18	0	7	1
Kachhi	64	0	1	1
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	62	1	57	0
Musakhel	22	0	20	0
Nasirabad	100	0	73	2
Sohbatpur	45	0	31	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0	30	1
Overall	554	2	352	9

Table 5.6.3: District-Wise First Footing Step Dimensions

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	First Footing Step Dimensions Check		First Footing Step Dimensions Check	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0	23	0
Dera Bugti	33	1	44	0
Harnai	18	0	10	0
Jaffarabad	84	0	59	1
Jhal Magsi	18	0	8	0
Kachhi	63	1	1	1
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	62	1	57	0
Musakhel	22	0	20	0
Nasirabad	100	0	74	1

Sohbatpur	45	0	31	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0	31	0
Overall	553	3	358	3

Table 5.6.4: District-Wise Plinth Level Above Normal Surface

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Plinth Level Above Normal Surface		Plinth Level Above Normal Surface	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0	23	0
Dera Bugti	33	1	43	1
Harnai	18	0	10	0
Jaffarabad	84	0	58	2
Jhal Magsi	18	0	7	1
Kachhi	64	0	1	1
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	62	1	57	0
Musakhel	22	0	20	0
Nasirabad	99	1	74	1
Sohbatpur	45	0	31	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0	31	0
Overall	553	3	355	6

Table 5.6.5: District-Wise Damp Proofing Provided

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Damp Proofing with Polythene Sheet		Damp Proofing with Polythene Sheet	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	33	1	43	1
Harnai	1	17	0	10
Jaffarabad	80	4	29	31
Jhal Magsi	15	3	0	8
Kachhi	38	26	0	2
Kohlu	18	13	0	0
Lasbela	54	9	51	6
Musakhel	22	0	0	20
Nasirabad	89	11	26	49
Sohbatpur	44	1	28	3
Usta Muhammad	51	0	23	8
Overall	471	85	200	161

Table 5.6.6: District-Wise Minimum Wall Thickness

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Minimum Wall Thickness Check		Minimum Wall Thickness Check	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	21	0	3	0
Dera Bugti	24	0	16	0
Harnai	16	0	3	0
Jaffarabad	69	0	18	0
Jhal Magsi	16	0	3	0
Kachhi	53	0	0	0
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	59	0	32	1
Musakhel	18	0	8	0
Nasirabad	85	0	35	0
Sohbatpur	43	0	5	0
Usta Muhammad	47	0	13	0
Overall	482	0	136	1

While overall compliance is strong, damp proofing with polythene sheets showed comparatively lower compliance, particularly in selected districts, indicating an area requiring reinforced supervision and beneficiary guidance.

5.6.2. ROOFING, DRAINAGE, VENTILATION AND ACCESSIBILITY FEATURES

Roof installation and rainwater drainage systems were largely compliant at sites where roofing activities had commenced. However, a limited number of cases exhibited deficiencies related to drainage slope or roof detailing, which may affect long-term resilience if not corrected.

Accessibility adaptations for persons with disabilities, such as ramps and tactile features, were observed in a small number of cases, reflecting limited integration of universal design features beyond households with explicitly identified needs.

Table 5.6.7: Selected Construction Quality and Accessibility Features

Feature	Observed / Applicable
Roof type installed as per MCG	385 / 402
Proper roof drainage system	385 / 402
Accessibility adaptations provided	2 / 8
Ventilators and windows installed	Observed across all districts

District-level and feature-specific details are provided in **Annexure Q-88 to Annexure Q-91**.

Table 5.6.8: District-Wise Roof Type Installed as Per MCG

District	Q-2		
	Roof Type Installation Check		
	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	4	17
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Harnai	13	0	13
Jaffarabad	62	1	63
Jhal Magsi	10	0	10
Kachhi	48	0	48
Kohlu	23	4	27
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	13	5	18
Nasirabad	64	3	67
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	37	0	37
Overall	385	17	402

Table 5.6.9: District-Wise Proper Roof Drainage System

District	Q-2		
	Water Drainage System Check		
	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	4	17
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Harnai	10	3	13
Jaffarabad	62	1	63
Jhal Magsi	10	0	10
Kachhi	48	0	48
Kohlu	23	4	27
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	13	5	18
Nasirabad	67	0	67
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	37	0	37
Overall	385	17	402

Table 5.6.10: District-Wise Accessibility Adaptations Provided

District	Q-2		
	Installation of 1:12 Ramp with Handrails for Accessibility		
	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	2	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	1	1
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	6	8

5.6.3. MCG TRAINING, AWARENESS AND APPLICATION

MCG-related training was reported in 396 cases, with 345 beneficiaries confirming participation in IP-organized MCG training sessions. Post-training awareness was strongest around plinth height, lintel, and roof specifications, suggesting effective transmission of core technical requirements.

However, variations in compliance for certain elements, particularly damp proofing and drainage detailing, indicate that training uptake does not always translate into uniform on-site application, underscoring the importance of continuous supervision.

Training coverage and awareness indicators are detailed in **Annexure K-47**.

Table 5.6.11: District-Wise Training on MCG by IP

District	Q2	
	Training on MCG by IP	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0
Dera Bugti	31	3
Duki	0	0
Harnai	18	0
Jaffarabad	73	11
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	13	51
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	30	1
Lasbela	62	1
Musakhel	22	0
Nasirabad	23	77
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0
Overall	394	162

5.6.4. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

The overall construction quality and MCG compliance under Component-III is assessed as technically sound, with strong adherence to core structural and DRR standards. Observed gaps are localized and correctable, rather than systemic.

Priority areas for continued attention include:

- Reinforcement of damp proofing requirements
- Close supervision of roof drainage detailing

- Improved integration of accessibility features for households with special needs

Sustained technical oversight and targeted corrective guidance will be essential to preserve construction quality as implementation advances toward completion.

5.7. Community Institutions, VRC Functionality and Participation

This subsection examines the formation, functionality, and engagement of Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) as community-level institutions supporting housing reconstruction under Component-III. The analysis assesses awareness, participation, training, and the practical role played by VRCs in facilitating reconstruction activities.

5.7.1. AWARENESS AND FORMATION OF VILLAGE RECONSTRUCTION COMMITTEES

Field monitoring indicates that awareness of VRCs among beneficiaries is moderate and uneven across districts. Out of 558 households responding to VRC awareness questions, 312 households (56%) reported awareness of VRCs, while 246 households (44%) were not aware of their existence.

Table 5.7.1: VRC Awareness and Formation Status

Indicator	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
Aware of VRC	311	137
Not aware of VRC	245	236
VRC formed (where awareness exists)	305	133
VRC not formed	6	4

District-wise awareness and formation details are provided in **Annexure I-19 to Annexure I-20**.

Table 5.7.2: District-Wise Aware of VRC

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)		Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	21	5	17	6
Dera Bugti	28	6	2	42
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	17	1	7	3
Jaffarabad	28	56	3	63
Jhal Magsi	0	18	2	6
Kachhi	10	54	1	1
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	44	19	56	1
Musakhel	22	0	2	18
Nasirabad	18	82	12	63
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	42	3	24	13
Usta Muhammad	50	1	11	20
Overall	311	245	137	236

Table 5.7.3: District-Wise VRC formed (where awareness exists)

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Status of VRC Formation		Status of VRC Formation	
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Barkhan	21	0	16	1
Dera Bugti	28	0	1	1
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	17	0	7	0
Jaffarabad	25	3	3	0
Jhal Magsi	10	0	2	0
Kachhi	0	0	1	0
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	43	1	56	0
Musakhel	22	0	2	0
Nasirabad	18	0	10	2
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	40	2	24	0
Usta Muhammad	50	0	11	0
Overall	305	6	133	4

The data suggest that where awareness exists, VRC formation has largely taken place, indicating that the primary gap lies in outreach and communication rather than institutional establishment.

5.7.2. VRC MEMBERSHIP, TRAINING AND PARTICIPATION

Among formed VRCs, 175 households reported having a member participating in VRC activities. Most members served as general members, with a smaller number holding leadership roles such as chairperson or secretary.

Training coverage among VRC members was reported to be near-universal, and consultation meetings were held in most cases, although meeting frequency varied and was often conducted on an as-needed basis rather than on a fixed schedule.

Table 5.7.3: VRC Participation and Capacity Indicators

Indicator	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
VRC members identified	175	100
VRC members trained	174	120
VRC consultation meetings held	170	113
Meetings held as needed	147	83

Detailed participation, role distribution, and meeting frequency tables are provided in **Annexure I-21** to **Annexure I-25**.

Table 5.7.4: District-Wise Members Identified

DISTRICT	Q-2		Q-1	
	VRC Membership Status		VRC Membership Status	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	21	0	11	5
Dera Bugti	28	0	1	0
Harnai	17	0	6	1
Jaffarabad	7	18	1	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	2
Kachhi	2	8	0	1
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	13	30	56	0
Musakhel	22	0	0	2
Nasirabad	6	12	1	9
Sohbatpur	14	26	15	9
Usta Muhammad	14	36	9	2
Overall	175	130	100	33

Table 5.7.5: District-Wise VRC Members Trained

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Training on VRC Roles and Responsibilities		Training on VRC Roles and Responsibilities	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	21	0	14	3
Dera Bugti	28	0	1	1
Harnai	17	0	7	0
Jaffarabad	6	1	2	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	1	1
Kachhi	2	0	0	1
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	13	0	56	0
Musakhel	22	0	1	1
Nasirabad	6	0	7	5
Sohbatpur	14	0	20	4
Usta Muhammad	14	0	11	0
Overall	174	1	120	17

Table 5.7.6: District-Wise VRC Consultation Meetings Held

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	VRC Consultation Meetings		VRC Consultation Meetings	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	21	0	12	2
Dera Bugti	28	0	1	0
Harnai	14	3	7	0
Jaffarabad	5	2	2	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	1
Kachhi	2	0	0	0
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	13	0	56	0
Musakhel	22	0	0	1
Nasirabad	6	0	5	2
Sohbatpur	14	0	19	1
Usta Muhammad	14	0	11	0
Overall	170	5	113	7

Table 5.7.7: District-Wise VRC Consultation Meetings Held

District	Q-2						
	Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings						
	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed/Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	27	0	28
Harnai	0	0	0	2	12	0	14
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	3	2	0	5
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Kohlu	0	0	0	12	19	0	31
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	13	0	13
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Nasirabad	0	5	0	0	1	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Overall	0	5	1	17	147	0	170

Table 5.7.8: District-Wise VRC Consultation Meetings Held (Q-1)

District	Q-1						
	Frequency of VRC consultation meetings						Overall
	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As per needed	Never	
Barkhan	0	0	0	1	10	0	11
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Harnai	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
Sohbatpur	0	0	8	7	1	0	16
Usta Muhammad	0	1	0	0	9	0	10
Overall	0	1	11	11	83	0	106

5.7.3. VRC SUPPORT IN HOUSING RECONSTRUCTION

Out of 312 households associated with formed VRCs, 248 households reported receiving some form of assistance from VRCs during reconstruction. Support most commonly involved guidance on construction activities, coordination with IP or HRU teams, and assistance to vulnerable households.

However, the extent and type of support varied significantly across districts, indicating differences in VRC capacity and engagement levels.

5.7.4. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

The findings indicate that VRCs are functioning as supportive community platforms where they are known and engaged, particularly in facilitating coordination and guidance. The principal constraint lies in limited beneficiary awareness, which reduces the potential reach and effectiveness of these institutions.

Strengthening communication on VRC roles, standardizing meeting practices, and reinforcing VRC engagement in districts with lower awareness could enhance community-level support and improve reconstruction outcomes.

5.8. Safeguards, GRM and Social Risk

This subsection reviews the status of social safeguards implementation under Component-III, with emphasis on beneficiary awareness and use of the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), inclusion-related risks, and any signals of social tension or conflict observed during field monitoring.

5.8.1. GRM AWARENESS, ACCESSIBILITY AND USE

Field monitoring indicates low overall awareness of the GRM among beneficiaries. Out of 556 households, only 142 households (25%) reported being aware of the GRM, while 414 households (75%) reported no awareness.

Among households aware of the GRM, orientation on how to use the mechanism was reported in most cases. However, no formal complaints were submitted through the GRM during the reporting period. This suggests that low usage is primarily driven by limited awareness, rather than functional barriers within the mechanism itself.

District-wise GRM awareness, visibility of GRM information, and orientation records are provided in **Annexure P-74 to Annexure P-80**.

Table 5.8.1: GRM Awareness and Usage

Indicator	Q-2	Q-1
	Households	Households
Aware of GRM	142	16
Not aware of GRM	414	357
Complaints submitted through GRM	0	0

Table 5.8.2: District-Wise Aware of GRM

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)		Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26	0	23
Dera Bugti	9	25	1	43
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	18	0	3	7
Jaffarabad	3	81	0	66
Jhal Magsi	0	18	0	8
Kachhi	5	59	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	30	1	0	0
Lasbela	0	63	1	56
Musakhel	0	22	0	20
Nasirabad	2	98	4	71
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	36	9	2	35
Usta Muhammad	39	12	5	26
Overall	142	414	16	357

Table 5.8.3: District-Wise Complaints submitted through GRM

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Submission of Complaints Through GRM		Submission of Complaints Through GRM	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	9	0	1
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	18	0	3
Jaffarabad	0	3	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	5	0	0
Killa Abdullah	0	30	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	2	0	4
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	36	0	2
Usta Muhammad	0	39	0	5
Overall	0	142	0	16

Among households aware of the GRM, orientation on how to use the mechanism was reported in most cases. However, no formal complaints were submitted through the GRM during the reporting period. This suggests that low usage is primarily driven by limited awareness, rather than barriers within the mechanism itself.

Women's ability to independently use the GRM was reported to be limited, particularly in districts with lower female mobility and social constraints.

Table 5.8.4: 6.8B: District-Wise Women's Ability to Use GRM (Q-2)

District	Q-2	
	Women's Ability to Use GRM	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	0
Dera Bugti	3	6
Duki	0	0
Harnai	11	7
Jaffarabad	1	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0
Kachhi	0	5
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	3	27
Lasbela	0	0
Musakhel	0	0
Nasirabad	1	1
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	1	35
Usta Muhammad	1	38
Overall	21	121

5.8.2. GENDER-DIFFERENTIATED ACCESS TO GRM

Gender-disaggregated analysis reveals additional access constraints for women, beyond general awareness gaps. Among households where women were aware of the GRM, the majority reported limited ability to independently use the mechanism, particularly in districts characterized by lower female mobility and social restrictions.

This indicates that even where GRM information is available, practical access for women remains constrained, reducing the likelihood that grievances related to payments, construction quality, or social issues will be formally reported. The absence of submitted complaints should therefore be interpreted with caution, as it may reflect under-reporting rather than absence of issues.

5.8.3. SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS AWARENESS AND RISK SIGNALS

Awareness of broader social safeguards, including inclusion principles and behavioral expectations during reconstruction, was mixed across districts. While safeguards orientation was reported in several districts, gaps remain in consistent community-level communication.

Monitoring identified very limited instances of social conflict, with only three localized cases of community-level disagreements reported. No patterns of recurring tension, labor-related disputes, or gender-based conflict were observed during the reporting period.

Table 5.8.5: Social Risk Signals Observed

Indicator	Q-2	Q-1
	Cases	Cases
Social conflicts reported (Land Dispute)	3	0
Signs of social tension	0	0
Gender-related disputes	0	0

District-level social risk observations are detailed in **Annexure L-52**.

Table 5.8.6: District-Wise Social Conflicts Reported (Land Dispute)

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Social Conflicts Observed or Reported		Social Conflicts Observed or Reported	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26	0	23
Dera Bugti	0	34	0	44
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	18	0	10
Jaffarabad	0	84	0	66
Jhal Magsi	0	18	0	8
Kachhi	0	64	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	31	0	0
Lasbela	3	60	0	57
Musakhel	0	22	0	20
Nasirabad	0	100	0	75
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	45	0	37
Usta Muhammad	0	51	0	31
Overall	3	553	0	373

5.8.4. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

Overall, social risk levels under Component-III remain low and localized, with no evidence of systemic safeguards failures. However, low GRM awareness combined with gender-specific access constraints represent a latent risk for delayed issue detection.

Strengthening GRM visibility alone may not be sufficient. Complementary measures, such as female-focused orientation, trusted intermediaries, and clearer guidance through IP staff and VRCs, will be important to ensure that women and vulnerable groups can effectively raise concerns as implementation continues.

5.9. Labor, OHS and Workplace Safety Compliance

This subsection examines labor practices and occupational health and safety conditions observed at construction sites under Component-III. The analysis covers labor sourcing patterns, workforce inflow dynamics, safety training, workplace conditions, and the incidence of labor-related risks or incidents.

5.9.1. LABOR ENGAGEMENT AND WORKFORCE CHARACTERISTICS

Field monitoring indicates that local labor engagement is the dominant practice across monitored sites. At the same time, construction activity has resulted in significant inflow of labor in selected districts, reflecting the scale and intensity of reconstruction works.

Table 5.9.1: Labor Engagement and Workforce Characteristics

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
Local labor hired	536	20	556
Child or forced labor observed	0	556	556
Social conflict related to labor	0	556	556

District-wise labor engagement patterns are provided in **Annexure M-56 to Annexure M-57**.

Table 5.9.2: Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities

District	Q-2	
	56 - Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	32	2
Duki	0	0
Harnai	4	14
Jaffarabad	84	0
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	6	58
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	31	0
Lasbela	63	0
Musakhel	0	22
Nasirabad	3	97
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0
Overall	319	237

Table 5.9.3: Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site

District	Q2	
	Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34
Duki	0	0
Harnai	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	0	64
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	0	31
Lasbela	0	63
Musakhel	0	22
Nasirabad	0	100
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51
Overall	0	556

The data indicate that while labor inflow is notable in several districts, it has not translated into labor-related conflict, social tension, or safeguards violations. Continued reliance on local labor appears to have mitigated potential community friction.


5.9.2. OHS TRAINING, WORKER FACILITIES AND SAFETY INCIDENTS

OHS-related capacity and workplace conditions show mixed performance across monitored sites. While a majority of households reported some form of safety orientation, coverage remains uneven and basic worker facilities are not consistently available.

Table 5.9.4: OHS Training, Facilities and Safety Incidents

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
OHS training provided to labor	306	250	556
Drinking water available at site	476	80	556
Toilet facility available at site	138	418	556
Formal safety incident reporting practiced	0	1	1

Labor Training, Facilities and Safety



Detailed OHS training coverage, worker facility availability, and accident reporting practices are provided in **Annexure M-55, Annexure M-59 and Annexure M-60.**

Table 5.9.5: Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor

District	Q-2	
	Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor	
Barkhan	26	0
Dera Bugti	29	5
Duki	0	0
Harnai	17	1
Jaffarabad	6	78
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	1	63
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	31	0
Lasbela	63	0
Musakhel	22	0
Nasirabad	15	85
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0
Overall	306	250

Table 5.9.6: Worker Amenities and Facilities (Q-2)

District	Q-2		
	Worker Amenities and Facilities		
	Drinking water at the construction or activity site	Toilet	None
Barkhan	26	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	34
Duki	0	0	0
Harnai	18	18	0
Jaffarabad	79	30	4
Jhal Magsi	18	0	0
Kachhi	60	50	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0
Kohlu	12	4	19
Lasbela	48	25	14
Musakhel	22	0	0
Nasirabad	98	9	2
Quetta	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	2	0
Usta Muhammad	50	0	1

Table 5.9.7: Workplace Accidents or Incidents

District	Q-2	
	Workplace Accidents or Incidents	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34
Duki	0	0
Harnai	0	18
Jaffarabad	1	83
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	0	64
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	0	31
Lasbela	0	63
Musakhel	0	22
Nasirabad	0	100
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51
Overall	1	555

Only one workplace accident was reported during the monitoring period. However, the absence of structured safety incident reporting mechanisms at site level indicates that minor incidents may remain undocumented.

5.9.3. CHILD LABOR, FORCED LABOR AND COMPLIANCE SIGNALS

No cases of child labor or forced labor were reported or observed during field monitoring. This indicates strong compliance with labor safeguards requirements under Component-III.

District-wise compliance verification is provided in **Annexure M-57**.

Table 5.9.8: Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site

District	Q-2	
	Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34
Duki	0	0
Harnai	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	0	64
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	0	31
Lasbela	0	63
Musakhel	0	22
Nasirabad	0	100
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51
Overall	0	556

5.9.4. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

Labor practices under Component-III demonstrate strong compliance with core labor safeguards, including the absence of child or forced labor and minimal labor-related social risk. The combination of high local labor engagement and limited conflict signals reflects positive community absorption of reconstruction activities.

At the same time, uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent availability of basic worker facilities represent manageable but important risks. As construction activity continues at scale, strengthening routine OHS orientation, minimum site safety standards, and simple incident reporting practices will be essential to prevent avoidable accidents and improve worker welfare.

5.10. Environmental and Disaster Risk Observations

This subsection reviews environmental conditions and disaster risk exposure at construction sites under Component-III. The analysis covers hazard proximity, site drainage and water flow conditions, construction-related environmental nuisance, and natural resource impacts observed during field monitoring.

5.10.1. HAZARD EXPOSURE AND SITE RISK CONTEXT

Field monitoring confirms that reconstruction activities are being undertaken in areas with varying degrees of hazard exposure, consistent with the post-flood context of the project. Out of 558 households, 249 households (45%) reported that their construction site is located near a hazard-prone area.

Dominant hazard types reported include flood plains, flash flood ranges, and earthquake-prone zones, with notable district-wise variation. Prior flood impacts at construction sites were also reported in a substantial number of cases, reinforcing the importance of DRR-compliant construction practices.

Table 5.10.1: Proximity of Construction Sites to Hazard-Prone Areas

Indicator	Households
Site near hazard-prone area	249
Site not near hazard-prone area	307
Total	556

District-wise hazard exposure, flood history, erosion indicators, and site-in-flow-path observations are provided in **Annexure K-36**.

Table 5.10.1: Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area

District	Q2	
	Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34
Duki	0	0
Harnai	16	2
Jaffarabad	67	17
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	5	59
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	0	31
Lasbela	63	0
Musakhel	2	20
Nasirabad	0	100
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0
Overall	249	307

5.10.2. SITE DRAINAGE AND RAINWATER FLOW CONDITIONS

Site-level drainage and rainwater flow conditions present mixed performance. While proper rainwater flow was observed at several sites, 316 households reported inadequate or improper drainage arrangements, indicating localized risks of water accumulation or erosion if not corrected.

Table 5.10.2: Rainwater Flow Conditions at Construction Sites

Indicator	Households
Proper flow of rainwater observed	242
Improper flow of rainwater observed	314
Total	556

District-wise drainage observations are provided in **Annexure K-40**.

Table 5.10.3: Proper Flow of Rainwater

District	Q-2	
	Proper Flow of Rainwater	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	26	0
Dera Bugti	0	34
Duki	0	0
Harnai	18	0
Jaffarabad	6	78
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	5	59
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	13	18
Lasbela	62	1
Musakhel	22	0
Nasirabad	1	99
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	39	6
Usta Muhammad	50	1
Overall	242	314

5.10.3. FLOOD HISTORY, EROSION AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNALS

A considerable number of households reported prior flood impacts at their sites, highlighting that reconstruction is frequently occurring in areas with demonstrated exposure history. Limited cases of land erosion or landslide history were reported, though these were concentrated in a small number of districts.

Flood history, erosion indicators, and site-in-flow-path observations are detailed in **Annexure K-37** and **Annexure K-39**.

Table 5.10.4: Types of Hazards (Multiple Choice)

District	Q-2								
	Types of Hazard (Multiple Choice)								
	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	3	10	15	1	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	63	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	57	61	49	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	6	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	40

Table 5.10.5: Low-Lying Area Status of Site

District	Q-2	
	Low-Lying Area Status of Site	
	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34
Duki	0	0
Harnai	1	17
Jaffarabad	0	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18
Kachhi	5	59
Killa Abdullah	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0
Kohlu	0	31
Lasbela	63	0
Musakhel	0	22
Nasirabad	0	100
Quetta	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0
Usta Muhammad	51	0
Overall	165	391

5.10.4. CONSTRUCTION-RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL NUISANCE AND NATURAL RESOURCE IMPACT

Construction-related environmental impacts were specifically assessed to determine whether reconstruction activities are generating nuisance or ecological stress at community level.

Table 5.10.6: Environmental Nuisance and Natural Resource Impact Indicators

Indicator	Yes	No	Total
Construction-related dust observed	8	546	556
Noise or vibration impacts reported	0	556	556
Wildlife disturbance observed	0	556	556
Trees removed during construction	4	552	556
Reforestation conducted for removed trees	0	4	4

District-wise environmental observations and natural resource impact details are provided in **Annexure N-63** and **Annexure N-68**.

Table 5.10.7: Dust Control via Water Sprinkling

District	Q-2		Q-1	
	Dust Control via Water Sprinkling		Dust Control via Water Sprinkling	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Barkhan	0	26	0	23
Dera Bugti	31	3	0	44
Duki	0	0	0	0
Harnai	9	9	0	10
Jaffarabad	53	31	1	65
Jhal Magsi	13	5	2	6
Kachhi	26	38	0	2
Killa Abdullah	0	0	0	0
Killa Saifullah	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	31	0	0	0
Lasbela	50	13	0	57
Musakhel	11	11	0	20
Nasirabad	85	15	0	75
Quetta	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	45	0	3	34
Usta Muhammad	49	2	0	31
Overall	403	153	6	367

Table 5.10.8: Number of New Plants Planted by HRU (Multiple Choice)

District	Q-2
	Number of New Plants Planted by HRU (Multiple Choice)
Barkhan	
Dera Bugti	0
Duki	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Killa Abdullah	0
Killa Saifullah	0
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Quetta	0
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0

The findings indicate that construction-related environmental nuisance is minimal, with very limited dust observations and no reported noise, vibration, or wildlife disturbance. Tree removal was rare and localized, although compensatory planting was not observed in the monitored cases.

5.10.5. ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

Environmental and disaster-risk observations suggest that Component-III reconstruction is progressing in hazard-exposed contexts without generating significant secondary environmental impacts. While hazard proximity and drainage conditions remain relevant risks in several districts, construction activities themselves are not contributing materially to environmental degradation or community-level nuisance.

Priority management attention should focus on:

- Improving site-level drainage and rainwater flow arrangements in hazard-prone areas
- Reinforcing beneficiary guidance on simple environmental good practices
- Ensuring documentation and follow-up where natural resource impacts, such as tree removal, occur

5.11. Key MEL Insights & Learning

This subsection synthesizes cross-cutting insights emerging from field monitoring and analysis under Component-III during QPR-02. The intent is to distill systemic patterns, learning signals, and implementation dynamics that are not evident from individual indicators alone but are critical for adaptive management.

5.11.1. ALIGNMENT BETWEEN FINANCIAL FLOWS AND PHYSICAL PROGRESS

A key learning signal emerging from the analysis is the general effectiveness of tranche-based financing in enabling construction progress, with the majority of monitored houses advancing to roof level or completion. However, the identification of outlier cases where tranche releases were not aligned with construction initiation or progress highlights a control gap at the margins of implementation.

This suggests that while the financing model is sound, verification and synchronization mechanisms between MIS records and field-level supervision require strengthening to prevent erosion of fiduciary discipline as scale increases.

5.11.2. LOCALIZED NATURE OF IMPLEMENTATION BOTTLENECKS

Construction delays, temporary pauses, and material constraints were found to be localized and context-specific, rather than systemic. Tranche delays, labor availability, and site-level issues varied across districts, indicating that uniform corrective measures may be less effective than targeted, district-specific follow-up.

This underscores the importance of risk-based supervision, where monitoring resources are concentrated on districts or cases exhibiting repeated pauses, non-initiation after tranche release, or quality deviations.

5.11.3. TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE WITH SELECTIVE QUALITY RISKS

Overall compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines and core DRR standards is strong, particularly for foundational and structural elements. The learning signal here is that training and guidance mechanisms are effective for critical safety parameters, but less consistently applied for secondary elements such as damp proofing, drainage detailing, and accessibility features.

This suggests the need for reinforcement rather than redesign of technical guidance, with emphasis on supervision and on-site correction rather than additional training alone.

5.11.4. SOCIAL INCLUSION AND COMMUNITY INTERFACE DYNAMICS

Monitoring confirms that Component-III is reaching a vulnerability-prone population, including women-headed households and households with elderly or disabled members. At the same time, community institutions such as VRCs are effective where awareness exists, but their reach remains uneven.

The learning signal is that institutional presence alone does not ensure impact; consistent communication and beneficiary awareness are necessary to translate structures into functional support mechanisms.

5.11.5. SAFEGUARDS AND RISK VISIBILITY

Social and labor-related risks remain low and localized, with no evidence of systemic conflict, prohibited labor practices, or environmental harm. However, low awareness and limited use of the GRM, particularly among women, suggests that some risks may remain underreported rather than absent.

This indicates that safeguards systems are functionally present but not yet fully internalized by beneficiaries, pointing to the need for visibility and confidence-building rather than procedural overhaul.

5.11.6. OVERALL LEARNING SIGNAL

Taken together, the MEL findings indicate that Component-III is operationally robust and technically sound, but increasingly requires fine-tuned control, targeted supervision, and communication strengthening as implementation matures. The dominant learning is not about redesigning systems, but about sharpening execution discipline and feedback loops to sustain quality, accountability, and inclusion on a scale.

5.12. Implications for Project Management and Corrective Actions

This subsection outlines the practical implications of the MEL findings for project management under Component-III and identifies priority corrective and preventive actions to strengthen implementation performance in subsequent quarters.

5.12.1. STRENGTHENING TRANCHE-PROGRESS VERIFICATION CONTROLS

The identification of a small number of cases where tranche releases occurred without corresponding construction initiation highlights the need to tighten pre- and post-tranche verification protocols. Project management should reinforce field-level validation prior to tranche approval and introduce focused post-disbursement checks for early-stage cases to ensure timely construction initiation.

Targeted review of outlier cases involving second or third tranche releases without physical progress should be undertaken to prevent recurrence and reinforce fiduciary discipline.

5.12.2. ENHANCING RISK-BASED SUPERVISION AND FOLLOW-UP

Given that implementation bottlenecks and construction pauses are localized rather than systemic, a risk-based supervision approach is warranted. Districts and households exhibiting repeated pauses, delayed initiation, or quality deviations should be prioritized for follow-up visits and technical support, rather than applying uniform monitoring intensity across all sites.

This approach would enable more efficient use of supervisory resources while addressing high-risk cases proactively.

5.12.3. REINFORCING TECHNICAL QUALITY AND SECONDARY MCG ELEMENTS

While compliance with core structural and DRR standards is strong, selective gaps in damp proofing, drainage detailing, and accessibility adaptations indicate the need for reinforced on-site supervision. Project management should emphasize corrective guidance at site level, supported by simple visual aids and spot checks, rather than additional classroom-style training.

Particular attention should be given to households with elderly or disabled members to ensure that accessibility features are integrated where required.

5.12.4. IMPROVING GRM VISIBILITY AND SAFEGUARDS COMMUNICATION

Low awareness and usage of the GRM suggest the need for enhanced communication and visibility measures, especially for women and vulnerable groups. Project management should ensure that GRM information is consistently displayed at community and site levels and that beneficiaries receive clear guidance on grievance submission channels.

Strengthening the role of IP staff and VRCs as conduits for safeguards messaging can improve early risk detection and resolution.

5.12.5. CONSOLIDATING COMMUNITY INSTITUTION ENGAGEMENT

Where VRCs are known and active, they provide meaningful support to beneficiaries. Project management should focus on expanding beneficiary awareness of VRC roles, standardizing consultation practices, and encouraging more consistent engagement in districts with lower participation levels.

This will help translate existing institutional structures into more effective community-level support mechanisms.

5.12.6. FORWARD FOCUS

Overall, the MEL findings point toward the need for incremental strengthening of execution discipline, rather than structural redesign. By reinforcing verification controls, targeting supervision, and improving communication and feedback loops, Component-III can sustain quality, accountability, and inclusion as reconstruction progresses toward completion.

6. CONSOLIDATED RISK AND IMPACT MATRIX - COMPONENT-III

This section presents a consolidated assessment of key risks identified under Component-III during QPR-02 (October-December 2025), based on implementation progress analysis, field monitoring evidence, and system-level constraints observed during the reporting period. The matrix summarizes the nature of each risk, its potential impact on component performance, current status, and proposed mitigation measures.

The purpose of this matrix is to provide project management with a structured overview of material risks, enabling prioritization of corrective actions and proactive management response as implementation continues at scale.

6.1. Component-1 Key Gaps and Risks Identified

Based on the analysis of implementation progress, MEL findings, and field verification conducted during QPR-02, the following key gaps have been identified under Component-III:

- **Tranche-Construction Initiation Misalignment**

Isolated cases were observed where tranche releases occurred without corresponding initiation of construction activities, indicating gaps in pre- and post-tranche verification.
- **Pending MIS-API Integration**

The absence of API-based integration between the Component-III MIS and the M&E system continues to limit real-time process monitoring, automated triangulation, and dynamic sampling.
- **Unavailability of Geographically and Time-Phased Disaggregated AWP**

The Annual Work Plan for Component-III is not disaggregated by district and month, limiting the ability to track planned versus actual progress at geographic and temporal levels. This constrains systematic assessment of implementation pacing, identification of lagging areas, and alignment of monitoring intensity with planned rollout.
- **Uneven GRM Awareness and Gendered Access Constraints**

Overall awareness of the GRM remains low, with additional access barriers for women, increasing the risk of under-reporting of grievances or emerging issues.
- **Inconsistent OHS Practices at Site Level**

While no systemic labor risks were observed, uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent availability of basic worker facilities persist across districts.
- **Localized Quality Gaps in Secondary Construction Elements**

Minor but recurring deviations were observed in secondary construction elements such as damp proofing, drainage detailing, and accessibility adaptations.

These gaps are localized or structural in nature and manageable but require targeted corrective attention to ensure effective process monitoring, timely management response, and sustained implementation quality as the component continues at scale.

6.2. Implications for Component-III Implementation

If the above gaps are not addressed in a timely and focused manner, the following implications may arise:

- **Fiduciary and Control Risks**

Continued misalignment between tranche disbursement and construction initiation may weaken confidence in tranche-based controls and complicate downstream verification.

- **Reduced Management Responsiveness**

Without MIS-API integration, reliance on manual reconciliation will persist, limiting management's ability to proactively identify and address emerging risks.

- **Under-Reporting of Safeguards and Social Issues**

Low GRM awareness, particularly among women, may result in grievances remaining unreported, delaying corrective action and increasing reputational risk.

- **Increased Safety Risks with Scale-Up**

As construction volumes increase, inconsistent OHS practices could elevate the likelihood of avoidable incidents if not systematically reinforced.

- **Quality Variability Across Sites**

If secondary quality gaps are not corrected early, cumulative effects may affect long-term durability and resilience outcomes of reconstructed housing.

Addressing these implications proactively is essential to sustain implementation momentum, quality assurance, and stakeholder confidence.

6.3. Component-III Corrective Action Matrix

Key Gap	Implication	Agreed Corrective Action	Responsibility	Timeline
Tranche-construction misalignment	Fiduciary and sequencing risk	Strengthen pre- and post-tranche field verification for early-stage cases	HRU / IPs	Immediate and ongoing
Pending MIS-API integration	Limited process monitoring	Prioritize API integration to enable real-time data exchange and triangulation	HRU / FPMU	Short term
Low GRM awareness, especially among women	Under-reporting of issues	Reinforce GRM visibility through IP staff and targeted female-focused orientation	HRU / IPs	Ongoing
Inconsistent OHS practices	Elevated safety risk	Standardize minimum OHS orientation and basic site facility checks	IPs / HRU	Ongoing
Secondary construction quality gaps	Long-term durability risk	Enhance site-level supervision and corrective guidance for secondary elements	IPs	Ongoing

6.4. Consolidated Risk and Impact Matrix

Risk Area	Description of Risk	Potential Impact	Risk Status	Mitigation / Management Response
Implementation Pacing Risk	Construction activity remains concentrated in 12 out of 33 districts, with phased rollout across remaining districts	Slower-than-planned completion against annual targets if geographic expansion is delayed	Medium	Accelerate activation of remaining districts and align field supervision with rollout sequencing
Planning and Tracking Risk	Absence of geographically and month-wise disaggregated AWP for Component-III	Limited ability to assess planned vs actual progress, identify lagging districts, and manage pacing	Medium	Develop and adopt district- and time-phased AWP to support granular progress tracking
Process Monitoring Risk	Pending API integration between Component-III MIS and M&E system	Reduced real-time visibility on tranche-progress sequencing and delayed identification of outliers	Medium	Prioritize MIS-API integration to enable automated triangulation and process monitoring
Fiduciary Control Risk	Isolated cases of tranche release without corresponding construction initiation	Weakening of tranche-based control discipline if not addressed	Low to Medium	Strengthen pre- and post-tranche verification and targeted follow-up of outlier cases
Quality Assurance Risk	Localized deviations in secondary construction elements (e.g. drainage, damp proofing, accessibility)	Potential long-term durability and resilience concerns if deviations accumulate	Low	Reinforce site-level supervision and corrective guidance for secondary construction elements
Safeguards and Inclusion Risk	Low GRM awareness and gender-specific access constraints	Under-reporting of grievances and delayed response to emerging social risks	Medium	Enhance GRM visibility, with targeted outreach to women and vulnerable groups
Labor and OHS Risk	Uneven OHS training coverage and inconsistent worker facilities at sites	Increased likelihood of avoidable safety incidents as construction scales up	Low	Standardize minimum OHS orientation and site safety checks across districts
Environmental and Disaster Risk	Construction in hazard-prone areas with variable site drainage conditions	Increased exposure to localized flood or erosion risks if site practices are weak	Low	Reinforce guidance on site drainage and hazard-informed construction practices

Overall, the risk profile for Component-III during QPR-02 is assessed as manageable, with no systemic or critical risks identified that threaten component viability. The majority of risks are process- and pacing-related, reflecting the scale, geographic spread, and phased nature of implementation rather than fundamental design weaknesses.

Timely resolution of planning and system-level constraints, particularly the development of a disaggregated AWP and completion of MIS integration, will significantly enhance management's ability to proactively manage emerging risks. Continued field monitoring and targeted corrective actions are expected to mitigate localized quality, safeguards, and OHS risks as construction activity intensifies.

7. CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE NEXT QUARTER (JAN - MAR 2026)

This section outlines the agreed corrective actions to be undertaken during the next reporting period (January-March 2026) in response to the implementation gaps and risk signals identified during QPR-02. The corrective actions are focused on strengthening implementation pacing, process monitoring, quality assurance, and safeguards effectiveness under Component-III.

The plan prioritizes actions that are feasible within one quarter and directly linked to issues evidenced through progress analysis and field monitoring.

7.1. Corrective Action Plan

Issue Identified	Corrective Action	Responsible Entity	Timeline (Q3)	Expected Outcome
Limited district activation (12 of 33 districts active)	Accelerate operational rollout in additional districts based on readiness	HRU / IPs	Q3	Broader geographic coverage and increased construction throughput
Pending MIS-API integration	Advance technical work for API-based integration between C-III-MIS and M&E system	HRU / MIS Team	Q3	Enhanced real-time process monitoring and automated triangulation
Tranche-construction initiation misalignment in isolated cases	Implement targeted post-tranche verification for early-stage cases	HRU / IPs	Immediate and ongoing	Reduced occurrence of tranche release without construction initiation
Uneven GRM awareness, particularly among women	Conduct targeted GRM orientation through IP staff and community platforms	HRU / IPs	Q3	Improved awareness and accessibility of grievance mechanisms
Inconsistent OHS practices at site level	Reinforce minimum OHS orientation and basic site safety checks	IPs	Q3	Reduced safety risks as construction activity scales up
Secondary construction quality gaps	Strengthen site-level supervision focusing on drainage, damp proofing, and accessibility	IPs	Q3	Improved consistency in construction quality

7.2. Implementation Focus for the Next Quarter

The corrective actions outlined above are intended to stabilize implementation systems and support the anticipated scale-up of construction activity during the next quarter. Priority will be placed on actions that improve planning clarity, enable proactive monitoring, and reinforce field-level controls without disrupting ongoing construction progress.

Progress against this corrective action plan will be reviewed in the subsequent Quarterly Progress Report to assess effectiveness and determine whether additional measures are required.

ANNEXURES

A. FIELD VISIT SUMMARIES

Planned - Tranche Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Overall
Barkhan	13	6	12	0	31
Dera Bugti	15	7	17	5	44
Harnai	8	2	8	2	20
Jaffarabad	21	11	20	42	94
Jhal Magsi	10	3	9	1	23
Kachhi	17	4	22	29	72
Kohlu	7	3	16	5	31
Lasbela	18	4	26	29	77
Musakhel	9	2	13	2	26
Naseerabad	15	15	36	40	106
Sohbat Pur	42	7	24	7	80
Usta Muhammad	25	3	20	9	57
Overall	200	67	223	171	661

Actual - Stage Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	5	4	7	10	5	31
Dera Bugti	10	1	3	20	3	37
Harnai	2	3	4	9	2	20
Jaffarabad	15	6	22	41	6	90
Jhal Magsi	2	6	2	8	5	23
Kachhi	11	5	19	29	8	72
Kohlu		4	11	16		31
Lasbela	4	8	19	32	14	77
Musakhel	4		7	11	2	24
Nasirabad	15	18	26	41	5	105
Sohbatpur	2	15	12	16	32	77
Usta Muhammad	4	10	15	22	6	57
Overall	74	80	147	255	88	644

Missing - Tranche Wise Monitoring Sample List

District	Tranche: 1	Tranche: 2	Tranche: 3	Tranche: 4	Overall
Dera Bugti	3	2	2	0	7
Jaffarabad	2	0	0	2	4
Musakhel	2	0	0	0	2
Naseerabad	1	0	0	0	1
Sohbat Pur	2	0	1	0	3
Overall	10	2	3	2	17

B. ACTUAL & MISSING SAMPLE LIST**Actual Surveyed - Scheme-Wise Sample List**

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
1	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125556	Abdul Qadim	12/13/2025
2	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	126107	Abdul Qayyume	12/13/2025
3	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	124440	Akhtar Muhammad	12/15/2025
4	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	124990	Ameen Shah	12/11/2025
5	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125801	Bebo Gula	12/12/2025
6	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125165	Bibi Ayesha	12/14/2025
7	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125189	Bibi Gula	12/14/2025
8	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125854	Ghulam Jelani	12/12/2025
9	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125852	Gulmeer Khan	12/12/2025
10	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125252	Hazrat Gul	12/13/2025
11	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	124250	Lal Muhammad	12/10/2025
12	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125703	Muhammad Ilyas	12/13/2025
13	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	126053	Muhammad Wasim	12/14/2025
14	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125952	Muhib Ullah	12/14/2025
15	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	124112	Muraz Khan	12/11/2025
16	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125628	Mussa Jan	12/15/2025
17	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125637	Paind Bibi	12/15/2025
18	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	124348	Saltan Muhammad	12/12/2025
19	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	124267	Sami Ullah	12/15/2025
20	Lot-1	Harnai	Harnai	125028	Taj Bbi	12/11/2025
21	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201431	Aaso	12/16/2025
22	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196957	Abdul Hakeem	12/13/2025
23	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	197440	Abdul Majeed	12/18/2025
24	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196970	Achar	12/12/2025
25	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201518	Alam Khan	12/16/2025
26	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201460	Alam Khan	12/17/2025
27	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201429	Allah Bachaya	12/16/2025
28	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201043	Allah Bakhsh	12/10/2025
29	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201491	Allah Dina	12/17/2025
30	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201413	Allah Rakheo	12/15/2025
31	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198734	Ameer Bakhsh	12/11/2025
32	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201452	Amin	12/19/2025
33	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201092	Anwar	12/13/2025
34	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201023	Azeema	12/10/2025
35	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196955	Azeema	12/12/2025
36	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201442	Dadoo	12/17/2025
37	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201453	Faqeer Mahammad	12/17/2025
38	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196982	Ghullam Hadeer	12/12/2025
39	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	199293	Gulla	12/13/2025
40	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198721	Hakeema	12/11/2025
41	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201078	Hasan	12/15/2025
42	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198737	Haseena	12/11/2025
43	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198785	Haseena	12/19/2025
44	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198725	Imam Bakhsh	12/18/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
45	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201458	Imam Bakhsh	12/18/2025
46	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196968	Ismail	12/11/2025
47	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201420	Jalal	12/15/2025
48	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201456	Jalil	12/19/2025
49	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201409	Jan Muhammad	12/15/2025
50	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198733	Janghi	12/11/2025
51	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201088	Janha	12/13/2025
52	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201433	Kareema	12/16/2025
53	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201451	Karoo	12/19/2025
54	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201508	Khair Muhammad	12/17/2025
55	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196993	Khatija	12/18/2025
56	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201110	Lakhoo	12/13/2025
57	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198761	Main Dad	12/11/2025
58	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201513	Makhan Bibi	12/17/2025
59	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	200247	Meer Muhammad	12/13/2025
60	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198865	Mola Bakhsh	12/12/2025
61	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201418	Muhammad Akbar	12/15/2025
62	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201051	Muhammad Anwar	12/10/2025
63	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201465	Muhammad Anwar	12/17/2025
64	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201480	Muhammad Anwar	12/17/2025
65	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201361	Muhammad Asghar	12/15/2025
66	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201037	Muhammad Aslam	12/10/2025
67	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198863	Muhammad Ibrahim	12/18/2025
68	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201408	Muhammad Ramzan	12/16/2025
69	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201013	Muhammad Shareef	12/13/2025
70	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201474	Muhammad Sharif	12/16/2025
71	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201105	Muhammad Usman	12/13/2025
72	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198864	Nabi Dad	12/12/2025
73	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201417	Nawaz Ali	12/15/2025
74	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198752	Phapoo	12/11/2025
75	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201045	Punoo	12/10/2025
76	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198866	Rahim Bakhsh	12/12/2025
77	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201506	Rahim Bakhsh	12/17/2025
78	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201462	Rasheeda	12/17/2025
79	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	200236	Rasool Bakhsh	12/12/2025
80	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201114	Rasool Bakhsh	12/13/2025
81	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201041	Sabzal Khan	12/10/2025
82	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201411	Safeya	12/17/2025
83	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198723	Sakeena	12/11/2025
84	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	199299	Saleeman	12/11/2025
85	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201403	Sana Ullah	12/16/2025
86	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196983	Satabi	12/12/2025
87	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201503	Shadi Khan	12/16/2025
88	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198781	Shafoo	12/12/2025
89	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	198775	Shaira	12/11/2025
90	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	199263	Sharefan	12/13/2025
91	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201415	Sikandar Ali	12/15/2025
92	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	196962	Soonni	12/12/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
93	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201469	Tharo	12/16/2025
94	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201482	Umer	12/17/2025
95	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201423	Waryal	12/15/2025
96	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	200214	Yameen	12/13/2025
97	Lot-4	Lasbela	Uthal	201100	Zulekha	12/10/2025
98	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313370	Abdul Ali	12/29/2025
99	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313797	Abdul Baqi	12/31/2025
100	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316396	Abdul Ghaffar	12/30/2025
101	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316277	Abdul Hayee	12/25/2025
102	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316372	Abdul Malik	12/26/2025
103	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313988	Abdul Qadeer	1/2/2026
104	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314495	Abdul Qadir	1/1/2026
105	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313441	Abdul Rehman	12/25/2025
106	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	315003	Abul Kalam	12/31/2025
107	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313986	Atta Muhammad	1/1/2026
108	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313989	Basheer Ahmed	12/28/2025
109	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313993	Basheer Ahmed	1/2/2026
110	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314566	Bhawal Khan	12/26/2025
111	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316359	Bibi Raheema	12/29/2025
112	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313984	Dhani Bakhsh	12/30/2025
113	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313831	Ehsan Ali	1/2/2026
114	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314093	Eid Muhammad	1/2/2026
115	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313242	Faiz Muhammad	12/27/2025
116	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313879	Fiza Azeem	12/31/2025
117	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316261	Ghulam Hussain	12/28/2025
118	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316318	Ghulam Mustafa	12/28/2025
119	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313791	Ghulam Qadir	12/27/2025
120	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314737	Hadi Bakhsh	12/26/2025
121	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314606	Hazar Khan	1/1/2026
122	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314485	Hidayatullah	1/1/2026
123	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313834	Jam Khan	12/31/2025
124	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314597	Jamila Bibi	1/1/2026
125	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314167	Mir Gul	12/31/2025
126	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316382	Muhammad Afzal	12/26/2025
127	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314558	Muhammad Alim	12/26/2025
128	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	312827	Muhammad Ameen	12/29/2025
129	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316330	Muhammad Anwar	12/28/2025
130	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313302	Muhammad Asif	12/29/2025
131	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316397	Muhammad Aslam Lehri	12/29/2025
132	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313980	Muhammad Ayub	12/31/2025
133	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313503	Muhammad Azam	1/1/2026
134	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313271	Muhammad Ishaq	12/27/2025
135	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313306	Muhammad Jan	12/29/2025
136	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313455	Muhammad Jan	12/30/2025
137	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313506	Muhammad Mousa	1/1/2026
138	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313442	Muhammad Murad	12/26/2025
139	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316276	Muhammad Qasim	12/30/2025
140	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316375	Muhammad Ramzan	12/28/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
141	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314164	Muhammad Salah	12/31/2025
142	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	312829	Muhammad Tahir	12/29/2025
143	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316300	Muhammad Yar	12/25/2025
144	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316304	Muhammad Zaman	12/29/2025
145	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316351	Muhammed Aslam	12/30/2025
146	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316339	Muhammed Ismail	12/28/2025
147	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313994	Muneer Ahmed	12/27/2025
148	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313247	Nabi Dad	12/27/2025
149	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313464	Nadeem Ahmed	12/30/2025
150	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316365	Nasrullah	12/25/2025
151	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313782	Nazeer Ahmed	12/25/2025
152	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314302	Nokhaiz Khan	12/29/2025
153	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313231	Noor Deen	12/27/2025
154	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313483	Noor Hassan	12/30/2025
155	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313273	Qadir Bakhsh	12/27/2025
156	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	308443	Rasool Bakhsh	12/26/2025
157	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316260	Raza Muhammad	12/25/2025
158	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314100	Roobina	12/28/2025
159	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313841	Sadullah Khan	12/25/2025
160	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314889	Safia Bibi	12/28/2025
161	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316319	Saleem	12/25/2025
162	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313272	Sana Ullah	12/27/2025
163	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313257	Shad Bakht	12/27/2025
164	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314173	Shah Jahan	1/1/2026
165	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316258	Shah Nawaz	12/30/2025
166	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313440	Siraj Ahmed	12/26/2025
167	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	314097	Soomr Khn	12/31/2025
168	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	313461	Sultan Ahmed	12/31/2025
169	Lot-5	Kachhi	Dhadar	316315	Zulfikar	1/1/2026
170	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325837	Abdul Khaliq	12/28/2025
171	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325800	Ali Hassan	12/28/2025
172	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325721	Arsalan Khan	12/28/2025
173	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330964	Attaullah	12/29/2025
174	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325372	Bhagul Bibi	12/27/2025
175	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325851	Hanifa Bibi	12/28/2025
176	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325987	Hussain Bakhsh	12/28/2025
177	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	326120	Marjam Khatoon	12/28/2025
178	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	326280	Marul Nisa	12/27/2025
179	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330971	Mohib Ali	12/29/2025
180	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	331016	Muhammad Hameed	12/29/2025
181	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325585	Muhammad Ramzan	12/28/2025
182	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	326128	Muhammad Yousif	12/28/2025
183	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325387	Noor Muhammad	12/27/2025
184	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325495	Pirani	12/28/2025
185	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330767	Rizwan Ali	12/29/2025
186	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	325564	Sahibi Khatoon	12/27/2025
187	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	326051	Siyani Bibi	12/28/2025
188	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Gandawah	330953	Sona Khan	12/29/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
189	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	333105	Imtiaz Begum	12/31/2025
190	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	346382	Muhammad Ali	12/30/2025
191	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	347297	Muhammad Malok	12/30/2025
192	Lot-6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	332973	Shahzadi	12/31/2025
193	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35238	Abdul Fatah	12/19/2025
194	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43793	Abdul Jabbar	12/22/2025
195	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	45909	Abdul Nabi	12/20/2025
196	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	45892	Abdul Sattar	12/20/2025
197	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39389	Allah Ditta	12/22/2025
198	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35631	Azmat Khatoon	12/21/2025
199	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41132	Bakhtawar Khatoon	12/23/2025
200	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32988	Bhutta Khan	12/21/2025
201	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39379	Farzana	12/22/2025
202	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38343	Fazal Mohammad	12/19/2025
203	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38556	Ghulam Farooq	12/19/2025
204	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38497	Ghulam Sakina Khato	12/19/2025
205	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41280	Hajal	12/21/2025
206	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32913	Jameel Ahmed	12/21/2025
207	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40427	Javed Ali	12/19/2025
208	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41011	Karam Khan	12/20/2025
209	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40958	Kaz Bano	12/20/2025
210	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31100	Khadim Hussain	12/23/2025
211	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35629	Kinayat Ali	12/21/2025
212	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41143	Kiran	12/22/2025
213	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40560	Lateefa	12/20/2025
214	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38355	Maai Bair	12/19/2025
215	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41154	Manzoor	12/22/2025
216	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41111	Mashooq Ali	12/23/2025
217	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	31050	Mayi Begum	12/22/2025
218	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40913	Mewah Khan	12/20/2025
219	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39481	Mohammad Aslam	12/20/2025
220	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40727	Mohan Lal	12/20/2025
221	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41010	Muhammad Aslam	12/23/2025
222	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38356	Muhammad Essa Khan	12/23/2025
223	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38363	Muhammad Ibrahim	12/20/2025
224	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40528	Muhammad Rafiq	12/19/2025
225	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32916	Muhammad Yaqoob	12/21/2025
226	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38369	Muhammad Yousuf	12/23/2025
227	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	46972	Mukhtiar Ahmed	12/24/2025
228	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41245	Mukhtiyar Bibi	12/21/2025
229	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39380	Muneer Ahmed	12/22/2025
230	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40253	Naseeb Ullah	12/19/2025
231	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40525	Naseeban	12/19/2025
232	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41273	Naseem Raja	12/22/2025
233	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41162	Nawaba	12/23/2025
234	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32915	Nihalan Bibi	12/21/2025
235	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41253	Noor Bano	12/21/2025
236	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	45917	Nor Din	12/20/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
237	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34844	Qurban Ali	12/19/2025
238	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40409	Raheem Bakhsh	12/19/2025
239	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40911	Rahib	12/20/2025
240	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40442	Ranjhan	12/19/2025
241	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37132	Razul Bibi	12/23/2025
242	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35647	Rehmat Ali	12/21/2025
243	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	38443	Riaz Ahmed	12/23/2025
244	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40645	Sabir Hussain	12/23/2025
245	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	41185	Shabeer Ahmed	12/22/2025
246	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40706	Sharman Noor	12/24/2025
247	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40738	Sooman Mal	12/20/2025
248	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40710	Vikesh Kumar	12/23/2025
249	Lot-6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34941	Wajahat Ali	12/23/2025
250	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54107	Abdul Aziz	12/11/2025
251	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55299	Abdul Ghani	12/16/2025
252	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54957	Abdul Jabbar	12/15/2025
253	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54024	Abdul Latif	12/16/2025
254	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55545	Abdul Raheem	12/20/2025
255	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55480	Abdul Rasheed	12/21/2025
256	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53948	Abdul Razzaq	12/14/2025
257	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55295	Abdul Sattar	12/12/2025
258	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54796	Abdul Wahab	12/17/2025
259	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54528	Abdul Waheed	12/21/2025
260	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54746	Adam Khan	12/13/2025
261	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54745	Akhtiar Khan	12/10/2025
262	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55460	Ali Ahmed	12/23/2025
263	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54868	Ali Haider	12/15/2025
264	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54351	Ali Haider	12/22/2025
265	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54778	Ali Muhammad	12/13/2025
266	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54316	Ali Muhammad	12/16/2025
267	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54020	Asma Bibi	12/19/2025
268	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54776	Ayaz Ali	12/10/2025
269	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55354	Babal Khan	12/12/2025
270	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55305	Bahadur Khan	12/12/2025
271	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55468	Bakhtawar	12/14/2025
272	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54231	Baqa Muhammad	12/19/2025
273	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55483	Barkat Ali	12/23/2025
274	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54737	Behram Khan	12/13/2025
275	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54949	Bilawal Khan	12/21/2025
276	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54855	Chakar Khan	12/15/2025
277	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55402	Chakar Khan	12/16/2025
278	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54773	Dost Muhammad	12/13/2025
279	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55492	Faqeer Bakhsh	12/19/2025
280	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53947	Farhan Ali	12/17/2025
281	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53937	Fatah U Din	12/20/2025
282	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54472	Fazul Khan	12/22/2025
283	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55584	Ghazi Khan	12/18/2025
284	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54393	Ghulam Fareed	12/22/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
285	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54518	Ghulam Fareed	12/22/2025
286	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54346	Gul Hassan	12/21/2025
287	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54013	Hazoor Bakhsh	12/12/2025
288	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55572	Hazoor Bakhsh	12/20/2025
289	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54322	Himat Ali	12/18/2025
290	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55575	Imam Bux	12/20/2025
291	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54053	Irfan Ali	12/19/2025
292	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54750	Jamil Ahmed	12/15/2025
293	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54335	Juma Khan	12/16/2025
294	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55577	Juman Khan	12/18/2025
295	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55524	Karam Ali	12/15/2025
296	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54825	Kareem Bhaksh	12/21/2025
297	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54740	Khalid Hussain	12/10/2025
298	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53893	Liaqat Ali	12/20/2025
299	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54633	Madad Ali	12/23/2025
300	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54210	Mai Aisha	12/17/2025
301	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55463	Mai Anwar	12/14/2025
302	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54512	Mai Anwar	12/19/2025
303	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54697	Mai Mithi	12/19/2025
304	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55041	Manzoor Ahmed	12/11/2025
305	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55260	Manzoor Ali	12/18/2025
306	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54763	Marvi	12/13/2025
307	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53949	Mashooq Ali	12/11/2025
308	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55662	Mehbob Ali	12/23/2025
309	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54797	Mehrdil Khan	12/17/2025
310	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55527	Mehrullah	12/17/2025
311	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55485	Motan Khan	12/23/2025
312	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54204	Muhammad Bakhsh	12/21/2025
313	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54747	Muhammad Hashim	12/10/2025
314	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55554	Muhammad Ibrahim	12/14/2025
315	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54760	Muhammad Khan	12/23/2025
316	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55461	Muhammad Murad	12/23/2025
317	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54225	Muhammad Qasim	12/22/2025
318	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54965	Muhammad Rafique	12/16/2025
319	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	122330	Muhammad Rafique	12/23/2025
320	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54301	Muhammad Saleh	12/12/2025
321	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55443	Muhammad Siddiq	12/22/2025
322	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54677	Muhammad Umar	12/14/2025
323	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55362	Muhammad Yaqoob	12/10/2025
324	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55599	Munir Ahmad	12/11/2025
325	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54101	Naseebullah	12/11/2025
326	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54738	Nazeeran Bibi	12/10/2025
327	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54716	Niaz Muhammad	12/15/2025
328	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54308	Nisar Ahmed	12/18/2025
329	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54534	Noor Jahan Bibi	12/21/2025
330	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54720	Noor Khatoon	12/13/2025
331	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55602	Parveen	12/11/2025
332	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55450	Perozan	12/21/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
333	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54310	Piyar Ali	12/12/2025
334	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54748	Raheema Bibi	12/15/2025
335	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55429	Rashida	12/12/2025
336	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54670	Roosan Bibi	12/14/2025
337	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55542	Sajjad Ali	12/20/2025
338	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54779	Sardar Khan	12/13/2025
339	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53899	Shah Muhammad	12/20/2025
340	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54788	Shah Nawaz	12/17/2025
341	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55266	Shahid Ali	12/16/2025
342	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54887	Shahzadi	12/13/2025
343	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	53938	Shahzado	12/22/2025
344	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55364	Shaziya	12/16/2025
345	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54438	Sher Dil	12/18/2025
346	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55469	Sher Muhammad Bhargar	12/14/2025
347	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55530	Sikandar Ali	12/17/2025
348	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55576	Sikandar Khan	12/18/2025
349	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55378	Sikander Ali	12/10/2025
350	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55435	Tariq Imdad	12/19/2025
351	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54873	Wazir Khan	12/15/2025
352	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54631	Zahid Hussain	12/20/2025
353	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55447	Zahida Bibi	12/14/2025
354	Lot-7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	54964	Zar Bibi	12/19/2025
355	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3340	Abdul Majeed	12/12/2025
356	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	6943	Abdul Nabi	12/10/2025
357	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3408	Abdul Rasheed	12/16/2025
358	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3039	Abdul Rasheed	12/17/2025
359	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3058	Abdul Razak	12/16/2025
360	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3144	Ali Gul	12/14/2025
361	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3261	Ali Sher	12/17/2025
362	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	6929	Allah Bux	12/14/2025
363	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3146	Allah Dad	12/15/2025
364	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3072	Ameeran Khatoon	12/16/2025
365	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	7153	Arz Muhammad	12/23/2025
366	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3354	Atta Muhammad	12/14/2025
367	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3729	Atta Muhammad	12/17/2025
368	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3385	Babu Ghulam Rasool	12/14/2025
369	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3263	Bashir Ahmed	12/24/2025
370	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3388	Chutta Khan	12/14/2025
371	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3330	Deedar Ali	12/12/2025
372	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3535	Dilmurad	12/17/2025
373	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	9448	Falak Naz	12/25/2025
374	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	7782	Fareeda	12/10/2025
375	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3001	Farzana	12/13/2025
376	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	5352	Ganj Bibi	12/11/2025
377	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3558	Ghulam Fareed	12/17/2025
378	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3082	Gul Muhammad	12/16/2025
379	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	6754	Gull Hassan	12/23/2025
380	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3003	Haseena Khatoon	12/13/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
381	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	4786	Hazoor Bakhsh	12/10/2025
382	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3367	Ijaz Ali	12/12/2025
383	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	21427	Imran Ali	12/10/2025
384	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	12032	Imran Khan	12/23/2025
385	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3397	Isamdad	12/18/2025
386	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3321	Jan Muhammad	12/14/2025
387	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3534	Karam Hussain	12/17/2025
388	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	5381	Khair Bibi	12/11/2025
389	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2885	Khamisa	12/14/2025
390	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3338	Khan Zadi	12/22/2025
391	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3386	Lakhmir Khan	12/15/2025
392	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	5335	Lal Muhammad	12/20/2025
393	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3694	Lutufullah	12/19/2025
394	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	16620	Mai Haseena	12/12/2025
395	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3514	Manthar Ali	12/18/2025
396	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3602	Meer Hazar	12/24/2025
397	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2849	Meeral Khan	12/14/2025
398	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	20223	Mehmooda	12/22/2025
399	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3060	Mohammad Shareef	12/16/2025
400	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2994	Muhammad Azeem	12/25/2025
401	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2876	Muhammad Baksh	12/14/2025
402	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	4764	Muhammad Essa	12/10/2025
403	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3526	Muhammad Ibrahim	12/18/2025
404	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3387	Muhammad Malook	12/15/2025
405	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2836	Muhammad Panah	12/15/2025
406	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	5385	Muhammad Rafiq	12/11/2025
407	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3351	Muhammad Sachal	12/22/2025
408	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3151	Muhammad Sadiq	12/19/2025
409	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3254	Muhammad Salman	12/18/2025
410	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	6858	Muhammad Sharif	12/10/2025
411	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2902	Muhammad Umer	12/11/2025
412	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	5357	Muhammad Zaman	12/10/2025
413	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	16635	Murad Bakhsh	12/25/2025
414	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3366	Mushtaque Ahmed	12/12/2025
415	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	16703	Nadeem Ali	12/14/2025
416	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3033	Najma Bibi	12/20/2025
417	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	21428	Naseebullah	12/10/2025
418	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3575	Nazian	12/15/2025
419	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	16616	Niaz Ali	12/15/2025
420	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2865	Nimatullah	12/14/2025
421	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2942	Pasand Khan	12/19/2025
422	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2980	Peeral	12/19/2025
423	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	16649	Qaima	12/13/2025
424	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	6865	Raheem Dad	12/25/2025
425	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	8274	Rahib Ali	12/23/2025
426	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	7780	Rahim Dad	12/10/2025
427	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3395	Rajul Khatoon	12/19/2025
428	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	6940	Rasool Bakhsh	12/10/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
429	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2834	Raza Ali	12/12/2025
430	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3796	Roshan Khan	12/22/2025
431	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3412	Sabir Ali	12/11/2025
432	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	15919	Sabir Hussain	12/20/2025
433	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3788	Sadiq Ali	12/14/2025
434	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	5371	Safia Bibi	12/10/2025
435	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3063	Sardar Bakhsh	12/16/2025
436	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3123	Sifta Bibi	12/11/2025
437	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2832	Singar Ali	12/12/2025
438	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	2901	Soomar Khan	12/11/2025
439	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	4782	Suleman	12/10/2025
440	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3329	Tota Khan	12/15/2025
441	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	4800	Umrat	12/10/2025
442	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	9222	Wajid Ali	12/12/2025
443	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	8273	Yaseen	12/24/2025
444	Lot-8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3328	Zulekha Bibi	12/15/2025
445	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241257	Amanullah	12/23/2025
446	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238648	Andul Rasheed	12/19/2025
447	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241809	Atta Mohammed	12/21/2025
448	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241910	Baz Muhammad	12/19/2025
449	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240859	Dur Khaton	12/23/2025
450	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242426	Faqir Khan	12/23/2025
451	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243069	Gul Muhammad Shah	12/19/2025
452	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237969	Hakeem Khan	12/22/2025
453	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237994	Hayat Khan	12/22/2025
454	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238151	Jalal Din	12/22/2025
455	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239875	Jalal Khan	12/23/2025
456	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242243	Jan Muhammad	12/19/2025
457	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237747	Kalla Khan	12/20/2025
458	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238652	Karam Deen	12/19/2025
459	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242324	Khuda Bakhsh	12/20/2025
460	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237963	Lal Jan	12/22/2025
461	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237285	Mai Alam Khatun	12/20/2025
462	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242280	Meer Muhammad	12/19/2025
463	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242561	Muhammad Akbar	12/20/2025
464	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242151	Musa Khan	12/20/2025
465	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238153	Nabi Bakhsh	12/22/2025
466	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237999	Niamatullah	12/22/2025
467	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	242593	Nisar Ahmad	12/21/2025
468	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	240589	Noor Khatoon	12/20/2025
469	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243077	Qaim Shah	12/19/2025
470	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241881	Samand Khan	12/23/2025
471	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236072	Taj Bibi	12/22/2025
472	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237722	Wali Muhammad	12/20/2025
473	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	243266	Wazeer Khan	12/22/2025
474	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	241776	Zafar Ali	12/21/2025
475	Lot-9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239389	Zareef Khan	12/23/2025
476	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254326	Abdul Haq	12/29/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
477	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254308	Abdullah	12/27/2025
478	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261778	Ali Nawaz	12/17/2025
479	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254362	Ameer	12/25/2025
480	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254134	Bahar Khan	12/28/2025
481	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254053	Barkat Ali	12/27/2025
482	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254237	Din Muhammad	12/27/2025
483	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254405	Ghulam Ali	12/25/2025
484	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254058	Ghulam Haider	12/27/2025
485	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254220	Hamza Khan	12/28/2025
486	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254253	Hayat Khan	12/28/2025
487	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	262624	Jawansal	12/26/2025
488	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254378	Kalati	12/25/2025
489	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254345	Kamar Din	12/25/2025
490	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254313	Karim Bakhsh	12/28/2025
491	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254335	Meer Baig	12/25/2025
492	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254367	Mehr Dil	12/25/2025
493	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	262350	Muhammad Ali	12/26/2025
494	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254401	Muhammad Hayat	12/25/2025
495	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254319	Muhammad Idrees	12/28/2025
496	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263860	Muhammad Nawaz	12/26/2025
497	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254286	Muhammad Yaqoob	12/27/2025
498	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254375	Muhammad Yousaf	12/25/2025
499	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254355	Qadir Bakhsh	12/25/2025
500	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254309	Qadir Bakhsh	12/28/2025
501	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254193	Rehmat Ullah	12/28/2025
502	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	259558	Salah Ud Din	12/26/2025
503	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	257312	Shah Dost	12/17/2025
504	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254076	Shalo Bibi	12/28/2025
505	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254368	Sher Dil	12/25/2025
506	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254061	Sher Gul	12/27/2025
507	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254381	Sojla	12/26/2025
508	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Sui	266138	Ahmed Bakhsh	12/19/2025
509	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Sui	265528	Azhar Ul Din	12/19/2025
510	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Sui	265962	Khameesa Jan	12/19/2025
511	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Sui	265609	Muhammad Farooq	12/19/2025
512	Lot-9	Dera Bugti	Sui	264728	Sher Ali	12/19/2025
513	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	160679	Dost Ali	12/16/2025
514	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	351966	Ghazi Khan	12/15/2025
515	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	160603	Gul Jan	12/15/2025
516	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161132	Gul Jan	12/15/2025
517	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	160708	Khan Bibi	12/16/2025
518	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161237	Mah Bibi	12/15/2025
519	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	352046	Mando Khan	12/15/2025
520	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	351904	Mehrab Khan	12/15/2025
521	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	349017	Muhammad Azam	12/15/2025
522	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161232	Muhammad Gul	12/15/2025
523	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	160689	Muhammad Haiyat	12/15/2025
524	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161198	Muhammad Jameel	12/15/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
525	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161156	Muhammad Nawaz	12/15/2025
526	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	351843	Muhammad Saleem	12/15/2025
527	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161248	Naseer Muhammad	12/15/2025
528	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	351892	Nazar Muhmmad	12/15/2025
529	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161210	Rozi Khan	12/15/2025
530	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	352011	Shabana	12/15/2025
531	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	351977	Sher Khan	12/15/2025
532	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	161144	Syed Muhammad	12/15/2025
533	Lot-9	Kohlu	Girsani	351940	Zangal Khan	12/15/2025
534	Lot-9	Kohlu	Kahan	353173	Muhammad Murad	12/14/2025
535	Lot-9	Kohlu	Kahan	353174	Musa Khan	12/14/2025
536	Lot-9	Kohlu	Kahan	353105	Qurban Ali	12/14/2025
537	Lot-9	Kohlu	Kohlu	159955	Dur Bibi	12/13/2025
538	Lot-9	Kohlu	Maiwand	159754	Kor Din	12/13/2025
539	Lot-9	Kohlu	Maiwand	159738	Manzoor Khan	12/12/2025
540	Lot-9	Kohlu	Maiwand	159758	Mazarhan	12/13/2025
541	Lot-9	Kohlu	Tamboo	350133	Gul Faraz	12/14/2025
542	Lot-9	Kohlu	Tamboo	352110	Hayat Khan	12/14/2025
543	Lot-9	Kohlu	Tamboo	349987	Qadir Bakhsh	12/14/2025
544	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181478	Ahmad Khan	12/13/2025
545	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181147	Amina Bibi	12/13/2025
546	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181529	Dor Muhammad	12/16/2025
547	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181181	Ghulam Rasool	12/13/2025
548	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181383	Gull Jan	12/15/2025
549	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180797	Hafiz Lal Muhammad	12/12/2025
550	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181203	Jan Muhammad	12/15/2025
551	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181027	Lal Muhammad	12/13/2025
552	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180958	Maher Ban	12/15/2025
553	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181377	Malik Ghulam Muhammad Jaffar	12/13/2025
554	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180800	Meherban	12/14/2025
555	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180971	Mehmood	12/15/2025
556	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181596	Muhammad Essa Khan	12/12/2025
557	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181344	Noor Muhammad	12/16/2025
558	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180769	Ramzan Shah	12/11/2025
559	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180980	Sughra Abbas	12/16/2025
560	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	180687	Surat Khan	12/12/2025
561	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181308	Zanro Bibi	12/13/2025
562	Lot-9	Musakhel	Durg	181588	Zarina Bibi	12/16/2025
563	Lot-9	Musakhel	Tiar Essot	179867	Hakeem Khan	12/17/2025
564	Lot-9	Musakhel	Tiar Essot	179905	Jamal Khan	12/17/2025
565	Lot-9	Musakhel	Tiar Essot	179907	Pastak	12/17/2025
566	Lot-9	Musakhel	Tiar Essot	179820	Sadam Husain	12/17/2025
567	Lot-9	Musakhel	Tiar Essot	179915	Safar Deen	12/17/2025
568	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	281166	Abdul Lateef	12/13/2025
569	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	282531	Abdul Malik	12/13/2025
570	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	294999	Ghulam Rasool	12/13/2025
571	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	282527	Ghulam Yaseen	12/13/2025
572	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	273306	Mohammad Mashooq	12/16/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
573	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	270253	Abdul Rehman	12/17/2025
574	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	275679	Hayatan	12/17/2025
575	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	283795	Zubaida	12/17/2025
576	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	270320	Allah Baksh	12/17/2025
577	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	278738	Chutta Khan	12/17/2025
578	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275963	Ganjh Bakhsh	12/17/2025
579	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	278848	Haider Ali	12/17/2025
580	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Panhwer	275956	Mir Hassan	12/17/2025
581	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	291233	Abdul Khaliq	12/14/2025
582	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297728	Abdul Qadir	12/11/2025
583	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295440	Abdul Raheem	12/11/2025
584	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297791	Abdul Razaq	12/15/2025
585	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	294951	Abdul Samad	12/13/2025
586	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297304	Ali Akbar	12/11/2025
587	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295534	Ali Dost	12/12/2025
588	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	291266	Ali Murad	12/16/2025
589	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297372	Amanullah	12/11/2025
590	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295538	Ameer Bakhsh	12/12/2025
591	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297431	Barkat Ali	12/10/2025
592	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297650	Bibi Aesha	12/11/2025
593	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295529	Dilawar Khan	12/12/2025
594	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296495	Fathe Muhammad	12/10/2025
595	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297482	Fazal Ul Rahman	12/17/2025
596	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297101	Ghulam Farooq	1/6/2026
597	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296239	Ghulam Hussain	12/16/2025
598	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297592	Ghulam Rabani	12/14/2025
599	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297290	Hakeema	12/13/2025
600	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296271	Hakim Zadi	12/10/2025
601	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	293942	Haleema Bibi	12/18/2025
602	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	293016	Hamid Hussain	12/12/2025
603	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297749	Hanifa Bibi	12/15/2025
604	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295457	Heer Mai	12/16/2025
605	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295953	Ihsan Ali	12/16/2025
606	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	292842	Irshad Ali	12/18/2025
607	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297525	Jabal Khan	12/10/2025
608	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296596	Jan Muhammad	12/13/2025
609	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297677	Juma Khan	12/11/2025
610	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297073	Khatoon	12/12/2025
611	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296382	Mah Bibi	12/10/2025
612	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296588	Mahmooda	12/12/2025
613	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297296	Mai Faizan	12/11/2025
614	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296816	Mai Kareema	12/15/2025
615	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295441	Mai Salema Khaton	12/11/2025
616	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	274135	Manzoor Ahmed	12/15/2025
617	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	291205	Marvi	12/10/2025
618	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297114	Muhammad Alim	12/14/2025
619	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296262	Muhammad Ayuob	12/12/2025
620	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297761	Muhammad Nawaz	12/14/2025

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Beneficiary Name	Date of Visit
621	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297732	Muhammad Nawaz	12/15/2025
622	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288355	Muhammad Yaqoob	12/18/2025
623	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296347	Naseer Khan	12/10/2025
624	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296483	Nazia Bibi	12/14/2025
625	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	298073	Qurban Ali	12/10/2025
626	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296477	Qurban Ali	12/14/2025
627	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297563	Rahim Khan	12/12/2025
628	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297109	Raza Muhammad	12/14/2025
629	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290726	Razia Begum	12/15/2025
630	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	271784	Riaz Ahmed	12/14/2025
631	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295536	Sadoro	12/12/2025
632	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296858	Sain Dad	12/16/2025
633	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	273687	Sajida	12/16/2025
634	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297459	Salma	12/10/2025
635	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290713	Shehro	12/10/2025
636	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296866	Shoukat Ali	12/15/2025
637	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297909	Sohrab	12/14/2025
638	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296312	Sulman Khan	12/12/2025
639	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	274352	Talib Hussain	12/14/2025
640	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	296302	Wahid Bakhsh	12/15/2025
641	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288825	Yaseen Barkat	12/15/2025
642	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297681	Zaiba	12/11/2025
643	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	295888	Zulekhan	12/11/2025
644	Lot-10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	297593	Zulifqar Ali	12/16/2025

Missing - Scheme-Wise Sample List

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Respondent Name	Reason
1	Lot 7	Naseerabad	Dera Murad Jamali	55390	ALI BUX	Beneficiary not located
2	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3097	Manthar Ali	The site was visited by team, but due to some technical issue data can't be stored on android application.
3	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3105	Muhammad Ismail	Site Shited
4	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	3120	RAHEEMA	Beneficiary migrated
5	Lot 8	Jaffarabad	JhatPat	16629	Manzoora	Beneficiary migrated
6	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254031	Roshan	Beneficiary not located
7	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254174	Dost Ali	Beneficiary not located
8	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254202	Raheem Khan	Beneficiary not located
9	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254247	Muhammad Nawaz	No site excess due to security operation
10	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	254349	Basheer	No site excess due to security operation
11	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	259528	Mehngal khan	Beneficiary not located
12	Lot 9	Dera Bugti	Tehsil Sui	265911	Hairo khan	No site excess due to security operation
13	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181185	Abdul Rahim	No site excess due to rain
14	Lot 9	Musakhel	Durg	181253	Ghazi Khan	No site excess due to rain
15	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Sohbat Pur	291239	Arbab bibi	Beneficiary migrated
16	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Sohbat Pur	297477	Nawab Khan	Beneficiary migrated
17	Lot 10	Sohbat Pur	Sohbat Pur	297996	Amira Bibi	Beneficiary not located

C. MONITORING TOOLS

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
ID.1.0	1	Informed Consent & Data Privacy: (Enumerator reads aloud at the start of interview) We are conducting this survey as part of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP), supported by the Government of Balochistan and the World Bank. The purpose is to understand your household's situation and monitor the support being provided. Your participation is voluntary. The information you share will remain confidential and will only be used for project monitoring and reporting. You may refuse to answer any question or stop the interview at any time without any impact on your eligibility or entitlements under the project. Do you agree to participate in this survey?
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Profile Data		
Identification		
ID.1.1	1.1	Household Code/ID (Issued by HRU) گھر کا نمبر
ID.1.2	1.2	Lot پیکچ
ID.1.3	1.3	District ضلع
ID.1.4	1.4	Tehsil تحصیل
ID.1.5	1.5	Union Council یونین کونسل
ID.1.6	1.6	Village/Settlement گاؤں
ID.1.7	1.7	Respondent Name: _____ جواب دینے والے کا نام
ID.1.8	1.8	Gender of beneficiary? بینفشری کی جنس
ID.1.9	1.9	CNIC Number? (13 digits only) شناختی کارڈ نمبر
ID.1.10	1.10	Mobile Number? (11 digits only) موبائل نمبر
ID.1.11	1.11	Relation with Beneficiary?
	1	My Self خود
	2	Husband شوہر
	3	Wife بیوی
	4	Brother بھائی
	5	Sister بہن
	6	Father والد
	7	Mother والدہ
	8	Daughter بیٹی
	9	Son بیٹا
	10	Daughter in law بہو
	11	Grand Father دادا / نانا
	12	Grand Mother دادی / نانی
	13	Nephew بھتیجا / بھانجا
	14	Niece بھتیجی / بھانجی
	15	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
ID.1.12	1.12	Date of Visit: _____ / _____ / _____ تاریخ جس دن آپ سروے کر رہے ہیں
ID.1.13	1.13	GPS Coordinates? [Auto captured] جی پی ایس کوآرڈینیٹس [خود بخود درج ہوں گے]
House Head - Info		
FMI.2.1	2.1	Is this a women-headed household? کیا یہ خواتین کی سربراہی والا گھرانہ ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
FMI.2.2	2.2	Is this household headed by a person with any disability? کیا یہ گھرانہ کسی معذور شخص کے زیر سربراہی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
FMI.2.3	2.3	What is your religion? آپ کا مذہب کیا ہے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	1	Muslims مسلمان
	2	Hindus ہندو
	3	Christians عیسائی
	4	Sikhs سکھ
	5	Parsis پارسی
	6	Buddhists بدھ مت کے پیروکار
FMI.2.3y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Beneficiary's Family Composition		
FMI.3.1	3.1	Number of Male members in your family? گھر میں مرد ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
FMI.3.2	3.2	Number of Female members in your family? گھر میں خواتین ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
FMI.3.3	3.3	Number of Transgender members in your family? آپ کے خاندان میں خواجہ سرا افراد کی تعداد کیا ہے
The Loop from Q.# 3.4 to 3.6 will run the same number of times as entered in Q.# 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3		
	3.4	Age? عمر
	3.5	Does this family member have any disability? اس گھرانے کے کسی فرد کو کوئی معذوری ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Greater than Zero" entered in Q.# 3.2 then continue with Q.# 4 Otherwise goto Q.# 5	
	4	If women is from Vulnerable Group, than select the relevant options? اگر خاتون کسی کمزور (محتاج) طبقے سے تعلق رکھتی ہیں خاتون جن جن گروپس میں آتی ہیں، ان تمام آپشنز کا انتخاب کریں
	1	Widow (with no children over 18 years of age) بیوہ (جن کے 18 سال سے بڑے بچے نہ ہوں)
	2	Single women not residing with a male relative اکیلی خواتین جو کسی مرد رشتہ دار کے ساتھ نہیں رہ رہیں
	3	Women with disabled spouse وہ خواتین جن کے شوہر معذور ہیں
	4	Elderly women (above 60 years) معمر خواتین (60 سال سے زائد عمر کی)
	5	Pregnant / lactating women حاملہ / دودھ پلانے والی خواتین
	6	None کوئی نہیں
Stakeholder Interaction		
SI.4.1	5	Were any of the following stakeholders present during the visit? کیا سروے کے دوران درج ذیل اسٹیک ہولڈرز موجود تھے
	1	VRC Member وی آر سی رکن
	2	Community Representative کمیونٹی نمائندہ
	3	IP Project Staff آئی پی پروجیکٹ عملہ
	4	None کوئی نہیں
SI.4.1y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Land and Property Documentation Tracker		
LPDT.5.1	6	Is the land title/mutation officially in the name of the HRU beneficiary/Tenant? کیا زمین کا ٹائٹل/انتقال باضابطہ طور پر فائدہ اٹھانے والے/کرایہ دار کے نام پر ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "No" selected in Q.# 6 then continue with Q.# 7 Otherwise goto Q.# 8	
LPDT.5.1.1	7	Describe the Reason? براہ کرم وضاحت کریں
LPDT.5.2	8	What type of property ownership documentation is available? کون سا قسم کا جائیداد کے ملکیتی دستاویزات دستیاب ہیں
	1	Registered deed رجسٹرڈ ڈیڈ
	2	Tenant - Stamp paper کرایہ دار - اسٹامپ پیپر
	3	Local verification certificate مقامی تصدیقی سرٹیفکیٹ

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	4	سرکار کی ملکیت کی زمین State Land
	5	وراثتی ثبوت Inheritance proof
	6	کوئی دستاویز دستیاب نہیں No document available
LPDT.5.2y	7	دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) Other (please specify)
		If "Other than no document available" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 9 Otherwise goto Q.# 10
LPDT.5.3	9	دستاویزات کی تصویر لیں Take a picture of documents? (If available)
		If "No document available" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 10 Otherwise goto Q.# 11
LPDT.5.4	10	If the documents are not available, please state the reasons for their non-availability? اگر دستاویزات دستیاب نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم ان کی عدم دستیابی کی وجوہات بیان کریں
	1	مستفید کنندہ نے مطلوبہ دستاویزات مہیا نہیں کیں Not provided by the beneficiary
	2	سروے کے دوران مطلوبہ دستاویزات دستیاب نہیں تھے Not maintained at site
	3	دستاویزات کہیں اور رکھی ہوئی تھیں Misplaced
	4	دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں) Other (please specify)
LPDT.5.5	11	Is there any boundary dispute or overlapping claim with neighbours or community? کیا پڑوسیوں یا مقامی کمیونٹی کے ساتھ زمین کی حد بندی پر کوئی تنازعہ یا مشترکہ دعویٰ ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
LPDT.5.5.1		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 11 then continue with Q.# 12 Otherwise goto Q.# 13
	12	تنازعے کی نوعیت بیان کریں Describe nature of dispute?
Construction Progress		
CP.6.1	13	What type of house going to be constructed? کون سا قسم کا مکان تعمیر کیا جا رہا ہے
	1	اینٹ کا مکان Brick
	2	پتھروں سے تعمیر Stoney Masonry
	3	کنکریٹ بلاک کی تعمیر Block Masonry
CP.6.2	14	House construction stage at the time of visit? گھر کے وقت مکان کی تعمیر کس مرحلے میں تھی
	1	پلنٹھ (زمین سے اوپر) کی سطح Plinth Level
	2	لنٹل (کھڑکی/دروازے کے اوپر شہتیر) کی سطح Lintel Level
	3	چھت کی سطح Roof Level
	4	گھر مکمل طور پر تعمیر ہو چکا ہے House Completely Constructed
	5	شروع نہیں ہوا Not Started
		If "Not Started" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 15 In case of "Plinth, Lintel & Roof" selected goto Q.# 16 and In case of "Completed" selected goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.1	15	Reason for not started house construction? گھر کی تعمیر شروع نہ ہونے کی وجہ کیا ہے
		If "Plinth/Lintel/Roof Level" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 16 Otherwise goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.2	16	What is the construction status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof level? بنیاد، لنٹل یا چھت کے درجے کی تعمیر کس مرحلے پر ہے
	1	کام جاری ہے In Progress
	2	تعمیراتی کام عارضی طور پر بند ہیں Temporarily Construction Paused
	3	مکمل ہو گیا ہے Completed
		If "In Progress" selected in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 17 In case of "Temporarily paused" goto Q.# 18
CP.6.2.2.1	17	In Progress? Please specify how much work has been completed till now in the selected stage (%):

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
		اگر کام جاری ہے، تو براہ کرم بتائیں کہ متعلقہ مرحلے میں اب تک کتنا فیصد کام مکمل ہو چکا ہے؟ (فیصد میں)
		If "Temporarily Construction Paused" selected in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 18 Otherwise goto Q.# 19
CP.6.2.2.2	18	Select the reason why house construction temporarily paused? (Multiple selection) وہ وجہ منتخب کریں جس کے باعث گھر کی تعمیر تاخیر کا شکار ہے یا وقتی طور پر رکی ہے
	1	Land Dispute زمین کا جھگڑا
	2	Tranche Delay قسط میں تاخیر
	3	Material Shortage سامان کی کمی
	4	Labor Shortage مزدوروں کی کمی
	5	Seasonal Effect موسم کے اثرات
	6	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر
	7	Water Shortage پانی کی کمی
	8	Delay Due to Death وفات کی وجہ سے تاخیر
CP.6.2.2.2y	9	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
CP.6.3.1	18.1	Take Picture of House? سروے والے گھر کی تصویر کھینچیں
CP.6.3.2	18.2	Take picture of house along with Beneficiary? اسکیم کی تصویر ان افراد کے ساتھ بنائیں جو اس اسکیم سے فائدہ اٹھا رہے ہیں
		Tranche Release info
TRI.7.1	19.1	Have you received any payment tranche so far? کیا آپ کو اب تک ادائیگی کی کوئی قسط ملی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19.1 then continue with Q.# 19.2 Otherwise goto Q.# 20
TRI.7.1.1	19.2	Please provide the date when you last received a tranche? Date: براہ کرم آخری قسط وصول کرنے کی تاریخ لکھیں
TRI.7.1.2	19.3	How much payment did you receive in the last tranche? Amount (PKR): آپ نے آخری قسط میں کتنی رقم وصول کی
TRI.7.1.3	19.4	Whom informed about the release of tranche amount? قسط کی رقم کے جاری ہونے کے بارے میں کس نے آپ کو اطلاع دی
	1	IP Staff آئی پی عملہ
	2	VRC Member وی آر سی رکن
	3	Bank Staff بینک عملہ
	4	Community Member
	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
TRI.7.2.1	19.5	Did you face any problem in receiving your tranche? کیا آپ کو اپنی قسط وصول کرنے میں کسی قسم کی دشواری ہوئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19.5 then continue with Q.# 19.6 Otherwise goto Q.# 20
TRI.7.2.2	19.6	Please select all the reasons that caused problems in receiving your tranche? (Multiple Choice) قسط وصول کرنے میں مشکلات پیدا کرنے والی تمام وجوہات منتخب کریں
	1	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff عمل درآمد کرنے والے شراکت دار (عملی شراکت دار) کے عملے کی طرف سے معاونت یا رہنمائی کی کمی
	2	Bank staff didn't support or linger-on the process بینک کے عملے کی جانب سے تعاون اور رہنمائی کی کمی کے باعث کارروائی کے عمل میں دشواری اور تاخیر ہوئی
	3	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive بینک کے عملے کا رویہ معاون یا مددگار نہیں تھا

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
TRI.7.2.2y	4	Other (please specify) (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)		
VRC.8.1	20	Do you know about the Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC) in your village? کیا آپ اپنے گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے بارے میں جانتے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20 then continue with Q.# 20.1	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 27	
VRC.8.2	20.1	Has VRC formation been completed? کیا وی آر سی بن چکی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20.1 then continue with Q.# 20.2	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 25	
VRC.8.3	20.2	Are you member of VRC? کیا آپ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے رکن ہیں؟
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20.2 then continue with Q.# 20.3	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 25	
VRC.8.4	20.3	Select the role in VRC? گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) میں اپنا عہدہ چنیں
	1	Chairperson چئیر پرسن
	2	Secretary سیکریٹری
	3	Member رکن
VRC Member - Feedback		
VRC.8.5	21	Did IP conduct training session on VRC roles and responsibilities? کیا (آئی پی) نے وی آر سی کے کردار اور ذمہ داریوں پر تربیتی سیشن منعقد کیا
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
VRC.8.6	22	Did the VRC conduct consultation meetings? کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے مشاورت اجلاس منعقد کیے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
VRC.8.7	23	What is the frequency of VRC consultation meetings? گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے مشاورت اجلاس کتنی بار منعقد ہوتے ہیں
	1	Daily روزانہ
	2	Weekly ہفتہ وار
	3	Bi-Weekly ہر دو ہفتے بعد
	4	Monthly ماہانہ
	5	As needed / Whenever required ضرورت کے مطابق / جب بھی ضرورت ہو
	6	Never کبھی نہیں
VRC.8.8	24	How do VRC members perceive the role of women in the reconstruction process? کمیونٹی کے افراد تعمیر کے عمل میں خواتین کے کردار کے بارے میں کیا رائے رکھتے ہیں
	1	Strongly supportive مکمل حمایت والا
	2	Somewhat supportive کچھ حد تک حمایت کرنے والا
	3	Neutral غیر جانبدار
	4	Unsupportive حمایت نہ کرنے والا
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 20 then continue with Q.# 25	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 27	
VRC.8.9	25	Has the VRC supported in resolving housing reconstruction issues? کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے مکان کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں تعاون کیا ہے؟
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 25 then continue with Q.# 26 Otherwise goto Q.# 27
VRC.8.9.1	26	What kind of support has VRC provided in resolving housing reconstruction issues? (Select all that apply) گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے گھروں کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں کس قسم کی مدد فراہم کی ہے؟ (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں)
	1	Helped resolve disputes جھگڑوں کے حل میں مدد کی
	2	Guided construction تعمیرات میں رہنمائی فراہم کی
	3	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams آئی پی / ایچ آر یو ٹیموں کے ساتھ رابطہ قائم کیا
	4	Assisted vulnerable households کمزور گھرانوں کی مدد کی
	5	Shared information with the community کمیونٹی کے ساتھ معلومات شیئر کی
	6	Guided on Grievance submission and process شکایت جمع کروانے اور عمل کے بارے میں رہنمائی کی گئی
Village-Based VRC Role		
VBVRC.9.1	27	Has the community undertaken any construction or improvements beyond the IFRAP-supported housing units? (Select all that apply) کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے (آئی ایف آر اے پی) کے تحت بننے والے مکانات کے علاوہ کوئی تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.2	28	What type of structures or improvements were made? (Select all that apply) کون سے تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے گئے (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں)
	1	Drainage channels نالیاں / پانی نکاسی کے راستے
	2	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	3	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	4	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	5	Mosques/ madrasahs مسجدیں / مدرسے
	6	Shelter پناہ گاہ
	7	Community center کمیونٹی مرکز
VBVRC.9.2y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 27 then continue with Q.# 29 Otherwise goto Q.# 33
	29	Take pictures of the additional work completed by the community? کمیونٹی کے مکمل کیے گئے اضافی کام کی تصاویر لیں
VBVRC.9.3	30	How were these activities funded? (Select all that apply) یہ سرگرمیاں کس ذریعہ سے مالی تعاون حاصل کر کے کی گئیں؟ (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں)
	1	Community pooled funds کمیونٹی کے مشترکہ فنڈز / جمع کردہ پیسے
	2	Donor/NGO support ڈونر / غیر سرکاری تنظیم کی مدد
	3	Labor contribution مزدوروں کی مدد / شراکت
	4	Leftover material reuse بچا ہوا سامان دوبارہ استعمال
	5	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.4	31	In your view, did these additional works help improve the living conditions of the community? کیا ان اضافی اقدامات نے مقامی آبادی کے رہنے سہنے کے حالات پر مثبت اثر ڈالا ہے
	1	Significantly کافی حد تک
	2	Moderately مناسب حد تک
	3	Not much کم
	4	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.9.5	32	Has the VRC efforts helped strengthen community bonds? کیا وی۔آر۔سی کے اقدامات نے کمیونٹی کو زیادہ قریب لانے میں مدد کی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
VBVRC.9.6	33	Is there anything else your village still urgently needs but could not build? کیا گاؤں میں کوئی اور چیز ہے جسے فوری طور پر درکار ہے مگر ابھی تک نہیں بنایا گیا
	1	Basic Health Facility بنیادی صحت کی سہولت
	2	Primary School پرائمری اسکول
	3	Drainage channels نالیاں / پانی نکاسی کے راستے
	4	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	5	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	6	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	7	Mosques/ madrasahs مسجدیں / مدرسے
	8	Shelter پناہ گاہ
VBVRC.9.6y	9	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)		
DRR.10.1	34	Approx Nearest Water Channel Distance in meters? قریب ترین پانی کے نالے کا فاصلہ تقریباً کتنے میٹر ہے
DRR.10.2	35	Is site close to hazard prone area? کیا یہ جگہ قدرتی آفات (زلزلہ، سیلاب، لینڈ سلائیڈ وغیرہ) کے خطرے والے علاقے کے قریب ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 35 then continue with Q.# 36 Otherwise goto Q.# 37	
DRR.10.3	36	Select the type of hazard? قدرتی آفات کی قسم منتخب کریں
	1	Flood plan range سیلاب کے ممکنہ علاقے
	2	Flash flood range اچانک آنے والا سیلاب
	3	Earthquake زلزلہ
	4	Landslide لینڈ سلائیڈ
	5	Drought خشک سالی
	6	Extreme snow fall شدید برفباری
	7	Tsunami سمندری طوفانی لہر
	8	Water logging زمین یا علاقے میں پانی جمع ہونا
DRR.10.3y	9	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
DRR.10.4	37	Was the site hit by flood before 2022 floods? کیا سائٹ دو ہزار بائیس کے سیلاب سے پہلے بھی متاثر ہوئی تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	38	Is the site in a low-lying area? کیا یہ جگہ نشیبی علاقے میں ہے
DRR.10.5	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.6	39	Is there proper flow out for the rainwater at the site? کیا اس مقام پر بارش کے پانی کے بہاؤ کے لیے راستہ موجود ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.7	40	Any Earthquakes in the area in past 25 years? کیا اس علاقے میں گزشتہ 25 سالوں میں کوئی زلزلہ آیا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 40 then continue with Q.# 41 Otherwise goto Q.# 42	
DRR.10.7.1	41	Was your House damaged in any earthquake? کیا آپ کا گھر کسی زلزلے میں متاثر ہوا تھا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.8	42	Has there been any history of land erosion or landslides in the area? کیا اس علاقے میں مٹی کے کٹاؤ یا زمین کھسکنے کا کوئی واقعہ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.9	43	Is the site located on wetlands, riverbanks, or natural drainage paths? کیا سائٹ پانی کے قدرتی بہاؤ کے راستے یا گہرے پانی والے علاقے میں ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.10.10	44	What Disaster Risk Reduction Related Measures are taken in the Area? علاقے میں آفت کے خطرے کو کم کرنے سے متعلق اقدامات کیا ہیں
	1	Flood Protection Wall سیلابی دیوار - پانی روکنے کے لیے دیوار
	2	Raised Structure بلند ڈھانچہ - پانی سے بچاؤ کے لیے اونچا حصہ
	3	Gabian Wall گیبیان وال - پتھروں سے بھری حفاظتی دیوار
	4	Diversion Canal نہری راستہ کا رخ موڑنا - پانی کو محفوظ راستے پر موڑنا
	5	Check Dam چیک ڈیم - پانی کا بہاؤ کنٹرول کرنے والا چھوٹا بند
	6	None کوئی نہیں
DRR.10.10y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) Trainings		
MCG.11.1	45	Did anyone from the Implementing Partner (IP) conduct a training session to provide minimum construction guidelines? کیا امپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر (آئی پی) کے کسی فرد نے کم از کم تعمیراتی رہنما اصول فراہم کرنے کے لیے تربیتی سیشن منعقد کیا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 45 then continue with Q.# 46	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 48	
MCG.11.2	46	Did you attend MCG training sessions conducted by the Implementing Partner (IP)? کیا آپ نے امپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر (آئی پی) کے زیر اہتمام کوئی تربیتی سیشن میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 46 then continue with Q.# 47	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 48	
MCG.11.3	47	Which Minimum Construction Guidelines do you know after the training session? (Select all that apply) آگاہی سیشن کے بعد آپ کن تعمیراتی اصولوں سے واقف ہیں؟ (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں)
	1	Plinth height چبوتری کی اونچائی
	2	Lintel height دروازے/کھڑکی کے اوپر لگے شہتیر کی اونچائی
	3	Roof Type چھت کی قسم
MCG.11.3y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Social Safeguard Compliance		
SSC.12.1	48	Was the community trained on Social Safeguards before the reconstruction activities? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں سے پہلے کمیونٹی کو سوشل سیف گارڈز کی تربیت دی گئی تھی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 48 then continue with Q.# 49	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 50	
SSC.12.2	49	Were you present at the training session regarding Social Safeguard? کیا آپ سوشل سیف گارڈ کے بارے میں ہونے والے تربیتی اجلاس میں شریک تھے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
SSC.12.3	50	Are there any observed or reported livelihood impacts due to reconstruction? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران لوگوں کی آمدنی یا روزگار پر کوئی اثر پڑا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 50 then continue with Q.# 51	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 52	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
SSC.12.3.1	51	Select all relevant impact on livelihoods? اثرات پر تمام متعلقہ اثرات منتخب کریں
	1	Employment opportunities روزگار کے مواقع
	2	Skill development ہنر میں اضافہ
	3	Increased income آمدنی میں اضافہ
	4	Revival of small businesses چھوٹے کاروبار کی بحالی
	5	Temporary relocation عارضی نقل مکانی
	6	Loss of income آمدنی کا نقصان
SSC.12.3.1y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.12.4	52	Has any social dispute or conflict been recorded in this site? کیا اس مقام پر کوئی سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ ریکارڈ کیا گیا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 52 then continue with Q.# 53 Otherwise goto Q.# 54
SSC.12.4.1	53	Select the social dispute or conflict recorded in this site? اس مقام پر ریکارڈ ہونے والا سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ منتخب کریں
	1	Community disagreements کمیونٹی میں اختلافات / عوامی جھگڑے
	2	Disputes over labor hiring مزدور بھرتی پر تنازعات
	3	Gender-related disputes مرد و عورت سے متعلق تنازعات
SSC.12.4.1y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.12.5	54	Please indicate whether any indications of social tension, dissatisfaction, or other Social Safeguards related concerns have been observed within the VRC community? برائے مہربانی یہ بتائیں کہ آیا وی آر سی کمیونٹی کے اندر سماجی تناؤ، عدم اطمینان، یا دیگر سماجی تحفظات سے متعلق کسی قسم کی علامات یا خدشات مشاہدے میں آئے ہیں؟
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 54 then continue with Q.# 55 Otherwise goto Q.# 56
SSC.12.5.1	55	Select the cause of tension, dissatisfaction, or exclusion within the VRC? وی آر سی کمیونٹی میں کشیدگی، ناخوشی یا نظر انداز کیے جانے کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	Influential members took over the decision-making process بااثر افراد فیصلہ کر رہے ہیں
	2	Unequal participation of women خواتین کی غیر مساوی شرکت
	3	Marginalized or vulnerable groups feel overlooked پس ماندہ یا کمزور گروپ نظر انداز محسوس کر رہے ہیں
	4	Disagreements over resource distribution وسائل کی تقسیم پر جھگڑے
	5	Lack of transparency has caused dissatisfaction شفافیت نہ ہونے کی وجہ سے عدم اطمینان
	6	Participation opportunities are not equally accessible شرکت کے مواقع سب کے لیے برابر نہیں ہیں
	7	Young men and women are being ignored نوجوان مرد اور خواتین نظر انداز ہو رہے ہیں
SSC.12.5.1y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Worker Safety		
WS.13.1	56	Has workplace safety training been imparted to the labor working at the construction site? کیا مزدوروں کو سائٹ پر حفاظت اور حفاظتی اصولوں کی تربیت دی گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.2	57	Project activities involve significant inflow of labor? کیا پروجیکٹ کی سرگرمیوں میں بڑی تعداد میں مزدور شامل ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.3	58	Is or Was local labor hired for the construction phase? کیا تعمیراتی مرحلے کے لیے مقامی مزدور بھرتی کیے گئے تھے یا ہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.13.4	59	Child or forced labor at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر کم عمر افراد یا زبردستی کام کرنے والے مزدور استعمال ہو رہے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 59 then continue with Q.# 60 Otherwise goto Q.# 61
WS.13.4.1	60	Specify the type of labor observed at the construction site? تعمیراتی مقام پر کام کرنے والے مزدوروں کی نوعیت کی نشاندہی کریں
	1	Child of the beneficiary or a relative اس کے رشتہ دار کا بچہ
	2	Non-relative/outsider غیر رشتہ دار / باہر والا شخص
WS.13.5	61	Which of the following facilities available to workers? مزدوروں کو کون سی سہولیات دستیاب ہیں (تمام موزوں منتخب کریں)
	1	Drinking water at the construction or activity site
	2	Toilet بیت الخلاء کی سہولت
	3	None کوئی بھی نہیں
WS.13.6	62	Has any injury or other incident been reported at the site? کیا سائٹ پر کسی کو چوٹ لگنے یا دیگر کوئی واقعہ رپورٹ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 62 then continue with Q.# 63 Otherwise goto Q.# 64
WS.13.6.1	63	In case of safety incidents or accidents, is regular reporting being done? اگر کوئی حفاظتی حادثہ یا واقعہ پیش آئے تو کیا اس کی باقاعدہ رپورٹنگ کی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		HRU-IFRAP - Environmental Protection and Enhancement
		If "Plinth/ Lintel/ Roof/Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 64 Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.1	64	Heavy dust observed at site due to the construction work? کیا تعمیراتی کام کی وجہ سے سائٹ پر شدید دھول دیکھی گئی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.2	65	Proper water sprinkling carried out at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر مناسب پانی چھڑکاؤ کیا گیا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.3	66	Construction activities causing the following: (Please add a dropdown option and provide separate data for each indicator when reporting) تعمیراتی سرگرمیاں درج ذیل کا سبب بن رہی ہیں: (رپورٹ کرتے وقت ہر اشارے کے لیے الگ ڈیٹا فراہم کریں اور ڈراپ ڈاؤن آپشن شامل کریں)
	1	Noise شور
	2	Vibration ہلچل
	3	None کوئی نہیں
		Description of possible impact of construction work fauna
EPE.14.4	67	Construction work lead to disruption of wild life habitat? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے باعث جنگلی حیات کے مسکن میں خلل پیدا ہوا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 67 then continue with Q.# 68 Otherwise goto Q.# 69
EPE.14.5	68	Construction work lead to destruction of wild life habitat? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے باعث جنگلی حیات کے مسکن کو نقصان پہنچا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
Description of possible impact of construction work flora		
EPE.14.6	69	Trees removed during the construction work? درخت کاٹے گئے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" in Q.# 69 then continue with Q.# 70 Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.6.1	70	How many trees have been removed? کتنے درخت کاٹے گئے ہیں
		Write number
		If "Greater than zero" entered in Q.# 70 then continue with Q.# 71 Otherwise goto Q.# 72
EPE.14.6.2	71	Has compensatory tree plantation carried out against the removed trees.(5 new trees will be planted against one chopped tree) کیا کاٹے گئے درختوں کے بدلے تلافی کے طور پر نئے درخت لگائے گئے ہیں؟ (ہر کاٹے گئے درخت کے بدلے 5 نئے درخت لگائے جائیں گے)
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EPE.14.6.3	72	How many new plants have been planted by HRU? ایچ آر یو کی جانب سے کتنے نئے پودے لگائے گئے ہیں
		Write number
		If "Greater than zero" entered in Q.# 72 then continue with Q.# 73 Otherwise goto Q.# 75
EPE.14.6.4	73	Take picture of planted sapling? لگائے گئے پودے کی تصویر لیں
EPE.14.6.5	74	Out of total how many plants have sustained? ایچ آر یو کی طرف سے لگائے گئے پودوں میں سے کتنے پودے باقی بچے ہیں
		Write number
Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)		
		If "Yes" in Q.# 2.1 then continue with Q.# 75 Otherwise goto Q.# 77
GESI.15.1	75	Do women-headed households receiving equal access to scheme? کیا خواتین کی قیادت والے گھرانوں کو اسکیم میں مساوی مواقع مل رہے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 75 then continue with Q.# 76 Otherwise goto Q.# 77
GESI.15.1.1	76	Briefly describe why women do not have equal access to the scheme? مختصر وجوہات بتائیں کہ خواتین اسکیم میں برابر حصہ کیوں نہیں لے پاتیں
GESI.15.2	77	Were women given orientation/awareness on minimum construction guideline, safe construction practices, grievance use, banking process? کیا خواتین کو محفوظ تعمیراتی طریقہ کار، شکایات کے اندراج کے طریقہ کار، اور بینکنگ عمل کے بارے میں کوئی آگاہی یا تربیتی سیشن فراہم کیے گئے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.15.3	78	Did you face any challenges during reconstruction? جی ہاں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 2.2 then continue with Q.# 79 Otherwise goto Q.# 81
GESI.15.4	79	Were the needs of person with disability considered? کیا معذور خواتین کی ضروریات مدنظر رکھی گئیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.15.5	80	Were housing designs adapted to meet the accessibility needs of persons with disabilities/elderly (ramps, handrails, wider doors)? کیا رہائشی ڈیزائن کو معذور یا بزرگ افراد کی رسائی کے مطابق بنایا گیا (ریمپس، ہینڈ ریلز، چوڑے دروازے دروازے)
	1	Yes جی ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	0	No نہیں
Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)		
GRM.16.1	81	Do you know about the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں معلوم ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 81 then continue with Q.# 82 Otherwise goto Q.# 97
GRM.16.1.1	82	Through which medium did you learn about the GRM? (Select all that apply) آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں کیسے پتہ چلا
	1	Community meeting کمیونٹی اجلاس
	2	Social Mobilizer سماجی رابطہ کار / سوشل موبلائزر
	3	Banner / Poster بینر / پوسٹر
	4	Radio ریڈیو
	5	Television ٹیلی ویژن / ٹی وی
	6	Social media سوشل میڈیا
GRM.16.1.1y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.2	83	Is the GRM banner or information display visible in your area? کیا آپ کے علاقے میں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کا بینر یا معلوماتی بورڈ دکھائی دیتا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 83 then continue with Q.# 83.1 Otherwise goto Q.# 84
GRM.16.2.2	83.1	Take picture of GRM banner or information display visible in your area? اپنے علاقے میں نظر آنے والے جی آر ایم بینر یا معلوماتی ڈسپلے کی تصویر لیں
GRM.16.3	84	Have you received any orientation about how to use the GRM? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو استعمال کرنے کے بارے میں کوئی تربیت دی گئی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.4	85	Were women in your households able to access the GRM or understand how to use it? کیا آپ کے گھر کی خواتین شکایات کے اندراج کے نظام تک پہنچ سکتی تھیں یا اسے استعمال کرنے کا طریقہ سمجھتی تھیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.5	86	Have you ever submitted a complaint through the GRM? کیا آپ نے کبھی شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے ذریعے شکایت دی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 86 then continue with Q.# 87 Otherwise goto Q.# 96
GRM.16.5.1	87	Write nature of your complaint to GRM? آپ نے جو شکایتیں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار میں کی ہیں، ان کی تفصیل لکھیں
GRM.16.5.2	88	How did you/other submit the complaint? جمع آپ یا کسی اور نے شکایت کیسے جمع کرائی
	1	Phone Call فون کال
	2	Whatsapp واٹس ایپ
	3	Complaint Box شکایت باکس
	4	In-Person Visit to Office دفتر میں خود جا کر شکایت کی
	5	Social Media Page پیج میڈیا سوشل
	6	Web Site Complaint Form ویب سائٹ پر شکایت فارم
GRM.16.5.2y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
GRM.16.5.3	89	Did you find complaint submission process convenient? کیا آپ کو جی آر ایم شکایت جمع کروانے کا عمل آسان لگا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GRM.16.6	90	Was your complaint resolved? کیا آپ کی شکایت حل ہو گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Inprocess Inprocess کے مراحل میں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 90 then continue with Q.# 91	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 95	
GRM.16.6.1	91	How much time it took for resolution? (days) مسئلے کے حل میں کتنا وقت لگا
GRM.16.6.2	92	How was it resolved? شکایت کو کس طرح حل کیا گیا
	1	Direct Action taken براہ راست کارروائی کی گئی
	2	Information Given معلومات فراہم کی گئیں
GRM.16.6.2y	3	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.6.3	93	Were you satisfied with the response you received? کیا آپ شکایت کے حل سے مطمئن ہیں
	1	Very satisfied بہت مطمئن
	2	Somewhat satisfied کچھ حد تک مطمئن
	3	Not satisfied مطمئن نہیں
	If "Not satisfied" selected in Q.# 93 then continue with Q.# 94	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 96	
GRM.16.6.3.1	94	If Not Satisfied then please define the reason? اگر مطمئن نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم وجہ بیان کریں
	If "No" selected in Q.# 90 then continue with Q.# 95	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 96	
GRM.16.6.4	95	Why was it not resolved? شکایت کا ازالہ کیوں نہیں ہوا
	1	Lack of response جواب نہیں ملا
	2	Still waiting for action اب بھی کارروائی کا انتظار
	3	Don't know معلوم نہیں
GRM.16.6.4y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.16.7	96	In your opinion, How can the GRM be improved? آپ کی رائے میں، شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو کیسے بہتر بنایا جا سکتا ہے
	1	Faster response time جواب جلدی ملے
	2	More awareness campaigns آگاہی بڑھانی جائے
	3	Better follow-up and feedback پیروی اور جوابدہی بہتر بنائی جائے
GRM.16.7y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Construction Components Verification (Depends on Site Location District-Wise)		
	If "Plinth, Lintel, Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then cover question from 97 to 107	
	In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130	
CCV.17.1	97	Is the excavation depth between 1 ft and 2.5 ft based on soil conditions? کیا کھدائی کی گہرائی 1 فٹ سے 2.5 فٹ تک مٹی کی صورتحال کے مطابق رکھی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "No" selected in Q.# 97 then continue with Q.# 98	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 99	
CCV.17.1.1	98	Take a picture for visual evidence? تصویری ثبوت کے لیے تصویر لیں
CCV.17.2	99	Is a 1.5 ft to 3 ft raised platform above the regular flood level with compacted earth visible? کیا 1.5 فٹ سے 3 فٹ اونچا پلاٹ فارم سیلابی سطح سے اوپر دبائی گئی مٹی کے ساتھ دکھائی دیتا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
		If "No" selected in Q.# 99 then continue with Q.# 100 Otherwise goto Q.# 101
CCV.17.2.1	100	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.3	101	Does the 1st step of footing meet the minimum width (18" for brick, 20" for stone/block) and depth (21" for brick, 2 ft for stone/block)? کیا فائونڈیشن کے پہلے درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم معیار پر پوری اترتی ہے (اینٹ کے لیے 18 انچ، پتھر/بلاک کے لیے 20 انچ) اور گہرائی (اینٹ کے لیے 21 انچ، پتھر/بلاک کے لیے 2 فٹ) پوری ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 101 then continue with Q.# 102 Otherwise goto Q.# 103
CCV.17.3.1	102	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.4	103	Is the last step width at least 13.5" (brick) or 12" (stone/block) up to plinth level? کیا آخری درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم 13.5 انچ (اینٹ کے لیے) یا 12 انچ (پتھر/بلاک کے لیے) تک پلنٹھ لیول تک ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 103 then continue with Q.# 104 Otherwise goto Q.# 105
CCV.17.4.1	104	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.5	105	Is the plinth level 1.5 ft to 3 ft higher than the normal surface level? کیا پلنٹھ لیول معمول کی سطح سے 2 سے 3 فٹ بلند ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 105 then continue with Q.# 106 Otherwise goto Q.# 107
CCV.17.5.1	106	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.6	107	Is a polythene sheet (optional not in MCG protocols) provided for damp proofing on the plinth beam? کیا پلنٹھ بیم پر ڈیمپ پروفنگ کے لیے پلاسٹک شیٹ (پولی تھین شیٹ) مہیا کی گئی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 107 then continue with Q.# 108 Otherwise goto Q.# 109
CCV.17.6.1	108	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Lintel, Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then cover question from 109 to 112 In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.7	109	Does the wall thickness meet the minimum: 9" (brick), 8" (block), 8-10" (stone)? کیا دیوار کی موٹائی مقررہ کم از کم پیمانے کے مطابق ہے: اینٹ کے لیے 9 انچ، بلاک کے لیے 8 انچ، اور پتھر کے لیے 8 سے 10 انچ
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 109 then continue with Q.# 110 Otherwise goto Q.# 111
CCV.17.7.1	110	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.8	111	How many ventilator provided? Numbers: _____ کتنے وینٹیلیشن پوائنٹ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.17.9	112	How many Windows? Numbers: _____ کتنی کھڑکیاں لگانی گئی ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.17.10	113	Roofing type installed correctly (RCC/ T.iron Girder, Precast Girde Bamboo-Grider, and GI Sheet)? ٹی آرن گرڈر، پری کاسٹ گرڈر اور جی آئی (RCC / ٹی آرن گرڈر، پری کاسٹ گرڈر اور جی آئی شیٹ) درست طریقے سے نصب ہوئی (شیٹ)

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 113 then continue with Q.# 114 Otherwise goto Q.# 115
CCV.17.10.1	114	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Roof & Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 115 In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
CCV.17.11	115	Is a 3-6" slope provided with drain pipes for water drainage? کیا پانی کے نکاس کے لیے 3-6 انچ کی ڈھلوان کے ساتھ ڈرین پائپ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 115 then continue with Q.# 116 Otherwise goto Q.# 117
CCV.17.11.1	116	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 2.2 disability then continue with Q.# 117 to Q.# 119 Otherwise goto Q.# 121
CCV.17.12	117	Is a 1:12 ramp with handrails installed for accessibility? کیا معذور افراد کے لیے 1:12 تناسب والا ریمپ ہینڈریل کے ساتھ لگایا گیا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 117 then continue with Q.# 118 Otherwise goto Q.# 119
CCV.17.12.1	118	Take a picture for visual evidence.
CCV.17.13	119	Are tactile markers or railings present for visually impaired individuals? کیا نابینا یا کم بینائی والے افراد کے لیے ٹیکسٹائل مارکرز یا ریلنگز موجود ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "No" selected in Q.# 119 then continue with Q.# 120 Otherwise goto Q.# 121
CCV.17.13.1	120	Take a picture for visual evidence.
		Perception & Experience
		If "Plinth, Lintel, Roof, Completed" selected in Q.# 14 then continue with Q.# 121 In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 130
PE.18.1	121	Did you face material shortages? کیا آپ کو تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 121 then continue with Q.# 122 Otherwise goto Q.# 123
PE.18.2	122	Which material shortage did you face? (Select all that apply) آپ کو کون سے تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں)
	1	Cement سیمنٹ
	2	Bricks/Blocks بلاک / اینٹیں
	3	Sand ریت
	4	Crush/Gravel بجر / کریش
	5	Steel/Bars اسٹیل / لوہے کی سلاخیں
	6	Wood/Timber لکڑی / بانس
	7	Paint/Finishing Items رنگ / فینشنگ کے اشیاء
PE.18.2y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
PE.18.3	123	Is there anything about the process that caused you concern or dissatisfaction? کیا تعمیر کے اس سارے عمل میں کوئی ایسی بات تھی جس سے آپ کو تشویش یا عدم اطمینان ہوا
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU-IFRAP - Regular Field Monitoring
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 123 then continue with Q.# 124 Otherwise goto Q.# 125
PE.18.3.1	124	Select the cause of dissatisfaction? عدم اطمینان کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	IP Related (نفاذ کرنے والا پارٹنر) سے متعلق
	2	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر
	3	Financial Favorus Asked مالی فوائد حاصل کرنے کی کوشش کی
PE.18.3.1y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Site Observations		
SO.19.1	125	Are any signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG? کیا کم از کم معیار کے مطابق ناقص کاریگری یا کسی قسم کی خرابی کی علامات نظر آ رہی ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 125 then continue with Q.# 126 Otherwise goto Q.# 128
SO.19.1.1	126	What type of sign of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Select all that apply) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی بھی خرابی کی قسم کون سی ہے؟ (تمام موزوں آپشن منتخب کریں)
	1	Uncompacted soil غیر مضبوط / ڈھلی ہوئی مٹی
	2	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet پلنٹھ لیول معمولی سطح سے 2-3 فٹ سے کم
	3	Misaligned walls دیواریں غیر سیدھی یا غلط پوزیشن میں
	4	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used بامبو، ٹی آئرن، گرڈر، چھپر، جی آئی شیٹ، یا پری کاسٹ کنکریٹ استعمال نہیں ہوا
SO.19.1.1y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SO.19.1.2	127	Take picture of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Max 3 Pictures) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی خرابی کی تصویر لیں؟ (زیادہ سے زیادہ 3 تصاویر)
SO.19.2	128	Were any issues raised by community members or stakeholders? کیا کسی بھی مسئلے کی نشاندہی کمیونٹی کے ارکان یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز نے کی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 128 then continue with Q.# 129 Otherwise goto Q.# 130
SO.19.2.1	129	Select issues type raised by the community or stakeholders کمیونٹی یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز کی جانب سے اٹھائے گئے مسائل منتخب کریں
	1	Shortage of Material سامان کی کمی
	2	Lack of trained skilled labor ماہرانہ تربیت یافتہ مزدور کی کمی
	3	Substandard construction معیاری سے کم تعمیرات / ناقص تعمیر
	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SO.19.2.1y	130	General Observations of M&E Field Staff? (optional) (if any) ایم اینڈ ای فیلڈ اسٹاف کے خیالات/رانیے؟ (اگر کوئی ہوں)

D. VISUAL EVIDENCE (PHOTOS, ETC.)

FIELD MONITORING VISITS







E. BENEFICIARY DEMOGRAPHICS AND GENDER COMPOSITION

E-1. Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition

01- Male and Female Beneficiaries				
District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	115	92	0	207
Dera Bugti	90	74	0	164
Harnai	54	39	0	93
Jaffarabad	278	283	0	561
Jhal Magsi	79	69	0	148
Kachhi	205	179	0	384
Kohlu	97	88	0	185
Lasbela	192	191	0	383
Musakhel	120	86	0	206
Nasirabad	301	281	0	582
Sohbatpur	196	209	0	405
Usta Muhammad	148	152	0	300
Overall	1875	1743	0	3618

02- Women Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	28	31
Dera Bugti	1	36	37
Harnai	5	15	20
Jaffarabad	10	80	90
Jhal Magsi	7	16	23
Kachhi	6	66	72
Kohlu	2	29	31
Lasbela	9	68	77
Musakhel	4	20	24
Nasirabad	7	98	105
Sohbatpur	21	56	77
Usta Muhammad	18	39	57
Overall	93	551	644

03- Disabled Person Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	31	31
Dera Bugti	1	36	37
Harnai	0	20	20
Jaffarabad	8	82	90
Jhal Magsi	0	23	23
Kachhi	1	71	72
Kohlu	2	29	31
Lasbela	1	76	77
Musakhel	0	24	24
Nasirabad	1	104	105
Sohbatpur	1	76	77
Usta Muhammad	1	56	57
Overall	16	628	644

04- Family Members												
District	Male Members			Female Members								
	Total	Youth	With Disability	Total	Youth	With Disability	Widow	Single Women	Disabled Spouse	Elderly	Pregnant/ Lactating	None
Barkhan	115	25	0	92	16	0	1	0	0	8	6	77
Dera Bugti	90	14	1	74	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Harnai	54	15	0	39	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	36
Jaffarabad	278	71	12	283	46	9	1	2	0	0	30	251
Jhal Magsi	79	20	0	69	11	1	0	0	0	3	6	60
Kachhi	205	46	1	179	28	1	1	0	0	7	18	153
Kohlu	97	18	2	88	15	1	2	0	0	0	17	69
Lasbela	192	40	5	191	31	1	0	2	0	7	11	171
Musakhel	120	28	2	86	14	1	1	0	0	4	8	74
Nasirabad	301	69	3	281	32	3	0	0	0	10	32	239
Sohbatpur	196	44	1	209	41	5	1	0	0	10	0	198
Usta Muhammad	148	40	4	152	46	0	0	0	0	7	0	145
Overall	1875	430	31	1743	299	22	7	4	0	57	130	1547

05- Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries									
District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other	Overall	
Barkhan	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Dera Bugti	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Harnai	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90
Jhal Magsi	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Kachhi	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72
Kohlu	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Musakhel	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Nasirabad	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Usta Muhammad	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
Overall	642	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	644

E-2. Stakeholders Present During Visit

06- Stakeholders Present During the Visit (Multiple Choice)					
District	VRC Member	Community Representative	IP Project Staff	Other	None
Barkhan	12	0	17	2	0
Dera Bugti	37	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	20	0	0
Jaffarabad	20	70	1	7	1
Jhal Magsi	0	23	0	0	0
Kachhi	5	28	3	36	0
Kohlu	20	0	10	1	0
Lasbela	9	75	7	2	0
Musakhel	5	0	17	2	0
Nasirabad	9	95	0	2	0
Sohbatpur	17	75	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	10	57	0	0	0

F- Land and Property Documentation Tracker

07- Land Title / Mutation Ownership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	31	0	31
Dera Bugti	35	2	37
Harnai	1	19	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	90
Jhal Magsi	23	0	23
Kachhi	72	0	72
Kohlu	16	15	31
Lasbela	75	2	77
Musakhel	24	0	24
Nasirabad	105	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	77
Usta Muhammad	57	0	57
Overall	606	38	644

08- Type of Property Ownership Documentation Available								
District	Registered Deed	Tenant - Stamp paper	Local verification certificate	State Land	Inheritance proof	No document available	Other	Overall
Barkhan	3	3	0	0	0	25	0	31
Dera Bugti	1	0	35	0	0	1	0	37
Harnai	0	1	0	0	0	18	1	20
Jaffarabad	10	6	0	0	0	73	1	90
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
Kachhi	3	0	0	0	0	69	0	72
Kohlu	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	77
Musakhel	2	0	0	0	6	16	0	24
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	105	0	105
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	0	13	63	0	77
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	9	48	0	57
Overall	19	11	66	0	28	518	2	644

09- Reasons for Non-Availability of Ownership Documents					
District	Not provided by the beneficiary	Not maintained at site	Misplaced	Other	Overall
Barkhan	19	6	0	0	25
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	1
Harnai	12	6	0	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	71	2	0	73
Jhal Magsi	0	0	23	0	23
Kachhi	0	5	64	0	69
Lasbela	28	49	0	0	77
Musakhel	2	14	0	0	16
Nasirabad	0	4	101	0	105
Sohbatpur	29	34	0	0	63
Usta Muhammad	0	47	1	0	48
Overall	90	236	192	0	518

10- Boundary Dispute or Overlapping Claims			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	31	31
Dera Bugti	0	37	37
Harnai	0	20	20
Jaffarabad	0	90	90
Jhal Magsi	0	23	23
Kachhi	0	72	72
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	9	68	77
Musakhel	0	24	24
Nasirabad	0	105	105
Sohbatpur	0	77	77
Usta Muhammad	0	57	57
Overall	9	635	644

G- House Reconstruction Status

11- Type of House to Be Constructed				
District	Brick	Stoney Masonry	Block Masonry	Overall
Barkhan	2	0	29	31
Dera Bugti	0	0	37	37
Harnai	5	0	15	20
Jaffarabad	90	0	0	90
Jhal Magsi	13	0	10	23
Kachhi	72	0	0	72
Kohlu	0	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	0	77	77
Musakhel	7	1	16	24
Nasirabad	105	0	0	105
Sohbatpur	77	0	0	77
Usta Muhammad	57	0	0	57
Overall	428	1	215	644

12- House Construction Stage at Time of Visit						
District	Plinth Level	Lintel Level	Roof Level	House Completely Constructed	Not Started	Overall
Barkhan	5	4	7	10	5	31
Dera Bugti	10	1	3	20	3	37
Harnai	2	3	4	9	2	20
Jaffarabad	15	6	22	41	6	90
Jhal Magsi	2	6	2	8	5	23
Kachhi	11	5	19	29	8	72
Kohlu	0	4	11	16	0	31
Lasbela	4	8	19	32	14	77
Musakhel	4	0	7	11	2	24
Nasirabad	15	18	26	41	5	105
Sohbatpur	2	15	12	16	32	77
Usta Muhammad	4	10	15	22	6	57
Overall	74	80	147	255	88	644

13- Construction Status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof Level				
District	In Progress	Temporarily Construction Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	9	0	7	16
Dera Bugti	10	4	0	14
Harnai	3	6	0	9
Jaffarabad	18	24	1	43
Jhal Magsi	4	6	0	10
Kachhi	19	16	0	35
Kohlu	13	1	1	15
Lasbela	3	28	0	31
Musakhel	6	0	5	11
Nasirabad	41	18	0	59
Sohbatpur	28	1	0	29
Usta Muhammad	29	0	0	29
Overall	183	104	14	301

14- Reasons for Temporary Pause in House Construction (Multiple Choice)									
District	Land Dispute	Tranche Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Seasonal Effect	Bank Delay	Water Shortage	Delay Due to Death	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	6	1	15	0	0	2	0	3
Jhal Magsi	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Kachhi	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	1	5
Kohlu	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	18	1	8	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	15	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H- Payment Tranche Released Status

15- Payment Received to Date			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	555	1	556

16- Source of Information on Tranche Release						
District	IP Staff	VRC Member	Bank Staff	Community Member	Other	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	0	0	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	0	0	0	0	33
Harnai	18	0	0	0	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	0	0	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	0	0	0	18
Kachhi	26	1	5	32	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	0	0	0	31
Lasbela	0	1	62	0	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	0	0	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	0	0	0	100
Sohbatpur	41	4	0	0	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	0	0	0	51
Overall	450	6	67	32	0	555

17- Problems Faced in Receiving Tranche			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	33	33
Harnai	3	15	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	1	63	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	1	62	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	10	35	45
Usta Muhammad	4	47	51
Overall	20	535	555

18- Problems in Receiving Tranche (Multiple Choice)				
District	Lack of support/guidance from the Implementing Partner (IP) staff	Bank staff didn't support or linger on the process	Behaviour of Bank Staff was not supportive	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	3	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	1	0
Sohbatpur	0	9	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	4	0	0

I- Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)

19- Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	5	26
Dera Bugti	28	6	34
Harnai	17	1	18
Jaffarabad	28	56	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	10	54	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	44	19	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	18	82	100
Sohbatpur	42	3	45
Usta Muhammad	50	1	51
Overall	311	245	556

20- Status of VRC Formation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	17	0	17
Jaffarabad	25	3	28
Kachhi	10	0	10
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	43	1	44
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	18	0	18
Sohbatpur	40	2	42
Usta Muhammad	50	0	50
Overall	305	6	311

21- VRC Membership Status			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	17	0	17
Jaffarabad	7	18	25
Kachhi	2	8	10
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	13	30	43
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	6	12	18
Sohbatpur	14	26	40
Usta Muhammad	14	36	50
Overall	175	130	305

22- VRC Position / Role				
District	Chairperson	Secretary	Member	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	21	21
Dera Bugti	0	0	28	28
Harnai	0	0	17	17
Jaffarabad	1	3	3	7
Kachhi	1	0	1	2
Kohlu	0	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	0	13	13
Musakhel	1	0	21	22
Nasirabad	0	1	5	6
Sohbatpur	2	2	10	14
Usta Muhammad	1	1	12	14
Overall	6	7	162	175

23- Training on VRC Roles and Responsibilities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	17	0	17
Jaffarabad	6	1	7
Kachhi	2	0	2
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	13	0	13
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	6	0	6
Sohbatpur	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	14	0	14
Overall	174	1	175

24- VRC Consultation Meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	14	3	17
Jaffarabad	5	2	7
Kachhi	2	0	2
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	13	0	13
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	6	0	6
Sohbatpur	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	14	0	14
Overall	170	5	175

25- Frequency of VRC Consultation Meetings							
District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As needed/Whenever required	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	27	0	28
Harnai	0	0	0	2	12	0	14
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	3	2	0	5
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Kohlu	0	0	0	12	19	0	31
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	13	0	13
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Nasirabad	0	5	0	0	1	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	14	0	14
Overall	0	5	1	17	147	0	170

26- VRC Member's Views on Women in Reconstruction					
District	Strongly supportive	Somewhat supportive	Neutral	Unsupportive	Overall
Barkhan	2	4	15	0	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	0	0	28
Harnai	9	7	1	0	17
Jaffarabad	2	4	0	1	7
Kachhi	0	1	1	0	2
Kohlu	21	8	2	0	31
Lasbela	4	9	0	0	13
Musakhel	7	11	2	2	22
Nasirabad	6	0	0	0	6
Sohbatpur	1	13	0	0	14
Usta Muhammad	0	14	0	0	14
Overall	80	71	21	3	175

27- Assistance by VRC in Housing Reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	14	7	21
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	11	6	17
Jaffarabad	17	11	28
Kachhi	2	8	10
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	21	23	44
Musakhel	15	7	22
Nasirabad	17	1	18
Sohbatpur	42	0	42
Usta Muhammad	50	0	50
Overall	248	63	311

28- VRC Assistance in Housing Reconstruction (Multiple Choice)						
District	Helped resolve disputes	Guided construction	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams	Assisted vulnerable households	Shared information with the community	Guided on Grievance submission and process
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	14	0
Dera Bugti	0	27	1	0	0	0
Harnai	3	9	2	0	0	1
Jaffarabad	1	10	2	1	8	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	2	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	28	3	3	3	2
Lasbela	6	18	13	4	5	0
Musakhel	1	2	9	0	9	0
Nasirabad	1	12	9	15	15	0
Sohbatpur	1	41	2	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	50	1	0	0	0

J- VRC COMMUNITY LED CONSTRUCTION BEYOND IFRAP

29- Community-Led Construction Beyond IFRAP Support				
District	Yes	No	Don't Know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	34	34
Harnai	0	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	1	0	53	54
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	20	20
Lasbela	0	0	17	17
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	10	10
Usta Muhammad	0	0	35	35
Overall	1	0	170	171

30- Construction or Improvement Activities (Multiple Choice)								
District	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Community center	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

31- Sources of Funding for Activities (Multiple Choice)					
District	Community pooled funds	Donor/NGO support	Labor contribution	Leftover material reuse	Don't know
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0

32- Effect of Additional Activities on Living Conditions					
District	Significantly	Moderately	Not much	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	0	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	0	1	0	0	1

33- Impact of VRC Efforts on Community Cohesion			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	0	1

34- Unmet Urgent Needs of the Village (Multiple Choice)									
District	Basic Health Facility	Primary School	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing spaces	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs	Shelter	Other
Barkhan	0	0	15	6	26	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Harnai	16	12	12	1	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	76	35	15	12	5	1	1	1	0
Jhal Magsi	8	3	18	18	0	18	3	0	0
Kachhi	21	18	15	34	3	11	0	2	3
Kohlu	22	22	10	12	8	0	10	1	9
Lasbela	57	30	34	37	32	18	28	1	3
Musakhel	1	1	18	0	21	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	76	43	92	83	4	92	5	2	0
Sohbatpur	35	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	6	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	1

K- Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

35- Average Distance to Nearest Water Channel	
District	DRR.10.1
Barkhan	465.4
Dera Bugti	5000.0
Harnai	315.6
Jaffarabad	1407.6
Jhal Magsi	92.8
Kachhi	1600.0
Kohlu	2671.0
Lasbela	1135.6
Musakhel	318.2
Nasirabad	180.7
Sohbatpur	205.6
Usta Muhammad	193.8

36- Proximity of Site to Hazard-Prone Area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	16	2	18
Jaffarabad	67	17	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	2	20	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	249	307	556

37- Types of Hazards (Multiple Choice)									
District	Flood plain range	Flash flood range	Earthquake	Landslide	Drought	Extreme snow fall	Tsunami	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	3	10	15	1	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	63	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	57	61	49	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	6	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	40

38- Previous Flood Impact on Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	15	3	18
Jaffarabad	83	1	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	19	3	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	303	253	556

39- Low-Lying Area Status of Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	165	391	556

40- Proper Flow of Rainwater			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	6	78	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	13	18	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	39	6	45
Usta Muhammad	50	1	51
Overall	242	314	556

41- Earthquakes in the Past 25 Years			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	13	18	31
Lasbela	50	13	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	130	426	556

42- House Damage Due to Earthquake			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	1	12	13
Lasbela	0	50	50
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	19	111	130

43- History of Land Erosion or Landslides			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	3	61	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	1	21	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	101	455	556

44- Site in Flood-Prone or Water Flow Areas			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	4	14	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	1	17	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	9	22	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	44	1	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	172	384	556

45- Disaster Risk Reduction Measures in the Area (Multiple Choice)							
District	Flood Protection Wall	Raised Structure	Gabian Wall	Diversion Canal	Check Dam	None	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	5	21	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	34	0
Harnai	10	1	3	0	0	6	1
Jaffarabad	0	18	0	0	0	66	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0	64	0
Kohlu	2	1	1	0	9	20	0
Lasbela	4	4	1	12	7	44	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	22	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	100	0
Sohbatpur	0	2	0	0	0	43	0
Usta Muhammad	0	10	0	0	0	41	0

K- Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)

46- Training on MCG by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	31	3	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	73	11	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	13	51	64
Kohlu	30	1	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	23	77	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	394	162	556

47- Participation in IP-Organized MCG Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	31	0	31
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	42	31	73
Kachhi	10	3	13
Kohlu	30	0	30
Lasbela	58	4	62
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	17	6	23
Sohbatpur	41	4	45
Usta Muhammad	49	2	51
Overall	344	50	394

48- MCG Awareness Post-Training (Multiple Choice)				
District	Plinth height	Lintel height	Roof Type	Other
Barkhan	26	26	26	0
Dera Bugti	8	16	8	0
Harnai	17	16	17	0
Jaffarabad	37	35	24	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	10	10	10	0
Kohlu	17	18	21	0
Lasbela	57	55	56	0
Musakhel	22	22	22	0
Nasirabad	17	17	16	0
Sohbatpur	41	40	37	0
Usta Muhammad	49	49	47	0

L- Social Safeguard Compliance (SSC)

49- Social Safeguards Awareness for Community			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	25	1	26
Dera Bugti	28	6	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	6	78	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	61	2	63
Musakhel	21	1	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	44	1	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	285	271	556

50- Participation in Social Safeguards Training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	25	0	25
Dera Bugti	28	0	28
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	4	2	6
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	60	1	61
Musakhel	21	0	21
Sohbatpur	42	2	44
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	280	5	285

51- Impact of Reconstruction on Livelihoods			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	25	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	16	2	18
Jaffarabad	67	17	84
Jhal Magsi	15	3	18
Kachhi	56	8	64
Kohlu	10	21	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	80	20	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	307	249	556

52- Social Conflicts Observed or Reported			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	3	60	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	3	553	556

53- Type of Social Dispute or Conflict Recorded					
District	Community Disagreements	Disputes over labor hiring	Gender-related disputes	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	3	0	0	0	3
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	3	0	0	0	3

54- Signs of Social Tension or Concerns Observed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	0	556	556

M- Worker Safety (WS)

55- Workplace Safety Training for Construction Labor			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	29	5	34
Harnai	17	1	18
Jaffarabad	6	78	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	1	63	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	15	85	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	306	250	556

56- Significant Inflow of Labor in Project Activities			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	32	2	34
Harnai	4	14	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	6	58	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	3	97	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	319	237	556

57- Child or Forced Labor at Construction Site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	0	556	556

58- Hiring of Local Labor for Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	16	2	18
Jaffarabad	83	1	84
Jhal Magsi	17	1	18
Kachhi	59	5	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	61	2	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	92	8	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	536	20	556

59- Worker Facilities Available at Site (Multiple Choice)			
District	Drinking water at the construction or activity site	Toilet	None
Barkhan	26	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	34
Harnai	18	18	0
Jaffarabad	79	30	4
Jhal Magsi	18	0	0
Kachhi	60	50	2
Kohlu	12	4	19
Lasbela	48	25	14
Musakhel	22	0	0
Nasirabad	98	9	2
Sohbatpur	45	2	0
Usta Muhammad	50	0	1

60- Workplace Accidents or Incidents			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	1	83	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	1	555	556

61- Safety Incident Reporting Practices			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	0	1	1

N- Environmental Protection and Enhancement (EPS)

62- Construction-Related Dust Observations			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	5	79	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	1	30	31
Lasbela	1	62	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	8	548	556

63- Dust Control via Water Sprinkling			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	31	3	34
Harnai	9	9	18
Jaffarabad	53	31	84
Jhal Magsi	13	5	18
Kachhi	26	38	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	50	13	63
Musakhel	11	11	22
Nasirabad	85	15	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	49	2	51
Overall	403	153	556

64- Effects of Construction Work (Multiple Choice)			
District	Noise	Vibration	None
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0

65- Construction Work Lead to Disruption of Wild Life			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	0	556	556

66- Trees Removed During Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	2	61	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	4	552	556

67- Trees Cut Down	
District	No. of Trees Cut Down
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Harnai	1
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachhi	0
Kohlu	0
Lasbela	5
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	1
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0
Overall	7

68- Reforestation Against Removed Trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	2	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	0	4	4

O- Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)

69- Gender Equity in Scheme Access			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	3	0	3
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	5	0	5
Jaffarabad	9	0	9
Jhal Magsi	6	0	6
Kachhi	1	5	6
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	6	0	6
Musakhel	3	0	3
Nasirabad	7	0	7
Sohbatpur	15	0	15
Usta Muhammad	17	0	17
Overall	75	5	80

70- Women Oriented on Construction, Safety, Grievance & Banking			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	1	3
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	3	2	5
Jaffarabad	2	7	9
Jhal Magsi	1	5	6
Kachhi	0	6	6
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	0	6	6
Musakhel	3	0	3
Nasirabad	0	7	7
Sohbatpur	15	0	15
Usta Muhammad	13	4	17
Overall	42	38	80

71- Challenges During Reconstruction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	1	83	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	3	61	64
Kohlu	4	27	31
Lasbela	23	40	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	41	4	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	124	432	556

72- Needs of Persons with Disabilities Considered			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	5	3	8
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	1	1
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	1	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	0	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	1	0	1
Overall	11	5	16

73- Accessibility Needs Considered in Housing Design			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	4	1	5
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	2	0	2
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	1	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	1	1
Overall	7	4	11

P- Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)

74- Awareness of Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	9	25	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	3	81	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	5	59	64
Kohlu	30	1	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	2	98	100
Sohbatpur	36	9	45
Usta Muhammad	39	12	51
Overall	142	414	556

75- Medium of Learning About GRM (Multiple Choice)							
District	Community meeting	Social Mobilizer	Banner / Poster	Radio	Television	Social media	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	9	0	0	0	0
Harnai	8	14	2	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	2	3	0	0	0	2	0
Kohlu	2	13	18	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	36	22	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	36	22	0	0	0	0	0

76- Visibility of GRM Banner or Information Display			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	9	9
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	3	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	6	24	30
Lasbela	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	2	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	36	36
Usta Muhammad	0	39	39
Overall	6	136	142

77- Orientation on Using the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	9	0	9
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	29	1	30
Lasbela	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	0	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	33	3	36
Usta Muhammad	38	1	39
Overall	130	12	142

78- Women's Ability to Use GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	3	6	9
Harnai	11	7	18
Jaffarabad	1	2	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	3	27	30
Lasbela	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	1	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	1	35	36
Usta Muhammad	1	38	39
Overall	21	121	142

79- Submission of Complaints Through GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	9	9
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	3	3
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	5	5
Kohlu	0	30	30
Lasbela	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	2	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	36	36
Usta Muhammad	0	39	39
Overall	0	142	142

80- Suggestions for Improving the GRM (Multiple Choice)				
District	Faster response time	More awareness campaigns	Better follow-up and feedback	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	9	0	0
Harnai	13	5	6	0
Jaffarabad	0	3	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	4	5	2	0
Kohlu	8	23	1	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	2	0
Sohbatpur	35	1	0	0
Usta Muhammad	39	1	0	0

Q- Construction Components Verification (CCV)

81- Excavation Depth Based on Soil Conditions			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	63	0	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	555	1	556

82- Raised Platform Above Flood Level			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	554	2	556

83- First Footing Step Dimensions Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	63	1	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	553	3	556

84- Last Step Footing Width Compliance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	83	1	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	100	0	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	553	3	556

85- Plinth Level Above Normal Surface			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	18	0	18
Jaffarabad	84	0	84
Jhal Magsi	18	0	18
Kachhi	64	0	64
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	62	1	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	99	1	100
Sohbatpur	45	0	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	553	3	556

86- Damp Proofing with Polythene Sheet			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	26	0	26
Dera Bugti	33	1	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	80	4	84
Jhal Magsi	15	3	18
Kachhi	38	26	64
Kohlu	18	13	31
Lasbela	54	9	63
Musakhel	22	0	22
Nasirabad	89	11	100
Sohbatpur	44	1	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	471	85	556

87- Minimum Wall Thickness Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	21	0	21
Dera Bugti	24	0	24
Harnai	16	0	16
Jaffarabad	69	0	69
Jhal Magsi	16	0	16
Kachhi	53	0	53
Kohlu	31	0	31
Lasbela	59	0	59
Musakhel	18	0	18
Nasirabad	85	0	85
Sohbatpur	43	0	43
Usta Muhammad	47	0	47
Overall	482	0	482

88- Roof Type Installation Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	4	17
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Harnai	13	0	13
Jaffarabad	62	1	63
Jhal Magsi	10	0	10
Kachhi	48	0	48
Kohlu	23	4	27
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	13	5	18
Nasirabad	64	3	67
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	37	0	37
Overall	385	17	402

89- Water Drainage System Check			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	4	17
Dera Bugti	23	0	23
Harnai	10	3	13
Jaffarabad	62	1	63
Jhal Magsi	10	0	10
Kachhi	48	0	48
Kohlu	23	4	27
Lasbela	51	0	51
Musakhel	13	5	18
Nasirabad	67	0	67
Sohbatpur	28	0	28
Usta Muhammad	37	0	37
Overall	385	17	402

90- Number of Ventilators Provided	
District	Total No. of Ventilators
Barkhan	25
Dera Bugti	48
Harnai	19
Jaffarabad	119
Jhal Magsi	15
Kachhi	48
Kohlu	62
Lasbela	71
Musakhel	34
Nasirabad	137
Sohbatpur	82
Usta Muhammad	48

91- Number of windows Provided	
District	Total No. of Windows
Barkhan	19
Dera Bugti	24
Harnai	15
Jaffarabad	69
Jhal Magsi	17
Kachhi	54
Kohlu	31
Lasbela	59
Musakhel	18
Nasirabad	85
Sohbatpur	49
Usta Muhammad	46

92- Installation of 1:12 Ramp with Handrails for Accessibility			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	2	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	1	1
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	6	8

93- Tactile Markers or Railings for Visually Impaired			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	2	2	4
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	1	1
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	6	8

R- Perception & Experience (PE)

94- Material Shortage Faced			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	2	62	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	2	61	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	43	2	45
Usta Muhammad	51	0	51
Overall	98	458	556

95- Type of material shortage (Multiple Choice)								
District	Cement	Bricks/Blo cks	Sand	Crush/ Gravel	Steel/Bars	Wood/Tim ber	Paint/ Finishing Items	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	18	35	1	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	5	22	0	0	28	0	0	0

96- Issues or Concerns During Construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	1	33	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	8	55	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	9	547	556

97- Cause of Dissatisfaction (Multiple Choice)				
District	IP Related	Bank Delay	Financial Favours Asked	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	1	1	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	8
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0

S- Site Observations (SO)

98- Signs of poor workmanship or deviation			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	0	18	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	7	57	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	1	99	100
Sohbatpur	1	44	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	9	547	556

99- Types of Signs of poor workmanship or deviation (Multiple Choice)					
District	Uncompacted soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0	7
Kohlu	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0

100- Issues Raised by Community Members or Stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	26	26
Dera Bugti	0	34	34
Harnai	1	17	18
Jaffarabad	0	84	84
Jhal Magsi	0	18	18
Kachhi	0	64	64
Kohlu	0	31	31
Lasbela	0	63	63
Musakhel	0	22	22
Nasirabad	0	100	100
Sohbatpur	0	45	45
Usta Muhammad	0	51	51
Overall	1	555	556

101- Type of Issues (Multiple Choice)				
District	Shortage of Material	Lack of trained skilled labor	Substandard construction	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachhi	0	0	0	0
Kohlu	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0