



Ministry of Planning
Development &
Special Initiatives



IFRAP
Integrated
Flood Resilience &
Adaptation Project



INTEGRATED FLOOD RESILIENCE & ADAPTATION PROJECT

Quarterly Progress Report (Q1)
(June – September 2025)
(Component-III)

MONITORING & EVALUATION (M&E) CONSULTANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Executive Summary	1
1.1 Progress Highlights.....	1
1.2 Key Findings and Emerging Patterns	2
1.3 Emerging Risks and Management Decisions.....	2
2. Overview of Reporting Period	3
2.1 Project Overview and Development Objectives	3
2.2 Methodology and Analytical Basis.....	3
3. M&E Activities, Progress & Coordination	5
3.1 M&E Activities	5
3.2 Coordination Meetings.....	6
3.3 Institutional Learning and Coordination Maturity.....	7
4. Component-wise Progress	9
5. Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning (MEL) Findings & Field Evidence	10
5.1 Component-III - Monitoring Sampling Methodology	10
5.2 Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition (Annexure-G-1)	11
5.3 Housing (Annexure-G-2)	12
5.4 Land (Annexure-G-3)	13
5.5 Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) (Annexure-G-4)	14
5.6 Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) (Annexure-G-5).....	15
5.7 Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) (Annexure-G-6)	16
5.8 Social Safeguards Compliance (Annexure-G-7).....	18
5.9 Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Labor Safety (Annexure-G-8) .	19
5.10 Environmental and Social Monitoring Framework (ESMF) Compliance (Annexure-G-9)	20
5.11 Gender, Environment & Social Inclusion (GESI) (Annexure-G-11)	21
5.12 Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) (Annexure-G-12).....	22
5.13 Environment Protection (Annexure-G-13)	24
5.14 Spot Check – Construction Compliance Verification (Annexure-G-14)	25
5.15 Perception & Experience (Annexure-G-15)	27
5.16 Site Observations (Annexure-G-16).....	29

6.	PMIS / Data Systems Update	31
6.1	PMIS development & integrations	31
6.2	Data completeness & synchronization status	31
6.3	Dashboard snapshots & planned enhancements	31
6.4	M&E Interpretation and Way Forward	32
7.	Risk Register & Mitigation	33
7.1	Updated Risk Matrix	33
7.2	Mitigation Monitoring Matrix	34
7.3	M&E Interpretation of Risk Dynamics	34
7.4	M&E Recommendations for Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)	34
8.	Plan for Next Quarter (October – December 2025)	35
8.1	Fieldwork Plan (Sites, Sample, Timelines)	35
8.2	PMIS Milestones and Data Improvement Actions	35
8.3	Reporting Calendar and Deliverables Due	35
8.4	Strategic Emphasis for Q2 (M&E Focus Areas)	35
	Annexes	37
A.	Field Visit Summaries	37
B.	Sample List	38
C.	Field Team Composition	48
D.	Monitoring Tools	49
E.	Visual Evidence (Photos, etc.)	83
F.	Meeting minutes / approvals relevant to the quarter (incl. GRM)	85
G.	Detailed Indicator Progress Table (disaggregation)	93
G-1.	Beneficiary Profile and family Composition	93
G-2.	Housing	96
G-3.	Land	98
G-4.	VRC	99
G-5.	Disaster Risk Reduction	109
G-6.	Minimum Construction Guidelines	114
G-7.	Social Safeguard Compliance	115
G-8.	Personal Protective Equipment	118
G-9.	Environment & Social Monitoring Framework	120

G-10.	Trees	121
G-11.	Gender Environment & Social Inclusion.....	122
G-12.	Grievance Redressal Mechanism.....	126
G-13.	Environment Protection.....	131
G-14.	Spot Check – Construction Compliance Verification.....	133
G-15.	Perception & Experience.....	137
G-16.	Site Observations	140
H.	Model Village Report.....	i

Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviations

- API – Application Programming Interface
- AWS – Automatic Weather Station
- CE – Citizen Engagement
- DQA – Data Quality Assurance
- ESMF – Environmental and Social Management Framework
- FGD – Focus Group Discussion
- FPMU – Federal Project Management Unit
- GAP – Gender Action Plan
- GIS – Geographic Information System
- GRM – Grievance Redress Mechanism
- IFRAP – Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project
- IR – Intermediate Results
- IVR – Interactive Voice Response
- JV – Joint Venture
- KII – Key Informant Interview
- KM – Knowledge Management
- M&E – Monitoring and Evaluation
- MIS – Management Information System
- MoPDSI – Ministry of Planning, Development, and Special Initiatives
- ODK – Open Data Kit
- PAD – Project Appraisal Document
- PDO – Project Development Objective
- PDMA – Provincial Disaster Management Authority
- PIU – Provincial Implementing Unit
- PIU-HRU – Provincial Implementing Unit-Housing Reconstruction Unit
- PMD – Pakistan Meteorological Department
- PMIS – Project Monitoring Information System
- PSC – Project Steering Committee
- RBMEF – Results-Based Monitoring and Evaluation Framework
- TA – Technical Assistance
- TORs – Terms of Reference
- VRC – Village Reconstruction Committee

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The first quarter of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) operations under the **Independent Firm for Result-based Monitoring and Evaluation of the Flood Response and Rehabilitation Assistance Project (IFRAP)** marked the transition from inception to full operational deployment. During the reporting period (**June – September 2025**), the M&E firm completed baseline fieldwork, system-development milestones, and institutional coordination that together established the foundation for evidence-driven implementation monitoring across all four project components.

1.1 Progress Highlights

- **M&E Institutionalization:**

The M&E Framework initially drafted and submitted in June, which was revised and resubmitted to align with the updated Project Appraisal Document (PAD) and revised Project Paper. The updated framework reflects refined indicator definitions, revised targets, and standardized monitoring tools across all components.

- **Digital Systems Development:**

The **Project Monitoring Information System (PMIS)** is made live and is now accessible to FPMU and PIU users. Its five modules, Results Framework, Field Monitoring, GRM, Safeguards, and Dashboard Visualization were tested using dummy datasets. Integration remains pending because Components 1 and 2 lack MISs, and Component 3's MIS API is still under development.

- **Capacity Building:**

A four-day training workshop (30 July – 2 August 2025, Quetta) strengthened enumerator and PIU capacities in digital data collection, geo-tagging, and OHS-compliant field practices. Multiple refresher sessions ensured uniform application of M&E tools and field protocols.

- **Analytical Deliverables:**

In addition to the core inception outputs, the M&E team submitted a **Special Analytical Report – Integration Risk & Observations (Aug 2025)**, diagnosing data-structure inconsistencies in the Component-3 MIS export. The report's findings now guide schema alignment and data-cleansing steps ahead of PMIS integration.

- **Model Village – Jaffarabad:**

A flagship **Model Village** comprising **27 climate-resilient housing units** was completed in Jaffarabad District during the reporting period. Each unit incorporates raised plinths, seismic bands, solar power, and gender-sensitive design features. The initiative demonstrates practical application of Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) and serves as a **proof-of-concept for scalable resilient housing** across flood-affected districts. The M&E team verified construction quality, beneficiary satisfaction, and community facilities as part of its field monitoring exercise.

1.2 Key Findings and Emerging Patterns

- **Data Quality and Integrity:**

Field-level verification demonstrated high consistency between reported and observed construction outputs under Component 3 but also revealed gaps in geo-tag accuracy, CNIC validation, and environmental-safeguard documentation. These are being addressed through data-cleansing protocols defined in the Integration Risk Report.

- **Safeguard and OHS Compliance:**

Compliance with Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) exceeds 95 percent, yet OHS adherence (PPE use, signage, safety briefings) remains minimal. Safeguard implementation is reactive rather than process-driven, a priority area for Q2 monitoring.

1.3 Emerging Risks and Management Decisions

Risk Cluster	Key Issue	Decision / Mitigation Direction
Fiduciary Delays	11 % mutation-pending cases halt tranche release under Component 3.	Need to optimize the process.
OHS & Safeguards	0 % PPE usage, weak waste-management documentation.	ESS 2/3 mini checklists to be digitized and enforced at verification stage.

Forward Look (Oct – Dec 2025)

The upcoming quarter will focus on **transitioning from readiness verification to process monitoring**, emphasizing:

- live integration of Component-3 data with PMIS.
- deployment of GRM–PMIS pipeline.

These steps will mark the transformation of IFRAP's M&E operations from discrete verification activities into a continuous, system-based performance-monitoring regime.

2. OVERVIEW OF REPORTING PERIOD

The present Quarterly Progress Report (QPR) covers the period **June to September 2025**, corresponding to the first quarter of M&E implementation under the Independent Firm for Result-based Monitoring and Evaluation of the Flood Response and Rehabilitation Assistance Project (**IFRAP**).

This period coincided with the Project's transitional phase between **planning, procurement, and system establishment** and the gradual shift toward **field-level reconstruction and process monitoring**.

2.1 Project Overview and Development Objectives

IFRAP supports the **Government of Pakistan's post-flood recovery and resilience agenda**, implemented through four integrated components:

1. Component 3 – Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration:

Reconstruction of flood-affected housing with improved safety, environmental, and inclusivity standards.

The Project Development Objective (**PDO**) is *“to support the recovery of flood-affected households and communities and to strengthen the government's capacity for disaster-resilient reconstruction and climate adaptation.”*

From an M&E standpoint, this quarter focused on **testing institutional readiness, field validation of progress**, and **calibrating monitoring systems** to the revised PAD's Results Framework.

2.2 Methodology and Analytical Basis

The M&E approach during this quarter combined **field-based verification** with **process monitoring**, guided by the following principles:

1. Evidence-based Reporting:

Every data point included in this report was supported by digital forms, geo-tagged photographs, or documentary evidence reviewed by TL M&E.

2. Triangulation and Validation:

Progress claims were cross-checked using multiple sources like; PIU reports, field surveys, and meeting records to ensure accuracy and consistency.

3. Progress Classification:

- *Completed*: Activity fully implemented and verified.
- *Ongoing*: Activity initiated but not yet verified through field evidence.
- *Pending*: Activity not initiated or awaiting documentation.

4. M&E Analytical Lens:

The report applies a three-tier M&E interpretation:

- **Readiness** – institutional and procedural setup status;

- **Implementation Efficiency** – timeliness and coordination;
- **Compliance Behavior** – adherence to safeguards, inclusion, and data protocols.

5. **Results Linkage:**

Quantitative progress under each component was mapped against the PDO and Intermediate Results indicators defined in the revised PAD to facilitate consistency with World Bank reporting formats.

3. M&E ACTIVITIES, PROGRESS & COORDINATION

3.1 M&E Activities

Overview of M&E Activities During the Quarter

Category	Key Activities Undertaken (June – September 2025)	Status / Outcome
Project Initiation & Coordination	- Inception meetings held with all PIUs: - Component-1 (27 May 2025), - Component-2 (2 June 2025), and - Component-3 (26 May 2025). - Alignment sessions conducted on roles, methodologies, and reporting formats.	Completed
Deliverables Submitted	<i>Draft Inception Report</i> submitted on 16 June 2025. <i>Draft M&E Framework</i> submitted on 20 June 2025. <i>PMIS Development Report</i> submitted on 23 June 2025. <i>Tentative Field Activity Plan</i> shared on 2 August 2025, revised version on 13 August 2025. <i>Data Integrity Review of Component-3 MIS for PMIS Integration</i> submitted on 5 August 2025. <i>Draft GRM Implementation Plan</i> submitted on 7 August 2025.	Completed
Capacity Building	Four-day training workshop (30 July – 2 August 2025, Quetta) on digital data collection (Android/ODK) for enumerators and field coordinators. Two refresher sessions on quality control, data-validation protocols, and field safety planning conducted during field deployment.	Completed
System Development (PMIS & GRM)	- PMIS initially deployed (23 June 2025). - PMIS access shared with FPMU (22 July 2025). - GRM Implementation Plan endorsed by PIUs I & II (22 September 2025). - Ongoing technical design for PMIS–GRM linkage and Component-3 MIS API.	Completed / Ongoing
Field Monitoring, Baseline and Data Collection	373 sample sites monitored under field verification activities of Component-3, covering all 11 active districts 794 baseline samples collected under Component-3, covering 29 districts. - Use of digital ODK tools ensured real-time GPS-tagged evidence and photo logs. - Spot-checks performed for quality assurance and back-verification.	Completed
Coordination & Supervision	Regular meetings with PIUs for baseline alignment, site selection, and GRM implementation (Ref: meetings 3 Sept, 11 Sept, and 22 Sept 2025).	Continuous

	- Participation in the FPMU Annual Workshop (27–28 Aug 2025) for harmonization of M&E tools. - Continuous liaison maintained with PIUs for feedback and technical validation.	
Special Analytical Tasks	Integration Risk & Observations Report (August 2025) prepared by the M&E team, identifying schema inconsistencies and coordinate outliers in the Component-3 MIS dataset; report used to guide data-cleansing and schema alignment for PMIS integration.	Completed
Lessons Learned	- Engagement of local field staff and inclusion of female enumerators enhanced community access and gender inclusion. - Use of digital tools improved data reliability and reduced entry errors. - Field operations faced logistical and weather-related delays but maintained full coverage through adaptive scheduling.	Consolidated

Special Field Verification – Model Village Jaffarabad: (Annex-A)

As part of ongoing process monitoring, the M&E firm conducted an independent verification of the **Model Village constructed in Jaffarabad** under Component 3. The site inspection confirmed full compliance with structural, environmental, and social standards, including provision of water and sanitation facilities, solar energy, and communal infrastructure. The village functions as a **demonstration site for resilient reconstruction and inclusive settlement planning**, providing empirical evidence to inform design replication in other districts.

Overall, M&E implementation achieved all major quarterly milestones, laying the foundation for process monitoring, digital integration, and real-time reporting in the next quarter.

3.2 Coordination Meetings

i. Meeting – M&E Inception with Component-III

- Date:** 26 May 2025
- Venue:** PIU Office
- Unit:** Component-III
- Agenda:**
 - Inception meeting to align C-3 and M&E firm
 - Define roles, responsibilities, timelines, and data flows
 - Discuss MIS integration and coordination protocols
- Key Decisions:**
 - C-3 to share full dataset (variables, parameters, reporting templates).
 - M&E firm to prepare data visualization plan and initial tools by 1st week of June.
 - Pilot data collection to begin in model village on 28 May.
 - M&E firm to review C-3 documentation and finalize draft tools by 29 May.
 - C-3 PD stressed prompt field activities for third-party verification.

ii. Meeting – Coordination with C-3 District Teams

- **Date:** 11 August 2025
- **Venue:** C-3 Office
- **Unit:** Component-III
- **Agenda:** Review of MTs and coordination between M&E firm and C-3 field teams.
- **Key Decisions:**
 - PIU's feedback on MTs incorporated by M&E firm.
 - Joint coordination group formed (M&E + District Coordinators).
 - Field-level collaboration and site coordination strengthened.

iii. Meeting – FPMU Visit to Quetta Office

- **Date:** 11 September 2025
- **Venue:** M&E Consultants Office, Quetta
- **Unit:** FPMU (Monitoring Mission)
- **Agenda:** Review of baseline progress and GRM implementation.
- **Key Decisions:**
 - FPMU urged immediate launch of baseline activities.
 - M&E firm confirmed readiness; delays due to final MTs and site approvals.
 - GRM rollout pending PIU input; M&E to support facilitation.
 - Field teams reaffirmed commitment and readiness.

iv. Joint Meeting – FPMU, HRU & M&E Consultants

- **Date:** 23 September 2025
- **Venue:** FPMU HQ, EOBI Building, Islamabad
- **Unit:** Component-III (HRU) / FPMU
- **Agenda:** Review of baseline survey progress under Component-III.
- **Key Decisions:**
 - Data collection modules reviewed; issues in beneficiary disbursement noted.
 - Field-level issues resolved jointly with HRU and WB communication.
 - Data quality checks and sampling rationale validated.
 - Site verification and beneficiary photo standards agreed.
 - Formal minutes pending from HRU/FPMU circulation.

3.3 Institutional Learning and Coordination Maturity

Coordination Dimension	Maturity (M&E Assessment)	Evidence / Observation
Communication Frequency	High	Weekly coordination and real-time email loops between FPMU & M&E firm.
Data Responsiveness	Moderate	Manual reporting; average response lag 7–10 days post field submission.
Decision Enforcement	Low	Many decisions recorded in minutes lack follow-up tracking.
Inter-PIU Collaboration	Emerging	Cross-sharing of lessons initiated.
M&E role on Decision Making	Increasing	FPMU directives now reference M&E findings in decision notes.

Synthesis:

Coordination during the first M&E quarter established the institutional foundations of IFRAP's results-based monitoring system. Meetings across PIUs achieved consensus on tools, indicators, and reporting processes; however, the absence of digital integration restricted data-level coordination.

Going forward, M&E will transition from coordination facilitation to **coordination measurement** quantifying follow-up efficiency, data responsiveness, and decision-closure rates within PMIS dashboards.

This shift will transform coordination from a narrative function into a measurable performance dimension for each implementing unit.

4. COMPONENT-WISE PROGRESS

This section presents an independent M&E appraisal of component-wise progress based on PIU submissions, field validation, and M&E spot-checks. The analysis emphasizes process monitoring, assessing implementation efficiency, coordination readiness, and compliance with M&E and safeguard protocols rather than repeating administrative achievements.

4.1. Component 3: Resilient Housing Reconstruction and Restoration

C-3 is the only component with significant physical progress. By 30 September 2025, data from the C-3-MIS (manually shared) and field verification confirmed substantive outputs:

Batch	AWP Target (Units)	Accounts Opened	Works Initiated	Completion Status (by stage)	% Progress (Works Initiated)
Batch I	106	106	106	106 (Roof) – Completed	100 %
Batch II	8 000	8 000	8 000	6 281 (Roof)	100 %
Batch III (revised 44 000)	44 000	20 732	19 109	11 913 (Plinth), 2 892 (Lintel)	43 %

M&E Analysis

- Implementation is on track in scale and quality, but process integration remains weak.
- Approximately 11 % of beneficiaries face mutation delays; PPE and OHS compliance remain 0 %.
- Environmental documentation uneven across districts; GRM awareness ≈ 4 %.
- Coordination meetings (11 & 23 Sept 2025) highlighted data mismatches between Component-3 MIS and manual records.

Integration Risk Reference

A dedicated *Special Report 01 – Integration Risk & Observations (August 2025)* by the M&E team identified schema and validation inconsistencies within the Component-3 MIS dataset, whereas field type mismatches, coordinate outliers, and CNIC format errors that could compromise API integration with PMIS. The report's findings now inform the schema-alignment and data-cleansing plan for Q2.

Recommendations:

- Make OHS compliance checks mandatory in tranche verification.
- Integrate a 1-page ESMF checklist in field tools and digitize for PMIS.
- Launch mutation facilitation drives in districts with pending cases.
- Commence controlled VRC data entry into PMIS until GRM pipeline is live.

5. MONITORING, EVALUATION & LEARNING (MEL) FINDINGS & FIELD EVIDENCE

5.1 Component-III - Monitoring Sampling Methodology

5.1.1 Methodological Overview

The monitoring survey was conducted during the **first M&E services quarter (June–September 2025)**, immediately following contract signing and field mobilization. The activity was designed in accordance with the *IFRAP Revised M&E Framework* and the *Inception Report*, to generate a baseline of physical progress, compliance, and beneficiary experience across operational sites.

A **stratified cluster sampling approach** was adopted, ensuring representation across all 11 project districts and construction stages. Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) were defined at village level, with beneficiary households randomly drawn from the C-3 MIS data. Sampling followed **Neyman allocation**, maintaining proportional representation while safeguarding statistical precision and visibility for smaller districts through minimum sample thresholds.

Out of the total **97,000 beneficiaries** targeted under the housing reconstruction program, a representative sample of **373 beneficiaries** was surveyed. The survey integrated both quantitative monitoring and beneficiary feedback using refined **Monitoring and Profile Forms**, as finalized in the *M&E Framework (Revised)*.

The adopted approach is consistent with the project's Results-Based Monitoring Framework (RBMF) and the sampling parameters approved in the *M&E Framework (Revised)*.

5.1.2 District-wise Sample Distribution

District	Sampled Beneficiaries
Barkhan	23
Dera Bugti	44
Harnai	10
Jaffarabad	66
Jhal Magsi	8
Kachi	2
Lasbela	57
Musakhel	19
Nasirabad	75
Sohbatpur	37
Usta Muhammad	31
Total	373

5.1.3 Justification of Sample Adoption

The sample achieved demonstrates **geographic and demographic representation** across districts. High-density districts such as Nasirabad and Jaffarabad were proportionally represented. The sample includes **55 female-headed** and **18 disability-headed** households, meeting RBMF requirements for gender and vulnerability representation. However, in the event that the effective sample size is compromised due to any factors, such as restricted site accessibility arising from road closures, security contingencies, or other unforeseeable

operational constraints the deferred observations will be accommodated in the subsequent monthly cycle, as these constitute part of the routine monitoring regimen

Field coverage was deliberately synchronized with **active construction sites**, since C-3 activities were the only component operational during this quarter. This ensured that the monitoring captured authentic physical progress, community engagement, and compliance performance relevant to tranche-linked disbursement triggers.

The adopted sampling structure will continue in subsequent quarters, enabling **comparative trend analysis** across physical progress, inclusion, and safeguard indicators, thereby ensuring continuity with the RBMF and the World Bank's *Revised Project Document*.

5.2 Beneficiary Profile and Family Composition (Annexure-G-1)

5.2.1 Overview

During the first M&E quarter, socio-demographic profiling of the **373 sampled beneficiaries** was carried out to assess household composition, gender representation, and vulnerability distribution across the 11 project districts. The dataset was drawn from the *Monitoring and Profile Forms* finalized in the *M&E Framework – Revised*. The results provide a verified baseline for monitoring inclusion, targeting efficiency, and vulnerability coverage under the housing reconstruction program.

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample (n = 373)
Women-headed households	55 / 373	14.7 %
PWD-headed households	18 / 373	4.8 %
Muslim households	373 / 373	100 %
Total male members	1209	51.5 %
Total female members	1141	48.5 %
Total household members enumerated	2350	—

The findings confirm that the Housing Reconstruction program has reached a **balanced gender representation**, with nearly equal numbers of male and female household members recorded across all districts. Female-headed households constitute **14.7 percent** of the surveyed population, suggesting improved visibility and access of vulnerable women to reconstruction support.

District	Female-Headed	PWD-Headed	% of Sample Vulnerable HHs
Barkhan	2	2	17 %
Dera Bugti	4	1	11 %
Harnai	6	2	80 %
Jaffarabad	8	3	17 %
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0 %
Kachi	1	0	50 %
Lasbela	15	4	33 %
Musakhel	2	0	11 %
Nasirabad	2	4	8 %
Sohbatpur	10	1	30 %
Usta Muhammad	5	1	19 %
Total	55	18	~20 % average

The vulnerability mapping shows highest representation of women-headed and PWD-headed households in **Lasbela, Sohbatpur, and Harnai**, reflecting the program's prioritization of high-risk districts affected by both seismic and flood events. The balanced inclusion across other districts ensures that gender and disability considerations are mainstreamed rather than localized.

5.2.2 Family Structure and Composition

Average household size across the sample is **6.3 members**, with youth (15–24 years) forming about **20 percent** of the population. Elderly women (5 percent), widows and single women (1.1 percent combined), and pregnant/lactating women (6.7 percent) were identified as distinct vulnerability groups for targeted messaging on health, safety, and reconstruction finance management.

A small but significant presence of female youth participating in reconstruction awareness activities was observed in districts with stronger VRC functionality (Lasbela, Nasirabad, Sohbatpur). This aligns with RBMF cross-cutting indicators on **youth engagement and women's participation** in community reconstruction processes.

5.2.3 Interpretation

- The gender and vulnerability spread of the sample directly informs **RBMF IR** ("% of female-headed and PWD households receiving reconstruction support").
- The demographic balance and household size provide context for **social safeguard targeting** and for developing tailored messaging under community engagement and GRM outreach.
- Early evidence of youth and women's involvement supports **cross-cutting indicators on inclusion and empowerment**.

The findings confirm that the sampling approach successfully captured the social diversity of beneficiaries, providing a statistically representative foundation for subsequent quarterly tracking of gender, vulnerability, and participation metrics.

5.3 Housing (Annexure-G-2)

5.3.1 Overview

This section presents findings on the **physical status of housing reconstruction** based on field verification of 373 sampled beneficiaries during the first M&E quarter (June – September 2025). The objective was to assess construction progress against tranche-linked milestones, material usage, and factors affecting implementation pace.

All verified houses were physically inspected and cross-checked against the Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG). Data was captured digitally using structured monitoring forms.

5.3.2 Construction Type and Material Use

Construction Type	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Brick masonry	213	57.1 %
Stone masonry	1	0.3 %
Concrete block	159	42.6 %
Total	373	100 %

The dominant use of **brick masonry (57 %)** and **concrete block (43 %)** reflect the prevalent building materials available in flood-affected plains of Nasirabad, Jaffarabad, and Lasbela. Stone masonry remains minimal, limited to hilly terrain such as Musakhel and Harnai. Material selection corresponds with both local availability and MCG prescriptions for structural stability.

5.3.3 Construction Status at Time of Visit

Stage	No. of Houses	% of Sample
Plinth	236	63.3 %
Lintel	65	17.4 %
Roof	51	13.7 %
Completed	21	5.6 %
Total	373	100 %

Approximately **81 %** of verified structures had reached at least plinth or lintel level by September 2025, indicating rapid mobilization after initial tranche releases. The limited number of completions (5.6 %) is consistent with the project's phased disbursement schedule, where most beneficiaries were still in early- to mid-stage construction.

5.3.6 Technical Observations

- Construction methods observed comply with MCG structural standards in more than **90 %** of verified cases.
- Plinth and lintel reinforcements** were visible in all brick-based houses reaching those stages.

5.3.7 Interpretation

The analysis indicates that, despite initial logistical challenges, construction progress is technically sound and adheres to structural safety parameters defined in the *Minimum Construction Guidelines* and *Revised Project Document (WB, 2024)*.

5.4 Land (Annexure-G-3)

5.4.1 Overview

Secure land tenure remains a core precondition for tranche disbursement and reconstruction progress under C-3. The first-quarter monitoring (June – September 2025) therefore assessed **ownership documentation, mutation status, and boundary disputes** across all 373 sampled households. Field verification followed the land tenure indicators defined in the *Revised M&E Framework* and *Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)*.

5.4.2 Ownership and Title Verification

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample
Land/mutation in beneficiary's or tenant's name	332 / 373	89.0 %
Lacking formal documentation	41 / 373	11.0 %
Boundary dispute or overlapping claim reported	0 / 373	0 %

An overwhelming **89 percent** of beneficiaries held either registered titles, inheritance proofs, or verified tenancy records. Absence of formal documentation (11 percent) was concentrated in Dera Bugti and Nasirabad, mostly due to pending mutation processes in revenue offices.

No active boundary conflicts were observed, reflecting strong community-level mediation through Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs).

5.4.3 Observations on Tenure Security

- The **absence of overlapping claims (0 %)** indicates effective site screening and verification prior to tranche approval.
- Beneficiaries with **tenants or locally certified ownership** are more exposed to administrative delays, especially where local revenue records remain paper based.
- Inheritance and mutation issues often overlap with pending property partition among extended families; continued follow-up with district revenue offices is recommended.

5.4.4 Interpretation

The first-quarter results demonstrate **strong tenure compliance**, with negligible dispute incidence and adequate documentation coverage. These findings affirm that the C-3 site selection and verification mechanisms are functioning effectively and provide a secure basis for continued tranche disbursement and physical monitoring in Q2.

5.5 Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) (Annexure-G-4)

5.5.1 Overview

Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) form the community-level institutional backbone of implementation. They are responsible for mobilizing beneficiaries, facilitating tranche disbursements, resolving land or construction-related disputes, and coordinating with Implementation Partners (IPs). During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), the survey assessed **awareness, formation, functionality, gender representation, and community participation** of VRCs across all 11 districts.

5.5.2 Awareness and Formation Status

Indicator	Yes / Total (n=373)	% of Sample
Beneficiaries aware of VRC existence	137 / 137	100 %
Respondent other than the beneficiaries	86 / 236	36 %

Awareness of Village Reconstruction Committees (VRCs) among active beneficiaries is fine. However, awareness among respondents (relative of beneficiaries) remains comparatively low. Given that VRCs are a core component of HRU implementation, it is recommended to conduct targeted community level awareness sessions to strengthen understanding and enhance the effectiveness of HRU activities

5.5.3 VRC Functionality and Meeting Frequency

Functionality Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Relevant Cases
VRC conducted consultation meetings	113 / 120	94 %
Beneficiaries participated in meetings	106 / 120	88 %
Female members attended meetings	72 / 106	68 %
Frequency: Monthly or as needed	94 / 106	89 %

Nearly all active VRCs conducted regular meetings during the quarter, with most following a **monthly or flexible schedule** based on construction milestones. Female attendance at 68

percent of meetings demonstrates tangible inclusivity at operational level, corroborated by beneficiary feedback.

5.5.4 VRC Capacity Building

Indicator	Yes / Total (n=137)	% of Sample
IP conducted training on VRC roles/responsibilities	120 / 137	87.6 %
VRC supported in resolving reconstruction issues	99 / 106	93.4 %

The training coverage (88%) and issue-resolution success rate (93%) indicate that **capacity-building efforts are highly effective**. Lasbela and Sohbatpur recorded the strongest results, with trained committees actively mediating minor site-level concerns such as land verification or material management.

5.5.5 Women's Role and Participation

Indicator	Strongly/Somewhat Supportive	Neutral/Unsupportive
Community views on women's role in reconstruction	71 (99%)	1 (1%)

The findings indicate an overwhelmingly supportive community environment for women's participation in reconstruction activities. This reflects strong alignment with the project's gender inclusion objectives. Field-level evidence across districts further substantiates these results, with documented examples of women actively contributing in supervision, documentation, and awareness-raising roles within VRCs.

The first-quarter findings establish that the **VRC structure is functional, gender-inclusive, and performing effectively** in facilitating reconstruction. Continued monitoring should focus on enhancing **community-wide awareness**, ensuring **female representation in decision-making roles**, and **strengthening linkage with GRM** processes for systematic feedback flow.

5.6 Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) (Annexure-G-5)

5.6.1 Overview

Disaster-resilient reconstruction is one of the central outcomes of the Resilient Housing program. In line with the *Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)* and *Revised M&E Framework*, DRR monitoring during the first M&E quarter (June – September 2025) examined **site exposure, structural mitigation features, and adherence to flood- and seismic-resilience parameters** across the 373 verified houses.

5.6.2 Seismic History

Indicator	Yes / Total	% of Sample
Districts with recorded earthquake events (past 25 years)	106 / 373	28 %
Sites affected by land erosion or landslides	2 / 373	< 1 %

The survey confirms that **28 percent** of sites fall in seismically active zones (Barkhan, Harnai, Lasbela), reinforcing the importance of seismic bands and lintel reinforcements in these areas.

5.6.3 Implementation of DRR Measures

Measure Type	No. of Sites	% of Sample
Flood-protection or diversion structures nearby	31	8 %
Gabion / check dam / canal works	50	13 %

The limited prevalence of community-level mitigation infrastructure ($\leq 15\%$) indicates that existing DRR efforts remain highly localized and not sufficiently transitioned into broader, community-wide flood-management interventions. Addressing this gap will necessitate strengthened inter-agency coordination with relevant local government departments during the scale-up phase.

- **Drainage channels and outflow paths** were functional in 31 percent of sites but need further improvement, particularly in densely clustered villages.
- No significant construction observed on **wetlands or natural drainage lines** ($\leq 10\%$ of sites), demonstrating environmental compliance with the ESMF.

5.6.4 Interpretation

The analysis confirms that **DRR compliance at household level is strong**, particularly concerning flood-resilient design. However, **collective mitigation infrastructure** such as drainage systems remains underdeveloped.

5.7 Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG) (Annexure-G-6)

5.7.1 Overview

Compliance with the *Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)* is a critical determinant of tranche eligibility and quality assurance. During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), MCG monitoring focused on (i) beneficiary participation in training sessions, (ii) understanding of construction standards, and (iii) field verification of MCG application across the sampled 373 sites. Data was triangulated through beneficiary interviews, IP records, and spot-check inspections conducted by engineering staff.

5.7.2 Awareness and Training Coverage

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Beneficiaries personally attended the MCG sessions and demonstrated knowledge of key MCG concepts	137 / 137	100 %
Respondent other than the beneficiaries, demonstrated knowledge of key MCG components post-training	192 / 236	81 %

The number of active beneficiaries available at the sites was 137 and other than beneficiaries were 236, total 373; these 137 individuals were trained, possessed knowledge of MCG, and had personally attended at least one training session. In addition, 236 respondents who were not direct beneficiaries also demonstrated knowledge of key MCG concepts. Notably, 192 of

these individuals correctly recalled MCG principles, indicating effective post-training knowledge diffusion within the communities.

5.7.3 Knowledge Retention by Construction Stage

Stage Concept Recalled	% of Trained Respondents (n = 156)
Plinth-level requirements (foundation depth, compaction, damp proofing)	67 %
Lintel-level reinforcement and band provision	78 %
Roof-level design and load-bearing details	65 %
Other MCG safety measures (ramps, rails, tactile indicators for PWDs only)	20 %

Respondents demonstrated relatively strong knowledge of key structural stages (plinth, lintel, and roof). In contrast, awareness of secondary accessibility features, such as ramps, handrails, and tactile indicators was comparatively low, as these are context-specific applications, primarily relevant in cases involving Persons with Disabilities (PWDs).

5.7.4 Field Verification of Compliance

Spot-check verification of 373 active construction indicated high adherence to key MCG structural parameters:

Parameter	Yes / Total Sites	% Compliance
Proper footing width and reinforcement	358 / 373	99 %
Polythene sheet for damp proofing (optional)	200 / 373	55 %
Wall thickness $\geq$ MCG standard (9" brick / 8" block)	136 / 137	99 %
Ventilators and windows provided (avg.)	2 per unit	—

High compliance with **structural integrity indicators (≥ 99 %)** demonstrates that IP supervision and technical guidance are functioning effectively. Compliance with polythene sheet use for damp proofing (55%) is relatively low, but it is optional.

5.7.5 Observations and Lessons

- **Training delivery** was comprehensive in major districts but sporadic in remote areas (Kachi, Jhal Magsi).
- **Peer learning mechanisms** where trained beneficiaries share knowledge proved effective in raising community-level compliance.
- **Non-structural safety aspects** (ramps, and accessibility) require focused reinforcement through practical demonstrations.

5.7.6 Interpretation

The findings confirm that MCG standards have been **well internalized** by communities and are effectively guiding reconstruction practices. Continued emphasis should be placed on **moisture protection, accessibility features, and localized reinforcement practices**, ensuring full compliance by the next monitoring round.

5.8 Social Safeguards Compliance (Annexure-G-7)

5.8.1 Overview

Social safeguards are a cross-cutting compliance requirement under IFRAP, ensuring that reconstruction is carried out with community consent, protection of vulnerable groups, and zero tolerance for social conflict or forced labor. During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), monitoring teams assessed **training coverage, community feedback documentation, prioritization of vulnerable households, and potential livelihood or displacement impacts** in line with the *Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)* and the *Revised M&E Framework*.

5.8.2 Training and Awareness on Social Safeguards

Indicator	Yes / Total (active beneficiaries = 137)	% of Sample
Active beneficiaries trained by IP on social safeguards and attended the sessions	55/137	40 %

Training coverage on social safeguards remains relatively low ($\approx 40\%$), reflecting the early stage of community mobilization across most districts. Given the critical importance of social safeguards in ensuring safe, inclusive, and compliant project implementation, this session requires enhanced focus to significantly strengthen awareness and maximize community understanding at scale.

5.8.3 Social Harmony and Conflict Management

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Social dispute/conflict recorded at site	0 / 373	0 %
Tension or dissatisfaction within VRC	0 / 373	0 %
Stored construction material obstructing access	5 / 373	1.3 %

No social conflict or grievance linked to reconstruction was recorded during the monitoring period, affirming the program's compliance with **ESS5 (Land Acquisition and Resettlement)** and **ESS10 (Stakeholder Engagement)** principles of the World Bank. Only minor accessibility concerns (material storage in narrow lanes) were observed in a few settlements of Nasirabad and Lasbela.

5.8.4 Interpretation

The first-quarter findings confirm **high compliance with ESS5 (Land Acquisition and Resettlement)** and **ESS10 (Stakeholder Engagement)** standards. While safeguard awareness training needs expansion in upcoming quarters, community-level adherence to non-displacement and inclusion principles is strong. The M&E team recommends **scaling IP-led social safeguard sessions** and establishing a **standardized consent documentation format** to ensure consistent evidence for WB supervision missions.

5.9 Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Labor Safety (Annexure-G-8)

5.9.1 Overview

Labor safety and occupational health are integral parts of compliance with the *Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)* and *ESS2: Labor and Working Conditions*. During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), field teams assessed **labor engagement, workplace safety measures, PPE provision, and availability of basic facilities** across 373 construction sites. Observations were cross verified through interviews with workers, beneficiaries, and implementation partner (IP) site supervisors.

5.9.2 Labor Presence and Local Hiring

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Significant labor presence at site	148 / 373	39.7 %
Local labor hired for construction	274 / 373	73.5 %

Nearly **three-fourths** of the sites employed local labor, reflecting strong community engagement and alignment with ESS2 principles of local participation.

5.9.3 Workplace Safety Training and Supervision

Indicator	Yes / Total (active beneficiaries = 137)	% of Sample
Safety training/orientation provided to active beneficiaries / workers	71 / 137	52%

Workplace safety training remains limited ($\approx 52\%$), primarily covered in Barkhan, Harnai, and Sohbatpur where IP field engineers were present at site initiation.

5.9.4 Observations and Risks

- **Labor safety is largely informal**, relying on community norms rather than documented protocols.
- **Women's participation as paid laborers** were almost negligible (1 case in Jaffarabad), and no gender-specific safety facilities (toilets or resting spaces) were reported.
- **Construction material storage** occasionally obstructed movement (1–2% cases), requiring better site organization guidance.

5.9.5 Interpretation

The first quarter findings confirm that **labor engagement is local, voluntary, and informal**, minimizing labor exploitation risk but highlighting the **absence of formal safety practices**. Moving forward, IPs are advised to:

- Include **PPE distribution** in all future site mobilizations.
- Display **safety boards at visible locations**; and
- Conduct **basic occupational health orientations** as a pre-condition for tranche release verification.

5.10 Environmental and Social Monitoring Framework (ESMF) Compliance (Annexure-G-9)

5.10.1 Overview

Environmental and Social Monitoring under IFRAP ensures that reconstruction activities adhere to the *Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)* and the World Bank's Environmental and Social Standards. During the first M&E quarter (June – September 2025), monitoring teams examined **construction practices, waste management, soil and water protection, and compliance with environmental safeguards** across 373 verified sites.

5.10.2 Ecological and Biodiversity Considerations

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Site located near water bodies	26 / 373	7.0 %
Tree cutting observed	2 / 373	0.8 %
Trees planted and site greening initiated	7 / 373	1.9 %

The environmental footprint of reconstruction remains minimal. Only isolated cases of **tree removal** were observed, with immediate mitigation (replantation) recommended in district follow-ups.

5.10.3 Environmental Conditions at Construction Sites

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Heavy dust observed at site	6 / 373	1.6 %
Observational Signs of soil erosion / waterlogging	1 / 373	0.3 %
Construction waste disposed of in designated area	262 / 373	70.2 %
Proper storage / disposal of waste materials	265 / 373	71.0 %
Hazardous materials stored / used on site	0 / 373	0 %

Environmental conditions are generally sound. **No widespread contamination or erosion** was observed. The majority of sites (**≈ 70 %**) practiced basic waste disposal.

5.10.4 Key Observations

- **Waste disposal practices improved** after IP guidance but still lack formal segregation.
- **Ecological sensitivity** is low, with no major biodiversity risks identified.

5.10.5 Interpretation

The first-quarter findings indicate **moderate overall environment compliance**, with clear strengths in **household-level waste management** and **minimal negative environmental**, but persistent gaps in **and documentation**.

5.11 Gender, Environment & Social Inclusion (GESI) (Annexure-G-11)

5.11.1 Overview

The GESI component aims to ensure that reconstruction benefits are **equitable, inclusive, and gender responsive**. During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), the M&E team analysed representation of female-headed households, participation of women, inclusion of persons with disabilities (PWDs) and transgender persons, and accessibility features in reconstructed housing. The analysis draws on both beneficiary profiles and direct field observations to measure progress against RBMF gender and inclusion indicators.

5.11.2 Women-Headed Households and Participation

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Female-headed households (FHH)	55 / 373	15 %
Women benefiting equally	151 / 373	40 %
Women participating in reconstruction or training activities	11 / 55	19 %

Although female-headed households represent **15% of the sample**, out of total sample **40% reported the female HH are benefiting equally**. Women's direct participation in construction or training activities (19%) was mainly observed in **Dera Bugti, Lasbela, and Sohbatpur**, reflecting gradual social acceptance of women's engagement in public reconstruction roles.

5.11.3 Barriers to Women's Participation

Reported Challenge	No. of Responses	% of Women Respondents (n = 71)
Lack of time / domestic workload	0	0 %
Cultural restrictions	55	77.5 %
Lack of awareness / information	0	0 %
Not invited to meetings	0	0 %
Other (mobility constraints, childcare)	3	4.2 %

The primary constraint remains cultural restrictions, which limit broader participation of women. This insight provides an opportunity to schedule future sessions at flexible hours and introduce proxy participation (female family representation) to improve attendance

5.11.4 Inclusion of Vulnerable and Groups

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Needs of vulnerable women considered	140 / 373	37.5 %

The data show meaningful progress in **mainstreaming vulnerability considerations**: out of total sample 38% of respondents confirmed that vulnerable women were specifically supported or accommodated.

5.11.5 Housing and Accessibility Features

Accessibility Feature	Yes / Total (n = 87 PWDs Nos.)	% of Sample
Houses adapted for persons with disabilities (Ramps, handrails and wider doors installed)	87 / 87	100 %

All assessed houses (87/87; 100%) identified for Persons with Disabilities (PWDs) were fully compliant with required accessibility adaptations, including the installation of ramps, handrails, and wider doorways. This reflects strong adherence to inclusive design standards and demonstrates effective integration of disability-responsive measures within the reconstruction process.

5.11.6 Gender Orientation and Awareness

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 55 women participants)	% of Female Respondents
Women oriented on safety, grievance, and finance management	29 / 55	53.5 %

Over half of participating women (53%) received **orientation on safety and MCG**, demonstrating the early rollout of gender-targeted awareness interventions by IPs.

5.11.7 Interpretation

The analysis confirms that **gender and inclusion objectives are being progressively integrated**. Key takeaways include:

- Women's and PWD participation exceed baseline expectations.
- Youth engagement provides a foundation for sustained community capacity.
- Structural accessibility features are being gradually adopted but need reinforcement through technical oversight and awareness.

Moving forward, it is recommended that gender-focused indicators be integrated into tranche verification checklists to ensure systematic documentation and enhance the visibility of inclusion outcomes for World Bank supervision missions.

5.12 Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) (Annexure-G-12)

5.12.1 Overview

The Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) under IFRAP provides a structured channel for beneficiaries and community members to raise concerns related to construction quality, payments, trench delays, and safeguard compliance. Monitoring during the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025) assessed **awareness, accessibility, functionality, and perceived fairness** of the GRM system across 373 surveyed beneficiaries. Data were triangulated with VRC feedback and Implementation Partner (IP) reports in accordance with *ESS10: Stakeholder Engagement and Information Disclosure* and the *Revised M&E Framework*.

5.12.2 Awareness and Accessibility

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Beneficiaries aware of the GRM	16 / 373	4.3 %
GRM banner or information display visible in area	2 / 16	12.5 %
Beneficiaries oriented on GRM usage	10 / 16	62.5 %
Beneficiaries who know how to file a complaint	3 / 16	18.8 %
Beneficiaries believe GRM handles complaints fairly	13 / 16	81 %
GRM accessible to all community members	9 / 16	56 %

Awareness of the GRM remains low (4.3%), primarily concentrated in Naseerabad, Sohbatpur, and Usta Muhammad. Only two locations displayed GRM banners, indicating that visual communication and outreach mechanisms are not yet fully institutionalized at the field level. However, among those who are aware, understanding of GRM functions is satisfactory, with over 60% able to explain its purpose and around 81% believing that complaints are handled fairly. Overall, most beneficiaries reported no concerns and expressed satisfaction with the intervention. Nevertheless, it is recommended that additional GRM awareness sessions be conducted to strengthen understanding and ensure timely reporting of any future complaints.

5.12.3 Use and Experience of the Mechanism

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Beneficiaries who submitted a grievance	3 / 373	0.8 %
Beneficiaries knowing someone who used the GRM	0 / 373	0 %

Only three formal grievances were recorded during the quarter, and none of them involved serious disputes. Among respondents who were aware of the mechanism, 81% expressed confidence in its fairness. This suggests that the main challenge is limited outreach rather than the credibility of the system.

5.12.4 Functional Linkages with VRCs

Although direct grievance submissions were minimal, VRCs served as informal first points of redress, resolving construction or tranche-related issues before escalation. This local mediation capacity complements formal GRM and helps sustain community trust. Integration of VRC records into the formal GRM database is recommended to strengthen data completeness for future reporting.

5.12.5 Interpretation

The findings indicate that the GRM mechanism is operational but its utilization may be maximized. The existing framework enjoys community trust where known, but requires a scaled-up awareness drive through banners, SMS alerts, and VRC orientations to achieve project-wide accessibility.

5.12.6 Recommendations for Next Quarter

- Displaying GRM information banners at all active construction sites.

- Conduct village-level awareness sessions through VRCs, ensuring female participation.
- Integrate VRC complaint records into the official GRM MIS for consolidated reporting.

5.13 Environment Protection (Annexure-G-13)

5.13.1 Overview

Environmental protection focuses on minimizing negative site-level impacts during reconstruction and promoting sustainable practices in line with *ESS3: Resource Efficiency and Pollution Prevention* and the project's *Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)*. During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), environmental observations were recorded at 373 sampled sites, covering **soil and water protection, material handling, site rehabilitation, and awareness of eco-friendly practices**.

5.13.2 Environmental Safeguard Practices

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Fuel or oil spillage observed	0 / 373	0 %

No fuel or oil contamination was observed.

5.13.3 Use of Resilient Materials

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Locally sourced materials	298 / 373	79.9 %
Reuse of debris or leftover materials	3 / 373	0.8 %

The use of local materials (42%) including reinforced brick, block masonry, and moisture-resistant mortar demonstrates consistent adoption of climate-smart reconstruction practices. Most materials ($\approx 80\%$) were locally sourced, reducing carbon emissions associated with transport and aligning with ESS3 resource efficiency objectives.

5.13.4 Vegetation and Tree Management

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Construction near clusters of trees	68 / 373	18.2 %
Tree cutting required	3 / 373	0.8 %
Replantation or greening activity conducted	7 / 373	1.9 %

Tree cutting remained minimal (0.8%), and all cases were accompanied by mitigation or replantation activities, primarily led by community volunteers in Nasirabad and Lasbela. Site greening initiatives are emerging as a community-driven activity but remain to be institutionalized in IP work plans.

5.13.5 Community Environmental Awareness

- Community awareness of house safety features and environmental practices was moderate, with 107 respondents (29%) acknowledging limited understanding of environmental risks.
- No evidence of open fuel use or contamination was observed at any site.

- Several VRCs (Lasbela and Sohbatpur) expressed willingness to integrate waste management awareness into upcoming community meetings.

5.13.6 Interpretation

The findings show that environmental performance is satisfactory, with low ecological risk and high compliance with WB environmental standards. The program demonstrates a positive trend toward resource-efficient, climate-resilient construction, though formal documentation of environmental practices and consistent supervision remain areas for improvement.

5.13.7 Recommendations for Next Quarter

- Integrate a **simple site-level environmental checklist** in IP supervision visits.
- Promote **segregated waste collection and recycling awareness** through VRC meetings.
- Initiate a **“green site” campaign** encouraging tree planting near reconstructed homes.
- Include **environmental safeguard compliance** as part of tranche verification forms.

5.14 Spot Check – Construction Compliance Verification (Annexure-G-14)

5.14.1 Overview

Spot-check verification forms the engineering backbone of Monitoring & Evaluation framework, ensuring that construction quality and technical standards prescribed under the *Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)* are implemented in practice. During the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025), the M&E engineering team conducted **on-site technical inspections** at 373 sampled locations across all 11 districts. The assessment focused on **foundation depth, structural reinforcement, damp-proofing, wall thickness, slope provision, and accessibility features**.

5.14.2 Structural Compliance Summary

Structural Parameter	Compliant / Total Sites	% Compliance
Proper footing width and depth	358 / 361	99 %
Wall thickness meets MCG standards (≥ 9 " brick / 8" block)	136 / 137	99 %
Polythene sheet (Optional) used for plinth damp proofing	200 / 361	55 %
Drainage slope and pipes provided (3–6")	20 / 23	87 %
Ramp and handrails (1:12 gradient)	9 / 21	43 %
Tactile markers / railings for visually impaired	1 / 21	5 %

Overall **compliance exceeded 95%** for all major structural integrity parameters. The main weaknesses relate to **damp-proofing (55%)** and **accessibility features ($\leq 45\%$)**, both of which require reinforcement through IP training and supervision.

5.14.3 Observations of Non-Compliance or Deviation

Deviation Type	No. of Cases	% of Sample (n=373)
Uncompacted soil in foundation	6	1.6 %
Plinth height < 1.5 ft	2	0.5 %
Misaligned walls / joints	4	1.1 %
Absence of required reinforcement materials	1	0.3 %

Non-compliance was sporadic and did not compromise structural safety. The most common deviations (**uncompacted soil and low plinth height**) were found in Naseerabad and Sohbatpur, mainly due to rapid progress before engineer re-verification. All such cases were rectified by IPs after field feedback.

5.14.4 Construction Features and Amenities

Feature	Average per Unit	Compliance Notes
Ventilators	2	MCG standards met across all districts
Windows	1	Generally consistent; minor ventilation gaps in Jaffarabad
Drainage slope provided	3–6"	Observed in 20 sites; improvement required
Accessibility (ramps, rails, tactile indicators)	Partial	Need prioritization in PWD and FHH units

The provision of **ventilation and natural lighting** was uniform, demonstrating that basic habitability standards are well understood and integrated by builders.

5.14.5 Engineering Field Notes

- Structural integrity remains **high and consistent**, with workmanship reflecting clear understanding of MCG standards.
- The **use of polythene sheet** for damp-proofing remains inconsistent; IPs need to ensure procurement and demonstration at site.
- **Accessibility provisions** should be mandated in tranche verification for vulnerable households (PWDs, elderly, and women-headed).
- Evidence of **raised platforms and reinforced plinths** confirms successful application of DRR design principles.

5.14.6 Interpretation

Spot-check findings confirm that **technical quality of construction is strong**, with near-universal adherence to core MCG parameters. The introduction of **standardized compliance checklists** for subsequent quarters will help formalize supervision and facilitate data aggregation for World Bank missions.

5.14.7 Recommendations for Next Quarter

- Ensure **universal application of damp-proofing sheets** across all plinth-level constructions.
- Integrate **accessibility features (ramps, rails)** as a mandatory MCG compliance item.

- Continue **random spot verification (≥5%)** for ongoing construction to sustain quality consistency.
- Develop a **digital MCG compliance dashboard** under PMIS to visualize trends by district.

5.15 Perception & Experience (Annexure-G-15)

5.15.1 Overview

The perception and beneficiary feedback module aimed to capture **community satisfaction, on-site challenges, and qualitative experience** of reconstruction during the first quarter of M&E implementation. A total of **373 households** were interviewed using structured questionnaires covering materials availability, construction quality, satisfaction with the process, and interface with implementing partners (IPs).

This analysis provides a vital feedback loop for adaptive management, complementing the quantitative monitoring data presented in earlier sections.

5.15.2 Material Availability and Construction Challenges

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Beneficiaries facing material shortages	76 / 373	20.4 %
Sites reporting multiple material shortages	36 / 76	47.4 %
Most affected districts	Sohbatpur, Usta Muhammad, Jhal Magsi	

5.15.3 Type of Material Shortage Reported

Material Type	No. of Cases	% of Total Shortage Cases (n = 76)
Bricks / blocks	64	84 %
Cement	60	79 %
Sand or crush	23	30 %
Steel bars	9	12 %

Material shortages were primarily attributed to **logistical constraints and price fluctuations**, particularly during the monsoon season. The issue was more pronounced in flood-prone and remote districts where supply chains are seasonal.

5.15.4 Structural Features and Quality Perception

Feature Verified	No. of Houses	% of Sample (n = 373)
Presence of plinth beam or reinforcement	283	75.9 %
Proper roofing and completion quality	182	48.8 %
Overall MCG conformity (as perceived by beneficiary)	343	91.9 %

Field teams observed that **beneficiaries exhibit strong awareness of structural safety features**, reflecting effective IP outreach. The high self-reported satisfaction with MCG adherence (92%) aligns with engineering spot-check results.

5.15.5 Satisfaction Level with Reconstruction Process

Rating Category	% of Respondents (n = 373)
Excellent	33.2 %
Good	62.2 %
Poor	3.5 %
Very Poor	1.1 %

A combined **95% of beneficiaries** rated the reconstruction process as “**Good**” or “**Excellent**”, citing timely tranche releases and visible structural improvements. Dissatisfaction was limited to isolated cases linked to delayed bank processing or construction material shortages.

5.15.6 Nature of Concerns Reported

Type of Concern	No. of Cases	% of Sample (n = 373)
IP-related coordination delays	11	2.9 %
Bank delays in tranche release	13	3.5 %
Financial favor or irregular requests	0	0 %
Other (local-level communication gaps)	4	1.1 %

All recorded concerns were minor and resolved locally through IP and VRC coordination. No formal grievances were filed through the GRM channel, reaffirming **community trust in informal redress mechanisms**.

5.15.7 Beneficiary Perspectives

- Beneficiaries highlighted **high satisfaction with on-site supervision quality and technical responsiveness of IP engineers**.
- Communities expressed **greater confidence in house safety** due to plinth and lintel reinforcements.
- The most frequent suggestions included:
 - Ensuring **timely availability of construction materials**,
 - Increasing **IP field presence** for technical guidance, and
 - Improving **awareness on tranche documentation requirements**.

5.15.8 Interpretation

The perception and feedback results confirm **high community satisfaction and technical confidence** in the Reconstruction process. Challenges remain largely operational, centered on material availability and tranche flow but **beneficiary trust and ownership** have emerged as major project strengths.

5.15.9 Recommendations for Next Quarter

- Strengthen **material supply chain coordination** through district-level vendor mapping.
- Conduct **refresher IP and VRC sessions** on communication and tranche facilitation.

5.16 Site Observations (Annexure-G-16)

5.16.1 Overview

Field teams conducted structured site observations across all **373 verified units** during the first M&E quarter (June–September 2025). These observations complement quantitative monitoring and spot-check verification by identifying **visible workmanship issues, community concerns, and construction practices** that influence the overall quality and sustainability of reconstruction.

The inspection checklist was aligned with the *Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)* and *Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)*, ensuring consistency with technical and safeguard compliance standards.

5.16.2 Observed Workmanship and Construction Quality

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Signs of poor workmanship or deviation from MCG	17 / 373	4.6 %
Misaligned walls or footing	4 / 373	1.1 %
Low plinth height (<1.5 ft)	2 / 373	0.5 %

Overall workmanship quality remained **highly satisfactory (~95%)**, with only minor deviations observed. No widespread or systemic quality concerns were identified.

5.16.3 Community and Stakeholder Issues

Indicator	Yes / Total (n = 373)	% of Sample
Community raised issues during site visits	6 / 373	1.6 %
Most common issue – material shortage	2	—
Lack of skilled labor / substandard work	2	—
Other local concerns (communication / delay)	2	—

Community issues were minimal and primarily linked to **material delays** or **shortage of skilled masons** in remote areas. No formal complaints were registered, and all issues were addressed through VRC or IP intervention at field level.

5.16.4 Compliance with MCG and Environmental Standards

- **Structural compliance:** 97% of visited sites adhered to foundation depth, wall thickness, and reinforcement standards.
- **Environmental compliance:** 71% of sites demonstrated adequate waste disposal and site cleanliness.
- **Safety compliance:** While PPE usage remained negligible, no safety incidents were observed during visits.
- **Inclusivity compliance:** Accessibility provisions (ramps or wider doors) were noted in 23% of houses, matching earlier GESI findings.

5.16.5 Key Field Observations

1. **High community ownership:** Beneficiaries exhibited pride in visible progress and structural durability of reconstructed houses.

2. **Increased technical awareness:** Most respondents demonstrated basic understanding of MCG elements, particularly plinth height, wall thickness, and reinforcement.
3. **VRC functionality visible:** In many villages (Lasbela, Sohbatpur, Usta Muhammad), VRC members accompanied M&E teams during site checks, showing strong accountability culture.
4. **Coordination between IPs and beneficiaries** is improving, though follow-up frequency needs enhancement for quality consistency.

5.16.6 Interpretation

The site observations validate that **reconstruction quality, community engagement, and safeguard compliance remain robust** during this initial implementation quarter. Minor workmanship gaps were isolated and promptly rectified, underscoring the program's effective feedback and supervision mechanisms.

5.16.7 Recommendations for Next Quarter

- Conduct **periodic joint inspections** by IP engineers, VRC members, and M&E staff for shared accountability.
- Introduce **visual compliance boards** at each site summarizing construction milestones, safety instructions, and GRM contact information.
- Develop a **quality assurance tracker** within PMIS to record and auto-flag sites requiring follow-up.
- Continue integrating **community feedback into engineering checklists** to strengthen participatory monitoring.

5.16.8 Overall Conclusion

The first M&E quarter (June–September 2025) confirms that **reconstruction activities are technically sound, socially inclusive, and environmentally responsible**. Community participation through VRCs, strong compliance with MCG standards, and proactive IP engagement have established a solid foundation for subsequent scale-up. Continuous attention to safety practices, documentation, and accessibility standards will be key focus areas for the next quarter's monitoring cycle.

6. PMIS / DATA SYSTEMS UPDATE

6.1 PMIS development & integrations

The PMIS serves as the central data repository for IFRAP, intended to consolidate physical, financial, safeguard, and grievance information across all PIUs.

By the close of Q1 2025-26, the system was functionally developed but not yet integrated, primarily due to asymmetric MIS capacities across components.

Component	MIS Status	Integration with PMIS	Observed Issues / Remarks
C-3 Resilient Housing	MIS operational at provincial level	API under development (≈ 70 %)	Manual exports shared; data model misaligned with PMIS metadata (naming / indicator codes).

Status Summary:

- **Integration:** 0 % functional interconnection as of 30 Sep 2025.
- **Development:** Core PMIS modules (Indicators, GRM, Safeguards, GIS, Reports) built and tested in isolation.
- **Dependency:** Missing MIS for C-1/C-2 and finalization of API protocol from C-3.

6.2 Data completeness & synchronization status

- **Data Coverage:** 100 % of verified C-3 records exist digitally but outside PMIS
- **Synchronization:** Manual transfer from C-3-MIS to PMIS sandbox performed once in September for testing only.
- **Version Control:** No auto-timestamping or audit trail enabled at PIU level.

M&E Interpretation:

The current environment is *data fragmented*. M&E validation therefore depends on **offline verification** → **manual reconciliation** → **PMIS upload**. Without automated sync, quarterly reporting remains subject to timing lags and human error.

6.3 Dashboard snapshots & planned enhancements

Existing Dashboard Modules:

1. **Results Framework Dashboard** – displays PDO/IR indicators (sample data only).
2. **GRM Module** – architecture and live complaint feed complete.
3. **Field Monitoring Module** – linked to Android data capture app tested in Quetta training.
4. **Safeguard Compliance Tracker** – ESMF and OHS variables ready for activation.

Enhancements Planned for Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025):

Upgrade Area	Planned Action	Responsible Entity	Timeline
API Integration (C-3)	Finalize and test data exchange protocol between C-3-MIS and PMIS	C-3 IT Wing + M&E Firm	Nov 2025

6.4 M&E Interpretation and Way Forward**Key Technical Debates and Learning:**

- Architecture vs Adoption:**

The PMIS backend is *technically complete* but *institutionally underutilized*. The debate is no longer about coding capacity; it is about **data ownership and accountability**. Without designated data custodians in each PIU, the system risks remaining a showcase tool.

- Integration Sequence:**

Instead of waiting for full MIS deployment, M&E recommends a **phased “data-bridge” model**:

1. Import static quarterly progress sheets through standardized templates.
2. Automate validation scripts for duplicates / anomalies.
3. Transition to live APIs once MIS systems stabilize.

Planned Actions for Q2 2025-26

1. Establish a **Data Governance Protocol** defining roles for FPMU M&E, PIU Data Focal Points, and the M&E Firm.
2. Introduce a **Data Submission SLA** requiring PIUs to upload verified records within 48 hours of field activity.
3. Activate **auto-generated Data Quality Scorecards** within PMIS (accuracy, timeliness, completeness).

As of 30 September 2025, IFRAP’s digital monitoring infrastructure is at a *functional* stage rather than an operational system.

The M&E Firm’s priority for the next quarter is to transform PMIS from a repository into a decision-support platform through phased integrations, metadata discipline, and real-time analytics.

Successful go-live of the C-3 API will mark the transition from manual reporting to automated performance monitoring, laying the foundation for results-based supervision across all components by early 2026.

7. RISK REGISTER & MITIGATION

The M&E firm maintains a live, evidence-based Risk Register aligned with the World Bank's Environmental & Social Framework (ESF) and the IFRAP Results Framework. This section summarizes risk categories tracked through process monitoring, defines their current rating, and specifies mitigation actions with accountable entities.

7.1 Updated Risk Matrix

No.	Risk Category	Description / Root Cause	Current Rating*	M&E Evidence Source	Mitigation Actions & Responsible Entity
3	Fiduciary and Disbursement Delays	Tranche processing held up by missing mutation records and slow bank verification loops.	Substantial	C-3 baseline survey & M&E field feedback	• Launch district mutation clinics with Revenue Dept/Commercial Banks. • Create tranche tracking sheet within MIS/PMIS. Resp.: PIU-3.
4	Occupational Health & Safety (OHS)	No systemic use of PPE, safety signage or briefings on housing sites.	High	Field spot-checks; ESS 2 review	• Integrate OHS checklist into tranche verification form. • Distribute PPE kits through IPs. Resp.: PIU-3.
5	Environmental Compliance (ESS 3)	Weak waste segregation / drainage / fencing; limited tree plantation.	Moderate	ESMF field forms (Analysis-Chapter)	• Adopt 1-page environmental screen per site in MIS/PMIS. • Monthly ESMF audits. Resp.: PIU-3.
6	GRM Visibility and Citizen Engagement	Awareness < 5 %; complaints logged informally via VRCs.	High	Beneficiary survey & GRM meetings (8 Aug & 22 Aug 2025)	• Deploy GRM banner & helpline at all PIUs. • Integrate VRC records into MIS/PMIS. Resp.: PIU-3 + M&E Firm.

* Risk Rating Scale: Low / Moderate / Substantial / High as per World Bank Operational Guidelines.

7.2 Mitigation Monitoring Matrix

Risk Cluster	Lead Mitigation Action	Target Date	Monitoring Indicator (tracked by M&E)
Data Integration	C-3 API operational and first data sync	Nov 2025	API test log validated
Fiduciary Flow	Mutation certification protocol in place	Dec 2025	Avg. tranche approval time (days)
OHS Compliance	PPE distribution + safety briefing log	Nov 2025	% sites with active safety signage
Environmental Compliance	ESMF mini checklist digitized in MIS(C-3)/PMIS	Dec 2025	% sites logged with completed checklist
Citizen Engagement	GRM visibility campaign through VRCs	Nov 2025	# of complaints logged / resolved

7.3 M&E Interpretation of Risk Dynamics

1. Evolving Risk Trends:

- Physical risks (degradation, quality failure) are declining as MCG compliance improves.
- Institutional risks (data, coordination, safeguards) are rising due to expanding project scale without matching digital infrastructure.

2. Risk Ownership Gaps:

Many risks remain “shared” without assigned accountability; PMIS integration of the risk register will enable auto-flagging to responsible officers.

7.4 M&E Recommendations for Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025)

Focus Area	Strategic Action	Expected Outcome
Institutionalize Risk Register in PMIS	Embed dynamic risk dashboard with auto alerts for high risks.	Real-time visibility for FPMU and WB.
Strengthen Data Ownership	Appoint Data Custodians in each PIU.	Clear accountability for indicator accuracy.
Integrate Safeguard and OHS Metrics	Convert ESS 2 & 3 checklists into mandatory PMIS fields.	Preventive compliance culture.
Refine GRM Feedback Loop	Link GRM module to VRC logs.	Increased complaint intake & resolution tracking.
Quarterly Risk Audits	Conduct joint FPMU–M&E reviews every quarter.	Continuous learning and adaptive management.

As of 30 September 2025, IFRAP’s risk environment remains **substantial** overall, dominated by data-system and OHS deficiencies rather than structural failures.

The M&E firm’s monitoring approach now reframes the risk register from a *static checklist* into a *learning instrument* linking each risk to process indicators, accountability, and mitigation evidence within the PMIS.

Implementation of the digital risk dashboard in Q2 (Oct–Dec 2025) will institutionalize early-warning capability across all components, enabling FPMU and the World Bank to transition from reactive to predictive risk management.

8. PLAN FOR NEXT QUARTER (OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2025)

The following plan defines M&E priorities and deliverables for the next reporting cycle. It aims to transition from “readiness and verification monitoring” to “process and performance monitoring,” embedding live data flows, GRM analytics, and cross-component learning.

8.1 Fieldwork Plan (Sites, Sample, Timelines)

Component	Planned Field Activity	Sampling / Coverage	Tentative Schedule	Responsible Entity
C-3 Resilient Housing	Follow-up monitoring on 8000 houses (Batch II & III); process audit of tranche release workflow.	% random sample per district	Oct–Dec 2025	M&E Firm + C-3 Coordinators

Fieldwork Objectives

- Verify efficiency of implementation processes (timelines, data reporting, safeguard enforcement).
- Strengthen sample representativeness for inter-district comparisons.

8.2 PMIS Milestones and Data Improvement Actions

Milestone	Key Task	Output / Indicator	Target Date	Responsible Entity
C-3 API Integration	Finalize API coding, test data exchange, and enable automatic sync.	Real-time C-3 progress on PMIS dashboard.	Nov 2025	C-3 IT Wing + M&E Firm

8.3 Reporting Calendar and Deliverables Due

Deliverable	Description	Due Date	Notes
Q2 Progress & GRM Reports (Oct–Dec 2025)	Comprehensive M&E progress covering field verification, PMIS readiness, and risk tracking.	15 January 2026	Incorporate automated PMIS extracts and GRM analytics.
Updated Risk Register	PMIS-generated risk summary with evidence notes.	15 January 2026	To accompany Q2 report submission.
Photographic & GIS Evidence Compendium	Geo-tagged portfolio of verified sites (all components).	31 December 2025	Forms Annex to next QPR.

8.4 Strategic Emphasis for Q2 (M&E Focus Areas)

1. Shift to Process Monitoring:

Evaluate workflow efficiency from design approval to field execution using measurable indicators (approval time, data entry delay, tranche lag, grievance resolution time).

2. Institutionalize Data Governance:

Assign **Data Custodians** in each PIU, responsible for data validation and timeliness before PMIS uploads.

3. Field-Level Learning Integration:

Transform field monitoring insights into system improvements and policy briefs.

4. Inter-PIU Learning Exchange:

Facilitate quarterly “Learning Clinic” for data teams from all components to discuss integration challenges and harmonization solutions.

ANNEXES

A. FIELD VISIT SUMMARIES

DISTRICT-WSIE MONITORING SAMPLE LIST

Lot	District	Nos.
1	Harnai	10
1 Total		10
4	Lasbela	57
4 Total		57
5	Kachi	2
5 Total		2
6	Jhal Magsi	8
	Usta Muhammad	31
6 Total		39
7	Nasirabad	75
7 Total		75
8	Jaffarabad	66
8 Total		66
9	Barkhan	23
	Dera Bugti	44
	Musakhel	20
9 Total		87
10	Sohbatpur	37
10 Total		37
Overall		373

B. SAMPLE LIST**SCHEME-WISE SAMPLE LIST**

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
1	1	Harnai	Nakas II	127146	10/11/2025	Sakhoola Bibi
2	1	Harnai	Nakas II	127272	10/11/2025	Zewar Khan
3	1	Harnai	Nakas II	126870	10/11/2025	Khushala Bibi
4	1	Harnai	Nakas II	127204	10/11/2025	Zarkan Bibi
5	1	Harnai	Nakas II	127083	10/11/2025	Naseeba
6	1	Harnai	Nakas II	126874	10/11/2025	Jamila Bibi
7	1	Harnai	Nakas II	127202	10/11/2025	Bangala
8	1	Harnai	Nakas II	127331	10/11/2025	Habibullah
9	1	Harnai	Saddar II	125852	10/10/2025	Gul Meer Khan
10	1	Harnai	Saddar II	126198	10/10/2025	Abdual Qadeer
11	4	Lasbela	Bela	193259	9/12/2025	Mohammad Ashfaq
12	4	Lasbela	Bela	193255	9/12/2025	M.Javed
13	4	Lasbela	Bela	193244	9/12/2025	Sher Mohammad
14	4	Lasbela	Bela	194890	9/12/2025	Madhoo
15	4	Lasbela	Bela	192999	9/12/2025	Ayoub
16	4	Lasbela	Bela	196284	9/12/2025	Khalid Khan
17	4	Lasbela	Bela	194899	9/12/2025	Feroz Khan
18	4	Lasbela	Bela	194897	9/12/2025	Saddo Khan
19	4	Lasbela	Bela	196354	9/12/2025	Naseema
20	4	Lasbela	Bela	193469	9/13/2025	Safoora
21	4	Lasbela	Bela	193560	9/13/2025	Mohammad Hashim
22	4	Lasbela	Bela	193563	9/13/2025	M.Ibrahim
23	4	Lasbela	Bela	193633	9/13/2025	Mohammad Umar
24	4	Lasbela	Bela	193551	9/13/2025	Ghulam Hussain
25	4	Lasbela	Bela	193467	9/13/2025	Khair Bibi
26	4	Lasbela	Bela	193482	9/13/2025	Khan Mohammad
27	4	Lasbela	Bela	193494	9/13/2025	Naseema Aziz
28	4	Lasbela	Bela	193490	9/13/2025	Salma
29	4	Lasbela	Bela	193474	9/13/2025	Najma
30	4	Lasbela	Bela	193472	9/13/2025	Azeemullah
31	4	Lasbela	Bela	193489	9/13/2025	Saleem Ullah
32	4	Lasbela	Bela	193191	9/16/2025	M.Suleman
33	4	Lasbela	Bela	196340	9/16/2025	Sher Afzal
34	4	Lasbela	Bela	192996	9/16/2025	M.Ameen
35	4	Lasbela	Bela	196125	9/16/2025	Rabia
36	4	Lasbela	Bela	196117	9/16/2025	Janna Janu

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
37	4	Lasbela	Bela	196116	9/16/2025	M.Ashfaq
38	4	Lasbela	Bela	196122	9/16/2025	Baydi
39	4	Lasbela	Bela	196124	9/16/2025	Razia Bibi
40	4	Lasbela	Bela	196120	9/16/2025	Haseena Begum
41	4	Lasbela	Bela	196123	9/16/2025	Attaullah
42	4	Lasbela	Bela	195260	9/17/2025	Ghulam Mustafa
43	4	Lasbela	Bela	195243	9/17/2025	Ghulam Rasool
44	4	Lasbela	Bela	199397	9/17/2025	Khadijat
45	4	Lasbela	Bela	205164	9/18/2025	Abdul Haq
46	4	Lasbela	Bela	195911	9/18/2025	Mohammad Usman
47	4	Lasbela	Bela	196051	9/18/2025	Ahsannullah
48	4	Lasbela	Bela	192906	9/19/2025	Fatima
49	4	Lasbela	Bela	186452	9/19/2025	Mohammad Afzal
50	4	Lasbela	Bela	187320	9/19/2025	Mohammad Yousuf
51	4	Lasbela	Bela	187291	9/19/2025	Mohammad Essa
52	4	Lasbela	Bela	187635	9/19/2025	Abdul Majeed
53	4	Lasbela	Bela	187705	9/19/2025	Zar Gul
54	4	Lasbela	Bela	192871	9/19/2025	Asghar Ali
55	4	Lasbela	Bela	192872	9/19/2025	Sikander Shah
56	4	Lasbela	Bela	192869	9/19/2025	Hussain Shah
57	4	Lasbela	Bela	192760	9/19/2025	M Usman
58	4	Lasbela	Bela	187729	9/19/2025	Asghar Ali
59	4	Lasbela	Bela	187717	9/19/2025	Azeemullah
60	4	Lasbela	Bela	187718	9/19/2025	Mohammad Akbar
61	4	Lasbela	Bela	195422	9/20/2025	Mohammad Tahir
62	4	Lasbela	Bela	196244	9/20/2025	Mohammad Amin
63	4	Lasbela	Bela	195404	9/20/2025	Atta Mohammad
64	4	Lasbela	Bela	195397	9/20/2025	Shakoor Ahmed
65	4	Lasbela	Bela	196182	9/20/2025	Akhter
66	4	Lasbela	Bela	195392	9/20/2025	Wahid Bux
67	4	Lasbela	Bela	187282	9/20/2025	Shakeel
68	5	Kachi	Dhadar	312340	10/7/2025	Gul Bibi
69	5	Kachi	Dhadar	312512	10/7/2025	Doda Khan
70	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341472	10/4/2025	Allah Bux
71	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341530	10/4/2025	Jani Beg
72	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341444	10/5/2025	Fida Hussain
73	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	342354	10/5/2025	Peral Khan
74	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341475	10/5/2025	Sarfraz Hussain
75	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341468	10/5/2025	Qaisar Khan
76	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341529	10/5/2025	Ali Gohar

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
77	6	Jhal Magsi	Jhal Magsi	341467	10/5/2025	Ali Madad
78	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43217	9/25/2025	Imdad Hussain
79	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43213	9/25/2025	Hidayatullah
80	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43190	9/25/2025	Hameed Ali
81	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43206	9/25/2025	Hubdar Ali
82	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43195	9/25/2025	Ashraf Ali
83	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43174	9/25/2025	Abdul Jabbar
84	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37479	9/26/2025	Mohammed Ameen
85	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43920	9/26/2025	Sonjhan Khatoon
86	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39380	9/26/2025	Muneer Ahmed
87	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37463	9/26/2025	Ameer Bux
88	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44005	9/26/2025	Muhammad Noor
89	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	43875	9/26/2025	Riaz Hussain Jamali
90	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34230	9/27/2025	Ali Haider
91	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	40857	9/27/2025	Mai Likhan
92	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	34330	9/27/2025	Rabban Khan
93	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	36308	9/27/2025	Abid Hussain
94	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	32121	9/28/2025	Syed Sagheer Shah
95	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35905	9/28/2025	M Husnain
96	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37607	9/28/2025	Abdul Ghafar
97	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44114	9/28/2025	Atta Muhammad
98	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39151	9/28/2025	Bilawal
99	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35891	9/28/2025	Mohammed Rafiq
100	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39998	9/28/2025	Waris
101	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	44115	9/28/2025	Ahmed Khan
102	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37310	9/29/2025	Mai Jamul
103	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	36105	9/29/2025	Sultana
104	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35173	9/29/2025	Ali Dost

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
105	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	37147	9/29/2025	Sarwar Shah
106	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35050	9/29/2025	Morio
107	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	35106	9/29/2025	Amanullah
108	6	Usta Muhammad	Usta Muhammad	39904	9/29/2025	Khanul Khatoon
109	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	102538	9/17/2025	Muhammad Hayat
110	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69669	9/17/2025	Abdul Rahman
111	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69726	9/17/2025	Sajjan
112	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	68251	9/17/2025	Zahr Khaton
113	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	65546	9/17/2025	Yar Muhammad
114	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69027	9/17/2025	Gul Muhammad
115	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69727	9/17/2025	Wazeera Bibi
116	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69723	9/17/2025	Muhammad Malook
117	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69200	9/17/2025	Mai Sohnee
118	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48641	9/19/2025	Naik Muhammad
119	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48644	9/19/2025	Abdul Fatah
120	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	51742	9/19/2025	Dad Muhammad
121	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48677	9/19/2025	Muhammad Ghazi
122	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48658	9/19/2025	Bakhtiyar Khan
123	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48657	9/19/2025	Haydar Ali
124	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48659	9/19/2025	Zar Bi Bi
125	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	48670	9/19/2025	Muhammad Hussain
126	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	72766	9/20/2025	Sher Muhammad
127	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	52175	9/22/2025	Khamisa Khan
128	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	52161	9/22/2025	Amanullah
129	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	52160	9/22/2025	Khair Muhammad
130	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	51929	9/22/2025	Ghulam Rasool
131	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	76533	9/24/2025	Mai Toti
132	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69880	9/24/2025	Bibi Naz

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
133	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69882	9/24/2025	Naseer Ahmed
134	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	76957	9/24/2025	Waqar Ali
135	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	74076	9/24/2025	Muhammad Malook
136	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	75106	9/24/2025	Sahib Khan
137	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	75864	9/24/2025	Meer Gull
138	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	75107	9/24/2025	Nawaz Sharif
139	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	71774	9/25/2025	Mondar Khan
140	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	71780	9/25/2025	Muhammad Arif
141	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	79316	9/26/2025	Sahah Akram
142	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	79927	9/26/2025	Pasand Ali
143	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	74486	9/26/2025	Jan Muhammad
144	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	75325	9/26/2025	Babal Khan
145	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	75594	9/26/2025	Israr Ahmed
146	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	77362	9/29/2025	Mehar Ali
147	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69715	9/29/2025	Fozia Bibi
148	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	122280	9/29/2025	Babal Khan
149	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	77536	9/29/2025	Sabaz Ali
150	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	122282	9/29/2025	Azizullah
151	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	51982	9/29/2025	Azizullah
152	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	75316	9/29/2025	Babul Khan
153	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	122283	9/29/2025	Babul
154	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	77532	9/29/2025	Sadora Khan
155	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69705	9/29/2025	Dargahi Khan
156	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	106198	9/29/2025	Abdul Waheed
157	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	76720	9/30/2025	Lal Bux
158	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	60023	9/30/2025	Dur Bibi
159	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	69866	10/3/2025	Muhammad Waris
160	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	58480	10/3/2025	Sajjad Ali

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
161	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	58247	10/3/2025	Rehmatullah
162	7	Nasirabad	Dera Murad Jamali	60067	10/3/2025	Sahista
163	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	99694	9/12/2025	Shah Muhammad
164	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	105694	9/12/2025	Muheem Khan
165	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	105549	9/13/2025	Muhammad Akbar
166	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	99946	9/13/2025	Khamisa Khan
167	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	99618	9/13/2025	Imam Khatoon
168	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	99933	9/16/2025	Ghamtal Ali
169	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	99895	9/16/2025	Ali Ahmed
170	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	99914	9/16/2025	Zafrullah
171	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	109665	9/18/2025	Abdul Ghani
172	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	102585	9/18/2025	Fateh Ali
173	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	104423	9/18/2025	Muhammad Akbar
174	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	110794	9/21/2025	Sharifa
175	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	108696	9/21/2025	Abdul Wahab
176	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	108680	9/21/2025	Parveesha
177	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	106142	9/21/2025	Abas Ali
178	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	106422	9/21/2025	Abdul Hameed
179	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	110795	9/21/2025	Shah Wali
180	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	110467	9/21/2025	Ghulam Haidar
181	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	110440	9/23/2025	Imam Khatoon
182	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	107146	9/23/2025	Waqar Ahmed
183	7	Nasirabad	Tamboo	108708	9/23/2025	Faraz Ali
184	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	5160	9/13/2025	Muhammad Hayat
185	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	6565	9/13/2025	Sobdar
186	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	6564	9/13/2025	Raisldar
187	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17856	9/18/2025	Suhrab Khan
188	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17053	9/18/2025	Shabana
189	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17080	9/18/2025	Saleema B B
190	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17084	9/18/2025	Muhammad Ibraheem
191	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10229	9/18/2025	Hadi Bux
192	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17079	9/18/2025	Fatima Bb
193	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10281	9/18/2025	Bahawal Khan
194	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17040	9/18/2025	Abdul Wahid
195	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10261	9/19/2025	Allah Rakhiya
196	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10194	9/19/2025	Ghulam Bibi
197	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10195	9/19/2025	Niaz Muhammad
198	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	19554	9/20/2025	Zainab Khatoon
199	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9697	9/20/2025	Yaseen Khan

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
200	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9693	9/20/2025	Yar Ali
201	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	19623	9/21/2025	Sain Jial Shah
202	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	7912	9/21/2025	Majed
203	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	7991	9/21/2025	Jamel Ahmad
204	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10688	9/21/2025	Hazar Khan
205	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	10811	9/21/2025	Gulsher
206	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8084	9/21/2025	Arshad Rabani
207	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	51920	9/22/2025	Zain Ul Abden
208	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	14972	9/23/2025	Amena Bb
209	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	20470	9/23/2025	Sikandar Shah
210	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15247	9/23/2025	Qadir Bux
211	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15722	9/23/2025	Mer Sahib
212	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15676	9/23/2025	Faiz Ullah
213	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	14320	9/23/2025	Ghulam Qadir Jatoi
214	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15856	9/23/2025	Hinfa
215	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	14317	9/23/2025	Imtaiz Ahmed
216	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15809	9/23/2025	Sadori
217	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	20440	9/23/2025	Wali Muhammad.
218	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15896	9/24/2025	Benazeer
219	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16598	9/24/2025	Sohna Khan
220	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	20574	9/24/2025	Shezada
221	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16939	9/24/2025	Hakim Ali
222	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15902	9/24/2025	Rubina
223	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	15811	9/24/2025	Laila
224	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	20572	9/24/2025	Gul Jan
225	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16956	9/24/2025	Marwi
226	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16681	9/24/2025	Ali Murad
227	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	16600	9/24/2025	Abdul Nabi
228	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	19052	9/25/2025	Muhammad Amin
229	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	19053	9/25/2025	Laila
230	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18779	9/25/2025	Hibat Ali
231	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18798	9/25/2025	Nadeem Ali
232	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18765	9/25/2025	Shezadi
233	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	19063	9/25/2025	Talib Hussain
234	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18823	9/26/2025	Shaberan
235	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	6697	9/26/2025	Dost Ali
236	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	9523	9/26/2025	Bakhsha Khan
237	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	8027	9/27/2025	Daim Khan Rind
238	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	20719	9/27/2025	Syed Mohbat Shah
239	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	14549	9/27/2025	Sakina

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
240	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	14315	9/27/2025	Sajaan Khan
241	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	20583	9/27/2025	Moosa Khan
242	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	14327	9/27/2025	Badal Khan
243	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	18079	9/27/2025	Peer Jan
244	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	12448	9/29/2025	Zar Bano
245	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	12086	9/29/2025	Mai Sohni
246	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	17092	9/29/2025	Peero
247	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	7476	9/30/2025	Nor Hassan
248	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	7057	9/30/2025	Mor Khan
249	8	Jaffarabad	Jhatpat	7045	9/30/2025	Dil Sher Khan
250	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239669	9/25/2025	Malak Yar Khan
251	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238399	9/25/2025	Qadir Shah
252	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236378	9/25/2025	Essa Khan
253	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	239101	9/25/2025	Khan Muhammad
254	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236649	9/25/2025	Muhammad Daria
255	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236452	9/25/2025	Azad Khan
256	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238843	9/26/2025	Atta Ullah Shah
257	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236556	9/26/2025	Mainkhan Shah
258	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236547	9/26/2025	Muhammad Sidiq
259	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238298	9/26/2025	Sahibjan
260	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237336	9/26/2025	Khan Muhammad
261	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237032	9/26/2025	Jamal Khan
262	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237482	9/26/2025	Lal Shah
263	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238159	9/26/2025	Syed Muhammad
264	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238205	9/26/2025	Sahab Jan
265	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238494	9/26/2025	Lal Muhammad
266	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236813	9/27/2025	Lal Muhammad
267	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	236946	9/27/2025	Yar Khan
268	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237092	9/27/2025	Kamal Khan
269	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237278	9/27/2025	Gul Jan
270	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238152	9/28/2025	Hayat Bibi
271	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	238151	9/28/2025	Jalal Uddin
272	9	Barkhan	Barkhan	237963	9/28/2025	Lal Jan
273	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	260500	9/21/2025	Dina Khan
274	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	264158	9/21/2025	Shireen Bibi
275	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263475	9/22/2025	Mondarali
276	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263094	9/22/2025	Abdul Rahman
277	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263062	9/22/2025	Noor Hussain
278	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263158	9/22/2025	Muhammad Latif
279	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	264326	9/22/2025	Sahaulah

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
280	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	264516	9/22/2025	Shah Murad
281	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261067	9/23/2025	Umar Bibi
282	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261247	9/23/2025	Shair Khan
283	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	260550	9/23/2025	Chunardi
284	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	259254	9/24/2025	Mandost Khan
285	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261275	9/24/2025	Katu Khan
286	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261382	9/24/2025	Baran
287	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261365	9/24/2025	Syedali
288	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261303	9/24/2025	Shandar Khan
289	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261363	9/24/2025	Geela
290	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261327	9/24/2025	Lateef Khan
291	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261028	9/25/2025	Haleem
292	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261405	9/25/2025	Mir Bahar
293	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261398	9/25/2025	Umar Bakhsh
294	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	259342	9/25/2025	Mahmood Khan
295	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263592	9/25/2025	Khair Zadi
296	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	263759	9/25/2025	Umerd Ali
297	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253647	9/26/2025	Mugheem Ahmed
298	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253633	9/26/2025	Paneri Khan
299	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253588	9/26/2025	Mirali
300	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253626	9/26/2025	Allah Dad
301	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253842	9/26/2025	Arbab Ali
302	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253668	9/26/2025	Sher Mohammed
303	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253606	9/26/2025	Toor Khan
304	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253901	9/26/2025	Feroz Khan
305	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253742	9/27/2025	Din Muhammad
306	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253736	9/27/2025	Maarshallah
307	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253735	9/27/2025	Jaffar Jamali
308	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253721	9/27/2025	Qadir Bakhsh
309	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253719	9/27/2025	Nehal Din
310	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253720	9/27/2025	Shah Murad
311	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	233709	9/27/2025	Bagoo Khan
312	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253712	9/27/2025	Rindo Khan
313	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253739	9/27/2025	Imam Din
314	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	261372	9/28/2025	Haji
315	9	Dera Bugti	Dera Bugti	253610	9/28/2025	Raza Muhammad
316	9	Dera Bugti	Sui	264119	9/21/2025	Shah Dost
317	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177462	9/28/2025	Raheem Uddin
318	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177380	9/28/2025	Hayat Khan
319	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177366	9/28/2025	Rozak

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
320	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177349	9/28/2025	Nanak
321	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177550	9/28/2025	Hayat Khan
322	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	178978	9/28/2025	Wali Muhammad
323	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177639	9/29/2025	Fazal Ul Rehman
324	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	178239	9/29/2025	Suba Khan
325	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177939	9/29/2025	Shabir Ahmed
326	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177346	9/29/2025	Nadar
327	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177477	9/29/2025	Muhammad Akram
328	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177441	9/29/2025	Khaliq Din
329	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177936	9/29/2025	Zaro Jan
330	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177906	9/29/2025	Mado Khan
331	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	178762	9/29/2025	Meer Zaman
332	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177433	9/29/2025	Eid Muhammad
333	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	178770	9/29/2025	Fazal Jan
334	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	177947	9/29/2025	Mach Gull
335	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	178557	9/29/2025	Nazar Muhammad
336	9	Musakhel	Musakhel	178555	9/29/2025	Jamal Uddin
337	10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	295850	9/17/2025	Imam Zadi
338	10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	296117	9/21/2025	Jaam Khan
339	10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	294810	9/21/2025	Abdul Baari
340	10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	294850	9/21/2025	Kazbano
341	10	Sohbatpur	Fareedabad	292662	9/21/2025	Nasibullah
342	10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	270261	9/16/2025	Habibullah
343	10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	270254	9/16/2025	Rahim Bux
344	10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	270255	9/16/2025	Mehrullah
345	10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	270259	9/16/2025	Zakir Hussain
346	10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	270257	9/16/2025	Maqbool Ahmed
347	10	Sohbatpur	Manjhi Pur	283777	9/16/2025	Manzoor Ahmed
348	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290001	9/12/2025	Qasim Kahtoon
349	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	291283	9/12/2025	Shahid Aziz
350	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290036	9/12/2025	Abdul Lateef
351	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290035	9/12/2025	Sobadar Khan
352	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290006	9/12/2025	Sher Dil
353	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	271621	9/12/2025	Muhammad Bux
354	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	290027	9/12/2025	Muhammad Khan
355	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	285590	9/12/2025	Juma Khan
356	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288122	9/12/2025	Abdul Wahab
357	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	288248	9/12/2025	Imtiaz Ahmed
358	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	289703	9/12/2025	Nasir Nawab
359	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287845	9/13/2025	Mir Hassan

Sr.#	Lot	District	Tehsil	HRU Code	Date of Visit	Respondent Name
360	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287971	9/13/2025	Morkho
361	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287879	9/13/2025	Sobhan Bibi
362	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287925	9/13/2025	Ali Nawaz
363	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287972	9/13/2025	Khamisa Khan
364	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287898	9/13/2025	Basra
365	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287936	9/13/2025	Naheed
366	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287827	9/13/2025	Abdul Ghafar
367	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287874	9/13/2025	Noor Bano
368	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287886	9/13/2025	Mohabat Khan
369	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287885	9/13/2025	Gohar
370	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287882	9/13/2025	Alim Kahtoon
371	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287937	9/13/2025	Muhammad Iqbal
372	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	287890	9/13/2025	Riffat
373	10	Sohbatpur	Sohbatpur	277747	9/13/2025	Fateh Muhammad

C. FIELD TEAM COMPOSITION

M&E FIELD TEAM COMPOSITION

Sr.#	Teams	Name	Designation
1	Team-1	Fawad Umair	M&E Officer
2	Team-1	Asghar Ali	M&E Associate
3	Team-2	Muhammad Umair	M&E Associate
4	Team-2	Jumma Khan	M&E Associate
5	Team-3	Adil Jaffar	M&E Associate
6	Team-3	Sanaullah Khan	M&E Officer
7	Team-4	Irfan Ali Qazi	M&E Officer
8	Team-4	Sanaullah Kakar	M&E Associate
9	Team-5	Abdul Jabbar	M&E Officer
10	Team-5	Ejaz Aslam	M&E Associate
11	Team-6	Raheem Baksh	M&E Associate
12	Team-6	Farzana Bibi	M&E Officer
13	Team-7	Javaid Ahmed	M&E Associate
14	Team-7	Parvez Akhtar Jamali	M&E Associate
15	Team-8	Shaikah Bugti	M&E Associate

D. MONITORING TOOLS

MONITORING SURVEY TOOL

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Profile Data Collection Tool
ID.1.0	0	Informed Consent & Data Privacy: <i>(Enumerator reads aloud at the start of interview)</i> We are conducting this survey as part of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP), supported by the Government of Balochistan and the World Bank. The purpose is to understand your household's situation and monitor the support being provided. Your participation is voluntary. The information you share will remain confidential and will only be used for project monitoring and reporting. You may refuse to answer any question or stop the interview at any time without any impact on your eligibility or entitlements under the project. Do you agree to participate in this survey?
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Identification		
ID.1.1	1	Lot پیکچ
ID.1.2	2	District ضلع
ID.1.3	3	Tehsil تحصیل
ID.1.4	4	Union Council یونین کونسل
ID.1.5	5	Village/Settlement گاؤں
ID.1.6	6	Household Code/ID (Issued by HRU) گھر کا نمبر
ID.1.7	7	GPS Coordinates? [Auto captured] جی پی ایس کوآرڈینیٹس [خود بخود درج ہوئے گئے]
ID.1.8	8	Date of Visit: _____ تاریخ جس دن آپ سروے کر رہے ہیں
ID.1.9	9	Respondent Name: _____ جواب دینے والے کا نام
ID.1.10	10	CNIC Number? (13 digits only) شناختی کارڈ نمبر
ID.1.11	11	Mobile Number? (11 digits only) موبائل نمبر
HC.2.1	12	Is this a women-headed household? کیا یہ خواتین کی سربراہی والا گھرانہ ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
HC.2.2	13	Is this household headed by a person with any disability? کیا یہ گھرانہ کسی معذور شخص کے زیر سربراہی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
HC.2.3	14	What is your religion? آپ کا مذہب کیا ہے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Profile Data Collection Tool
	1	Muslims مسلمان
	2	Hindus ہندو
	3	Christians عیسائی
	4	Sikhs سکھ
	5	Parsis پارسی
	6	Buddhists بدھ مت کے پیروکار
HC.2.3y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Beneficiary's Family Composition		
HC.3.1	15	Number of Male members in your family? گھر میں مرد ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
HC.3.2	16	Number of Female members in your family? گھر میں خواتین ارکان کی تعداد کیا ہے
HC.3.3	17	Number of Transgender members in your family? آپ کے خاندان میں خواجہ سرا افراد کی تعداد کیا ہے
The Loop from Q.# 18 to 20 will run the same number of times as entered in Q.# 15 and 16		
HC.3.1.1 and HC.3.2.1	18	Age? عمر
HC.3.1.2 and HC.3.2.2	19	Does this family member have any disability? کیا اس کو کوئی معذوری ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
If "Greater than Zero" entered in Q.# 16 then continue with Q.# 20		Otherwise goto Q.# 21
HC.3.2.3	20	If women is from Vulnerable Group, than select the relevant options? اگر خاتون کسی کمزور (محتاج) طبقے سے تعلق رکھتی ہیں خاتون جن جن گروپس میں آتی ہیں، ان تمام آپشنز کا انتخاب کریں
	1	Widow (with no children over 18 years of age) بیوہ (جن کے 18 سال سے بڑے بچے نہ ہوں)
	2	Single women not residing with a male relative اکیلی خواتین جو کسی مرد رشتہ دار کے ساتھ نہیں رہ رہیں
	3	Women with disabled spouse وہ خواتین جن کے شوہر معذور ہیں
	4	Elderly women (above 60 years) معمر خواتین (60 سال سے زائد عمر کی)
	5	Pregnant / lactating women حاملہ / دودھ پلانے والی خواتین
	6	None کوئی نہیں
SA.4.2	21	General Observations of M&E Field Staff? (optional) (if any) ایم اینڈ ای فیلڈ اسٹاف کے خیالات/رائے؟ (اگر کوئی ہوں)

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
ID.1.0	0	Informed Consent & Data Privacy: <i>(Enumerator reads aloud at the start of interview)</i> We are conducting this survey as part of the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP), supported by the Government of Balochistan and the World Bank. The purpose is to understand your household's situation and monitor the support being provided. Your participation is voluntary. The information you share will remain confidential and will only be used for project monitoring and reporting. You may refuse to answer any question or stop the interview at any time without any impact on your eligibility or entitlements under the project. Do you agree to participate in this survey?
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Identification		
ID.1.1	1	District ضلع
ID.1.2	2	Household Code/ID (Issued by HRU) گھر کا نمبر
ID.1.3	3	CNIC Number? (13 digits only) شناختی کارڈ نمبر
ID.1.4	4	Date of Visit: ____/____/____ تاریخ جس دن آپ سروے کر رہے ہیں
Stakeholder Interaction		
SI.2.1	5	Were any of the following stakeholders present during the visit? کیا سروے کے دوران درج ذیل اسٹیک ہولڈرز موجود تھے
	1	VRC Member وی آر سی رکن
	2	Community Representative کمیونٹی نمائندہ
	3	IP Project Staff آئی پی پروجیکٹ عملہ
Construction Progress		
CP.3.1	6	What type of house going to be constructed? کون سا قسم کا مکان تعمیر کیا جا رہا ہے
	1	Brick اینٹ کا مکان
	2	Stoney Masonry پتھروں سے تعمیر
	3	Block Masonry کنکریٹ بلاک کی تعمیر
CP.3.2	7	House construction stage at the time of visit? سروے کے وقت مکان کی تعمیر کس مرحلے میں تھی
	1	Plinth Level پلنٹھ (زمین سے اوپر) کی سطح
	2	Lintel Level لائنٹل (کھڑکی/دروازے کے اوپر شہتیر) کی سطح
	3	Roof Level چھت کی سطح

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	4	Not Started شروع نہیں ہوا
	5	House Completely Constrcuted گھر مکمل طور پر تعمیر ہو چکا ہے
	If "Plinth/Lintel/Roof Level" selected in Q.# 7 then continue with Q.# 8 In case of "Not Started" goto Q.# 10	
CP.3.2.1	8	What is the construction status of Plinth / Lintel / Roof level? بنیاد، لنتل یا چھت کے درجے کی تعمیر کس مرحلے پر ہے
	1	In Progress کام جاری ہے
	2	Temporarily Paused عارضی طور پر رُکا ہوا ہے
	3	Completed مکمل ہو گیا ہے
	If "In Progress" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 9 In case of "Temporarily paused" goto Q.# 10	
CP.3.2.1.1	9	In Progress? Please specify how much work has been completed till now in the selected stage (%): _____ اگر کام جاری ہے، تو براہ کرم بتائیں کہ متعلقہ مرحلے میں اب تک کتنا فیصد کام مکمل ہو چکا ہے؟ (فیصد میں)۔
	If "Temporarily paused" selected in Q.# 8 then continue with Q.# 10 Otherwise goto Q.# 11	
CP.3.2.1.2	10	Select the reason why house construction has not started, or temporarily paused? وہ وجہ منتخب کریں جس کے باعث گھر کی تعمیر تاخیر کا شکار ہے یا وقتی طور پر رُکی ہے
	1	Land Dispute زمین کا جھگڑا
	2	Tranch Delay قسط میں تاخیر
	3	Material Shortage سامان کی کمی
	4	Labor Shortage مزدوروں کی کمی
	5	Water Shortage پانی کی کمی
	6	Slag session ملبہ ہٹانے میں رُکاوٹ
	7	Delay Due to Death وفات کی وجہ سے تاخیر
	8	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر
CP.3.2.1.2 y	9	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
CP.3.3.1	11	Take Picture of House? سروے والے گھر کی تصویر کھینچیں
CP.3.3.2	12	Take picture of house along with Beneficiary? اسکیم کی تصویر ان افراد کے ساتھ بنائیں جو اس اسکیم سے فائدہ اٹھا رہے ہیں
Land and Property Documentation Tracker		

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
LPDT.4.1	13	Is the land title/mutation officially in the name of the HRU beneficiary/Tenant? کیا زمین کا ٹائٹل/انتقال باضابطہ طور پر فائدہ اٹھانے والے/کرایہ دار کے نام پر ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
LPDT.4.2	14	What type of property ownership documentation is available? کون سا قسم کا جائیداد کے ملکیتی دستاویزات دستیاب ہیں
	1	Registered deed رجسٹرڈ ڈیڈ
	2	Tenant - Stamp paper کرایہ دار – اسٹامپ پیپر
	3	Local verification certificate مقامی تصدیقی سرٹیفکیٹ
	4	State Land سرکار کی ملکیت کی زمین
	5	Inheritance proof وراثتی ثبوت
	6	No document available کوئی دستاویز دستیاب نہیں
LPDT.4.2y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
LPDT.4.3	15	Is there any boundary dispute or overlapping claim with neighbours or community? کیا پڑوسیوں یا مقامی کمیونٹی کے ساتھ زمین کی حد بندی پر کوئی تنازعہ یا مشترکہ دعویٰ ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 15 then continue with Q.# 16	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 17	
LPDT.4.3.1	16	Describe nature of dispute? تنازعے کی نوعیت بیان کریں
Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC)		
VRC.5.1	17	Do you know about the Village Reconstruction Committee (VRC) in your village? کیا آپ اپنے گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے بارے میں جانتے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 17 then continue with Q.# 18	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 36	
VRC.5.2	18	Has VRC formation been completed? کیا وی آر سی بن چکی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 18 then continue with Q.# 19	
	Otherwise goto Q.# 36	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
VRC.5.3	19	Are you member of VRC? کیا آپ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے رکن ہیں؟
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 19 then continue with Q.# 20 Otherwise goto Q.# 32	
VRC.5.4	20	Select the role in VRC? گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) میں اپنا عہدہ چنیں
	1	Chairperson چئیر پرسن
	2	Secretary سیکریٹری
	3	Member رکن
VRC Member - Feedback		
VRC.5.5	21	How many male members are in the VRC? Number: _____ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) میں کتنے مرد اراکین ہیں؟ تعداد
VRC.5.6	22	How many Female members are in the VRC? Number: _____ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) میں کتنی خواتین اراکین ہیں؟ تعداد
VRC.5.7C	23	Total number of members in VRC? (Auto Calculated) Number: _____ گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) میں کل کتنے اراکین ہیں؟ تعداد
VRC.5.8	24	Did IP conduct training session on VRC roles and responsibilities? کیا (آئی پی) نے وی آر سی کے کردار اور ذمہ داریوں پر تربیتی سیشن منعقد کیا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.5.9	25	Did the VRC conduct consultation meetings? کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے مشاورت اجلاس منعقد کیے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.5.10	26	Did you participate in VRC consultation meetings? کیا آپ نے گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے مشاورت اجلاسوں میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 26 then continue with Q.# 27 Otherwise goto Q.# 32	
VRC.5.11	27	What is the frequency of VRC consultation meetings? گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے مشاورت اجلاس کتنی بار منعقد ہوتے ہیں
	1	Daily روزانہ

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	2	Weekly ہفتہ وار
	3	Bi-Weekly ہر دو ہفتے بعد
	4	Monthly ماہانہ
	5	As needed / Whenever required ضرورت کے مطابق / جب بھی ضرورت ہو
	6	Never کبھی نہیں
	If "Greater than Zero" Entered in Q.# 22 then continue with Q.# 28 Otherwise goto Q.# 31	
VRC.5.12	28	Did female VRC members participate in these meetings? کیا گواؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کی خواتین اراکین نے ان مشاورتی اجلاسوں میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.5.12.1	29	In consultation meetings, what level of women's participation has been observed? خواتین اراکین کی مشاورتی اجلاسوں میں شرکت کس حد تک ہے
	1	Attended meetings اجلاس میں شرکت
	2	Shared feedback رائے دی
	3	Decision making فیصلہ سازی میں حصہ لیا
	4	Do nothing کچھ نہیں کیا
VRC.5.12.2	30	How do community members perceive the role of women in the reconstruction process? کمیونٹی کے افراد تعمیر کے عمل میں خواتین کے کردار کے بارے میں کیا رائے رکھتے ہیں
	1	Strongly supportive مکمل حمایت والا
	2	Somewhat supportive کچھ حد تک حمایت کرنے والا
	3	Neutral غیر جانبدار
	4	Unsupportive حمایت نہ کرنے والا
VRC.5.13	31	Did the majority of VRC members participate in these meetings? کیا گواؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) کے اکثریتی اراکین نے ان مشاورتی اجلاسوں میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VRC.5.14	32	Has the VRC supported in resolving housing reconstruction issues? کیا گواؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے مکان کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں تعاون کیا ہے؟
	1	Yes جی ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 32 then continue with Q.# 33 Otherwise goto Q.# 34
VRC.5.14.1	33	What kind of support has VRC provided in resolving housing reconstruction issues? (Select all that apply) گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے گھروں کی تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں کس قسم کی مدد فراہم کی ہے؟ (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں)
	1	Helped resolve disputes جھگڑوں کے حل میں مدد کی
	2	Guided construction تعمیرات میں رہنمائی فراہم کی
	3	Coordinated with IP/HRU teams آئی پی / ایچ آر یو ٹیموں کے ساتھ رابطہ قائم کیا
	4	Assisted vulnerable households کمزور گھرانوں کی مدد کی
	5	Shared information with the community کمیونٹی کے ساتھ معلومات شیئر کی
		If "No" selected in Q.# 32 then continue with Q.# 34 Otherwise goto Q.# 35
VRC.5.14.2	34	Describe the issues why VRC failed to resolve the reconstruction issues? ان وجوہات کو بیان کریں جن کی وجہ سے گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) تعمیر کے مسائل حل کرنے میں ناکام رہی؟
	1	Lack of resources/funds وسائل / فنڈز کی کمی
	2	Limited technical knowledge محدود تکنیکی علم
	3	Poor coordination among members اراکین کے درمیان کمزور ہم آہنگی
	4	Low community participation کمیونٹی کی کم شمولیت
	5	Communication gaps with beneficiaries مستفید ہونے والوں کے ساتھ رابطے میں کمی
VRC.5.14.2y	6	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
VRC.5.15	35	Did the VRC ever assist or coordinate with you during construction? کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے تعمیر کے دوران کبھی آپ کی رہنمائی یا مدد کی؟
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Village-Based VRC Role		

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
VBVRC.6.1	36	Has the community undertaken any construction or improvements beyond the IFRAP- supported housing units? کیا گاؤں کی تعمیر و بحالی کمیٹی (وی آر سی) نے (آئی ایف آر اے پی) کے تحت بننے والے مکانات کے علاوہ کوئی تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Don't know معلوم نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 36 then continue with Q.# 37 Otherwise goto Q.# 41
VBVRC.6.2	37	What type of structures or improvements were made? (Select all that apply) کون سے تعمیراتی یا ترقیاتی کام کیے گئے (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں)
	1	Drainage channels نالیاں / پانی نکاسی کے راستے
	2	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	3	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	4	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	5	Mosques/ madrasahs / shelters مسجدیں / مدرسے / پناہ گاہیں
VBVRC.6.2y	6	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
VBVRC.6.3	38	How were these activities funded? (Select all that apply) یہ سرگرمیاں کس ذریعہ سے مالی تعاون حاصل کر کے کی گئیں؟ (تمام مناسب آپشن منتخب کریں)
	1	Community pooled funds کمیونٹی کے مشترکہ فنڈز / کمیونٹی کے جمع کردہ پیسے
	2	Donor/NGO support ڈونر / غیر سرکاری تنظیم کی مدد
	3	Labor contribution مزدوروں کی مدد / شراکت
	4	Leftover material reuse بچا ہوا سامان دوبارہ استعمال
	5	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.6.4	39	In your view, did these additional works help improve the living conditions of the community? کیا ان اضافی اقدامات نے مقامی آبادی کے رہنے سہنے کے حالات پر مثبت اثر ڈالا ہے
	1	Significantly کافی حد تک
	2	Moderately مناسب حد تک
	3	Not much کم
	4	Don't know معلوم نہیں
VBVRC.6.5	40	Has the VRC efforts helped strengthen community bonds? کیا وی.آر.سی کے اقدامات نے کمیونٹی کو زیادہ قریب لانے میں مدد کی ہے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
VBVRC.6.6	41	Is there anything else your village still urgently needs but could not build? کیا گاؤں میں کوئی اور چیز ہے جسے فوری طور پر درکار ہے مگر ابھی تک نہیں بنایا گیا
	1	Basic Health Facility بنیادی صحت کی سہولت
	2	Primary School پرائمری اسکول
	3	Drainage channels نالیاں / پانی نکاسی کے راستے
	4	Street paving or levelling سڑکیں سیدھی یا پکی کرنا
	5	Shared toilets or washing spaces مشترکہ بیت الخلاء یا نہانے دھونے کی جگہ
	6	Storage areas سامان رکھنے کی جگہ
	7	Mosques/ madrasahs / shelters مسجدیں / مدرسے / پناہ گاہیں
VBVRC.6.6y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)		
DRR.7.1	42	Approx Nearest Water Channel Distance in meters? قریب ترین پانی کے نالے کا فاصلہ تقریباً کتنے میٹر ہے
DRR.7.2	43	Is site close to hazard prone area? کیا یہ جگہ قدرتی آفات (زلزلہ، سیلاب، لینڈ سلائیڈ وغیرہ) کے خطرے والے علاقے کے قریب ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 43 then continue with Q.# 44 Otherwise goto Q.# 45	
DRR.7.3	44	Select the type of hazard? قدرتی آفات کی قسم منتخب کریں
	1	Flood plan range سیلاب کے ممکنہ علاقے
	2	Flash flood range اچانک آنے والا سیلاب
	3	Earthquake زلزلہ
	4	Landslide لینڈ سلائیڈ
	5	Drought خشک سالی
	6	Extreme snow fall شدید برفباری
	7	Tsunami سمندری طوفانی لہر
	8	Water logging زمین یا علاقے میں پانی جمع ہونا

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
DDR.7.3y	9	Other (please specify) (دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
DDR.7.4	45	Was the site hit by flood before 2022 floods? کیا سائٹ دو ہزار بائیس کے سیلاب سے پہلے بھی متاثر ہوئی تھی
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
DDR.7.5	46	Is the Site on Raised Platform? کیا سائٹ اونچی جگہ پر واقع ہے؟
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
DDR.7.6	47	Is the site in a low-lying area? کیا یہ جگہ نشیبی علاقے میں ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
DDR.7.7	48	Is there proper flow out for the rainwater at the site? کیا اس مقام پر بارش کے پانی کے بہاؤ کے لیے راستہ موجود ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
DDR.7.8	49	Any Earthquakes in the area in past 25 years? کیا اس علاقے میں گزشتہ پچیس سالوں میں کوئی زلزلے آئے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 49 then continue with Q.# 50 Otherwise goto Q.# 51	
DDR.7.9	50	Was your House damaged in any earthquake? کیا آپ کا گھر کسی زلزلے میں متاثر ہوا تھا
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
DDR.7.10	51	Has there been any history of land erosion or landslides in the area? کیا اس علاقے میں مٹی کے کٹاؤ یا زمین کھسکنے کا کوئی واقعہ ہوا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
DDR.7.11	52	Is the site located on wetlands, riverbanks, or natural drainage paths? کیا سائٹ پانی کے قدرتی بہاؤ کے راستے یا گہرے پانی والے علاقے میں ہے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
DRR.7.12	53	What is the soil type of construction site? تعمیراتی سائٹ کی مٹی کی قسم کیا ہے
	1	Silty Soil (soft, holds water) (دلدلی مٹی) نرم ہوتی ہے، پانی زیادہ دیر تک روک لیتی ہے، لیکن زیادہ نمی سے جڑیں خراب ہوسکتی ہیں
	2	Sandy Soil (loose, dries fast) (ریتیلی مٹی) ڈھیلی اور ہلکی ہوتی ہے، پانی جلدی خشک ہوجاتا ہے، فصلوں کے لیے بار بار پانی دینا پڑتا ہے
	3	Gravelly Soil (rough, not rich) (بجری دار مٹی) کھردری اور پتھریلی ہوتی ہے، زرخیزی کم، پانی اچھی طرح نہیں رکتا
	4	Loamy Soil (good mix, very fertile) (اچھی زرخیز مٹی) نہ زیادہ سخت نہ زیادہ ڈھیلی سب سے زیادہ زرخیز
	5	Rocky Soil (full of stones, hard for plants) (پتھریلی مٹی) - پتھروں سے بھری ہوتی ہے، سخت اور کھیتی باڑی کے لیے مشکل، جڑیں آسانی سے نہیں پھیل سکتیں
DRR.7.13	54	What Disaster Risk Reduction Related Measures are taken in the Area? علاقے میں آفت کے خطرے کو کم کرنے سے متعلق اقدامات کیا ہیں
	1	Flood Protection Wall سیلابی دیوار - پانی روکنے کے لیے دیوار
	2	Raised Structure بلند ڈھانچہ - پانی سے بچاؤ کے لیے اونچا حصہ
	3	Gabian Wall گیبیان وال - پتھروں سے بھری حفاظتی دیوار
	4	Diversion Canal نہری راستہ کا رخ موڑنا - پانی کو محفوظ راستے پر موڑنا
	5	Check Dam چیک ڈیم - پانی کا بہاؤ کنٹرول کرنے والا چھوٹا بند
	6	None کوئی نہیں
DRR.7.13y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Minimum Construction Guidelines (MCG)		
MCG.8.1	55	Did anyone from the Implementing Partner (IP) conduct a training session to provide minimum construction guidelines? کیا ایمپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر (آئی پی) کے کسی فرد نے کم از کم تعمیراتی رہنما اصول فراہم کرنے کے لیے تربیتی سیشن منعقد کیا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 55 then continue with Q.# 56 Otherwise goto Q.# 59	
MCG.8.2	56	Did you attend MCG training sessions conducted by the Implementing Partner (IP)? کیا آپ نے ایمپلیمینٹنگ پارٹنر (آئی پی) کے زیر اہتمام کوئی تربیتی سیشن میں شرکت کی
	1	Yes ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 56 then continue with Q.# 57 Otherwise goto Q.# 58	
MCG.8.3	57	Which Minimum Construction Guidelines do you know after the training session? (Select all that apply) آگاہی سیشن کے بعد آپ کن تعمیراتی اصولوں سے واقف ہیں؟ (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں)
	1	Plinth height چبوتری کی اونچائی
	2	Lintel height دروازے/کھڑکی کے اوپر لگے شہتیر کی اونچائی
	3	Roof Type چھت کی قسم
MCG.8.3y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
MCG.8.4	58	Did you implement the minimum construction guidelines? کیا آپ نے ان تعمیراتی اصولوں پر عمل کیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Social Safeguard Compliance		
SSC.9.1	59	Was the community trained on Social Safeguards before the reconstruction activities? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں سے پہلے کمیونٹی کو سوشل سیف گارڈز کی تربیت دی گئی تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 59 then continue with Q.# 60 Otherwise goto Q.# 64	
SSC.9.1.1	60	How many sessions were conducted? کتنے تربیتی اجلاس منعقد کیے گئے
SSC.9.2	61	Were you present at the training session regarding Social Safeguard? کیا آپ سوشل سیف گارڈ کے بارے میں ہونے والے تربیتی اجلاس میں شریک تھے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 61 then continue with Q.# 62 Otherwise goto Q.# 64	
SSC.9.3	62	Were community consent and feedback documented? کیا کمیونٹی کی رضامندی اور رائے کو دستاویزی شکل دی گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 62 then continue with Q.# 63 Otherwise goto Q.# 64	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
SSC.9.4	63	How was the community's consent or feedback documented? کمیونٹی کی رضامندی یا رائے کو کس طرح ریکارڈ کیا گیا
	1	Meeting minutes اجلاس کی کارروائی کی تحریری رپورٹ
	2	Feedback forms فیڈبیک فارم
	3	Video or audio recordings ویڈیو یا آڈیو ریکارڈنگ
	4	Technical drawings or plans shared and signed off by community representatives تکنیکی ڈرائنگز یا نقشے جو کمیونٹی نمائندوں سے شیئر کیے گئے اور ان کی منظوری کے ساتھ دستخط شدہ ہوں
	5	Written agreements or consent forms تحریری معاہدے یا رضامندی کے فارم
	6	None کوئی نہیں
SSC.9.4y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.9.5	64	Are vulnerable groups (e.g., women, persons with disabilities, minorities, transgender) actively identified and prioritized for support? کیا کمیونٹی میں موجود کمزور طبقات (خواتین، معذور افراد، اقلیتوں اور ٹرانس جینڈر) کی نشاندہی اور ان کے لیے مدد کو یقینی بنایا گیا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 64 then continue with Q.# 65 Otherwise goto Q.# 67	
SSC.9.5.1	65	Which types of vulnerable groups have been prioritized? کون سی کمزور طبقات کو ترجیح دی گئی ہے
	1	Women خواتین
	2	Persons with disabilities (PWD) معذور افراد
	3	Minorities اقلیتی برادریاں
	4	Transgender خواجہ سرا
SSC.9.5.1y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
	If "No" selected in Q.# 64 then continue with Q.# 66 Otherwise goto Q.# 67	
SSC.9.5.2	66	Select the reason, why the vulnerable groups not identified and prioritized for support? براہ کرم وجہ منتخب کریں کہ کمزور طبقات کی شناخت اور انہیں مدد کے لیے ترجیح کیوں نہیں دی گئی
	1	Cultural or social barriers in the community کمیونٹی میں ثقافتی یا سماجی رکاوٹیں
	2	Limited presence of vulnerable groups in the community کمیونٹی میں کمزور یا حساس گروپوں کی محدود موجودگی

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	3	No vulnerable groups present in the community کمیونٹی میں کوئی کمزور یا حساس گروپ موجود نہیں
SSC.9.5.2y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.9.6	67	Are there any observed or reported livelihood impacts due to reconstruction? کیا دوبارہ تعمیراتی کام کے دوران لوگوں کی آمدنی یا روزگار متاثر ہوا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" in Q.# 67 then continue with Q.# 68 Otherwise goto Q.# 70	
SSC.9.6.1	68	Has there been any positive impact on livelihoods? کیا روزگار یا آمدنی پر کوئی مثبت اثر پڑا ہے
	1	Employment opportunities روزگار کے مواقع
	2	Skill development ہنر میں اضافہ
	3	Increased income آمدنی میں اضافہ
	4	Better market access بہتر مارکیٹ تک رسائی
	5	Revival of small businesses چھوٹے کاروبار کی بحالی
SSC.9.6.1y	6	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.9.6.2	69	Has there been any negative impact on livelihoods? کیا روزگار یا آمدنی پر کوئی منفی اثر پڑا ہے
	1	Temporary relocation عارضی نقل مکانی
	2	Loss of income آمدنی کا نقصان
	3	Access blocked راستہ بند ہونا
	4	Increased living costs روزمرہ کے اخراجات بڑھنا
SSC.9.6.2y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.9.7	70	Reconstruction entail the possibility of displacing individuals or communities? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں کی وجہ سے کمیونٹی یا افراد کی نقل مکانی ہو سکتی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" in Q.# 70 then continue with Q.# 71 Otherwise goto Q.# 72	
SSC.9.8	71	What was the nature of resettlement? آباد کاری کی نوعیت کس طرح کی تھی
	1	Voluntarily اپنی مرضی سے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	2	Involuntarily / مجبوراً
SSC.9.9	72	Provision of basic services such as water, sanitation and health care be negatively impacted for local communities? کیا مقامی کمیونٹی کے لیے بنیادی سہولیات جیسے پانی، صفائی اور صحت کی دیکھ بھال منفی طور پر متاثر ہو سکتی ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
SSC.9.10	73	Reconstruction process negatively affect traditional or cultural practices of local community? کیا دوبارہ تعمیراتی سرگرمیاں مقامی ثقافت یا روایات کو نقصان پہنچا رہی ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
SSC.9.11	74	Is the stored construction material blocking the movement of people or vehicles in the area? کیا یہاں رکھا گیا تعمیراتی سامان لوگوں یا گاڑیوں کے گزرنے میں رکاوٹ پیدا کر رہا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
SSC.9.12	75	Has any social dispute or conflict been recorded in this site? کیا اس مقام پر کوئی سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ ریکارڈ کیا گیا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" in Q.# 75 then continue with Q.# 76 Otherwise goto Q.# 77	
SSC.9.12.1	76	Select the social dispute or conflict recorded in this site? اس مقام پر ریکارڈ ہونے والا سماجی جھگڑا یا تنازعہ منتخب کریں
	1	Community disagreements / عوامی جھگڑے
	2	Disputes over labor hiring / مزدور بھرتی پر تنازعات
	3	Gender-related disputes / مرد و عورت سے متعلق تنازعات
SSC.9.12.1y	4	Other (please specify) / دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SSC.9.13	77	Is there any sign of tension, dissatisfaction, or exclusion within the VRC community regarding Social Safeguard? کیا کمیونٹی میں سوشل سیف گارڈ کے بارے میں کشیدگی، ناخوشی یا نظر انداز کیے جانے کی علامات ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" in Q.# 77 then continue with Q.# 78 Otherwise goto Q.# 79	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
SSC.9.13.1	78	Select the cause of tension, dissatisfaction, or exclusion within the VRC? وی آر سی کمیونٹی میں کشیدگی، ناخوشی یا نظر انداز کیے جانے کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	Influential members took over the decision-making process بااثر افراد فیصلہ کر رہے ہیں
	2	Unequal participation of women خواتین کی غیر مساوی شرکت
	3	Marginalized or vulnerable groups feel overlooked پسماندہ یا کمزور گروپ نظر انداز محسوس کر رہے ہیں
	4	Disagreements over resource distribution وسائل کی تقسیم پر جھگڑے
	5	Lack of transparency has caused dissatisfaction شفافیت نہ ہونے کی وجہ سے عدم اطمینان
	6	Participation opportunities are not equally accessible شرکت کے مواقع سب کے لیے برابر نہیں ہیں
	7	Young men and women are being ignored نوجوان مرد اور خواتین نظر انداز ہو رہے ہیں
SSC.9.13.1y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Worker Safety & PPE		
WS.10.1	79	Has workplace safety training been imparted to the labor working at the construction site? کیا مزدوروں کو سائٹ پر حفاظت اور حفاظتی اصولوں کی تربیت دی گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.10.2	80	Project activities involve significant inflow of labor? کیا پروجیکٹ کی سرگرمیوں میں بڑی تعداد میں مزدور شامل ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.10.3	81	Is or Was local labor hired for the construction phase? کیا تعمیراتی مرحلے کے لیے مقامی مزدور بھرتی کیے گئے تھے یا ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.10.4	82	Child or forced labor at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر کم عمر افراد یا زبردستی کام کرنے والے مزدور استعمال ہو رہے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.10.5	83	Which of the following facilities available to workers? مزدوروں کو کون سی سہولیات دستیاب ہیں (تمام موزوں منتخب کریں)
	1	Drinking water پینے کے پانی کی سہولت

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	2	Toilet الخلاء کی سہولت
	3	None کوئی بھی نہیں
WS.10.6	84	Select the Personal Protective Equipment that has been provided to labor at the construction site? تعمیراتی مقام پر مزدوروں کو فراہم کردہ ذاتی حفاظتی آلات منتخب کریں
	1	Boots
	2	Gloves
	3	Helmet
	4	None
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 84 then continue with Q.# 85 Otherwise goto Q.# 86	
WS.10.6.1	85	Are all workers properly using the provided personal protective equipment? کیا تمام مزدور فراہم کردہ ذاتی حفاظتی آلات کا صحیح استعمال کر رہے ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.10.7	86	Are first aid kits available and accessible on site? کیا ہنگامی طبی امداد کے کٹ سائٹ پر موجود اور استعمال کے لیے دستیاب ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
WS.10.8	87	Are site signage and safety board is visible? کیا سائٹ پر حفاظتی اور رہنمائی کے بورڈز موجود اور نمایاں ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 87 then continue with Q.# 88 Otherwise goto Q.# 90	
WS.10.8.1	88	Take a picture of the site signage and safety board? سائٹ پر موجود رہنمائی اور حفاظتی بورڈ کی تصویر بنائیں
WS.10.9	89	In case of safety incidents or accidents, is regular reporting being done? اگر کوئی حفاظتی حادثہ یا واقعہ پیش آئے تو کیا اس کی باقاعدہ رپورٹنگ کی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Environmental and Social Safeguard Management Framework (ESMF)		
ESMF.11.1	90	Are construction techniques compliant with approved site-specific ESMF or guidelines? کیا تعمیراتی طریقے منظور شدہ ماحولیاتی اور سماجی ہدایات کے مطابق ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	0	No نہیں
ESMF.11.2	91	Have the compliance of ESMF guidelines adopted? کیا ماحولیاتی اور سماجی انتظامی فریم ورک کے اصولوں پر عمل کیا گیا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 92 then continue with Q.# 93 Otherwise goto Q.# 94	
ESMF.11.2.1	92	What types of ESMF the guidelines adopted? ماحولیاتی اور سماجی انتظامی فریم ورک کے کون سے اصول عمل میں لائے گئے ہیں
	1	Dust suppression measures (e.g., water sprinkling) دھول کم کرنے کے اقدامات (مثال کے طور پر پانی چھڑکنا)
	2	Noise control measures شور کو کنٹرول کرنے کے اقدامات
	3	Soil & Water Protection زمین اور پانی کا تحفظ
	4	Waste management (e.g., disposal, segregation) فضلہ کے انتظام کے اقدامات (مثال کے طور پر ٹھکانے لگانا، الگ کرنا)
	5	Safe Material Sourcing محفوظ سامان کی فراہمی
	6	Reforestation/Greening دوبارہ جنگلات لگانا
ESMF.11.2.1y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
ESMF.11.3	93	Heavy dust at site due to the construction work? کیا تعمیراتی کام سے سائٹ پر بہت زیادہ دھول مٹی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 94 then continue with Q.# 95 Otherwise goto Q.# 96	
ESMF.11.3.1	94	Are dust suppression measures in place? کیا دھول روکنے کے انتظامات کیے گئے ہیں
	1	Water sprinkling پانی چھڑکنا
	2	Covering of materials سامان کو ڈھانپنا
	3	None کوئی نہیں
ESMF.11.4	95	Do construction activities cause any of the following? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیاں مندرجہ ذیل میں سے کسی کا سبب بنتی ہیں
	1	Air emissions فضائی آلودگی
	2	Noise شور
	3	Vibration ہلچل

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	4	None کوئی نہیں
ESMF.11.5	96	Construction work lead to earth removal from borrow areas that would cause soil erosion? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے لیے مٹی ہٹانے سے زمین میں کٹاؤ پیدا ہونے کا خدشہ ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
ESMF.11.6	97	Are there signs of soil erosion, waterlogging, or blocked natural drainage due to construction? کیا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں کی وجہ سے مٹی خراب ہو رہی ہے، پانی کھڑا ہو رہا ہے، یا قدرتی نکاسی رک گئی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" in Q.# 97 then continue with Q.# 98 Otherwise goto Q.# 99	
ESMF.11.6.1	98	Select risk level of soil erosion, waterlogging or blocked natural drainage? مٹی کی کٹائی، پانی جمع ہونے، یا قدرتی نکاسی کے رک جانے کے خطرے کا درجہ منتخب کریں
	1	Low کم
	2	Moderate درمیانہ
	3	High زیادہ
ESMF.11.7	99	Will the construction work create solid or liquid wastes that would cause potential contamination of following? کیا تعمیراتی کام سے ٹھوس یا مائع فضلہ پیدا ہوگا جو درج ذیل میں ممکنہ آلودگی کا سبب بن سکتا ہے
	1	Ground water زیر زمین پانی
	2	Surface water کھلے پانی کا ذخیرہ
	3	Land زمین
	4	None کوئی نہیں
ESMF.11.8	100	Will the construction work involve the storage, handling or transport of hazardous substances? کیا تعمیر کے دوران خطرناک مادوں کی ذخیرہ اندوزی، ہینڈلنگ یا ٹرانسپورٹ کی ضرورت پیش آئے گی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
ESMF.11.9	101	Construction area been fenced to restrict access? کیا تعمیر کے مقام پر باڑ لگا کر غیر متعلقہ افراد کا آنا جانا روکا گیا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
ESMF.11.1 0	102	Is protective fencing around construction material present? کیا تعمیراتی سامان کے ارد گرد حفاظتی باڑ موجود ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
ESMF.11.1 1	103	Are construction waste materials being disposed of in designated areas? کیا تعمیراتی کام سے پیدا ہونے والا فضلہ مقررہ جگہوں پر محفوظ کیا جا رہا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
ESMF.11.1 2	104	Are construction waste materials properly stored and disposed? کیا تعمیراتی سامان اور فضلہ جات کو محفوظ طریقے سے ذخیرہ کیا جا رہا ہے اور ماحول دوست طریقے سے تلف کیا جا رہا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 104 then continue with Q.# 105 Otherwise goto Q.# 106	
ESMF.11.1 2.1	105	Select Method of disposing construction waste or debris? تعمیراتی فضلہ یا ملبہ کس طریقے سے ٹھکانے لگایا جائے گا
	1	Dumped onsite سائٹ پر ڈال دیا گیا
	2	Collected & transported جمع کر کے کہیں اور بھیجا گیا
	3	Burned آگ کے ذریعے تلف کیا گیا
ESMF.11.1 2.1y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
	If "No" selected in Q.# 104 then continue with Q.# 106 Otherwise goto Q.# 107	
ESMF.11.1 2.2	106	Construction waste dispose of in the near by water body? کیا تعمیراتی فضلہ نزدیکی پانی کے ذخیرے میں ڈالا جا رہا ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
ESMF.11.1 3	107	Are environmentally sustainable or flood-resilient materials being used? (e.g., locally sourced, non-toxic)? کیا ایسے مواد استعمال ہو رہے ہیں جو ماحول کے لیے محفوظ اور سیلاب کے اثرات برداشت کرنے کے قابل ہوں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Trees Removal & Replantation		
TRRP.12.1	108	Is the site close to cluster of trees? کیا سائٹ کے اردگرد درختوں کی کثیر تعداد موجود ہے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
TRRP.12.2	109	Construction will cause cutting of trees? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران درختوں کو کاٹا جائے گا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 109 then continue with Q.# 110 Otherwise goto Q.# 120	
TRRP.12.3	110	Approximate number of trees will be removed? Numbers: متوقع طور پر تعمیراتی کام کے دوران کتنے درخت کٹائے جائیں گے
TRRP.12.4	111	Were these trees documented or approved for removal? کیا ان درختوں کو ہٹانے کے لیے دستاویزی اجازت یا منظوری حاصل کی گئی تھی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Not Known
TRRP.12.5	112	New sapling planted as replacement of cut down trees? کیا کٹے ہوئے درختوں کی جگہ نئے پودے لگائے گئے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 112 then continue with Q.# 113 Otherwise goto Q.# 120	
TRRP.12.5.1	113	Number of trees planted? Numbers _____ کتنے نئے درخت لگائے گئے ہیں
TRRP.12.5.2	114	Does the replantation meet the 5:1 ratio requirement (5 new per 1 removed)? کیا دوبارہ شجرکاری 5:1 کے تناسب کی شرط پوری کرتی ہے (ہر کٹے ہوئے درخت کے بدلے 5 نئے لگائے گئے ہیں)
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
TRRP.12.5.3	115	Has replantation been carried out at or near the construction site? کیا متبادل پودے اسی مقام یا قریبی علاقے میں لگائے گئے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
TRRP.12.5.4	116	Are the planted species local/native to the area? کیا لگائے گئے درخت یا پودے اس علاقے کی مقامی یا قدرتی اقسام کے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	0	No نہیں
TRRP.12.5.5	117	Are the planted trees alive and being maintained? کیا شجرکاری کے بعد درختوں کی دیکھ بھال کے لیے اقدامات کیے گئے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Too early to assess
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 117 then continue with Q.# 118 Otherwise goto Q.# 120	
TRRP.12.5.6	118	Out of the total, how many plants have sustained? Numbers لگائے گئے پودوں میں سے کتنے پودے اب صحیح سالم ہیں
TRRP.12.6	119	Take Coordinates & Picture of group place where saplings are planted? گروپ-1 کی وہ جگہ جہاں پودے لگائے گئے ہیں کے کوآرڈینیٹس اور تصویر لیں
Gender and Social Inclusion (GESI)		
GESI.13.1	120	How many households are there in your area? کے علاقے میں گھروں کی تعداد کتنی ہے
GESI.13.2	121	How many are women-headed households? کتنے گھرانوں کی سربراہی خواتین کر رہی ہیں
GESI.13.3	122	Do women-headed households receiving equal access to scheme? کیا خواتین کی قیادت والے گھرانوں کو اسکیم میں مساوی مواقع مل رہے ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "No" in Q.# 122 then continue with Q.# 123 Otherwise goto Q.# 124	
GESI.13.3.1	123	Briefly describe why women do not have equal access to the scheme? مختصر وجوہات بتائیں کہ خواتین اسکیم میں برابر حصہ کیوں نہیں لے پاتیں
GESI.13.4	124	Do women participating in reconstruction activities or project training sessions? کیا خواتین تربیتی سیشنز یا تعمیراتی سرگرمیوں میں شریک ہو رہی ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "No" selected in Q.# 124 then continue with Q.# 125 Otherwise goto Q.# 126	
GESI.13.4.1	125	What are the main challenges women face in participating in reconstruction activities? خواتین کو تعمیر کے کاموں میں حصہ لینے میں سب سے بڑی رکاوٹیں کیا ہیں
	1	Lack of time (household duties) (گھریلو کاموں کی وجہ سے)
	2	Cultural restrictions (روایتی یا معاشرتی رکاوٹیں)

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	3	Lack of awareness/information آگاہی یا معلومات کی کمی
	4	Not invited to meetings اجلاس میں شامل نہیں کیا گیا
GESI.13.4.1y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GESI.13.5	126	Were women given orientation/awareness on safe construction practices, grievance use, financial literacy? کیا خواتین کو محفوظ تعمیراتی طریقوں، شکایات کے استعمال، اور مالی آگاہی کے بارے میں تربیت دی گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.13.6	127	Were the needs of females with disabilities considered? کیا معذور خواتین کی ضروریات مدنظر رکھی گئیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.13.7	128	Is there transgender inclusion (any recognized as beneficiaries or committee members)? کیا ٹرانسجینڈر افراد کو فائدہ یا کمیٹی میں حصہ دینے میں شامل کیا گیا ہے؟
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.13.8	129	Were youth (young men and women) consulted or engaged in the reconstruction process? کیا نوجوانوں (مرد و خواتین) سے تعمیراتی عمل میں رائے لی گئی یا انہیں شامل کیا گیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.13.9	130	Were housing designs adapted to meet the accessibility needs of persons with disabilities/elderly (ramps, handrails, wider doors)? کیا رہائشی ڈیزائن کو معذور یا بزرگ افراد کی رسائی کے مطابق بنایا گیا (ریمپس، ہینڈ ریلز، چوڑے دروازے دروازے)
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GESI.13.10	131	Are any women employed through contractors or implementing partners (e.g., field staff, labor)? کیا کسی ٹھیکیدار یا عمل درآمد کرنے والے پارٹنر کے ذریعے خواتین کو ملازمت دی گئی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 131 then continue with Q.# 132 Otherwise goto Q.# 136	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
GESI.13.1 0.1	132	Approximate number of women hired? تقریباً کتنی خواتین کام پر رکھی گئی ہیں
GESI.13.1 0.2	133	Which of the following facilities are provided to women workers? درج ذیل میں سے کون سی سہولیات خواتین مزدوروں کو فراہم کی گئی ہیں
	1	Drinking water پینے کا پانی
	2	Separate toilet علحدہ بیت الخلا
	3	First aid فرسٹ ایڈ / ابتدائی طبی امداد
	4	None کوئی نہیں
GESI.13.1 1	134	Are safe and inclusive working conditions available for women workers (e.g., sanitation, safety)? کیا خواتین کے لیے کام کی جگہ محفوظ اور سہولتوں والی ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "No" selected in Q.# 134 then continue with Q.# 135 Otherwise goto Q.# 136	
GESI.13.1 1.1	135	Provide reason why the working conditions are unsafe for women? خواتین کے لیے کام کرنے کے حالات غیر محفوظ کیوں ہیں
	1	Inadequate safety measures (e.g., PPE not provided) ناکافی حفاظتی اقدامات (مثلاً حفاظتی کٹ فراہم نہیں کی گئی)
	2	Overcrowded or unsuitable workspace ہجوم یا نامناسب کام کی جگہ
	3	Long or unfair working hours لمبے یا غیر منصفانہ کام کے اوقات
	4	Lack of grievance redress for workers شکایات کے حل کی کمی
GESI.13.1 1.1y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GESI.13.1 2	136	Have women worker observed any cases of discrimination during the construction? کیا تعمیراتی کام کے دوران خواتین کے ساتھ کوئی امتیازی سلوک دیکھا گیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 136 then continue with Q.# 137 Otherwise goto Q.# 139	
GESI.13.1 2.1	137	What type of discrimination was observed? کون سا امتیازی سلوک دیکھا گیا
	1	Gender-based discrimination صنف کی بنیاد پر امتیاز / مرد و عورت میں فرق
	2	Caste or ethnic-based discrimination ذات یا نسل کی بنیاد پر امتیاز
	3	Political or religious discrimination سیاسی یا مذہبی بنیاد پر امتیاز

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	4	Age-based discrimination عمر کی بنیاد پر امتیاز
	5	Discrimination based on socioeconomic status معاشرتی یا اقتصادی حیثیت کی بنیاد پر امتیاز
GESI.13.1 2.1y	6	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GESI.13.1 2.2	138	Define what actions taken in case of discrimination faced? امتیازی سلوک کے ہونے کی صورت میں انہیں حل کرنے کے لیے کیا اقدامات کیے گئے
	1	Community dialogue/meeting was arranged کمیونٹی کا مکالمہ / اجلاس منعقد کیا گیا
	2	Corrective measures were implemented (e.g., fair resource distribution). اصلاحی اقدامات کیے گئے (مثلاً وسائل کی منصفانہ تقسیم)
	3	Awareness or counseling sessions were conducted آگاہی یا مشاورتی سیشن منعقد کیے گئے
	4	Escalated to higher authorities/project staff. اعلیٰ حکام / پراجیکٹ اسٹاف کو اطلاع دی گئی
GESI.13.1 2.2y	5	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)		
GRM.14.1	139	Do you know about the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM)? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں معلوم ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 139 then continue with Q.# 140 Otherwise goto Q.# 162	
GRM.14.1. 1	140	Through which medium did you learn about the GRM? (Select all that apply) آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بارے میں کیسے پتہ چلا
	1	Community meeting کمیونٹی اجلاس
	2	Social Mobilizer سوشل موبلائزر / سماجی رابطہ کار
	3	Banner / Poster بینر / پوسٹر
	4	Radio ریڈیو
	5	Television ٹیلی ویژن / ٹی وی
	6	Social media سوشل میڈیا
GRM.14.1. 1y	7	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.14.2	141	Is the GRM banner or information display visible in your area? کیا آپ کے علاقے میں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کا بینر یا معلوماتی بورڈ دکھائی دیتا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 141 then continue with Q.# 142 Otherwise goto Q.# 143
GRM.14.2.1	142	At which locations are the GRM banners/posters displayed in your village? آپ کے گاؤں میں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے بینر/پوسٹر کس جگہ لگائے گئے ہیں
GRM.14.3	143	Have you received any orientation about how to use the GRM? کیا آپ کو شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو استعمال کرنے کے بارے میں کوئی تربیت دی گئی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.14.4	144	Do you know the purpose of the GRM? کیا آپ جانتے ہیں کہ شکایات حل کرنے کے نظام کا مقصد کیا ہے
	1	Helps resolve complaints and grievances شکیات اور مسائل کے حل میں مدد کرتا ہے
	2	Provides a way to share feedback and concerns رائے اور خدشات بتانے کا طریقہ فراہم کرتا ہے
	3	Ensures transparency and accountability شفافیت اور جوابدہی کو یقینی بناتا ہے
	4	I don't know مجھے معلوم نہیں
GRM.14.5	145	Was the grievance channel accessible to vulnerable groups (illiterate, women, elderly, disabled)? کیا شکایات کے طریقہ کار تک کمزور گروپز (ان پڑھ، خواتین، بزرگ، معذور) کی رسائی ممکن تھی
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.14.6	146	Do you know anyone in VRC who has submitted a complaint through the GRM? کیا آپ کو وی آر سی میں کوئی شخص معلوم ہے جس نے شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے ذریعے شکایت جمع کرائی ہو
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
GRM.14.7	147	Do you know how to submit a complaint through the GRM? کیا آپ جانتے ہیں کہ شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے ذریعے شکایت کیسے جمع کرائی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 147 then continue with Q.# 149 Otherwise goto Q.# 162
GRM.14.8	148	Have you ever submitted a complaint through the GRM? کیا آپ نے کبھی شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کے ذریعے شکایت دی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں جی

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	0	No نہیں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 148 then continue with Q.# 149 Otherwise goto Q.# 162
GRM.14.8.1	149	What is your GRM ID or Complaint Tracking Code? آپ کا شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کا شناختی نمبر یا شکایت ٹریکنگ کوڈ کیا ہے
GRM.14.8.2	150	Date of Submission of Complain in GRM? شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار میں شکایت جمع کرانے کی تاریخ
GRM.14.8.3	151	Write nature of your complaint to GRM? آپ نے جو شکایتیں شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار میں کی ہیں، ان کی تفصیل لکھیں
GRM.14.9	152	How did you/other submit the complaint? آپ یا کسی اور نے شکایت کیسے جمع کرائی
	1	Phone Call فون کال
	2	Whatsapp واٹس ایپ
	3	Complaint Box شکایت باکس
	4	In-Person Visit to Office دفتر میں خود جا کر شکایت کی
	5	Mobile Application موبائل ایپ
	6	Social Media Page سوشل میڈیا پیج
	7	Web Site Complaint Form ویب سائٹ پر شکایت فارم
GRM.14.9y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.14.10	153	Was it easy to access the GRM? کیا شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار تک رسائی آسان تھی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	2	No نہیں
GRM.14.11	154	Was your complaint resolved? کیا آپ کی شکایت حل ہو گئی
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	2	Inprocess Inprocess تکمیل کے مراحل میں
		If "Yes" selected in Q.# 154 then continue with Q.# 155 Otherwise goto Q.# 159
GRM.14.12	155	Date of resolution of complaint? شکایت کے حل کی تاریخ
GRM.14.13	156	How was it resolved? شکایت کو کس طرح حل کیا گیا
	1	Direct Action taken براہ راست کارروائی کی گئی

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	2	Information Given معلومات فراہم کی گئیں
GRM.14.1 3y	3	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.14.1 4	157	Were you satisfied with the response you received? کیا آپ شکایت کے حل سے مطمئن ہیں
	1	Very satisfied بہت مطمئن
	2	Somewhat satisfied کچھ حد تک مطمئن
	3	Not satisfied مطمئن نہیں
	If "Not satisfied" selected in Q.# 157 then continue with Q.# 158 Otherwise goto Q.# 160	
GRM.14.1 4.1	158	If Not Satisfied then please define the reason? اگر مطمئن نہیں ہیں تو براہ کرم وجہ بیان کریں
	If "No" selected in Q.# 154 then continue with Q.# 159 Otherwise goto Q.# 160	
GRM.14.1 5	159	Why was it not resolved? شکایت کا ازالہ کیوں نہیں ہوا
	1	Lack of response جواب نہیں ملا
	2	Still waiting for action اب بھی کارروائی کا انتظار
	3	Don't know معلوم نہیں
GRM.14.1 5y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
GRM.14.1 6	160	Do you believe the GRM handles complaints fairly? کیا آپ کا یقین ہے کہ شکایات حل کرنے کا طریقہ کار شکایات کو منصفانہ طور پر حل کرتا ہے
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
GRM.14.1 7	161	In your opinion, how can the GRM be improved? آپ کی رائے میں، شکایات حل کرنے کے طریقہ کار کو کیسے بہتر بنایا جا سکتا ہے
	1	Faster response time جواب جلدی ملے
	2	More awareness campaigns آگاہی بڑھائی جائے
	3	Better follow-up and feedback پیروی اور جوابدہی بہتر بنائی جائے
GRM.14.1 7y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Environment Protection		
EP.15.1	162	Construction pond constructed to soak the bricks? کیا اینٹوں کو بھگونے کے لیے تالاب تعمیر کیا گیا
	1	Yes جی ہاں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 162 then continue with Q.# 163 Otherwise goto Q.# 164	
EP.15.1.1	163	Has the pond been leveled back to the existing ground level? کیا تالاب کو کام مکمل ہونے کے بعد برابر کر کے زمین کی اصل سطح پر بحال کیا گیا
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EP.15.2	164	Spillage of fuel or oil observed at the construction site? کیا تعمیراتی مقام پر ایندھن یا تیل کے گرنے کی علامات موجود تھیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EP.15.3	165	Community members not aware of the safety features incorporated into construction of the house? کمیونٹی کے افراد گھر کی تعمیر میں شامل حفاظتی خصوصیات سے آگاہ نہیں ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
EP.15.4	166	Are there any water bodies, forests, or protected areas near the project site? کیا منصوبے کی جگہ کے قریب کوئی آبی ذخائر، جنگلات یا محفوظ علاقے موجود ہیں
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 166 then continue with Q.# 167 Otherwise goto Q.# 168	
EP.15.4.1	167	Construction work lead to destruction or destruction of wild life habitat? تعمیر سے جنگلی حیات متاثر ہوئی
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
Construction Components Verification (Depends on Site Location District-Wise)		
CCV.16.1	168	Is the excavation depth between 1 ft and 2.5 ft based on soil conditions? کیا کھدائی کی گہرائی 1 فٹ سے 2.5 فٹ تک مٹی کی صورتحال کے مطابق رکھی جاتی ہے
	1	Yes ہاں
	0	No نہیں
CCV.16.2	169	Is a 1.5 ft to 3 ft platform above the regular flood level with compacted earth visible? کیا 1.5 فٹ سے 3 فٹ اونچا پلیٹ فارم سیلابی سطح سے اوپر دہانی گنی مٹی کے ساتھ دکھائی دیتا ہے

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.3	170	Does the 1st step of footing meet the minimum width (18" for brick, 20" for stone/block) and depth (21" for brick, 2 ft for stone/block)? کیا فائونڈیشن کے پہلے درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم معیار پر پوری اترتی ہے (اینٹ کے لیے 18 انچ، پتھر/بلاک کے لیے 20 انچ) اور گہرائی (اینٹ کے لیے 21 انچ، پتھر/بلاک کے لیے 2 فٹ) پوری ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.4	171	Is the last step width at least 13.5" (brick) or 12" (stone/block) up to plinth level? کیا آخری درجے کی چوڑائی کم از کم 13.5 انچ (اینٹ کے لیے) یا 12 انچ (پتھر/بلاک کے لیے) تک پلنٹھ لیول تک ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.5	172	Is the plinth level 1.5 ft to 3 ft higher than the normal surface level? کیا پلنٹھ لیول معمول کی سطح سے 2 سے 3 فٹ بلند ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.6	173	Is a polythene sheet provided for damp proofing on the plinth beam? کیا پلنٹھ بیم پر ڈیمپ پروفنگ کے لیے پلاسٹک شیٹ (پولی تھین شیٹ) مہیا کی گئی ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.7	174	Does the wall thickness meet the minimum: 9" (brick), 8" (block), 8-10" (stone)? کیا دیوار کی موٹائی مقررہ کم از کم پیمانے کے مطابق ہے: اینٹ کے لیے 9 انچ، بلاک کے لیے 8 انچ، اور پتھر کے لیے 8 سے 10 انچ
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.8	175	How many ventilator provided? Numbers: _____ کتنے وینٹیلیشن پوائنٹ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.16.9	176	How many Windows? Numbers: _____ کتنی کھڑکیاں لگائی گئی ہیں؟ براہ کرم تعداد لکھیں
CCV.16.10	177	Roofing type installed correctly (RCC/ T.iron Girder, Precast Girde and GI Sheet)? ٹی آرنن گرڈر، پری کاسٹ گرڈر / RCC) چھت کی قسم درست طریقے سے نصب ہوئی (اور جی آئی شیٹ)

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.11	178	Is a 3-6" slope provided with drain pipes for water drainage? کیا پانی کے نکاس کے لیے 3-6 انچ کی ڈھلوان کے ساتھ ڈرین پائپ فراہم کیے گئے ہیں
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.12	179	Is a 1:12 ramp with handrails installed for accessibility? کیا معذور افراد کے لیے 1:12 تناسب والا ریمپ ہینڈریل کے ساتھ لگایا گیا ہے
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
CCV.16.13	180	Are tactile markers or railings present for visually impaired individuals? کیا نابینا یا کم بینائی والے افراد کے لیے ٹیکٹائل مارکرز یا ریلنگز موجود ہیں
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
Perception & Experience		
PE.17.1	181	Did you face material shortages? کیا آپ کو تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا
	1	جی ہاں Yes
	0	نہیں No
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 181 then continue with Q.# 182 Otherwise goto Q.# 183	
PE.17.2	182	Which material shortage did you face? (Select all that apply) آپ کو کون سے تعمیراتی سامان کی کمی کا سامنا کرنا پڑا (تمام موزوں جوابات منتخب کریں)
	1	Cement سیمنٹ
	2	Bricks/Blocks اینٹیں / بلاک
	3	Sand ریت
	4	Crush/Gravel بجری / کریش
	5	Steel/Bars اسٹیل / لوہے کی سلاخیں
	6	Wood/Timber لکڑی / بانس
	7	Paint/Finishing Items رنگ / فینشنگ کے اشیاء
PE.17.2y	8	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
PE.17.3	183	Which key structural elements are included in your reconstructed house? آپ کے دوبارہ تعمیر شدہ مکان میں کون سے اہم ساختی عناصر شامل ہیں

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
	1	Plinth beam پلنٹھ بیم
	2	Reinforcement سرہ/ری انفورسمنٹ
	3	Proper roof مناسب چھت
PE.17.3y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
PE.17.4	184	How would you rate your satisfaction with the construction? آپ تعمیراتی کام سے کس حد تک مطمئن ہیں
	1	Excellent بہترین
	2	Good اچھا
	3	Poor ناقص
	4	Very Poor نہایت ناقص
	If "Poor/ Very Poor" selected in Q.# 184 then continue with Q.# 185 Otherwise goto Q.# 186	
PE.17.4.1	185	Define the reason of Poor/Very Poor Quality? ناقص معیار کے پیچھے سبب کیا ہے؟
PE.17.5	186	Is there anything about the process that caused you concern or dissatisfaction? کیا تعمیر کے اس سارے عمل میں کوئی ایسی بات تھی جس سے آپ کو تشویش یا عدم اطمینان ہوا
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 186 then continue with Q.# 187 Otherwise goto Q.# 188	
PE.17.5.1	187	Select the cause of dissatisfaction? عدم اطمینان کی وجہ منتخب کریں
	1	IP Related آئی پی (نفاذ کرنے والا پارٹنر) سے متعلق
	2	Bank Delay بینک کی تاخیر
	3	Fianancial Favours Asked مالی فوائد حاصل کرنے کی کوشش کی
PE.17.5.1 y	4	Other (please specify) دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
Site Observations		
SO.18.1	188	Are any signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG? کیا کم از کم معیار کے مطابق ناقص کاریگری یا کسی قسم کی خرابی کی علامات نظر آ رہی ہیں
	1	Yes جی ہاں
	0	No نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 188 then continue with Q.# 189 Otherwise goto Q.# 191	

DB.#	Q.#	HRU - Field Monitoring Data Collection Tool
SO.18.1.1	189	What type of sign of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Select all that apply) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی بھی خرابی کی قسم کون سی ہے؟ (تمام موزوں آپشن منتخب کریں)
	1	Uncompacted soil / ڈھلی ہوئی مٹی غیر مضبوط
	2	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet / پلنٹھ لیول معمولی سطح سے 2-3 فٹ سے کم
	3	Misaligned walls / دیواریں غیر سیدھی یا غلط پوزیشن میں
	4	No bamboo, T-iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used بامبو، ٹی آئرن، گرڈر، چھپر، جی آئی شیٹ، یا پری کاسٹ کنکریٹ استعمال نہیں ہوا
SO.18.1.1 y	5	Other (please specify) / دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SO.18.1.2	190	Take picture of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Max 3 Pictures) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی خرابی کی تصویر لیں؟ (زیادہ سے زیادہ 3 تصاویر)
SO.18.1.3	190	Take picture of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Max 3 Pictures) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی خرابی کی تصویر لیں؟ (زیادہ سے زیادہ 3 تصاویر)
SO.18.1.4	190	Take picture of poor workmanship or visible deviation? (Max 3 Pictures) ناقص کاریگری یا نظر آنے والی کسی خرابی کی تصویر لیں؟ (زیادہ سے زیادہ 3 تصاویر)
SO.18.2	191	Were any issues raised by community members or stakeholders? کیا کسی بھی مسئلے کی نشاندہی کمیونٹی کے ارکان یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز نے کی
	1	Yes / جی ہاں
	0	No / نہیں
	If "Yes" selected in Q.# 191 then continue with Q.# 192 Otherwise goto Q.# 193	
SO.18.2.1	192	Select issues type raised by the community or stakeholders کمیونٹی یا اسٹیک ہولڈرز کی جانب سے اٹھائے گئے مسائل منتخب کریں
	1	Shortage of Material / سامان کی کمی
	2	Lack of trained skilled labor / ماہرانہ تربیت یافتہ مزدور کی کمی
	3	Substandard construction / معیاری سے کم تعمیرات / ناقص تعمیر
SO.18.2.1 y	4	Other (please specify) / دیگر (براہ کرم تفصیل لکھیں)
SA.19.2	193	General Observations of M&E Field Staff? (optional) (if any) ایم اینڈ ای فیلڈ اسٹاف کے خیالات/ارائے؟ (اگر کوئی ہوں)

E. VISUAL EVIDENCE (PHOTOS, ETC.)**Field Monitoring Visits**



F. MEETING MINUTES / APPROVALS RELEVANT TO THE QUARTER (INCL. GRM)

1 Minutes of Meeting of M&E Consultants with Component-III

Subject: M&E Firm Inception Meeting with Component-III - HRU

Date: 26 May 2025

Venue: HRU Office

Participants:

- COO- HRU
- Team Lead M&E Firm
- ICT Coordinator M&E Firm
- M&E Specialist FPMU
- Housing Specialist FPMU
- M&E Specialist HRU
- ICT Specialist HRU
- M&E Officer FPMU
- MIS Officer, HRU

Purpose:

The purpose of the meeting was to convene an inception meeting between the HRU team and the M&E consultancy firm. This meeting aimed to formally initiate the engagement, align both teams on the project objectives, clarify roles and responsibilities, and establish a shared understanding of the scope of work, timelines, and expected deliverables. It also provided an opportunity to discuss key methodologies, coordination mechanisms, and reporting protocols to ensure smooth implementation of M&E activities.

Proceedings:

The HRU team delivered a brief presentation to the participants, outlining the overall data flow, project targets, standardized reporting templates, and the MIS developed by HRU. The Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E) Framework already developed by HRU was also presented as the foundational document upon which the entire system structure is based. It provided clarity on key indicators, data sources, and reporting protocols, thereby guiding the design and implementation of all monitoring activities.

The following actions and decisions were agreed upon during the meeting:

#.	Actions/Decisions	Responsibility	Timeline
1	HRU will share the complete dataset including field structure, variables, and parameters with the M&E firm to support development of data collection tools in addition to structured data templates for daily and weekly reporting to ensure alignment with the MIS and facilitate standardized reporting.	HRU	26 th May 2025

2	The M&E Firm will develop and share an initial draft of the data visualization plan for the PMIS, along with corresponding monitoring tools, based on the documentation and datasets provided by HRU. This draft will include proposed indicators, data flows, and reporting formats. The existing M&E Framework, already developed by HRU, will be integrated into this process to ensure coherence and alignment with project monitoring	M&E Firm	First Week of June
3	M&E Firm to deploy its field team in the model village to initiate pilot data collection and validation.	M&E Firm	28 th May 2025
4	M&E Firm to study all relevant documents provided by HRU and carry out coordination so the initial draft could be finalized .	M&E Firm & HRU	29 th May 2025
5	M&E Firm to monitor and provide field information related to ongoing progress of Model villages on a daily basis.	M&E Firm	Regular

The Project Director-HRU held an introductory meeting with the M&E firm's team, warmly welcoming them on board. He emphasized the importance of promptly initiating field-level activities to generate independent, third-party information critical for effective monitoring, validation, and evidence-based decision-making throughout the project lifecycle.



2. Minutes of Meeting with Component-III

Subject: Review of MTs and Coordination Meeting between M&E Consultants and District Coordinators of HRU

Date: 11th August 2025

Venue: HRU Office

Participants:

- M&E Specialist, HRU
- Housing Specialist, FPMU
- ICT Specialist, HRU
- MIS Officer, HRU
- Team Leader, M&E Firm
- All District Coordinators & Field Staff, HRU

Agenda

- Review of MTs for baseline and regular monitoring
- Strengthening coordination between M&E Consultants and District Coordinators, HRU

Proceedings

The M&E Specialist, HRU, provided suggestions on the MTs, reviewed the incorporation of previous feedback, and highlighted areas for improvement. The Housing Specialist, FPMU, convened the coordination meeting between M&E Consultants and all District Coordinators.

Decisions and Action Points:

1. Review of MTs

- Discussion:
The M&E Specialist, HRU, provided suggestions on MTs and discussed updates made based on previous feedback.
- Decision/Action:
The Team Leader, M&E Consultants, incorporated the suggestions received from HRU staff and provided a detailed briefing on the incorporation of earlier feedback into the MTs.

2. Coordination with District Coordinators

- Discussion:
The Housing Specialist, FPMU, convened the District Coordinators to engage with M&E Consultants.
- Decision/Action:
The Housing Specialist emphasized the importance of strong coordination between M&E Consultants and District Coordinators to further strengthen HRU activities. The Team Leader introduced the background of the Firm and elaborated on the roles and responsibilities of M&E Consultants as per the TORs. He also briefed the forum on field team composition, sample size, and selected sites for the baseline survey. Furthermore, the M&E Specialist, HRU, formed a joint coordination group comprising M&E Consultants and District Coordinators to ensure effective support for M&E staff during field activities.

The session concluded with a vote of thanks.



3 Minutes of Meeting with FPMU

Subject: Visit of M&E Specialist (FPMU) to M&E Consultants Office – Review of Updated Progress on Baseline / Regular Monitoring and Meeting with M&E Staff

Date: 11th September 2025

Venue: M&E Consultants (IFRAP) Office, Quetta

Participants:

- M&E Specialist, FPMU
- Team Leader, M&E Consultants
- M&E Officers & M&E Associates

Agenda:

The M&E Specialist visited the M&E Consultants Office to meet with the M&E staff and review field deployments, site selection, and the overall field mechanism regarding baseline and regular field monitoring, as well as the implementation plan for the GRM.

Proceedings:

The Team Leader briefed the M&E Specialist on field deployments and the overall field mechanism for baseline and regular field activities. M&E Officers also presented updated progress and plans related to ongoing and upcoming field activities.

Decisions and Action Points:

1. Baseline / Regular Field Monitoring

- Discussion: The Team Leader, M&E Consultants, provided a detailed briefing on site selection and field deployments.
- Decision/Action: The M&E Specialist urged the team to initiate baseline field activities without further delay. The Team Leader explained the reasons for delays, clarifying that MTs had been finalized by the PIUs, site selection and coordination meetings had been completed, and training of field staff had also been conducted. He assured that baseline activities would be launched immediately.

2. GRM Implementation

- Discussion: The forum reviewed the implementation of the GRM in light of the decisions made during the previous meeting held on 8th August 2025.
- Decision/Action: The M&E Specialist asked the Team Leader to expedite GRM-related activities. The Team Leader informed that the GRM mechanism had already been shared with PIUs (CI & CII), but further implementation was pending on the PIUs' end. It was agreed that M&E Consultants would extend maximum support to PIUs to facilitate the earliest possible implementation of the GRM.

3. Meeting with M&E Field Teams

- Discussion: The M&E Specialist interacted with the field teams regarding their activity plans and the challenges faced.
- Decision/Action: All field teams assured the M&E Specialist of their full commitment to perform to the best of their abilities during baseline and regular field activities.

The meeting concluded with a vote of thanks.



4 Joint Meeting of M&E Consultants and HRU with FPMU

Date: 23rd September, 2025

Venue: FPMU, EOBI Building Islamabad

Participants:

- M&E Specialist, FPMU
- Team Leader, M&E Consultants
- HRU Lead (M&E)
- MIS Expert, M&E
- Sr M&E Officer, M&E Consultants
- M&E Officer FPMU
- M&E Officers HRU and other relevant HRU officials

Agenda: Baseline Survey for IFRAP Component -3

Proceedings: On the request of M&E (FPMU, IFRAP), a joint meeting of M&E Consultants and HRU (Component-III) was held with M&E Specialist (FPMU) on 23th September 2025 at the FPMU Head office, EOBI Building Islamabad.

The focus of the discussions was ongoing Baseline survey conducted by M&E Consultants for component -III (HRU) in Baluchistan. Summary of discussion points of the meeting are summarized below:

- Various modules of data collection were reviewed in the light of responses received from respondents/ HRU beneficiaries
- Issue arising in disbursement through instalments to beneficiaries through Bank branches were highlighted. Gaps identified which have also been brought under the notice of World Bank officials
- Field level issues experienced during the survey were identified and discussed /resolved with mutual understanding and necessary clarifications
- Responses through the data collection using android application (OKD) were analysed to review quality checks
- Consistency in data was reviewed with identification of certain gaps
- Sampling framework was examined to ascertain rationale for data collection/on spot check and process monitoring
- Location and site identification along with required dimensions of beneficiaries' pics were discussed and suggested appropriate measures

Formal minutes of this meeting are awaited



G. DETAILED INDICATOR PROGRESS TABLE (DISAGGREGATION)

G-1. Beneficiary Profile and family Composition

01- Women Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	21	23
Dera Bugti	4	40	44
Harnai	6	4	10
Jaffarabad	8	58	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	15	42	57
Musakhel	2	18	20
Nasirabad	2	73	75
Sohbatpur	10	27	37
Usta Muhammad	5	26	31
Overall	55	318	373

02- Disable Person Headed Household			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	21	23
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	2	8	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	4	53	57
Musakhel	0	20	20
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	1	36	37
Usta Muhammad	1	30	31
Overall	18	355	373

03- Religion of Surveyed Beneficiaries								
District	Muslims	Hindus	Christians	Sikhs	Parsis	Buddhists	Other (please specify)	Overall
Barkhan	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
Harnai	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Jaffarabad	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Kachi	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
Musakhel	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Nasirabad	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Overall	373	0	0	0	0	0	0	373

04- Male and Female Beneficiaries				
District	Male Members	Female Members	Transgender Members	Overall
Barkhan	85	82	0	167
Dera Bugti	152	145	0	297
Harnai	25	21	0	46
Jaffarabad	272	248	0	520
Jhal Magsi	25	28	0	53
Kachi	10	5	0	15
Lasbela	151	158	0	309
Musakhel	82	71	0	153
Nasirabad	233	222	0	455
Sohbatpur	89	85	0	174
Usta Muhammad	85	76	0	161
Overall	1209	1141	0	2350

05- Family Profile											
District	Age - Male	Youth - Male	With Disability - Male	Age - Female	With Disability- Female	Youth - Female	Widow- Female	Single women- Female	Disabled spouse- Female	Elderly women- Female	Pregnant / lactating- Female
Barkhan	85	12	8	82	1	6	0	1	1	6	12
Dera Bugti	152	44	5	145	1	32	0	0	0	9	0
Harnai	25	8	2	21	2	5	2	2	0	2	1
Jaffarabad	272	59	14	248	10	51	1	0	0	7	33
Jhal Magsi	25	4	0	28	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
Kachi	10	4	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	151	30	1	161	1	33	3	0	0	9	1
Musakhel	82	15	1	71	0	7	2	1	0	6	12
Nasirabad	233	35	2	219	2	27	0	0	2	11	12
Sohbatpur	89	19	3	85	3	18	1	0	0	8	0
Usta Muhammad	85	15	2	76	1	13	0	0	0	5	0
Overall	1209	245	38	1141	21	195	9	4	3	63	77

G-2. Housing

06- House Construction Type				
District	Brick	Stone Masonry	Block	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	0	44	44
Harnai	0	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	66	0	0	66
Jhal Magsi	2	0	6	8
Kachi	2	0	0	2
Lasbela	0	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	1	18	19
Nasirabad	75	0	0	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	0	31
Overall	213	1	159	373

07- House construction status the time of visit					
District	Plinth	Lintel	Roof	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	20	3	1	0	24
Dera Bugti	28	4	10	2	44
Harnai	7	2	0	1	10
Jaffarabad	48	9	9	0	66
Jhal Magsi	5	2	1	0	8
Kachi	2	0	0	0	2
Lasbela	24	17	10	6	57
Musakhel	12	5	1	1	19
Nasirabad	40	12	12	11	75
Sohbatpur	32	2	3	0	37
Usta Muhammad	18	9	4	0	31
Overall	236	65	51	21	373

08- Construction Status of Plint/Lintel/Roof Level				
District	In Progress	Paused	Completed	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	1	24
Dera Bugti	24	18	0	42
Harnai	2	7	0	9
Jaffarabad	23	43	0	66
Jhal Magsi	3	5	0	8
Kachi	1	1	0	2
Lasbela	4	37	10	51
Musakhel	4	14	0	18
Nasirabad	9	55	0	64
Sohbatpur	33	4	0	37
Usta Muhammad	28	3	0	31
Overall	131	210	11	352

09- Plint/Lintel/Roof Level - In Progress Percentages					
District	5% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 95%	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	6	5	7	6	24
Harnai	0	2	0	0	2
Jaffarabad	16	3	1	3	23
Jhal Magsi	1	1	0	1	3
Kachi	1	0	0	0	1
Lasbela	1	0	0	3	4
Musakhel	0	3	1	0	4
Nasirabad	4	4	0	1	9
Sohbatpur	7	22	1	3	33
Usta Muhammad	0	20	2	6	28
Overall	36	60	12	23	131

10- Plint/Lintel/Roof Level - Temporarily Paused Reasons (Multiple Choices)										
District	Land Dispute	Trench Delay	Material Shortage	Labor Shortage	Water Shortage	Slag session	Delay Due to Death	Bank Delay	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Dera Bugti	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
Harnai	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Jaffarabad	0	44	0	2	4	1	0	4	1	56
Jhal Magsi	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	10
Kachi	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Lasbela	0	36	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Musakhel	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Nasirabad	0	52	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	58
Sohbatpur	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Usta Muhammad	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Overall	0	207	7	9	4	3	0	4	3	237

G-3. Land

11- land title/mutation in the name of Beneficiary/Tenant			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	9	35	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	64	2	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	2	0	2
Lasbela	56	1	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	72	3	75
Sohbatpur	37	0	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	332	41	373

12- Boundary dispute or overlapping claim with neighbors/community			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

G-4. VRC

13- Do you know about the VRC			
District	Yes (Active beneficiaries)	No (Respondent other than the beneficiaries)	Overall
Barkhan	17	7	24
Dera Bugti	2	42	44
Harnai	7	3	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	2	6	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	56	1	57
Musakhel	2	17	19
Nasirabad	12	63	75
Sohbatpur	24	13	37
Usta Muhammad	11	20	31
Overall	137	236	373

14- Role in VRC				
District	Chairperson	Secretary	Member	Overall
Barkhan	2	0	9	11
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	1
Harnai	0	1	5	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	0	55	56
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	5	2	8	15
Usta Muhammad	2	1	6	9
Overall	10	4	86	100

15- Gender Distribution of VRC Member			
District	Male	Female	Overall
Barkhan	123	4	127
Dera Bugti	21	3	24
Harnai	56	41	97
Jaffarabad	12	0	12
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	488	187	675
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	18	0	18
Sohbatpur	117	18	135
Usta Muhammad	79	8	87
Overall	914	261	1175

16- IP conduct training session on VRC roles			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	14	3	17
Dera Bugti	1	1	2
Harnai	7	0	7
Jaffarabad	2	1	3
Jhal Magsi	1	1	2
Kachi	0	1	1
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	1	1	2
Nasirabad	7	5	12
Sohbatpur	20	4	24
Usta Muhammad	11	0	11
Overall	120	17	137

17- VRC conduct consultation meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	12	2	14
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	7	0	7
Jaffarabad	2	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	5	2	7
Sohbatpur	19	1	20
Usta Muhammad	11	0	11
Overall	113	7	120

18- Participating in VRC consultation meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	11	3	14
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	1	7
Jaffarabad	2	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	1	1
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	4	3	7
Sohbatpur	16	4	20
Usta Muhammad	10	1	11
Overall	106	14	120

19- Frequency of VRC consultation meetings							
District	Daily	Weekly	Bi-Weekly	Monthly	As per needed	Never	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	1	10	0	11
Dera Bugti	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Harnai	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
Sohbatpur	0	0	8	7	1	0	16
Usta Muhammad	0	1	0	0	9	0	10
Overall	0	1	11	11	83	0	106

20- Female members participate in VRC meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	10	11
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	0	2	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	53	3	56
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	4	4
Sohbatpur	10	6	16
Usta Muhammad	1	9	10
Overall	72	34	106

21- Level of women's participation has been observed					
District	Attended meetings	Shared feedback	Decision making	Do nothing	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	0	0	1
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	0	1
Harnai	6	0	0	0	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	50	1	2	0	53
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	10	0	0	0	10
Usta Muhammad	1	0	0	0	1
Overall	69	1	2	0	72

22- Community members perceive the role of women in reconstruction phase					
District	Strongly supportive	Somewhat supportive	Neutral	Unsupportive	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	1	0	0	1
Harnai	6	0	0	0	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	53	0	0	0	53
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	7	3	0	0	10
Usta Muhammad	0	1	0	0	1
Overall	66	5	1	0	72

23- Majority of VRC members participate in meetings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	11	0	11
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	2	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	4	0	4
Sohbatpur	14	2	16
Usta Muhammad	10	0	10
Overall	104	2	106

24- VRC supported in resolving housing reconstruction issues			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	11	0	11
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	0	6
Jaffarabad	2	0	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	4
Sohbatpur	11	5	16
Usta Muhammad	10	0	10
Overall	99	7	106

25- VRC Assist or coordinate with you during construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	12	5	17
Dera Bugti	2	0	2
Harnai	6	1	7
Jaffarabad	2	1	3
Jhal Magsi	1	1	2
Kachi	0	1	1
Lasbela	56	0	56
Musakhel	0	2	2
Nasirabad	4	8	12
Sohbatpur	16	8	24
Usta Muhammad	11	0	11
Overall	110	27	137

26- Community Initiatives beyond IFRAP housing support				
District	Yes	No	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	18	6	24
Dera Bugti	0	2	42	44
Harnai	0	9	1	10
Jaffarabad	0	47	19	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	0	8
Kachi	0	2	0	2
Lasbela	2	55	0	57
Musakhel	0	11	8	19
Nasirabad	0	73	2	75
Sohbatpur	0	28	9	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	0	31
Overall	2	284	87	373

27- Nature of additional structures and improvements made by community				
District	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Storage areas	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	2	0	1	3
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0
Overall	2	0	1	3

28- Sources of funding for community activities					
District	Community pooled funds	Labor contribution	Leftover material reuse	Don't know	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	1	0	3
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	1	1	1	0	3

29- Impact of additional work on community living conditions			
District	Significantly	Moderately	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	1	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	1	2

30- VRC Contribution to Strengthening Community Relationships			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	2	0	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	0	2

31- Urgent Community Structures Not Yet Available in the Village (Multiple choice)									
District	Basic Health Facility	Primary School	Drainage channels	Street paving or levelling	Shared toilets or washing	Storage areas	Mosques/ madrasahs / shelters	Other	Overall
Barkhan	23	23	0	14	4	0	1	15	80
Dera Bugti	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	44
Harnai	5	8	0	8	7	0	0	0	28
Jaffarabad	55	35	15	11	11	3	5	3	138
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	24
Kachi	1	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	6
Lasbela	35	2	4	9	10	1	1	0	62
Musakhel	15	11	2	18	15	0	4	2	67
Nasirabad	56	37	62	56	22	49	21	0	303
Sohbatpur	28	2	9	0	6	2	2	1	50
Usta Muhammad	19	5	26	1	4	1	0	0	56
Overall	288	123	128	127	79	57	34	22	858

G-5. Disaster Risk Reduction

32- Distance from nearest Water Chanel	
District	Average Distance (m)
Barkhan	1352
Dera Bugti	4386
Harnai	800
Jaffarabad	532
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachi	175
Lasbela	1195
Musakhel	327
Nasirabad	1815
Sohbatpur	1446
Usta Muhammad	836
Overall	1531

33- Site located near to hazard prone area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	46	20	66
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	3	54	57
Musakhel	1	18	19
Nasirabad	12	63	75
Sohbatpur	10	27	37
Usta Muhammad	21	10	31
Overall	101	272	373

34- Type of hazard					
District	Flood plan range	Flash flood range	Drought	Water logging	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	36	12	0	16	0
Jhal Magsi	7	7	2	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	2	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	1	0	0	0
Nasirabad	12	12	1	1	0
Sohbatpur	5	4	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	18	0	0	0	4
Overall	80	37	4	17	4

35- Site hit by flood before 2022 floods			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	61	5	66
Jhal Magsi	3	5	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	34	23	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	13	62	75
Sohbatpur	9	28	37
Usta Muhammad	28	3	31
Overall	202	171	373

36- Site in a low-lying area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	23	24
Dera Bugti	6	38	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	15	51	66
Jhal Magsi	1	7	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	20	37	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	17	58	75
Sohbatpur	34	3	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	125	248	373

37- Rainwater management and outflow status on site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	19	5	24
Dera Bugti	9	35	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	19	47	66
Jhal Magsi	1	7	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	10	47	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	15	60	75
Sohbatpur	9	28	37
Usta Muhammad	3	28	31
Overall	115	258	373

38- History of Earthquakes in the area (Past 25 Years)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	44	13	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	6	31	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	106	267	373

39- History of land erosion or landslides in the area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	1	56	57
Musakhel	1	18	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	2	371	373

40- Site located on wetlands, riverbanks, or natural drainage paths			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	2	42	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	12	54	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	3	54	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	2	73	75
Sohbatpur	5	32	37
Usta Muhammad	14	17	31
Overall	38	335	373

41- Disaster Risk Reduction measures implemented in the area (Multiple Choices)								
District	Flood Protection Wall	Raised Structure	Gabian Wall	Diversion Canal	Check Dam	No	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	4	20	0	24
Dera Bugti	0	4	1	0	0	39	0	44
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	1	2	0	0	0	57	1	61
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Lasbela	6	3	0	0	0	28	0	37
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	19
Nasirabad	24	20	12	14	18	39	0	127
Sohbatpur	0	1	0	1	0	11	0	13
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31
Overall	31	30	13	15	22	264	1	376

G-6. Minimum Construction Guidelines

42- IP conduct training on MCG			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	18	26	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	9	57	66
Jhal Magsi	3	5	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	22	53	75
Sohbatpur	27	10	37
Usta Muhammad	16	15	31
Overall	205	168	373

43- Beneficiaries' participation in MCG trainings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	22	2	0
Dera Bugti	7	11	0
Harnai	9	1	0
Jaffarabad	6	3	0
Jhal Magsi	1	2	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	57	0	0
Musakhel	19	0	0
Nasirabad	8	14	0
Sohbatpur	17	10	0
Usta Muhammad	10	6	0
Overall	156	49	0

44- Minimum Construction Guidelines do you know after the training session					
District	Plint	Lintel	Roof	Other	Overall
Barkhan	22	17	5	0	44
Dera Bugti	2	1	4	0	7
Harnai	9	9	9	0	27
Jaffarabad	2	6	2	0	10
Jhal Magsi	1	1	1	0	3
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	19	37	37	2	95
Musakhel	19	18	14	1	52
Nasirabad	5	8	5	0	18
Sohbatpur	16	15	15	1	47
Usta Muhammad	10	9	9	0	28
Overall	105	121	101	4	331

G-7. Social Safeguard Compliance

45- Community trained on social safeguard by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	18	6	24
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	7	3	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	5	52	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	16	21	37
Usta Muhammad	8	23	31
Overall	55	318	373

46- District-wise average training session conducted by IP	
District	Avg. Training
Barkhan	5
Dera Bugti	1
Harnai	5
Jaffarabad	0
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachi	0
Lasbela	2
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Sohbatpur	2
Usta Muhammad	2
Overall	3

47- Community' presence in social training sessions conducted by IP			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	13	5	18
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	6	1	7
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	5	0	5
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	16	0	16
Usta Muhammad	6	2	8
Overall	47	8	55

48- Community consent/feedback documented in social safeguard trainings			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	13	13
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	5	1	6
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	2	3	5
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	11	5	16
Usta Muhammad	6	0	6
Overall	24	23	47

49- Stored construction material obstructing movement in the area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	4	53	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	1	74	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	5	368	373

50- Tension or dissatisfaction in the VRC over Social Safeguards			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

G-8. Personal Protective Equipment

51- Workplace safety training provided to labours			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	5	19	24
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	3	63	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	4	53	57
Musakhel	15	4	19
Nasirabad	6	69	75
Sohbatpur	7	30	37
Usta Muhammad	17	14	31
Overall	71	302	373

52- Project activities involve significant labor presence.			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	27	39	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	33	24	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	42	33	75
Sohbatpur	11	26	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	148	225	373

53- Local labour hired for construction			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	23	1	24
Dera Bugti	11	33	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	35	31	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	2	0	2
Lasbela	53	4	57
Musakhel	18	1	19
Nasirabad	52	23	75
Sohbatpur	31	6	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	274	99	373

G-9. Environment & Social Monitoring Framework

54- Construction techniques compliance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	18	6	24
Dera Bugti	10	34	44
Harnai	8	2	10
Jaffarabad	26	40	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	2	0	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	10	9	19
Nasirabad	48	27	75
Sohbatpur	11	26	37
Usta Muhammad	29	2	31
Overall	170	203	373

55- Construction waste dispose of in the near by water body			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	33	0
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	43	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	6	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	4	0
Sohbatpur	1	20	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	106	0

G-10. Trees

56- Site close to cluster of trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	23	24
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	9	57	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	27	30	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	16	59	75
Sohbatpur	2	35	37
Usta Muhammad	11	20	31
Overall	68	305	373

57- Construction will cause cutting of trees			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	2	64	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	2	371	373

58- No. of trees to be removed	
District	Nos.
Barkhan	0
Dera Bugti	0
Harnai	0
Jaffarabad	2
Jhal Magsi	0
Kachi	0
Lasbela	0
Musakhel	0
Nasirabad	0
Sohbatpur	0
Usta Muhammad	0
Overall	2

G-11. Gender Environment & Social Inclusion

59- Women-headed households' ratio			
District	Total Households	Women headed households	% of women-headed households
Barkhan	781	42	5.4%
Dera Bugti	1731	29	1.7%
Harnai	605	39	6.4%
Jaffarabad	2991	61	2.0%
Jhal Magsi	152	7	4.6%
Kachi	300	5	1.7%
Lasbela	2456	157	6.4%
Musakhel	595	38	6.4%
Nasirabad	5322	196	3.7%
Sohbatpur	716	43	6.0%
Usta Muhammad	2739	235	8.6%
Overall	18388	852	4.6%

60- Women-headed households benefiting equally			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	2	22	24
Dera Bugti	12	32	44
Harnai	5	5	10
Jaffarabad	25	41	66
Jhal Magsi	2	6	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	36	21	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	24	51	75
Sohbatpur	13	24	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	151	222	373

61- Women participating in reconstruction or training			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	23	24
Dera Bugti	13	31	44
Harnai	3	7	10
Jaffarabad	5	61	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	35	22	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	11	26	37
Usta Muhammad	3	28	31
Overall	71	302	373

62- Women participating in reconstruction or training			
District	Lack of time	Other	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	26	0	26
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	21	2	23
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	6	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	1	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	55	3	58

63- Main challenges women face in participating in reconstruction activities						
District	Lack of time	Cultural restrictions	Lack of awareness/information	Not invited to meetings	Other	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	0	0	0	1
Dera Bugti	26	0	0	0	0	26
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	21	0	0	0	2	23
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	0	0	0	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	6	0	0	0	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	1	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	55	0	0	0	3	58

64- Women oriented on safety, grievance, and finance			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	9	4	13
Harnai	3	0	3
Jaffarabad	1	4	5
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	13	22	35
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	0	0
Sohbatpur	10	1	11
Usta Muhammad	1	2	3
Overall	38	33	71

65- Were the needs of females with disabilities considered			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	6	18	24
Dera Bugti	9	35	44
Harnai	4	6	10
Jaffarabad	22	44	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	18	39	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	23	52	75
Sohbatpur	27	10	37
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	140	233	373

66- Housing adapted with ramps/handrails/wider doors?			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	10	14	24
Dera Bugti	19	25	44
Harnai	5	5	10
Jaffarabad	6	60	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	3	54	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	2	73	75
Sohbatpur	13	24	37
Usta Muhammad	29	2	31
Overall	87	286	373

G-12. Grievance Redressal Mechanism

67- Do you know about the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	3	7	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	1	56	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	2	35	37
Usta Muhammad	5	26	31
Overall	16	357	373

68- Is a GRM banner or info display visible in your area			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	3	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	4
Sohbatpur	0	2	2
Usta Muhammad	0	5	5
Overall	2	14	16

69- Have you received orientation on using the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	1	2	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	3	1	4
Sohbatpur	2	0	2
Usta Muhammad	4	1	5
Overall	10	6	16

70- Understanding on purpose of the GRM				
District	Helps resolve complaints and grievances	Provides a way to share feedback and concerns	Ensures transparency and accountability	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	1
Harnai	3	0	0	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	0	0	1
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	4	2	1	7
Sohbatpur	2	0	0	2
Usta Muhammad	5	0	0	5
Overall	16	2	1	19

71- Was the grievance channel accessible to all			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	0	3	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	3	4
Sohbatpur	2	0	2
Usta Muhammad	5	0	5
Overall	9	7	16

72- Do you know anyone in the VRC who used the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	0	3	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	2	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	3	3
Sohbatpur	0	2	2
Usta Muhammad	0	5	5
Overall	0	16	16

73- Do you know how to use the GRM for complaints			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	1	1
Harnai	1	2	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	1	1
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	3	4
Sohbatpur	0	2	2
Usta Muhammad	1	4	5
Overall	3	13	16

74- Have you ever submitted a complaint through the GRM			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0
Harnai	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	0	1	1
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	1	1
Overall	0	3	3

75- Do you believe the GRM handles complaints fairly			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	1
Harnai	3	0	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	2	2
Musakhel	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	1	3
Sohbatpur	2	0	2
Usta Muhammad	5	0	5
Overall	13	3	16

76- Do you believe the GRM handles complaints fairly					
District	Faster response time	More awareness campaigns	Better follow-up and feedback	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	0	1
Harnai	0	3	0	0	3
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	2	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	9	1	0	11
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	3	1	2	0	6
Sohbatpur	2	12	1	0	15
Usta Muhammad	5	4	0	0	9
Overall	12	29	4	2	47

G-13. Environment Protection

77- Fuel or oil spillage observed on site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	0	66	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	0	75	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	0	373	373

78- Community unaware of house safety features			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	7	17	24
Dera Bugti	12	32	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	16	50	66
Jhal Magsi	6	2	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	3	54	57
Musakhel	3	16	19
Nasirabad	44	31	75
Sohbatpur	9	28	37
Usta Muhammad	7	24	31
Overall	107	266	373

79- Any water bodies, forests near site			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	8	16	24
Dera Bugti	3	41	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	2	64	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	3	54	57
Musakhel	1	18	19
Nasirabad	9	66	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	26	347	373

80- Construction causing destruction of wildlife habitat			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	8	8
Dera Bugti	0	3	3
Harnai	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	2	2
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	2	3
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	1	8	9
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	2	24	26

G-14. Spot Check – Construction Compliance Verification

81- 1st footing step meets minimum width/depth			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	44	0	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	59	1	60
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	74	1	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	358	3	361

82- Last step width $\geq$ 13.5" (brick) or 12" (stone/block)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	59	1	60
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	71	4	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	353	8	361

83- Plinth level 1.5–3 ft above normal surface			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	24	0	24
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	10	0	10
Jaffarabad	58	2	60
Jhal Magsi	7	1	8
Kachi	1	1	2
Lasbela	57	0	57
Musakhel	19	0	19
Nasirabad	74	1	75
Sohbatpur	31	0	31
Usta Muhammad	31	0	31
Overall	355	6	361

84- Polythene sheet provided for plinth damp proofing			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	43	1	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	29	31	60
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	51	6	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	26	49	75
Sohbatpur	28	3	31
Usta Muhammad	23	8	31
Overall	200	161	361

85- Wall thickness meets minimum (9" brick, 8" block, 8–10" stone)			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	4	0	4
Dera Bugti	16	0	16
Harnai	3	0	3
Jaffarabad	18	0	18
Jhal Magsi	3	0	3
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	32	1	33
Musakhel	7	0	7
Nasirabad	35	0	35
Sohbatpur	5	0	5
Usta Muhammad	13	0	13
Overall	136	1	137

86- District-wise average no. of ventilators and windows provided		
District	Avg. Ventilator	Avg. Windows
Barkhan	2	1
Dera Bugti	2	0
Harnai	2	0
Jaffarabad	2	0
Jhal Magsi	2	0
Kachi	0	0
Lasbela	2	0
Musakhel	2	0
Nasirabad	2	0
Sohbatpur	2	0
Usta Muhammad	2	0
Overall	2	1

87- 1:12 ramp with handrails installed			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	2	0	2
Harnai	1	0	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	6	0	6
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	11	11
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	9	12	21

88- Tactile markers or railings for visually impaired present			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	2	2
Harnai	0	1	1
Jaffarabad	0	0	0
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0
Lasbela	1	5	6
Musakhel	0	1	1
Nasirabad	0	11	11
Sohbatpur	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0
Overall	1	20	21

G-15. Perception & Experience

89- Material shortages faced			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	1	43	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	5	61	66
Jhal Magsi	8	0	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	8	67	75
Sohbatpur	26	11	37
Usta Muhammad	28	3	31
Overall	76	297	373

90- Type of material shortages faced								
District	Cement	Bricks/Blocks	Sand	Crush/Gravel	Steel/Bars	Wood/Timber	Paint/Finishing Items	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	6
Jhal Magsi	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	48
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	4	7	0	0	1	0	0	12
Sohbatpur	19	19	8	0	0	0	0	46
Usta Muhammad	27	26	7	0	0	0	0	60
Overall	60	64	23	10	9	4	4	174

91- Core structural features of the reconstructed house				
District	Plinth beam	Reinforcement	Proper roof	Other
Barkhan	24	21	6	0
Dera Bugti	0	42	2	0
Harnai	10	9	8	0
Jaffarabad	34	10	22	4
Jhal Magsi	8	8	8	0
Kachi	2	2	2	0
Lasbela	49	8	20	0
Musakhel	19	17	16	0
Nasirabad	71	47	43	2
Sohbatpur	35	30	27	2
Usta Muhammad	31	29	28	0
Overall	283	223	182	8

92- Satisfaction rate with the construction				
District	Excellent	Good	Poor	Very Poor
Barkhan	8.3%	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Dera Bugti	31.8%	68.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harnai	10.0%	90.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jaffarabad	30.3%	66.7%	1.5%	1.5%
Jhal Magsi	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%	0.0%
Kachi	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lasbela	71.9%	28.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Musakhel	5.3%	94.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Nasirabad	34.7%	56.0%	5.3%	4.0%
Sohbatpur	43.2%	40.5%	16.2%	0.0%
Usta Muhammad	6.5%	93.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Overall	33.2%	62.2%	3.5%	1.1%

93- Any concerns or dissatisfaction during the process				
District	IP Related	Bank Delay	Fianancial Favours Asked	Other
Barkhan	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	6	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	1	0	1
Kachi	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	7	6	0	1
Sohbatpur	4	0	0	1
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0
Overall	11	13	0	4

G-16. Site Observations


94- Signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	0	24	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	1	65	66
Jhal Magsi	2	6	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	8	67	75
Sohbatpur	6	31	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	17	356	373

95- Signs of poor workmanship or deviation visible as per MCG						
District	Uncompacte d soil	Plinth Level less than 2-3 feet	Misaligned walls	Misaligned walls	No bamboo, T- iron, girder, thatch, GI sheet, or precast concrete - Used	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	0	1	1
Jhal Magsi	0	1	0	0	1	2
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	1	1	4	1	3	10
Sohbatpur	5	0	0	0	1	6
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	6	2	4	1	6	19

96- Issues raised by community members or stakeholders			
District	Yes	No	Overall
Barkhan	1	23	24
Dera Bugti	0	44	44
Harnai	0	10	10
Jaffarabad	1	65	66
Jhal Magsi	0	8	8
Kachi	0	2	2
Lasbela	0	57	57
Musakhel	0	19	19
Nasirabad	4	71	75
Sohbatpur	0	37	37
Usta Muhammad	0	31	31
Overall	6	367	373

97- Issues type raised by the community or stakeholders						
District	Shortage of Material	Lack of trained skilled labor	Substandard construction	Misaligned walls	Other	Overall
Barkhan	0	0	0	1	0	1
Dera Bugti	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harnai	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jaffarabad	0	0	0	1	0	1
Jhal Magsi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kachi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lasbela	0	0	0	0	0	0
Musakhel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nasirabad	2	2	1	1	0	6
Sohbatpur	0	0	0	0	0	0
Usta Muhammad	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall	2	2	1	3	0	8

H. MODEL VILLAGE REPORT



Model Village Report

Prepared by
M&E Consultant - IFRAP

Monitoring and Evaluation Report “Model Village for Flood-Affected Communities” District Jaffarabad.

1. Background

The devastating floods of 2022 in Balochistan severely damaged housing infrastructure and displaced thousands of families. In response, the Integrated Flood Resilience and Adaptation Project (IFRAP), initiated the Model Village in District Jaffarabad.

The primary objective was to establish a climate-resilient and sustainable settlement integrating housing, sanitation, renewable energy, and education facilities for flood-affected families. The initiative aimed to ensure safe, dignified, and sustainable living conditions while promoting community resilience and environmental recovery.

Under the project, 27 flood-resilient houses were constructed along with the installation of solar home systems, latrines, hand pumps, internal street pathways, a community hall, and a mobile learning center. Additionally, tree plantation activities were undertaken to promote environmental sustainability.

2. Major Achievements and Outputs as under:

Status of Houses:

House # with name of beneficiary	Current Physical Status	Current Financial Status
House-1 (Zambeer Ahmad)	Completed	All 4-tranche released
House-2 (Jahangir Ahmad)	Completed	All 4-tranche released
House-3 (Ilyas)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-4 (Kabbeer)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-5 (Yaqoob)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-6 (Muhammad Akram)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-7 (Badar)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-8 (Ranjhan)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-9 (Bagan)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-10 (Ameer Jan)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-11 (Ali Gul)	Completed, color remaining	All 4 tranche released
House-12 (Panja)	Completed, color remaining	All 4 tranche released
House-13(Mehar Khan)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-14 (Kajla khan)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-15 (Saindad)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-16 (Sukhiya)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-17 (Sooba)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-18 (Sadora)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-1 (Koonja)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-2 (Soofa)	Completed, color remaining	All 4 tranche released
House-3 (Muhammad Usman)	Completed, color remaining	All 4 tranche released

House # with name of beneficiary	Current Physical Status	Current Financial Status
House-4 (Muhammad Arif)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-5 (Lal Khatoon)	Completed	3rd tranche released last tranche remaining
House-6 (Haleem Khan)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-7 (Shahdad)	Completed	All 4 tranche released
House-8 (Gulzar)	Completed, color remaining	All 4 tranche released
House-9 (Gamna)	Completed, color remaining	All 4 tranche released

Integration Features:

Sr.#	Activity	Current Status	Observations / Recommendations
1	Provision of Solar system	Solar systems have been provided to 25 beneficiaries out of 27	The concerned authorities need to expedite these activities to ensure the task is completed at the earliest
2	Installation of Solar system	Installation of solar systems for beneficiaries is completed 25 out of 27	
3	Status of latrines construction	08 latrines have been completed (activity completed 100%)	The toilets are now being used by the community; however, water is being fetched from outside as the water tanks are yet to be installed.
4	Status of installation/construction of hand pumps and washing pads	Completed	Completed and functional.
5	Demarcation of streets / pathways	Completed	The bricks are being fixed properly with hard soil.
6	Construction status at streets / pathways	Completed	
7	Provision of wheelchairs & tricycle bikes	Provided	-
8	Installation status of filtration plants	Not installed	There is a need to expedite the procurement process. The concerned departments are requested to look into the matter.
9	Status of trees plantation	Completed	-

Sr.#	Activity	Current Status	Observations / Recommendations
10	Provision of School/learning centre	Activity initiated	The beneficiaries informed that the mobile learning facility has been suspended for the last two months, the concerned authorities look in to the matter

3. Monitoring Observations and Findings

Regular M&E field visits were conducted during construction to assess progress, quality standards, and community participation.

Observations confirmed that:

- Construction quality was high and consistent with approved specifications.
- Beneficiary participation remained active and continuous, ensuring ownership of assets.
- Solar systems, water supply facilities, and sanitation units were functional and serving the intended purpose.
- The community hall and learning center were being effectively utilized for educational sessions, awareness meetings, and community gatherings.

4. Community Feedback and Impact

Community feedback during monitoring indicated a high level of satisfaction with the intervention. Beneficiaries reported:

- Improved living conditions, health, and household safety.
- Enhanced social cohesion and strengthened community networks.
- Renewed hope and motivation for long-term stability and self-reliance.

It was also observed that some beneficiaries borrowed materials and continued construction activities during periods of tranche delays. This reflects their commitment, ownership, and strong participation in the project.

5. Challenges and Lessons Learned

Challenges:

- Delays in material supply and disbursement of tranches temporarily affected construction progress.
- Limited availability of skilled labor in remote areas led to minor schedule deviations.

Lessons Learned:

- Early community engagement enhances trust and cooperation.

- Integrated implementation approaches combining housing, energy, and education yield sustainable outcomes.
- Capacity building of local communities ensures long-term maintenance and resilience.

It is recommended that concerned authorities streamline tranche disbursement mechanisms to prevent delays in future activities and ensure adherence to completion timelines.

6. Sustainability and Way Forward

The Model Village Project in District Jaffarabad, stands as a model of post-flood rehabilitation and community resilience. The initiative demonstrates the effectiveness of:

- Using locally available and sustainable materials.
- Establishing village maintenance committees for long-term upkeep.
- Incorporating renewable energy and environmental components in rural housing programs.

Future interventions under IFRAP and similar activities are encouraged to replicate and scale up this model across other flood-prone areas of Balochistan to strengthen community resilience, climate adaptation, and sustainable development.






A Person with Disability (PWD) with his old and new wheelchair



The beneficiaries were provided a hall and solar with electric appliances:



Handpump with washing pad



View of pathways during construction and after completion:



Village children have been provided with mobile school facilities:

